

$x_n \rightarrow x$ in $C \Rightarrow f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$

Date _____

Page _____

Not continuous if it is not continuous

at x if $\exists E, \text{ s.t. } \forall \delta > 0$, we have for $\forall \epsilon \in C$ $|y-x| < \delta$ and $|f(y) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon$

Ch1 Continuity and existence of optima.

Norm on R^d a map $x \in R^d \mapsto \|x\| \in [0, \infty)$ is said to be a norm ifi) $\|x\| \geq 0$ & $x \in R^d$ and $= 0$ iff $x = 0 :=$ vector of all zerosii) $\|cx\| = |c|\|x\|$ for $c \in R$, $x \in R^d$ andiii) A inequality holds i.e. for $x, y \in R^d$ $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$

$B_r(x) := \{y : \|y-x\| < r\}$

If for every $x \in A \subset R^d$, $B_r(x) \subset A$ for some $r > 0$ depending on x that canIf so, we say that A is an open set.For any open set $A \subset R^d$ such that $x^* \in A$, $\exists n_0 \geq 1$ such that $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow x_n \in A$

$B_r(x) \quad r=0$ whole space $r=0$ empty set.

Continuous Function a fⁿ $f: C \subset R^d \rightarrow R^m$ is continuousat $x \in C$ if given any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $y \in C$, $|y-x| < \delta \Rightarrow \|f(x) - f(y)\| < \epsilon$

It is a continuous f if it is continuous at all x in C.

An equivalent definition 1

 $f^{-1}(A) := \{x \in C : f(x) \in A\}$ is relatively open in Cfor any open set $A \subset R^m$.Uniform Continuity a fⁿ $f: C \subset R^d \rightarrow R$ isuniformly continuous if for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $\delta > 0$ s.t. if $x, y \in C$, $|x-y| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ Here δ is now independent of x.For $A \subset R$

$l.u.b.(A) := \min\{z : z \geq x \quad \forall x \in A\}$ supremum of A

$g.l.b.(A) := \max\{z : z \leq x \quad \forall x \in A\}$ infimum of A

If \exists an $x^* \in C$ with $f(x^*) = \inf_C f$ we call theinfimum as the minimum & x^* as a minimizer of fin C. The set of such minimizers will be denoted by $\arg\min_C f$.A sequence x_n is monotone if either $x_n \leq x_{n+1} \quad \forall n$ or $x_n \geq x_{n+1} \quad \forall n$

Two properties of open sets

i) Finite intersection of open sets are open

ii) Arbitrary unions of open sets is open.

Suppose $\|\cdot\|'$ and $\|\cdot\|''$ on R^d lead to the same family of open sets. Then they are said to be compatible or equivalent. In this case $\exists b, c > 0$ s.t. $b\|x\|' \leq \|x\|'' \leq c\|x\|'$

Denseness

Set A is dense in R^d if any open set in R^d contains an element of A. More generally, a set A is dense in a set B if $A \subset B$ and for any $x \in B$ & any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $y \in A$ s.t. $|x-y| < \epsilon$. Equivalently, for any $x \in B \setminus A$, \exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ s.t. $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Closed Set

Set B is closed if, whenever a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset B$ satisfies $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ (say), then $x^* \in B$. B closed if B open for any set $D \subset R^d$ Say that a set A is bounded if $\exists K > 0$ $K < \infty$ such that $\|x\| \leq K \quad \forall x \in A$

Monotone bounded sequences in R converge.

Interior int(D)

largest open set contained in D / union of open sets contained in D.

Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem Every bounded

Closure \bar{D}

intersection of all closed smallest closed set containing D / sets containing D.

sequence in R^d has a convergent subsequence.int(D) $\subseteq D \subseteq \bar{D}$, int(D) can be \emptyset even if $D \neq \emptyset$ Limit point x^* is a limit point of $\{x_n\}$ if \exists a

$\partial D := \bar{D} \setminus \text{int}(D) (= \bar{D} \cap (\text{int} D)^c)$

subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ s.t. $x_{n(k)} \rightarrow x^*$ ∂D is always a closed set, a closed set contains its boundary & an open set is disjoint from its boundary.Sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to set A if $\inf_{y \in A} \|x_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

"Relative"

For $C \subset R^d$, $A \subset C$ is relatively open in C if it is the intersection of C with an open set in R^d .Cauchy Sequence $\{x_n\} \subset R^d$ is Cauchy if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n\| = 0$

Hyperplane

$H \text{ in } R^d \text{ i.e. set of type } \{x \in R^d : \langle x - x_0, \vec{n} \rangle = 0\}$

Theorem 1.2 If $\{x_n\} \subset R^d$ is Cauchy, then $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ for some x^*

- Weierstrass Theorem If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is closed & bounded, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then f attains its maximum & minimum on A i.e. $\exists x, x' \in A$ satisfying $f(x) \leq f(y) \leq f(x') + \epsilon \forall y \in A$
- Theorem 1.4 Suppose $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is closed & $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$. Then f attains its minimum on C .
- Exercise F $f: \lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$
- $$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{m \geq n} x_m = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{m \geq n} x_m$$
- $$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n} x_m = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \geq n} x_m$$
- $\inf_{m \geq n} x_m$ is monotone increasing in n .
- If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$, then $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$
- In general $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$
- Lower Semicontinuous $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is l.s.c. if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \geq f(x)$ whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$ in \mathbb{R}^d .
- Semi-Upper Semicontinuous $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is u.s.c. if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \leq f(x)$ whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$ in \mathbb{R}^d .
- A f that is both l.s.c. & u.s.c. will be continuous.
- Theorem 1.5 A lower semicontinuous function on a closed bounded set C attains its minimum. An upper semicontinuous f on a closed bounded set C attains its minimum.
- Theorem 1.6 If f is a pointwise supremum of continuous (more generally l.s.c.) functions, it is l.s.c. Likewise, if it is the pointwise infimum of continuous (more generally u.s.c.) functions, it is u.s.c.
- Theorem 1.7 A lower semicontinuous f on a closed set C satisfying $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ attains its minimum.
- Pointwise & Uniform Convergence Given $f_n, f: C \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) \quad \forall x \in C$, and uniformly if $\sup_{x \in C} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$
- Theorem 1.8 If continuous functions $f_n: C \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1$ converge uniformly to an $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then f is continuous.
- Theorem 1.9 Any continuous $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is approximated uniformly on any closed bounded set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ by polynomials. If it is k times continuously differentiable (i.e. diff k times with continuous derivatives upto k^{th} order), both the function & its derivatives upto order k or less can be approximated uniformly by a polynomial & its corresponding derivatives. (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem)
- Special case of Urysohn's Lemma: Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be disjoint closed sets and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $b > a$.
- Lemma 1.1 There exists a continuous $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [a, b]$ s.t. $f(x) = a$ for $x \in A$ and $f(x) = b$ for $x \in B$.
- Theorem 1.10 (Tietze Extension Theorem) Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be closed and $f: C \rightarrow [a, b], b > a$, be continuous. Then f extends continuously to a function $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [a, b]$.
- Theorem 1.11 (Banach Contraction Mapping Theorem) If $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, then f has a unique fixed point x^* and the iteration $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ with any choice of $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ converges to x^* .
- Theorem 1.12 Arzela-Ascoli Theorem Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be closed & bounded. If a family \mathcal{A} of maps $C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is equicontinuous & satisfies $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} f(x_0) < \infty$ for some $x_0 \in C$, then every sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ has a further subsequence that converges uniformly to some continuous $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The converse also holds.

Ch2 Differentiability and local optima

Gâteaux Derivative

If the limit $f'(x; h) := \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ h}} \frac{f(x+\epsilon h) - f(x)}{\epsilon}$ exists Let f be either Gâteaux or Fréchet differentiable &

Let x^* be a local minimum. Then $Dx^* f(h)$, resp. $\nabla f(x^*) = \theta$

it is called Gâteaux derivative along line defined by h . ($\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in the former case)

$$\text{Equivalently } f(x+\epsilon h) = f(x) + f'(x; h) \epsilon + o_h(\epsilon)$$

where $\frac{o_h(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, but at a rate that can depend on h .

The converse does not hold: a point of zero gradient,

also called Critical Point, can correspond to a local maximum,

a saddle point (a pt at which for some lines passing

through it, the f attains its local maximum while

along other lines passing through it, attains local minimum),

a point of inflection (point on curve where derivative of its slope changes sign).

Say $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is Fréchet differentiable if \exists a linear map

$Df: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (called Fréchet derivative) such that

$$\sup_{\|h\|=1} \left\| \frac{f(x+\epsilon h) - f(x)}{\epsilon} - D_x f(h) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(x+\epsilon h) = f(x) + \epsilon D_x f(h) + o(\epsilon).$$

Difference with Gâteaux differentiability is that $\frac{f(x+\epsilon h) - f(x)}{\epsilon}$ converges uniformly in h , $\|h\|=1$.

Fréchet Differentiability \Rightarrow Gâteaux differentiability.

If $m=1$, $D_x f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear $D_x f(y) = \langle \nabla f(y), y \rangle$

For $m \geq 2$, and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ $D_x f(y) = A_x y + g(x)$ is

a linear map for each fixed x for some $m \times d$ matrix A_x

(i, j)th element of A_x is $\partial f_i(x)/\partial x_j$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq d$

A_x = Jacobian Matrix of f ($D_x f$)

(Symmetric)

$\mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ Jacobian of this map is called Hessian $\nabla^2 f(x)$

First order & second order Taylor Formulas

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \langle \nabla f(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + o(\|x - x_0\|)$$

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \langle \nabla f(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^T \nabla^2 f(x_0)(x - x_0) + o(\|x - x_0\|^2)$$

If the first (resp. the first two) derivative(s) exist at all

points in domain of interest & are continuous, the f is said to be Furthermore (i) $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ and $\mu_r \geq 0$ &

continuously (twice continuously) differentiable.

Let k, s be non-negative integers and let $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for some open set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

$f_j: g_1, \dots, g_k; h_1, \dots, h_s: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous & continuously differentiable.

Theorem 2.3 Let $x_0 \in C$ satisfy the equality & inequality

constraints: $g_i(x) = 0, h_r(x) \leq 0$ & i, r . for $x = x_0$ and

$f(x_0) \leq f(x) \quad \forall x \in C$. Then \exists scalars $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ & μ_1, \dots, μ_s

$$\text{s.t. } \lambda_0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

ii) Complementary Slackness Conditions

$$h_r(x_0) < 0 \Rightarrow \mu_r = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq s;$$

iii) if $\nabla_i g_i(x_0), 1 \leq i \leq k$, and those $\nabla_r h_i(x_0), 1 \leq r \leq s$,

for which $h_r(x_0) = 0$ are linearly independent, then

$$\lambda_0 = 1 \text{ w.l.o.g.}$$

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_0^1 \langle \nabla f((1-t)x_0 + tx), x - x_0 \rangle dt$$

$$= f(x_0) + \langle \nabla f(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (x - x_0)^T \nabla^2 f((1-t)x_0 + tx)(x - x_0) dt.$$

Theorem 2.6 Ekeland Variational Principle.

The $f^n: C \times D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, where $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open and

$D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is closed bounded, is continuous and its

partial gradient wrt x along $\vec{\nabla} f(x) := \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x, y), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d}(x, y) \right]$

is assumed to be continuous. Let $g(x) = \max_{y \in D} f(x, y)$

Theorem 2.4 Danskin

The map $g: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ has a directional derivative in

every direction, given by

$$g'(x; \vec{n}) = \max_{y \in M(x)} \langle \vec{\nabla} f(x, y), \vec{n} \rangle$$

for every unit vector $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

2.5 Parametric monotonicity of optimizers.

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge y &:= \text{componentwise min of } x \text{ \& } y \\ x \vee y &:= \text{componentwise max of } x \text{ \& } y. \end{aligned}$$

Submodular

$$f(x) + f(y) \geq f(x \wedge y) + f(x \vee y)$$

Function

Supermodular if inequality reversed.

$f: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfies increasing (decreasing)

differences property if $x \geq x', y \geq y' \Rightarrow$

$$f(x, y) - f(x', y) \geq (\leq) f(x, y') - f(x', y')$$

Lemma 2.1 If $f: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is submodular (supermodular)

then it has decreasing (increasing) differences.

C : closed & bounded, $C \& D$ closed under \wedge, \vee . $M(y) := \{x \in C : f(x, y) = \inf_x f(x, y)\}$

Theorem 2.5 Let f be continuous. If f satisfies decreasing

differences and $f(\cdot, y)$ is submodular for each

$y \in D$, then

i) $\forall y, M(y)$ is nonempty closed & bounded

ii) $M(y)$ satisfies: $x, x' \in M(y) \Rightarrow x \wedge x', x \vee x' \in M(y)$

iii) $\forall y, M(y)$ contains a minimal element $x_*(y)$, resp. a maximal $x^*(y)$ {i.e. $\exists x \in M(y)$ st $x \neq x_*(y)$ & $x \leq x_*(y)$ }

iv) $y \mapsto x_*(y)$ is non-increasing,

v) If f satisfies strict decreasing differences, then

$$y \geq y', y \neq y' \Rightarrow \exists x \in M(y), x' \in M(y'), x \geq x'$$

Let $f: C \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, C open, be lower semicontinuous and bounded from below, and $E > 0$. Let $x^* \in C$ satisfy $f(x^*) \leq \inf_{x \in C} f(x) + E$

Then for any $\lambda > 0$, $\exists x_\lambda \in C$ st.

$$a) f(x_\lambda) \leq f(x^*). \quad b) \|x_\lambda - x^*\| \leq \lambda$$

$$c) f(x_\lambda) < f(x) + \frac{E}{\lambda} \|x - x_\lambda\|, \quad \forall x \neq x_\lambda.$$

Theorem 2.7 The Mountain Pass Theorem

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable f^n satisfying $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$, with at least two

strict local minima x_1, x_2 . Then it also has a third critical point x^* characterized by

$$f(x^*) = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{L}} \max_{x \in C} f(x).$$

where $\mathcal{L} := \{C \subset \mathbb{R}^d : C \text{ is closed, bounded and connected, and } x_1, x_2 \in C\}$.

Ch3 Convex Sets

Introduction: A convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a set such that any line segment joining two distinct points in C lies entirely in C .

Equivalently for any $n \geq 2$, $x_i \in C$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$, Theorem 3.3

$1 \leq i \leq n$, with $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, we have $\sum \alpha_i x_i \in C$.

Let C, D be disjoint closed convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d

x is strict convex combination if $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$ &

$$\text{If } C \text{ is closed, a simpler requirement suffices: } 0 < \|x^* - y^*\| = \min_{x \in C, y \in D} \|x - y\|$$

C is convex if $x, y \in C \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(x+y) \in C$.

No uniqueness can be claimed.

Simple properties of convex sets

Theorem 3.4

P1 A convex set is connected i.e. it cannot be written as the union of two sets that have disjoint neighborhoods. For a closed convex $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \notin C$, the point $x^* := \arg \min_{y \in C} \|x - y\|$ is characterized by

P2 Intersection of an arbitrary collection of convex sets, $\bigcap_{y \in C} \langle y - x^*, x - x^* \rangle \leq 0$

is convex when nonempty.

P3 Union of two convex sets need not be convex.

Separation Theorems

P4 Interior and closure of a convex set are convex

Consider hyperplane $H := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x - x_0, \vec{n} \rangle = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d

P5 Image of a convex set under a linear (affine) transformation. H defines two closed half-spaces $L_H := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x - x_0, \vec{n} \rangle \leq 0\}$ and $U_H := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x - x_0, \vec{n} \rangle \geq 0\}$ that intersect in H . The image is convex.

Theorem 3.1 Let $d \geq 2$, and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuous injective function such that f maps convex sets to sets.

i) If C is closed convex and $x \notin C$, then \exists a hyperplane H separating the two.

Then f is affine.

separating the two.

Convex Hull $\text{co}(A)$ smallest convex set containing arbitrary $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, ii) If C, D are disjoint closed convex sets, there exists a hyperplane separating the two.

alternatively as set of convex combinations of points in A .

a hyperplane separating the two.

Closed Convex Hull $\bar{\text{co}}(A)$: smallest closed convex set containing A / Corollary 3.1 intersection of closed convex sets containing / closure of its convex hull. The minimum distance between C and D equals the maximum separation between pairs of parallel

The minimum distance problem.

hyperplanes separating the two.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed convex set C & let $x \notin C$.

Theorem 3.6

There is a unique $x^* \in C$, such that

If C is closed convex & $x^* \in \partial C$, then \exists a hyperplane

$$\|x - x^*\| = \min_{y \in C} \|x - y\|$$

H passing through x^* such that $C \subset L_H$ and

If C is a set such that this theorem holds true, $\text{int}(C) \subset \text{int}(L_H)$

then the set C is called a Chebyshev set. Every

such a hyperplane is said to be a support hyperplane

Chebyshev set is convex in finite dimensions.

of C at x^*

Theorem 3.7 Thm: Let C, D be closed convex sets such that Q3. If $x \in C$ is not an extreme point and $\text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$, then $D \cap \text{int}(C) = \emptyset$ and $D \cap C \neq \emptyset$.
 $y, z \in C$ are such that $x = \alpha y + (1-\alpha)z$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $y, z \in \partial C$.
 $(\Rightarrow \partial C = \partial C \cap D)$

There exists a hyperplane H such that $C \subset L_H$ and Q4. An extreme point may have a unique supporting hyperplane that contains other, non-extreme points.

The condition that one of the two convex sets have a non-empty interior cannot be relaxed.

$e(C) = \text{the set of extreme points of } C$.

Extreme Points

A point $x \in C$ (C convex set) $\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be its extreme point if it cannot be expressed as a strict convex combination of two distinct points in C .

That is $x = \alpha y + (1-\alpha)z$, $y, z \in C$ $\alpha \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow x = y = z$.

Clearly $x \in \partial C$

Theorem 3.10 (Krein-Milman theorem in finite dimension)

A closed bounded convex set C is the closed convex hull of $e(C)$.

Theorem 3.11

The set of extreme points of a closed bounded convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ can be written as a countable intersection of relatively open sets in C .

Lemma 3.1

A closed bounded convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has at least one extreme point

Lemma 3.2

Theorem 3.8 A closed and convex set has an extreme point iff it contains no lines.

Let H be supporting hyperplane of C at \bar{x} . Then, $H \cap C$ lies in $(d-1)$ space & has no lines.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed bounded convex set and H a support hyperplane of C such that $C \subset L_H$ (say). Then $G := C \cap H$ is closed bounded and convex, and $e(G) \subset e(C)$.

Consider $K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \leq b\}$ $A m \times d$, $b m \times 1$.

Theorem 3.12 (Carathéodory's Theorem)

Theorem 3.9 Let $x \in K$. Then x is an extreme point of K iff some d inequalities corresponding to d linearly independent rows of the system $Ax \leq b$ are equalities i.e. $\langle a_i, x \rangle = b_i$ for i corresponding to those linearly independent rows.

Every $x \in$ a closed bounded convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ can be written as a convex combination of m points in $e(C)$ for some $m \leq d+1$.

If C is bounded and $e(C)$ is finite, C is said to be a convex polytope & pts in $e(C)$ its 'corners'.

Define $e_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$ $1 \leq i \leq m-1$; then at most d vectors

$\{e_i\}$ can be linearly independent if $m \geq d+1$ they are not.

Q1 Every boundary point may not be an extreme point (pts on boundary of rectangle other than its corners are not extreme points.)

Then for each $x \in C$, $x - x_1$ can be written as

Q2 A convex set may not have an extreme point if it is convex combination of e_i in more than one way. not closed (e.g. open ball in \mathbb{R}^d)

If $\{e_i\}$ are linearly independent, these

Corollary 3.2 Set of extreme points of K is finite.

Simple facts about extreme points

representations are unique. In such a case

C is said to be a simplex. A simplex in \mathbb{R}^d

with non-empty interior is said to be a d -dimensional

simplex or simply d -simplex.

Let S be a d -simplex with $e(C) = \{i_1, \dots, i_{d+1}\}$. Then each $x \in \text{co}(S)$ can be written as

S1. For any m with $1 \leq m \leq d$, and distinct i_1, \dots, i_m in

$$\{1, \dots, d+1\}, \text{co}(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}) = \bar{\text{co}}(\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}) \subset S$$

and is an $(m-1)$ -simplex. These are called

$(m-1)$ -dimensional faces of S .

S2. ∂S is the union of $d+1$ distinct $(d-1)$ -simplices

whose intersections are $d-2$ simplices in their

respective boundaries.

S3. Intersection of S with a hyperplane H not

intersecting its interior is one of its faces.

If H intersects $\text{int}(S)$, then $S \cap H$ is a polytope

whose relative interior is $\text{int}(S) \cap H$.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be closed, bounded and convex

let $H_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle r_i, x \rangle \leq c_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$,

be closed half spaces in \mathbb{R}^d for some m , $1 \leq m \leq d$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuously differentiable with

Let $H := \bigcap_{i=1}^m H_i$ and $C^* := C \cap H$. Then C^* is also

closed bounded and convex. $\tilde{H}_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle r_i, x \rangle = c_i\}$, Corollary 3.3

$$1 \leq i \leq m, \quad \tilde{H} := \partial C^* \cap \left(\bigcup_i \tilde{H}_i \right)$$

Theorem 3.14 Shapley-Folkman

Let $S_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$S := \text{the Minkowski sum } \sum_{i=1}^n S_i = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i : x_i \in S_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$$

$x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ where $x_i \in \text{co}(S_i) \setminus i$ and

$x_i \in S_i$ for at least $n-d$ indices i .

Lemma 3.3 (Radon)

Let $A := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ where $m \geq d+2$.

Then $\exists A_1, A_2 \subset A$ such that $A = A_1 \cup A_2, A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$

and $\text{co}(A_1) \cap \text{co}(A_2) \neq \emptyset$

Theorem 3.15 (Helly)

Let $C_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, be convex, with $m \geq d+1$.

If $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i \neq \emptyset$ for any $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with

$|I| = d+1$, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i \neq \emptyset$

Brouwer fixed point theorem.

Take $B :=$ the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d centred at

origin, though proofs extend easily to closed bounded convex sets.

Lemma 3.4

be closed half spaces in \mathbb{R}^d for some m , $1 \leq m \leq d$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuously differentiable with

Let $H := \bigcap_{i=1}^m H_i$ and $C^* := C \cap H$. Then C^* is also

$\phi(x) = x$ when $\|x\| \geq 1$. Then ϕ is onto.

closed bounded and convex. $\tilde{H}_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle r_i, x \rangle = c_i\}$, Corollary 3.3

$\tilde{H} := \partial C^* \cap \left(\bigcup_i \tilde{H}_i \right)$

If $\phi: B \rightarrow B$ is continuous with $\phi(x) = x$ on ∂B ,

then $\forall y \in B$, $y = \phi(x)$ for some $x \in B$

Theorem 3.13

Every $x \in C^*$ can be written as a convex

combination of at most $m+1$ elements of $e(C)$.

Corollary 3.4 (No retract theorem)
There is no continuous map $\phi: B \rightarrow \partial B$ for which $\phi(x) = x$ for $x \in \partial B$

Theorem 3.16 (Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem)

Any continuous function $\phi: B \rightarrow B$ has a fixed point.

Ch4 Convex Functions

Basic Properties

A $f^n: C \rightarrow R$ for a convex set $C \subset R^d$ is said to be convex if for any $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and $x, y \in C$, we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y)$$

Let $f: C \rightarrow R$ be convex for a convex $C \subset R^d$ with nonempty interior.

Theorem 4.1

f is continuous at any $x_0 \in \text{int}(C)$

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i) \quad \text{where } \sum_i \lambda_i = 1$$

In case $f: C \rightarrow R$ is continuous, $\text{epi}(f)$ is closed.

Equivalent Defn

If the inequalities above are strict when x_i distinct

The converse is not true.

When we talk about multiple convex f^n , we talk on which is convex

the intersection of their domains, when nonempty.

C1 Positive linear combinations of convex f^n are convex.

$a_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$; f_i is cvx. It is strictly convex if at least

one f_i for which $a_i > 0$ is.

4.3 Differentiability

Theorem 4.2 A convex $f^n: R^d \rightarrow R$ is locally Lipschitz and is twice differentiable almost everywhere (set of measure zero) outside a

A celebrated theorem of Rademacher states that a

Lipschitz f^n is differentiable almost everywhere.

C2 Pointwise limits of convex functions are convex, if f_n

are cvx & $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists $\forall x \in C$, then $f: C \rightarrow R$ is cvx.

Even if all f_n are strictly convex, f need not be.

Lemma 4.2 Let $f: C \rightarrow R$ be convex, where $C \subset R^d$ is

convex and open. Then for any $x \in C$ where f is diff.

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot (y-x) \quad \forall y \in C$$

C3 Pointwise maxima or suprema of convex function are cvx.

Conversely, if f is continuously differentiable & this inequality

C4 Pointwise minima of cvx f^n need not be cvx. However,

holds $\forall x, y \in C$, then f is convex.

if $f: C \times D \rightarrow R$ is cvx for cvx sets $C \subset R^d, D \subset R^m$,

$g(x) = \inf_{y \in D} f(x, y) > -\infty \quad \forall x$, then g is convex.

2 If f above is twice continuously differentiable

at $x \in C$, $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is positive semi-definite. Conversely if

C5 If f_1, \dots, f_m are cvx & $g: R^m \rightarrow R$ is cvx & increasing.

$\nabla^2 f$ is positive definite in C , f must be convex.

then $h(\cdot) = g(f_1(\cdot), f_2(\cdot), \dots, f_m(\cdot)): C \rightarrow R$ is cvx

Theorem 4.3 Let $f: U \rightarrow R$ be a cvx f^n where $U \subseteq R^d$ is open

C6 Let $\{f_n\}$ be family of cvx f^n & $\varphi: R^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Suppose

suppose $z \in U$ is such that partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(z), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d}(z)$

$f^*(x) := \int f_n(x) \varphi(dx)$ is well defined as integral \int Riemann

exist. Then f is Frechet differentiable at z .

Then f^* is convex.

C7 If f is componentwise convex, it need not be cvx.

jointly

If f is not differentiable at x , define $\xi \in R^d$ to

if $f(x, \cdot) \& f(\cdot, y)$ are cvx, $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ need not be. ex $f(x, y) = xy$

be a subgradient of f at x if

C8 Let $f: R^d \rightarrow R$ be cvx, $b \in R^d$ and A a $d \times m$ matrix. Then

$$f(y) \geq f(z) + \langle \xi, y-z \rangle$$

$x \in R^m \mapsto f(Ax+b) \in R$ is convex.

Set of subgradients, called a subdifferential, is a closed cone.

4.2 Continuity, E

$$\{(x, y) : y \geq f(x)\}$$

For $f, g \in C \rightarrow R$ with C open

Epigraph: set of points on or above graph of f .

$$G1) \quad \partial(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda \partial f(x) \quad \forall x \in C$$

Lemma 4.1 f is a convex f^n iff $\text{epi}(f)$ is a convex set.

$$G2) \quad \partial(f+g) \subset \partial f + \partial g \quad \forall x \in C$$

Application (3) $\text{epi}(\sup_a f_a) = \bigcap \text{epi}(f_a)$, (4) $\text{epi}(f)$ on x -space.

G3) If f is Gateaux differentiable at x ,

$$\partial f(x) = \{\text{Gateaux derivatives of } f \text{ at } x\}$$

If it is Frechet differentiable, $\partial f(x) = \{\nabla f(x)\}$.

The converse also holds.

6.4 If $g(t) := f(x+tv)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\partial g(t) \subset \{\langle \xi, v \rangle : \xi \in \partial f(x+tv)\}$$

6.5 For $x \neq y$, $f(y) - f(x) \in \{\langle \xi, y-x \rangle : \xi \in \partial f(x)\}$

6.6 A point $x^* \in \text{int}(C)$ is a local minimum of f if $\Theta \in \partial f(x^*)$. The reverse implication is true.

Theorem 4.9 Minty's surjectivity theorem Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

be a continuously differentiable convex function

Then for each $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the equation $x + \nabla f(x) = u$

has a unique solution (i.e. $x \mapsto x + \nabla f(x)$ is invertible)

$$\text{Moreau Envelope } f_\mu(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(y) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|x-y\|^2$$

$$\text{prox}_{\mu f}(x) = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(y) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|x-y\|^2 \right)$$

4.4 An approximation theorem

Theorem 4.4 A convex $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be uniformly approximated on a closed bounded convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ by convex polynomials on C .

Weierstrass theorem $\max_{x \in A} |f(x) - p_c(x)| < \epsilon$

If f is Lipschitz continuous, $f_\mu \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $\mu \rightarrow 0$

$$\text{① prox}_{\mu f}(x) + \text{prox}_{\frac{f}{\mu}}(x) = x$$

$$\text{② } f_\mu(x) + \frac{f'(x)}{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2$$

conv this time.

4.5 Convex extensions.

Theorem 4.5 A convex $f: C \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for a crx and bounded C can be extended to a crx $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ iff it is Lipschitz on C .

Theorem 4.6 A bounded convex $f: D \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for a bounded $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ extends to a convex $\tilde{f}: \text{co}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Further properties of Gradients of Convex Functions

Given a convex $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Monotonicity of Gradient $\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x-y \rangle \geq 0$

Lemma 4.4 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex & differentiable f s.t.

$\langle \nabla f(x), x \rangle \geq 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then zero vector Θ is minimizer of f .

Theorem 4.7 Maximal monotonicity of Gradient

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex f s.t.

$\langle \nabla f(x) - q, x-y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\nabla f(y) = q$

Theorem 4.8 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex & differentiable $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Then f is continuously differentiable.

Ch5 Convex Optimization

Page _____

- Introduction** Consider a convex continuous $f: C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ where C is closed & convex. Legendre transform of a continuous but possibly nonconvex f .
- C1** If C is bounded as well, f attains a minimum. f^* is the convex minorant of f i.e. the largest convex function $\leq f$. Immediate from Weierstrass theorem.
- C2** Local minima of f are also its global minima. An imp result in non-convex case:
- C3** The set of (necessarily global) minima of f is a closed convex set. The set of minima of a continuous f is in any case closed.
- Legendre transform or conjugate convex function of f : Lagrange Multiplier Rule
- $$y \mapsto f^*(y) := \sup_{x \in C} \{ \langle x, y \rangle - f(x) \}$$
- Here we will consider f^* to be defined on the set $C^* := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : f^*(y) < \infty\}$, where $g_i: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are convex & continuous.
- f^* is pointwise supremum of affine f' hence convex. $\tilde{C} := \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{x : g_i(x) \leq 0\}$ is closed convex. Assume Fenchel-Young Inequality $f(x) + f^*(y) \geq \langle x, y \rangle$
- Theorem 5.1** $(f^*)^*(x) = f(x)$, $x \in C$. Theorem 5.5 Lagrange Multiplier Rule
- Theorem 5.2** $\partial f := \{y \in C^* : f^*(y) = \langle x, y \rangle - f(x)\}$ i.e. the subdifferential of f at x is precisely the set of y for which equality in the Fenchel-Young inequality is attained.
- The non differentiability of f corresponds to a flat patch for the graph of f^* and vice versa.
- Theorem 5.5 Lagrange Multiplier Rule** Suppose $\exists x_0$ satisfying $-g(x_0) < 0$ (Slater Rule). Then \exists (Lagrange Multiplier) $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\mu_0 = \inf_{x \in C} f(x) + \lambda^T g(x)$
- Furthermore, if this infimum is attained at some $x^* \in \tilde{C}$, then x^* minimizes f on \tilde{C} and $\langle \lambda, g(x^*) \rangle = 0$ (Complementarity Cond.)
- A $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ closed convex, is concave if for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ & $x, y \in D$ $\lambda g(x) + (1-\lambda)g(y) \leq g(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)$ 'Lagrangian' $L(x, y) = f(x, y) + y^T g(x)$
- Line segment joining two points on curve lies below graph of Hypograph of g , $\text{hypo}(g) = \{(x, r) \in D \times \mathbb{R} : r \leq g(x)\}$, is convex. Theorem 5.6 Saddle point property. The Lagrangian $L(x, y) = f(x) + y^T g(x)$ has saddle point at (x_0, λ) i.e. $L(x_0, y) \leq L(x_0, \lambda) \leq L(x, \lambda)$ $\forall x \in C, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- Continuity of $g \Rightarrow \text{hypo}(g)$ is closed. The concave f for g is: $g^*(y) = \inf_{x \in D} \langle x, y \rangle - f(x)$. The minimization of $x \mapsto L(x, \lambda)$ over $x \in C$ & the viewed as real concave f^* on $D^* := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : g^*(y) > -\infty\}$. maximization of $y \mapsto L(x_0, y)$ over $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are viewed as corresponding FY inequality. $g(x) + g^*(y) \leq \langle x, y \rangle$ being dual to each other. This is Lagrangian Duality
- Theorem 5.3** For f, g, C, D as above, assume $\text{int}(C) \cap \text{int}(D) \neq \emptyset$
- Fenchel Duality Theorem** Then $C^* \cap D^* \neq \emptyset$ and
- $$\inf_{x \in C \cap D} f(x) - g(x) = \max_{y \in C^* \cap D^*} g^*(y) - f^*(y)$$
- min. vertical separation b/w epigraph of f & hypograph of g . = max. vertical separation b/w pairs of parallel hyperplanes separating

Let C be a closed convex set in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^+$ $\equiv \{x = [x_1; \dots; x_d] \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i > 0 \forall i\}$ Theorem 5.11. Min-max Theorem

A point $x = [x_1; \dots; x_d]$ in C is said to be a Pareto point if one Let C, D closed bounded convex subsets of \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n

has: $y = [y_1; \dots; y_n] \in C$ satisfies $y_i \leq x_i + i$ iff $x = y$.

$f(x, y) : (x, y) \in C \times D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ continuous, $f(\cdot, y)$ convex $\forall y \in D$,

$f(x, \cdot)$ concave $\forall x \in C$. Then

$$\min_{x \in C} \max_{y \in D} f(x, y) = \max_{y \in D} \min_{x \in C} f(x, y)$$

Theorem 5.7 Arrow-Barankin-Blackwell Theorem. Let

$$Q(C) := \{x \in C : \exists l \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^+ \text{ s.t. } \langle l, x \rangle = \min_{y \in C} \langle l, y \rangle\}$$

Then $Q(C)$ is dense in $P(C)$.

Existence of Nash Equilibrium

Corollary 5.1 If C above is a polytope, then $Q(C) = P(C)$

N -person noncooperative game with payoff f^i

$$f = [f_1; \dots; f_N]^T : C = \prod_{i=1}^N C_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{i=1}^N d_i} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$$

Linear Programming

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle c, x \rangle$$

$$\text{s.t. } A_{m \times d} x_{d \times 1} = b, x \geq 0$$

where $C_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$, $d_i \geq 1$, is closed bounded & convex.

$$\text{For } x_i \in C_i, 1 \leq i \leq N, x_{-i} = [x_1; \dots; x_{i-1}; x_{i+1}; \dots; x_N]$$

Let $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : Ax = b\}$ be the feasible set. write $x = [x_i; x_{-i}]$ for any i , assume f is

Theorem 5.8 If F has an extreme point and the

componentwise convex ie. $x_i \mapsto f_i([x_i; x_{-i}])$ is convex

LP has an optimal solution, then the LP has an and continuous for each i & each fixed value of x_{-i} .

optimal sol' which is an extreme point of F .

We call $x^* = [x_1^*; \dots; x_N^*]$, $x_i^* \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$, a Nash Eq if

Corresponding to LP, which we call the Primal problem, for each i , x_i^* minimizes the map $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto f_i([x, x_{-i}^*])$

we have a Dual problem given by

Assume f_i is aix in x for each fixed y & f_2 is cvx

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} \langle y, b \rangle \\ \text{s.t. } y^T A \leq c^T \end{cases}$$

in y for each fixed x . Also assume both f_1 & f_2 are

jointly continuous.

$$\text{let } \alpha = \inf_{x \in F} \langle c, x \rangle \text{ & } \beta = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : y^T A \leq c^T} \langle y, b \rangle$$

Theorem 5.12 Existence of Nash Equilibrium.

Theorem 5.9 Weak Duality. Let x, y be feasible sol' for Primal & Dual There exists a Nash Equilibrium x^* .

Then $\langle y, b \rangle \leq \langle c, x \rangle$. In particular, $\beta \leq \alpha$.

Theorem 5.10 Strong Duality. If one of the Primal or Dual is feasible

and bounded, then $\alpha = \beta$.

Applications to game theory.

Let $f : C \times D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ denote payoff function. A pair

(x^*, y^*) is said to be a saddle point for f if

$$f(x^*, y) \leq f(x^*, y^*) \leq f(x, y^*) \quad \forall x \in C, y \in D$$

If saddle point exists $\max_y f(x^*, y) \leq f(x^*, y^*) \leq \min_x f(x, y^*)$

$$\Rightarrow \min_x \max_y f(x, y) \leq \max_y \min_x f(x, y)$$

Since $\min_x \max_y f(x, y) \geq \max_y \min_x f(x, y)$

$$\text{Thus } \min_x \max_y f(x, y) = \max_y \min_x f(x, y)$$

Key step in establishing equality is existence of saddle point.