

## Example of Bivariate Interpolation

Suppose that  $p = 13$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $y_1 = 1$ ,  $y_2 = 2$ ,  $y_3 = 3$   
 $a_1(x) = 1 + x + x^2$ ,  $a_2(x) = 7 + 4x^2$  and  $a_3(x) = 2 + 9x$ .

$$\frac{(y-2)(y-3)}{(1-2)(1-3)} = 7y^2 + 4y + 3$$

$$\frac{(y-1)(y-3)}{(2-1)(2-3)} = 12y^2 + 4y + 10$$

$$\frac{(y-1)(y-2)}{(3-1)(3-2)} = 7y^2 + 5y + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) &= (1 + x + x^2)(7y^2 + 4y + 3) + (7 + 4x^2)(12y^2 + 4y + 10) \\ &\quad + (2 + 9x)(7y^2 + 5y + 1) \bmod 13 \\ &= y^2 + 3y + 10 + 5xy^2 + 10xy + 12x + 3x^2y^2 + 7x^2y + 4x^2 \end{aligned}$$

## Insecurity wrt $k + 1$ Colluders

- a set of bad users  $W_1, \dots, W_{k+1}$  (collectively) know the polynomials

$$g_{W_i}(x) = f(x, r_{W_i}) \bmod p,$$

$$1 \leq i \leq k + 1$$

- using the bivariate interpolation formula, they can compute  $f(x, y)$
- then they can compute any key

## Security wrt $k$ Colluders

- a set of bad users  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  (collectively) know the polynomials

$$g_{W_i}(x) = f(x, r_{W_i}) \bmod p,$$

$$1 \leq i \leq k$$

- we show that this information is consistent with any possible value of the key
- let  $K$  be the real (unknown) key, and let  $K^* \neq K$
- define a polynomial  $f^*(x, y)$  as follows:

$$f^*(x, y) = f(x, y) + (K^* - K) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{(x - r_{W_i})(y - r_{W_i})}{(r_U - r_{W_i})(r_V - r_{W_i})}$$

## Security wrt $k$ Colluders (cont.)

- $f^*$  is a symmetric polynomial (i.e.,  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$ )
- for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , it holds that

$$f^*(x, r_{W_i}) = f(x, r_{W_i}) = g_{W_i}(x)$$

- further,

$$f^*(r_U, r_V) = f(r_U, r_V) + K^* - K = K^*$$

- For any possible value of the key,  $K^*$ , there is a symmetric polynomial  $f^*$  such that the key  $K_{U,V} = K^*$  and such that the secret information held by the  $k$  bad users is unchanged

## Subgroups of Cyclic Groups (review)

- suppose that  $(G, \cdot)$  is a cyclic group of order  $n$
- let  $\alpha$  be a generator of  $G$  (i.e.,  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = n$ )
- suppose that  $m$  is a divisor of  $n$
- there is a unique subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  having order  $m$
- the subgroup  $H$  is cyclic, and  $\alpha^{n/m}$  is a generator of  $H$  (i.e.,  $\text{ord}(\alpha^{n/m}) = m$ )
- $H$  consists of all the elements of  $G$  that have order dividing  $m$
- if  $m$  is prime, then all elements of  $H$  other than the identity have order  $m$  (and hence they are all generators of  $H$ )

## The Diffie-Hellman KPS

- the *Diffie-Hellman KPS* is a public-key based scheme to distribute secret LL-keys
- suppose  $\alpha$  is an element having prime order  $q$  in the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , where  $p$  is prime,  $p - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ ,  $p \approx 2^{1024}$  and  $q > 2^{160}$
- $\alpha, p$  and  $q$  are public domain parameters
- every user  $U$  has a private LL-key  $a_U$  (where  $0 \leq a_U \leq q - 1$ ) and a corresponding public key

$$b_U = \alpha^{a_U} \bmod p$$

- the users' public keys are signed by the *TA* and stored on certificates, as usual

## The Diffie-Hellman KPS (cont.)

- the secret LL-key  $K_{U,V}$  for two users  $U$  and  $V$  is defined as follows:

$$K_{U,V} = \alpha^{a_U a_V} \bmod p$$

- $V$  computes

$$K_{U,V} = b_U^{a_V} \bmod p,$$

using the public key  $b_U$  from  $U$ 's certificate, together with his own secret key  $a_V$

- $U$  computes

$$K_{U,V} = b_V^{a_U} \bmod p,$$

using the public key  $b_V$  from  $V$ 's certificate, together with her own secret key  $a_U$

## Security of the Diffie-Hellman KPS

- a coalition of bad users is of no help to the adversary in determining the key belonging to some disjoint pair of users
- the adversary's attempt to compute a key  $K_{U,V}$  is an instance of the *Computational Diffie-Hellman* problem:

---

**Problem:** *Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH)*

**Instance:** A multiplicative group  $(G, \cdot)$ , an element  $\alpha \in G$  having order  $n$ , and two elements  $\beta, \gamma \in \langle \alpha \rangle$ .

**Question:** Find  $\delta \in \langle \alpha \rangle$  such that

$$\log_{\alpha} \delta \equiv \log_{\alpha} \beta \times \log_{\alpha} \gamma \pmod{n}.$$

(Equivalently, given  $\beta = \alpha^b$  and  $\gamma = \alpha^c$ , where  $b$  and  $c$  are unknown, compute  $\delta = \alpha^{bc}$ .)

---



## Computational Diffie-Hellman $\propto_T$ Discrete Logarithm

- the *Computational Diffie-Hellman* problem is no harder to solve than the *Discrete Logarithm* problem in the same subgroup  $\langle \alpha \rangle$
- given an oracle for the *DLP*, it is easy to solve the *CDH* problem, as follows:
- given inputs  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  for *CDH*,
  1. use the oracle to compute  $b = \log_{\alpha} \beta$
  2. compute  $\delta = \gamma^b$
- the *Computational Diffie-Hellman* problem is thought to be infeasible when  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  where  $p \approx 2^{1024}$  is prime,  $n$  is a divisor of  $p - 1$ , and  $n$  has *at least one prime divisor  $q$  with  $q > 2^{160}$*

## Partial Information about Diffie-Hellman Keys

- the adversary may be unable to compute a Diffie-Hellman key but he could still (possibly) determine some partial information about the key
- we desire *semantic security* of the keys, which means that an adversary can compute no partial information about them (in polynomial time, say)
- in other words, distinguishing Diffie-Hellman keys from random elements of the subgroup  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  should be infeasible
- semantic security of Diffie-Hellman keys is equivalent to the infeasibility of the *Decision Diffie-Hellman* problem

## The Decision Diffie-Hellman Problem

---

**Problem:** *Decision Diffie-Hellman (DDH)*

**Instance:** A multiplicative group  $(G, \cdot)$ , an element  $\alpha \in G$  having order  $n$ , and three elements  $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \langle \alpha \rangle$ .

**Question:** Is it the case that  $\log_{\alpha} \delta \equiv \log_{\alpha} \beta \times \log_{\alpha} \gamma \pmod{n}$ ?  
(Equivalently, given  $\alpha^b, \alpha^c$  and  $\alpha^d$ , where  $b, c$  and  $d$  are unknown, determine if  $d \equiv bc \pmod{n}$ .)

---

- It is easy to see that the *Decision Diffie-Hellman* problem is no harder to solve than the *Computational Diffie-Hellman* problem in the same subgroup  $\langle \alpha \rangle$

## Decision Diffie-Hellman $\propto_T$ Computational Diffie-Hellman

- given an oracle for  $CDH$ , it is easy to solve the  $DDH$  problem, as follows:
- given inputs  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  for  $DDH$ ,
  1. use the oracle to find the value  $\delta'$  such that

$$\log_{\alpha} \delta' \equiv \log_{\alpha} \beta \times \log_{\alpha} \gamma \pmod{n}$$

2. check to see if  $\delta' = \delta$

## CDH in Cyclic Subgroups of Composite Order

- for a fixed  $\alpha$  of order  $n$ , a triple  $(\beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \alpha \rangle$  that is a yes-instance of *DDH* is called a *Diffie-Hellman triple*
- there are  $n^3$  triples in  $\langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \alpha \rangle$ , of which  $n^2$  are Diffie-Hellman triples
- suppose  $\alpha$  is an element of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $q$  is a proper prime divisor of  $n$
- if  $q$  is “small” (e.g.,  $q \approx 2^{40}$ ), then it is easy to solve *DDH* for most triples
- for any  $\beta \in \langle \alpha \rangle$ , the POHLIG-HELLMAN ALGORITHM can be used to compute  $\log_{\alpha} \beta \bmod q$  in time  $O(\sqrt{q})$

## Pohlig-Hellman Algorithm

- let  $a = \log_{\alpha} \beta$  and let  $a_0 = a \bmod q$
- then  $a = a_0 + Kq$  for some integer  $K$
- then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^{n/q} &= (\alpha^a)^{n/q} \\ &= (\alpha^{a_0 + Kq})^{n/q} \\ &= \alpha^{a_0 n/q} \alpha^{Kn} \\ &= \alpha^{a_0 n/q}\end{aligned}$$

## Pohlig-Hellman Algorithm (cont.)

- since  $\beta^{n/q} = \alpha^{a_0 n/q}$ , where  $0 \leq a_0 \leq q - 1$ , it is simple matter to determine  $a_0$  by exhaustive search
- we begin by computing  $\beta^{n/q}$  and  $\gamma = \alpha^{n/q}$
- then we compute  $\gamma^i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, q - 1$ , by repeated multiplication by  $\gamma$
- when we discover that

$$\gamma^i = \beta^{n/q}$$

for some  $i$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq q - 1$ , we know that  $a_0 = i$

- this gives a  $O(q)$  algorithm, but a modification can reduce the complexity to  $O(\sqrt{q})$

## Solving DDH when $q$ is small

- suppose we use the POHLIG-HELLMAN ALGORITHM to compute

$$b_q = \log_{\alpha} \beta \bmod q,$$

$$c_q = \log_{\alpha} \gamma \bmod q, \quad \text{and}$$

$$d_q = \log_{\alpha} \delta \bmod q$$

- if  $(\beta, \gamma, \delta)$  is a Diffie-Hellman triple, then

$$\log_{\alpha} \delta \equiv \log_{\alpha} \beta \log_{\alpha} \gamma \pmod{n},$$

and hence

$$d_q \equiv b_q c_q \pmod{q}$$

- therefore, if  $d_q \not\equiv b_q c_q \pmod{q}$ , then  $(\beta, \gamma, \delta)$  is not a Diffie-Hellman triple
- hence, we can efficiently solve *DDH* for a  $(q-1)/q$  fraction of the possible triples



## Secruity of DDH

- the *Decision Diffie-Hellman* problem is thought to be infeasible when  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  where  $p \approx 2^{1024}$  is prime,  $n$  is a divisor of  $p - 1$ , and  $n$  has *no prime divisor  $q$  with  $q < 2^{160}$*
- this is a stronger condition than the one that is conjectured for the securiy of the *Computational Diffie-Hellman* problem