

DATA SHARING POLICY

The Editorial board encourages authors to share data and other artefacts on which the findings reported in the paper are based by depositing them in an appropriate public repository. Authors can attach a data availability statement, including a link to the repository used by them, such that this statement can be included in their paper. Shared data should be cited. All accepted papers may opt to include a data availability statement to provide assurance that there is or isn't shared data. If you do have shared data, the statement will detail how the data can be accessed and include a persistent identifier (e.g., a DOI for the shared data, or an accession number) from the data repository where you deposited the data. Once data are accessible and linked, authors will have to include a reference to the data in their list of references.

COMPLAINT POLICY

JMIHS adheres to the COPE guidelines regarding appeals to editorial decisions and complaints. Authors who believe that the decisions to decline their manuscript was made in error may submit an appeal. The authors should email jmihs@mihs.edu.np and submit an appeal letter, clearly stating the reasons for the appeal. The authors should explain why they consider the decision made by the Editor to be incorrect and provide a detailed and specific response to each and any comment that led to the rejection. Following the receipt of the appeal letter, JMIHS will seek the advice of the journal's external Editorial Advisory Panel in order to determine whether the manuscript can be reconsidered for re-review.

PEER REVIEW POLICY

All manuscripts are subjected to peer review and are expected to meet the standards of academic excellence. If approved by the editor, submissions will be considered by peer reviewers, whose identities will remain anonymous to the authors and vice versa, identities of authors will remain anonymous to the reviewers (Double-blind peer review). The decision regarding the acceptance or rejection of a manuscript is the responsibility of the editorial board and is based on the recommendations of the reviewers (peer-reviewed process).

Our Research Integrity team will occasionally seek advice outside standard peer review, for example, on submissions with serious ethical, security, biosecurity, or societal implications. We may consult experts and the academic editor before deciding on appropriate actions, including

but not limited to recruiting reviewers with specific expertise, assessment by additional editors, and declining to further consider a submission.

PRIVACY STATEMENT

The user information such as names and email addresses entered in JMIHS will be used exclusively for the stated purposes of the journal and will not be made available for any other purpose or to any other party. However, email and phone number of the corresponding author will be made available in correspondence detail of the article, so that interested researchers and authors could contact them.

POLICIES ON CONFLICT OF INTEREST, HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS, AND INFORMED CONSENT

All named authors have to inform about any competing interest related to, or which can be perceived as being relevant to the article. Competing interest may occur where the authors (or their employer, sponsor, or family/friends) have a financial, commercial, legal, or professional association with other organizations, or with the individuals who are working with them, that may influence the research or the interpretation of the results.

Competing interests are either financial or non-financial. In the interests of transparency, any affiliation that could be perceived by others as a competing interest should also be declared.

Financial competing interests include (but are not limited to):

- Employment or volunteering
- Partnerships with advocacy groups on the topic of the article.
- Grants from an organization are received by the author or institution.
- Personal funds to the author/s in the form of honoraria, royalties, consulting fees, lecture fees, testimonies, etc.
- Patents received or applied for by the authors, their institutions or funding agencies, or licensed to an organization with or without receiving royalties.
- Royalties are being received by the authors or their institutions.

- Ownership of stock or shares.
- Benefits associated with the development of products as a result of the work.

A few examples of non-financial competing interests (but not exhaustive) include:

- Receipt of drugs, equipment, or access to data by an organization that may benefit or be at an advantage financially or reputationally from the published results.
- Holding a position on the boards of industry organizations or private companies that may benefit or be at an advantage financially or reputationally from the published results.
- Writing assistance or administrative support by someone or an institution that may benefit or be at an advantage from the results released.
- Personal, political, religious, ideological, academic, and intellectual competing interests are recognized to be relevant to the material released.

Being a party to legal action regarding the work.

- All authors of manuscripts submitted to the journal will be required to sign a declaration of competing interests which will appear in the Disclosure section at the end of the article. If an author is in doubt about whether they need to declare a competing interest, they should seek advice from their institution or the Editor of the journal, who can provide guidance on what action to take.

Should there be no competing interests to declare, the following statement will be included in the article "The authors declare that they have no competing interests."

Human and Animal Rights

Every study has to be conducted in an ethical manner. There is a good possibility that the article will be rejected if there is any suspicion that the study was not done ethically. You can also get in touch with the ethics committee of the author or authors. Rarely, even after receiving ethics committee permission, a manuscript may be rejected on ethical grounds if the editor has significant concerns about the study's ethics.

Human rights

Studies involving humans must follow the Declaration of Helsinki and should have obtained prior approval by an appropriate ethics committee. The submitting study should also include approval of the respective ethical committee as well as name of the ethical committee and the reference number wherever provided, should be provided under methods of the paper. Even for research exempt from ethical approval requirement, this should also be indicated in the manuscript (including the name of the ethics committee that exempted the research). Further information and documentation to support this should be made available at Editors' request.

Manuscripts may be rejected if the Editor believes that the research has not been carried out within an appropriate ethical framework. Editors may seek opinion from the ethics committee in special cases for further information.

Authors reporting use of a new procedure or device in a clinical setting, e.g. as a technical innovation or case report, should include a clear explanation in the paper as to why the new procedure or device was deemed more appropriate than usual clinical practice to meet the patient's clinical need. This explanation is not required if the new procedure is already approved for clinical use at the authors' center. Authors will be requested to have obtained ethics committee approval and patient consent for experimental use of a new device or procedure where there was not a clear clinical benefit on the basis of clinical need before treatment.

Animal rights

Every study must have been conducted in accordance with the proper ethical guidelines. Editors may reject the submission and/or get in touch with the author(s)' ethics committee if there is reason to believe that the study was not conducted within a suitable ethical framework. Rarely, even after receiving ethics committee permission, a manuscript may be rejected on ethical grounds if the editor has significant concerns about the study's ethics.

A statement in compliance with the animal and human ethics committee should be included in any article that conducts clinical or animal research. The name of the body providing the approval must be included in the Methods sections of the manuscript while performing animal studies.

It is important to conduct research such that animals are not unduly harmed.

Every clinical experiment must be registered.

Animals related experimental research must comply with institutional, national, or international guidelines, and where available should have been approved by an appropriate ethics committee.

Authors are encouraged to adhere to animal research reporting standards, such as the ARRIVE, REFLECT guidelines for reporting study design and statistical analysis; experimental procedures; experimental animals and housing and husbandry.

The three R(s) -Replacement, Refinement and Reduction of Animals in Research has to be strictly maintained.

Regarding Nepalese authors, those who perform animal experiments should also adhere to the animal guidelines published by Nepal Health Research Council.

Informed Consent

Informed Consent- Study Participants-

Authors should not include identifying patient information, including patients' names, initials, or hospital numbers, in written descriptions, photographs, and pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent/ guardian) gives written, informed consent for publication.

Complete anonymity is difficult to achieve; however, informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity.

For all research involving human subjects, informed consent to participate in the study should be obtained from participants (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 18) and it should be indicated in the published article and a copy of the 'Patient Informed Consent Form' may be asked to the author in case of suspicion and it should be provided to the journal.

If the participant has died, then consent for publication must be sought from the next of kin of the participant. This documentation must be made available to Editors on request, and will be treated confidentially.

Authors should provide written verification that any study participants who are identifiable have been shown the final manuscript to be published. The final decision on whether consent to publish is required lies with the Editor.

Masked Study Participants- If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic malformations, authors should provide written assurance to the editors that alterations do not distort scientific meaning.

For experimental studies involving client-owned animals, authors must also document informed consent from the client or owner and adherence to a high standard (best practice) of veterinary care.

ADVERTISING POLICY

JMIHS does not endorse any product or service marked as an advertisement or promoted by a sponsor in publications. Advertisements are not allowed within or immediately following an article. No manuscript specific advertisements are accepted. Editorial content and manuscript decisions of JMIHS are independent and will not be influenced by sponsorships or advertisements, or by any specific arrangements with advertising clients or sponsors. JMIHS does

not accept for consideration and does not print advertising articles (both on a reimbursable and free basis). Authors of the scientific articles cannot link to ads using keywords.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

A Declaration of Conflicting Interests policy refers to a formal policy a journal may have to require a conflict-of-interest statement or conflict of interest disclosure from a submitting or publishing author.

‘Conflicts of interest arise when authors, reviewers, or editors have interests that are not fully apparent and that may influence their judgments on what is published. They have been described as those which, when revealed later, would make a reasonable reader feel misled or deceived.’

Reviewers

To ensure that the review process is free of conflicts:

- Editors should select a guest editor when there is a conflict of interest with respect to an author. Editors should ensure that reviewers are free of conflict of interest with respect to an author.
- Reviewers should contact the editorial office to declare any potential conflicts of interest in advance of refereeing an article.

Minor conflicts do not disqualify a reviewer from reporting on an article but will be taken into account when considering the referees’ recommendations.

Authors

All authors and co-authors are required to disclose any potential conflict of interest when submitting their article (e.g. employment, consulting fees, research contracts, stock ownership, patent licenses, advisory affiliations, etc.). If the article is subsequently accepted for publication, this information should be included in the end section.

Editors

Editors should not make any editorial decisions or get involved in the editorial process if they have any COI (financial or otherwise) for a submitted manuscript. An editor may have COI if a manuscript is submitted from their own academic department or from their institution in such situations; they should have explicit policies for managing it. When editors submit their own work

to their journal, a colleague in the editorial office should manage the manuscript and the editor/author should recuse himself or herself from discussion and decisions about it.

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

JMIHS is fully compliant with open access mandates, by publishing its articles under the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](#). Authors retain copyright of their work and can deposit their publication in any repository. The work can be freely read, downloaded, copied, distributed, shared and adapted provided that appropriate credit is given which also ensures maximum dissemination and a wider impact of our publications.

ARCHIVING POLICY

The LOCKSS and CLOCKSS systems are the two main platforms used by the Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences for long-term digital preservation and archiving. The content in the Global LOCKSS Network and the CLOCKSS Archive is protected by written contracts or online permission statements, is not made publicly available, and is preserved with express publisher consent. To support the creation of governance and legal terminology that are suitable for the implicated content, jurisdictions, rights, and access affordances, we collaborate closely with LOCKSS network implementers.

DESK REJECTION POLICY

1. The topic/scope of the study is not relevant to the field of the Journal.
2. There are publication ethics problems, non-adherence to international standard guidelines, and plagiarism (set at a similarity index of higher than 20 percent).
3. The topic does not have a sufficient impact, nor does it sufficiently contribute new knowledge to the field.
4. There are flaws in the study design.
5. The objective of the study is not clearly stated.
6. The study of the organization is problematic and/or certain components are missing.

7. There are problems in writing or series infelicities of in the style of grammar.
8. The manuscript does not follow the submission guidelines of the Journal.

ARTICLE WITHDRAWAL POLICY

General Policy

The Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences expects from our authors to comply with the best practice of the publication ethics as well as the quality of their articles.

Authors are requested to decide before submitting, the number of authors, and the order of authors and authorship contributions of a paper before submitting the manuscript. Ideally, these should be decided even before beginning to write the manuscript.

We sincerely suggest to authors, before you withdraw a paper, please consider that editor and reviewers have likely already invested significant (and often unpaid) time reviewing your manuscript. The further along in the paper's peer-review process, the greater the ethical concern with withdrawing a manuscript, given that a considerable amount of time has been spent on the paper by reviewers, editors, and editorial assistants.

Please let us know before withdrawing if there is anything we can do to avoid the withdrawal. We are happy to work with authors to remove any perceived barriers to publishing.

To avoid withdrawal of a manuscript we sincerely request the authors especially the corresponding author or the first author to address the following issues before submitting the manuscript.

Avoid submission in more than one journal: If you have already created a duplicate submission, please withdraw your paper from the other journal immediately and only submit it after receiving confirmation that your withdrawal request has been successfully processed.

Originality of works: Check multiple times that the facts and data presented in the manuscripts are accurate and error-free and confirm that the facts and data included in the manuscript are the authors' own work; authors are permitted by departmental and/or institutional policy to use the materials and resources as they have been used in the study; and if data and facts from any other person or sources are used, appropriate written permissions have been obtained.

Accuracy of facts and figures: Ensure presentation and interpretation of the facts and data given in the manuscript are correct. In case of any differences of opinion, address the concerns of all the authors before submitting the manuscript for publication.

Name of authors: Decide name of authors, the order of authors and authorship contributions of a paper before submitting the manuscript.

Agreed on with the submitting journal: Before submitting the manuscript for publication, inform all the authors, the name of the journal to which the manuscript is being submitted and give them a copy of the final manuscript

Submission to single journal: Submit the manuscript to only one journal for consideration of publication. Do not simultaneously submit the manuscript to more than one journal.

Manuscript withdrawal before publication

The authors can request withdrawal of their manuscript from submission to publication; however, it is not advised unless it is obligatory

1.1 Withdrawal manuscript before acceptance: Prior to the acceptance of the paper, authors can withdraw the manuscript submitting genuine reasons of withdrawal.

1.2 Pre-publication withdrawal: Withdrawal is accepted only under compelling or unavoidable conditions existed or any arise of conflicts from co-authors. Publisher has right to withdraw, if an article is imposed to violate the ethical codes specially by multiple submissions, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data without consent of original author, bogus claims of authorship.

In both cases, editorial office accepts a formal signed letter from all the authors explaining the reason for withdrawal. Request must be sent via official email of journal. Author(s), and (if more than one author, all authors) need to sign on request letter send mail by corresponding author to the editorial mailing address of the journal.

Post Publication withdrawal

JMIHS believes in protecting and retaining the articles with highest quality and expect authors to follow and abide with the publication ethics from the period of submission to final publication.

The authors associating with JMIHS should be aware with below policies:

2.1 Article Retraction: Retraction of the article involves in a post publication step, withdrawn is considered if there are any legal disputes or any copyright infringements involving a particular manuscript. The original article will be removed from online but the PDF link will be displayed with a note as retracted. Withdrawal after publication ruins massive efforts made in processing

the manuscripts by our editorial staff, so the payment made for publication shall not be refund for the withdrawn manuscript at this stage.

2.2 Article Removal: Removal of article from online database when subjected to legal limitations with publisher, copyright holder or author. Mainly recognition of inaccurate data representation, violating legal rights, scientific data tampering, health risks are posed if the article remains published.

2.3 Article Replacement: Authors if believe the article is flawed and may pose a health risk may retract the original one and replace it with a new version. In this case, the article retraction process will be followed and the retraction note will contain the new link of the new article.

Article Withdrawal Form

PLAGIARISM POLICY

Plagiarism is a dishonest act of copying another person's previous ideas, theories, methodologies, results or words without sufficiently and appropriately giving credit to the source. The editorial board of Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences thoroughly examines the submissions for their originality, validity of information included within and correct utilization of citations. The editorial board of the Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences acknowledges that plagiarism is unethical and therefore lays down the following policies describing in detail specific actions (penalties) if plagiarism is detected in a manuscript to be published in the Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences.

Policy:

Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences respects intellectual property and committed to preserving and promoting original work of its authors. Plagiarized articles are against standards of quality, research and innovation. Hence, all the authors submitting articles to journal shall maintain ethical standards and avoid plagiarism, in any probable form.

The authors must ensure that the submitted manuscript is his/her own original work, free of plagiarism, has not been published elsewhere in any form and in any language and appropriate credit has been given to main source.

It is up to the author to decide if the manuscript submitted for publication—including tables, figures, photos, illustrations, trade literature, and data—has copyright or ownership protection. For such material to be used, permission must be secured by the author and sent along with the

final submission. When use of the material is so limited, there is no requirement for notification of the publisher and editorial office at final submission time. Any acknowledgments should form part of the typescript, ideally as a section at the conclusion of the work titled "Acknowledgments." In the additional captions, recognize the source and copyright of the pictures, figures, illustrations, etc.

The Policy of Screening for Plagiarism

Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences recommends that all the articles submitted and published must be free from plagiarism as it follows global standards for the avoidance of plagiarism. The papers submitted for publication in journals are cross-checked by Ithenticate software to ensure they are free from plagiarism. Based on the software output, the editor will determine whether the manuscript will be accepted for further peer review or not. Furthermore, the technical reviewers to be assigned to the submission will verify plagiarism twice throughout the review process. Upon having established that the manuscript is plagiarized from some previously published work, the managing editor will review the manuscript and identify the degree of plagiarism under the following three types and suggest the editor in chief to take the action accordingly.

Plagiarism Offense Type I (Minor Offense):

If any portion (less than two complete or part of it) of any article is plagiarized with little data or idea, then it will be counted as having minor plagiarism offence.

Penalties: The last warning with the threats of type II offence and type III offence penalties is given to the author(s) and a request to rewrite the plagiarized sections of the manuscript properly citing the original article.

Plagiarism Offense Type II (Intermediate Offense):

If a significant portion (more than two complete or less than 25% of the total content) of any article is plagiarized without significant data or idea, then it is assumed to have intermediate plagiarism offence.

Penalties: The paper submitted is rejected and the author(s) will not resubmit the article.

Plagiarism Offense Type III (Severe Offense):

If a significant portion (more than 25% of the total content) of any article is plagiarized with significant data or idea, then it is assumed to have severe plagiarism offence.

Penalties: The paper is rejected and the author(s) is/are kept in the blacklist for 3 to 10 years of time depending on the severity of the plagiarism.

Note: To report plagiarism, readers and reviewers are also requested to inform the editor of the Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences where a plagiarized paper is published. They can also send original and plagiarized papers with plagiarized part highlighted. In such case if evidence of plagiarism is convincing, editor should arrange for a disciplinary meeting.

CORRECTIONS RETRACTIONS AND EDITORIAL EXPRESSIONS OF CONCERN

The Process for Handling Cases Requiring Corrections

The Journal of Madhesh Institute of Health Sciences follows the Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) (<http://www.icmje.org/icmje-recommendations.pdf>) and the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (<https://publicationethics.org/guidance>). Any necessary changes will be accompanied by a permanent post-publication notice which will be permanently linked to the original article. This can be in the form of a Correction notice (Corrigendum or Erratum), an Expression of Concern, a Retraction, or in rare circumstances, a Removal. The purpose of this mechanism of making changes that are permanent and transparent is to ensure the integrity of the scholarly record.

Corrections

A Correction notice will be issued when it is necessary to correct an error or omission which can impact the interpretation of the article, but where the scholarly integrity of the article remains intact. Examples include mislabeling of a figure, missing information on funding, or competing interests of the authors. The journal utilizes two types of correction notice; a Corrigendum will typically be issued for errors introduced by the authors, whereas an Erratum is typically issued for errors introduced by the publisher. The corrigendum or erratum will appear as a new article in the journal, and will cite the original published article.

Retraction

A Retraction notice will be issued where a major error (e.g., in the analysis or methods) invalidates the conclusions in the article, or where research misconduct or publication misconduct has taken place (e.g., research without required ethical approvals, fabricated data, manipulated images, plagiarism, duplicate publication, etc.). The decision to issue a retraction

for an article will be done in accordance with [COPE guidelines](#). Authors and institutions may also request the retraction of their articles if their reasons meet the criteria for retraction.

All retractions issued at the journal will ensure:

- The retraction and original article are linked in both directions.
- The retracted article is clearly identified.
- The original HTML version will remain, with both the HTML and PDF of the original article digitally watermarked 'Retracted'.
- A clear explanation giving the reason for the retraction is provided.
- The person(s), for example, the authors and/or the Editor, who requested the retraction is clearly stated.

The journal recognizes the purpose of a retraction is to correct the literature and ensure the integrity of the publication record. They are not intended as a means of punishment for authors.

Retractions will not normally be issued to resolve authorship disputes. The preferred option in this situation is to issue a corrigendum. This is provided the authors can justify the change in authorship, and this usually requires the support of their respective institutions.

To help minimize the impact of incorrect or misleading publications, all efforts will be made to issue retractions as soon as possible.

Editorial expressions of concern

In some cases, an Expression of Concern notice may be considered where concerns of a major nature have been raised (e.g., serious research or publication misconduct), but where the outcome of the investigation is inconclusive or where due to various complexities the investigation will not be complete for a considerable time. When the investigation has been completed a Retraction or Correction notice may follow the Expression of Concern, and alongside the original article, all will remain part of the permanent published record.

A Removal notice will be issued in very rare circumstances where the problems cannot be addressed by a Retraction or Correction notice. Examples include where the content in the article is defamatory or infringes on other legal rights or is subject to a court order. In the rare case of an article being removed from the journal Online, a removal notice will be issued in its place.

COPYRIGHT POLICY

Authors of articles published in JMIHS retain copyright on their articles with the work simultaneously licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](#) that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal. Authors are therefore free to disseminate and re-publish their articles, subject to any requirements of third-party copyright owners and subject to the original publication being fully cited.