

# Quotient ring of $R$ modulo $I$

Question.....

$$R/I = \{r+I \mid r \in R\}$$

## Proposition

Let  $R$  be a (possibly nonunital) ring and let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Then the set

$$R/I = \{r+I \mid r \in R\}$$

forms a (possibly nonunital) quotient ring, with addition and multiplication operations

$$(r+I) + (s+I) = (r+s)+I$$

$$(r+I) \cdot (s+I) = (r \cdot s)+I$$

for any  $r, s \in R$ .

Furthermore, if  $R$  is unital, so is  $R/I$ , and if  $R$  is commutative,  $R/I$  is as well.

## Proof : Exercise

### Example 1 @

Let  $f_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  be the ring homomorphism given by

Then the kernel  $K = \ker(f_n)$  is an

Question.....

ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and we can therefore form the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/K$ .

$$K = \text{ker}(f_n) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid f_n(x) = [0]_n\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [x]_n = [0]_n\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x = kn \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$= \{kn \mid \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$= \langle n \rangle$$

$$= n\mathbb{Z}$$

The Kernel  $K$  consists of all integers congruent to 0 modulo  $n$ ; that is all integers of the form  $kn$ .

A coset  $m+K$  therefore consists of integers of the form  $m+kn$ ; that is, exactly those integers that are congruent to  $m$  modulo  $n$ . There are thus  $n$  of these, namely

$$K = 0 + K,$$

$$1 + K,$$

...

$$(n-1) + K.$$

The quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/K = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  therefore consists of these  $n$  cosets.

Question.....

Its additive structure is exactly addition modulo  $n$ , and its multiplicative structure is multiplication modulo  $n$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Observe that  $f_n$  is a surjective homomorphism so that  $\text{im}(f_n) = \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

The example above shows that

$$\mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker}(f_n) \cong \text{im}(f_n),$$

which is a ring-theoretic example of the First Isomorphism Theorem for groups.

### Example 1(b)

$$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} = \{0+4\mathbb{Z}, 1+4\mathbb{Z}, 2+4\mathbb{Z}, 3+4\mathbb{Z}\}$$

To see how to add and multiply, consider  $2+4\mathbb{Z}$  and  $3+4\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$(2+4\mathbb{Z}) + (3+4\mathbb{Z}) = 5+4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$= 1+4+4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$= 1+4\mathbb{Z},$$

$$(2+4\mathbb{Z})(3+4\mathbb{Z}) = 6+4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$= 2+4+4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$= 2+4\mathbb{Z}$$

Question.....

One can readily see that the two operations are essentially modulo 4 arithmetic.

Example 1 (C)

$$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = \{0+6\mathbb{Z}, 2+6\mathbb{Z}, 4+6\mathbb{Z}\}$$

Addition in  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}(4+6\mathbb{Z}) + (4+6\mathbb{Z}) &= 8+6\mathbb{Z} \\ &= 2+6+6\mathbb{Z} \\ &= 2+6\mathbb{Z}\end{aligned}$$

Multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}(4+6\mathbb{Z})(4+6\mathbb{Z}) &= 16+6\mathbb{Z} \\ &= 4+6+6+6\mathbb{Z} \\ &= 4+6\mathbb{Z}\end{aligned}$$

Here, the operations are essentially modulo 6 arithmetic.

Example 2 Consider the ring homomorphisms  
 $f: \mathbb{Z}_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $g: \mathbb{Z}_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$   
such that given by

$$f(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, 2, 4 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 1, 3, 5 \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 1, 4 \\ 2 & \text{if } k = 2, 5 \end{cases}$$

Question.....

$$K_1 = \text{ker}(f) = \{0, 2, 4\}$$

and

$$K_2 = \text{ker}(g) = \{0, 3\}$$

The cosets of  $K_1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  are

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= 0 + K_1 = 2 + K_1 = 4 + K_1 = \{0, 2, 4\} \\ \text{and } 1 + K_1 &= 3 + K_1 = 5 + K_1 = \{1, 3, 5\} \end{aligned}$$

The quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6/K_1$  thus has 2 elements and must therefore be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . By examining the addition and multiplication of these cosets, we find that this is indeed the case.

+	$K_1$	$1+K_1$	$\cdot$	$K_1$	$1+K_1$
$K_1$	$K_1$	$1+K_1$	$K_1$	$K_1$	$1+K_1$
$1+K_1$	$1+K_1$	$K_1$	$1+K_1$	$1+K_1$	$K_1$

The cosets of  $K_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  are

$$K_2 = 0 + K_2 = 3 + K_2 = \{0, 3\},$$

$$1 + K_2 = 4 + K_2 = \{1, 4\},$$

$$\text{and } 2 + K_2 = 5 + K_2 = \{2, 5\}$$

Question.....

There are 3 of these, and hence the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6/K_2$  has 3 elements and must be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ; examination of the additive and multiplicative structure confirms this.

$+$	$K_2$	$1+K_2$	$2+K_2$
$K_2$			
$1+K_2$			
$2+K_2$			

$\cdot$	$K_2$	$1+K_2$	$2+K_2$
$K_2$			
$1+K_2$			
$2+K_2$			

Example 3

$$\text{Let } R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

and let  $I$  be the subset of  $R$  consisting of matrices with even entries.

It is easy to show that  $I$  is indeed an ideal of  $R$  (Exercise).

Consider the factor ring  $R/I$ .  
What is its size?

We claim  $R/I$  has 16 elements;  
in fact,

$$R/I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 \\ r_3 & r_4 \end{pmatrix} + I \mid r_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$

An example illustrates the typical situation.

Which of the 16 elements is  $\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 \\ 5 & -3 \end{pmatrix} + I$ ?

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 8 \\ 5 & -3 \end{pmatrix} + I &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 8 \\ 4 & -4 \end{pmatrix} + I \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + I \end{aligned}$$

Since an ideal absorbs its own elements.

Example 4

Let  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  denote the ring of polynomials in  $x$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\langle 2 \rangle$  be the principal ideal generated by 2; this consists of all polynomials with even integer coefficients. The cosets of  $\langle 2 \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  are all of the form  $p + \langle 2 \rangle$ , where  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ , with  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Hence the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle 2 \rangle$  consists of the cosets determined by finite-degree polynomials in  $x$  with coefficients all equal to either 0 or 1.

By considering the additive and multiplicative structure of these cosets, it turns out that

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[x],$$

the ring of  
polynomials in  $x$   
over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

In this example, factoring by the ideal  $\langle 2 \rangle$  has the effect of setting 2 equal to 0, but leaving  $x$  and its powers unchanged. This results in a change of coefficient ring from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Question.....

### Example 5

We can factor the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  by more complicated ideals.

In particular,  $I = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$  consists of all polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}$  which have  $(x^2 + 1)$  as a factor.

In the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ , two polynomials are equivalent if they differ by a multiple of  $x^2 + 1$ .

Also, since  $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0$ , we have  $x^2 \equiv -1$ . This means that any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  maps in the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$  to the corresponding polynomial with every  $x^2$  replaced by  $-1$ . For example,  $x^6 + 3x^5 + 2x^2 - 1$  maps to  $(-1)^3 + 3x(-1)^2 + 2(-1) - 1 = 3x - 4$ .

The cosets in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$  are thus all of the form

$$(a + bx) + I,$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Their additive structure:

$$(a + bx) + I + (c + dx) + I = ((a+c) + (b+d)x) + I$$

for any  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Question.....

The multiplicative structure is interesting and somewhat familiar:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((a+bx)+I) \cdot ((c+dx)+I) \\
 &= (a+bx) \cdot (c+dx) + I \\
 &= (ac + (ad+bc)x + bdx^2) + I \\
 &= ((ac-bd) + (ad+bc)x) + I
 \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2+1 \rangle$  is isomorphic to the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  of Gaussian integers, via the isomorphism

$$(a+bx)+I \mapsto a+bi$$

### Example 6

Consider the quotient ring of the Gaussian integers  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle 2-i \rangle$ .

What does this ring look like?

The elements of  $R$  are of the form  $a+bi + \langle 2-i \rangle$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

What do the distinct cosets look like?

The fact that

$$2-i + \langle 2-i \rangle = 0 + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

Question.....

means that, when dealing with coset representatives, we may treat

$2-i$  as equivalent to  $0$ ,  
so that  $2 = i$ .

For example,

$$\text{the coset } 3 + 4i + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

$$= 3 + 4(2) + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

$$= 3 + 8 + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

$$= 11 + \langle 2-i \rangle.$$

Similarly, all the elements of  $R$  can be written in the form

$$a + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We can further reduce the set of distinct coset representatives by observing that when dealing with coset representatives,  $2 = i$  implies that  $4 = -1$  or  $5 = 0$ .

Thus, the coset  $3 + 4i + \langle 2-i \rangle$

$$= 11 + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

$$= 1 + 5 + 5 + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

$$= 1 + \langle 2-i \rangle$$

In this way, we can show that every element of  $R$  is equal to one of the following cosets:

$$0 + \langle 2-i \rangle, 1 + \langle 2-i \rangle, 2 + \langle 2-i \rangle,$$

$$3 + \langle 2-i \rangle, 4 + \langle 2-i \rangle.$$

Question.....

● Is any further reduction possible?

To demonstrate that there is not, we will show that these 5 cosets are distinct.

It suffices to show that

$1 + \langle 2 - i \rangle$  has additive order 5.

$$\text{Since } 5(1 + \langle 2 - i \rangle) = 5 + \langle 2 - i \rangle \\ = 0 + \langle 2 - i \rangle,$$

$1 + \langle 2 - i \rangle$  has order 1 or 5.

If the order is actually 1, then

$$1 + \langle 2 - i \rangle = 0 + \langle 2 - i \rangle,$$

so  $1 \in \langle 2 - i \rangle$ .

$$\text{Thus } 1 = (2 - i)(a + bi)$$

$$= 2a + b + (-a + 2b)i$$

for some integers  $a$  and  $b$ .

But this equation implies that

$$1 = 2a + b$$

and

$$0 = -a + 2b,$$

and solving these simultaneously,

$$b = 1/5,$$

which is a contradiction.

It should be clear that the ring  $R$  is essentially the same as the field  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ .

**Question.....**

## Question

How many elements are there in the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i] / \langle 3+i \rangle$ ?

Justify your answer. ( $i, \sqrt{5}, > 1$ )

Question.....

# ISOMORPHISM THEOREMS

## FOR RINGS

### Theorem (First Isomorphism Theorem)

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a (possibly nonunital) ring homomorphism, and let  $K = \ker(f)$ . Then the function

$$\phi: R/K \longrightarrow \text{im}(f)$$

given by

$$\phi(r+K) = f(r)$$

is an isomorphism.

That is,

$$R/\ker(f) \cong \text{im}(f).$$

#### Proof

We need to show that  $\phi$  is a well-defined function, a ring homomorphism, and a bijection.

Suppose that  $a, b \in R$  such that

$$a+K = b+K$$

Then  $(a-b)$  must lie in the kernel  $K$ , and hence  $f(a-b)$  lies in the image  $f(K) = \{0\}$ .

Question.....

Hence  $f(a+b) = 0$ ,

and since  $f$  is a ring homomorphism,

$$0 = f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b),$$

therefore

$$f(a) = f(b)$$

So if  $a+K = b+K$  (it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(a+K) &= f(a) \\ &= f(b) \\ &= \phi(b+K),\end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\phi$  is well-defined.

Next we have to check that  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism.

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi((a+K)+(b+K)) &= \phi((a+b)+K) \\ &= f(a+b) \\ &= f(a)+f(b) \\ &= \phi(a+K)+\phi(b+K)\end{aligned}$$

and secondly,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi((a+K) \cdot (b+K)) &= \phi((a \cdot b)+K) \\ &= f(a \cdot b) \\ &= f(a)f(b) \\ &= \phi(a+K) \cdot \phi(b+K)\end{aligned}$$

Question.....

Every element of  $\text{im}(f)$  is of the form  $\phi(a+K)$  for some  $(a+K) \in R/K$  coset  $(a+K) \in R/K$ , so  $\phi$  is surjective.

To see that  $\phi$  is injective, suppose that  $\phi(a+K) = \phi(b+K)$  for some  $a, b \in R$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(a+K) - \phi(b+K) \\ &= f(a) - f(b) \\ &= f(a-b) \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $(a-b) \in \ker(f)$ , which means that

$$a+K = b+K$$

and therefore  $\phi$  is injective.

Thus  $\phi: R/K \rightarrow \text{im}(f)$  is a well-defined ring isomorphism.

There are ring-theoretic versions of the Second and Third Isomorphism Theorems.

First we need some preliminary facts about ideals and subrings.

Question.....

Here, and in what follows, for some subring  $S$  and ideal  $I$  in a ring  $R$ , we set

$$S+I = \{ s+i \mid s \in S, i \in I \}$$

### Proposition

Let  $R$  be a (unital or nonunital) ring, let  $S$  be a (possibly nonunital) subring of  $R$ , and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then

- i)  $S+I$  is a (possibly nonunital) subring of  $R$ ;
- ii)  $S \cap I$  is an ideal of  $S$ , and
- iii)  $I$  is an ideal of  $S+I$ .

Question.....

Theorem (Second Isomorphism Theorem)

Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then

$$(R+I)/I \cong R/(R \cap I)$$

Proof

$$f: R \rightarrow (R+I)/I$$

$$r \mapsto r+I$$

ring homo?  $\checkmark$ 

$$\text{Ker}(f) = R \cap I$$

Theorem (Third Isomorphism Theorem)

Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $R$  such that  $I \subseteq J$ . Then

$$(R/J)/(I/J) \cong R/I$$

Proof

$$f: R/J \rightarrow R/I$$

$$a+J \mapsto a+I$$

• well-defined

• ring homo

$$\text{Ker } f = I/J$$

$$\text{im } f = \{a+I \mid a \in R\} = R/I$$