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# Question 1

Solution to Question No. 1

## Implementation of the application using C-Programming

**main.c**

#include <stdio.h>

#include "array\_list.h"

#include "gst\_item.h"

#define MAX\_ITEMS 100000

**int** main(**int** argc, **char** \*\*argv) {

*// testing*

    array\_list \*list = init\_array\_list(free\_gst\_item);

*/\*\**

*\* A Single loop of 100000 objects, sequencial access*

*\*/*

    for (**int** i = 0; i < MAX\_ITEMS; i++) {

**int** item\_id = i;

**int** gst\_slab\_id = i % 5;

**double** price = 1000.5f;

        gst\_item \*item = create\_gst\_item(item\_id, gst\_slab\_id, price);

        list->add(list, item);

    }

**double** total\_amt = 0.0f;

*// calculate the GST'ed price*

    for (**int** i = 0; i < MAX\_ITEMS; i++) {

        gst\_item \*item = list->at(list, i);

        total\_amt += get\_mrp(item);

    }

    free\_array\_list(list);

    printf("TOTAL\_ITEMS : %d\nTOTAL AMOUNT : %.10f\n", MAX\_ITEMS, total\_amt);

    fflush(stdout);

    return 0;

}

**array\_list.h**

#pragma once

**struct** array\_list {

**void**\*\* data;

**void\*** (\*at)(**struct** array\_list**\***, **int** idx);

**int** (\*add)(**struct** array\_list**\***, **void\*** data);

**void** (\*free\_item)(**void\*** data);

**int** length;

**int** buffered\_length;

};

typedef **struct** array\_list array\_list;

array\_list**\*** init\_array\_list(**void** (\*)(**void\***));

**void\*** array\_list\_at(**struct** array\_list**\***, **int** idx);

**int** array\_list\_add(**struct** array\_list**\***, **void\*** data);

**void** free\_array\_list\_items(array\_list**\***);

**void** free\_array\_list(array\_list**\***);

**array\_list.c**

#include "array\_list.h"

#include <stdio.h>

#include <stdlib.h>

*// set the buffer to 256 elements*

#define BUFFER 256

array\_list\* init\_array\_list(**void** (\*free\_item)(**void**\*)) {

    array\_list\* mylist;

    if ((mylist = malloc(sizeof \*mylist)) != NULL) {

        mylist->at = array\_list\_at;

        mylist->add = array\_list\_add;

        mylist->buffered\_length = BUFFER;

        mylist->free\_item = free\_item;

        mylist->data = malloc(mylist->buffered\_length \* sizeof(\*mylist->data));

        if (mylist->data == NULL) {

            return NULL;

        }

        return mylist;

    }

    return NULL;

}

**void**\* array\_list\_at(**struct** array\_list\* mylist, **int** idx) {

    if (mylist != NULL) {

        if (idx >= mylist->length) {

            perror("idx out of bounds\n");

            return NULL;

        }

        return mylist->data[idx];

    }

    return NULL;

}

**int** array\_list\_add(**struct** array\_list\* mylist, **void**\* data) {

    if (mylist != NULL) {

        if (mylist->length >= mylist->buffered\_length) {

            mylist->buffered\_length += BUFFER;

            mylist->data = realloc(mylist->data, (mylist->buffered\_length) \* sizeof(\*mylist->data));

        }

        mylist->data[mylist->length++] = data;

        return 1;

    }

    return -1;

}

**void** free\_array\_list\_items(array\_list\* list) {

    for (**int** i = 0; i < list->length; i++) {

        list->free\_item(list->at(list, i));

    }

    list->length = 0;

    list->buffered\_length = 0;

}

**void** free\_array\_list(array\_list\* list) {

    free\_array\_list\_items(list);

    free(list);

}

**gst\_item.h**

#pragma once

**struct** gst\_item {

**int** item\_id;

**int** gst\_slab\_id;

**double** price;

};

typedef **struct** gst\_item gst\_item;

**static** **float** slab\_tax[5] = {0.0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.18, 0.28};

**float** get\_slab\_tax(**int** slab\_id);

**double** get\_mrp(gst\_item**\*** item);

**void\*** create\_gst\_item(**int**, **int**, **double**);

**void** free\_gst\_item(**void\***);

**gst\_item.c**

#include "gst\_item.h"

#include <assert.h>

#include <stdio.h>

#include <stdlib.h>

**float** get\_slab\_tax(**int** slab\_id) {

    return slab\_tax[slab\_id];

}

**double** get\_mrp(gst\_item\* item) {

    assert(item != NULL);

    return item->price + get\_slab\_tax(item->gst\_slab\_id) \* item->price;

}

**void**\* create\_gst\_item(**int** item\_id, **int** slab\_id, **double** price) {

    gst\_item\* item;

    if ((item = malloc(sizeof \*item)) != NULL) {

        item->item\_id = item\_id;

        item->gst\_slab\_id = slab\_id;

        item->price = price;

        return item;

    }

    return NULL;

}

**void** free\_gst\_item(**void**\* item) {

    gst\_item\* myitem = item;

    free(myitem);

}

## Implementation of the application using JAVA-Programming using OOP features

**App.java**

package **app**;

import **java.util.ArrayList**;

**public** **class** App {

**public** **static** **int** MAX\_ITEMS = 100000;

**public** **static** **void** main(**String**[] args) **throws** **Exception** {

*// testing*

**ArrayList**<**GSTItem**> list = new **ArrayList**<>();

*/\*\**

*\* A Single loop of 100000 objects, sequential access*

*\*/*

        for (**int** i = 0; i < MAX\_ITEMS; i++) {

**int** item\_id = i;

**int** gst\_slab\_id = i % 5;

**double** price = 1000.5f;

**GSTItem** item = new GSTItem(item\_id, gst\_slab\_id, price);

            list.add(item);

        }

**double** total\_amt = 0.0f;

*// calculate the GST'ed price*

        for (**int** i = 0; i < MAX\_ITEMS; i++) {

**GSTItem** item = list.get(i);

            total\_amt += item.get\_mrp();

        }

        System.out.printf("TOTAL\_ITEMS : %d\nTOTAL\_AMOUNT : %.10f\n", MAX\_ITEMS, total\_amt);

    }

}

**GSTItem.java**

package **app**;

*/\*\**

*\* GSTItem*

*\*/*

**public** **class** GSTItem {

**private** **int** item\_id;

**private** **int** gst\_slab\_id;

**private** **double** price;

    GSTItem(**int** item\_id, **int** gst\_slab\_id, **double** price) {

        this.item\_id = item\_id;

        this.gst\_slab\_id = gst\_slab\_id;

        this.price = price;

    }

**public** **static** **float** slab\_tax[] = { 0.0f, 0.05f, 0.12f, 0.18f, 0.28f };

**public** **double** get\_mrp() {

        return this.price + slab\_tax[this.gst\_slab\_id] \* this.price;

    }

}

## Discussion on ease of writing program in C in comparison with that in JAVA

C is a bare bones language, i.e. it is much more primitive and has very low-level access to hardware, the inbuilt libraries that come with C is limited and most of the memory allocation is done manually by the programmer, this gives more control over the memory used by the program.

When it comes to Java there are a lot of inbuilt libraries that come with it, which makes it easier to write code, the memory management is done by JVM and deletion of variables is not given to the programmer.

For example, in the program that was implemented in 1.2 and 1.3, a data structure was required to store the GST Items, such as an ArrayList, by default an arraylist is not available in C, an array is available, but it is not generic, i.e. the array cannot change the data that it holds at runtime, therefore we implemented a custom array\_list Abstract Data Type that can store any kind of data, now the memory management and the functions associated with this ADT was written manually by the programmer, which takes a lot of effort and the programmer needs to be very careful about the memory leaks that might happen if the ADT is not disposed properly. When it came to JAVA, we already have a proper implementation of ArrayList which can be directly imported from the library and used, all the basic functions are already defined, hence our program source code is much more smaller than C.

## Discussion on the amount of changes required to introduce a new tax slab 25% and removing 28% slab in both the languages

The slab tax is stored in form of an array in both the languages, since the slabs are already predefined and fixed. Now if we have to introduce a new tax and remove the existing one, the number can be simply changed in the array. The new lines of code that will make the necessary changes:

In C

static float slab\_tax[5] = {0.0, 0.05, 0.12, 0.18, 0.25};

In JAVA

public static float slab\_tax[] = { 0.0f, 0.05f, 0.12f, 0.18f, 0.25f };

## Discussion on the efficiency in terms of CPU and MEM usage using tools in both the languages

Tests were conducted using two tools, common for both Java and C

Memory Profiling: memusage

CPU Profiling: perf

Below is the RAW statistics provided by these tools

**Language: C**

**CPU PERFORMANCE (PERF)**

TOTAL\_ITEMS : 100000

TOTAL AMOUNT : 112656300.1282616258

Performance counter stats for 'gst-c/build/gst-c':

9.54 msec task-clock:u # 0.967 CPUs utilized

0 context-switches:u # 0.000 K/sec

0 cpu-migrations:u # 0.000 K/sec

1,031 page-faults:u # 0.108 M/sec

13,126,242 cycles:u # 1.376 GHz

46,010,443 instructions:u # 3.51 insn per cycle

9,413,655 branches:u # 986.741 M/sec

4,664 branch-misses:u # 0.05% of all branches

0.009869029 seconds time elapsed

0.003299000 seconds user

0.006539000 seconds sys

# Overhead Command Shared Object Symbol

34.66% gst-c libc-2.29.so [.] \_int\_malloc

12.91% gst-c libc-2.29.so [.] \_int\_free

10.70% gst-c [unknown] [k] 0xffffffff8a800b07

10.13% gst-c libc-2.29.so [.] malloc

6.74% gst-c libc-2.29.so [.] malloc\_consolidate

6.33% gst-c libc-2.29.so [.] cfree@GLIBC\_2.2.5

5.28% gst-c gst-c [.] main

4.76% gst-c gst-c [.] get\_mrp

4.14% gst-c gst-c [.] create\_gst\_item

2.87% gst-c gst-c [.] array\_list\_at

1.03% gst-c ld-2.29.so [.] strcmp

0.46% gst-c ld-2.29.so [.] \_\_GI\_\_\_tunables\_init

**MEMORY USAGE (MEMUSAGE)**

Memory usage summary: heap total: 2404904, heap peak: 2400808, stack peak: 2112

total calls total memory failed calls

malloc| 100003 1606184 0

realloc| 390 798720 0 (nomove:342, dec:0, free:0)

calloc| 0 0 0

free| 100001 1600040

Histogram for block sizes:

16-31 100000 99% ==================================================

32-47 1 <1%

2048-2063 1 <1%

4096-4111 2 <1%

6144-6159 1 <1%

8192-8207 1 <1%

10240-10255 1 <1%

12288-12303 1 <1%

14336-14351 1 <1%

16384-16399 1 <1%

18432-18447 1 <1%

20480-20495 1 <1%

22528-22543 1 <1%

24576-24591 1 <1%

26624-26639 1 <1%

28672-28687 1 <1%

30720-30735 1 <1%

32768-32783 1 <1%

34816-34831 1 <1%

36864-36879 1 <1%

38912-38927 1 <1%

40960-40975 1 <1%

43008-43023 1 <1%

45056-45071 1 <1%

47104-47119 1 <1%

49152-49167 1 <1%

51200-51215 1 <1%

53248-53263 1 <1%

55296-55311 1 <1%

57344-57359 1 <1%

59392-59407 1 <1%

61440-61455 1 <1%

63488-63503 1 <1%

large 360 <1%

**Language: JAVA**

**CPU PERFORMANCE (PERF)**

TOTAL\_ITEMS : 100000

TOTAL\_AMOUNT : 112656300.1282616300

Performance counter stats for 'java -cp GSTJava/bin app.App':

212.06 msec task-clock:u # 1.459 CPUs utilized

0 context-switches:u # 0.000 K/sec

0 cpu-migrations:u # 0.000 K/sec

4,752 page-faults:u # 0.022 M/sec

359,432,031 cycles:u # 1.695 GHz

411,960,222 instructions:u # 1.15 insn per cycle

78,327,254 branches:u # 369.371 M/sec

2,874,409 branch-misses:u # 3.67% of all branches

0.145300220 seconds time elapsed

0.189254000 seconds user

0.026494000 seconds sys

# Overhead Command Shared Object Symbol

2.14% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000d14785

1.14% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000d147b1

0.82% java [unknown] [k] 0xffffffff8a800b07

0.78% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000b7a168

0.63% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000b7a5fb

0.63% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000d147ae

0.54% C1 CompilerThre ld-2.29.so [.] \_\_tls\_get\_addr

0.52% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000d147b7

0.52% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab89654c4

0.47% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000d14b69

0.44% java libc-2.29.so [.] \_\_vfprintf\_internal

0.43% java ld-2.29.so [.] do\_lookup\_x

0.43% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab8957096

0.39% java libjimage.so [.] 0x0000000000002d15

0.39% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab89780fb

0.39% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000c6a4e0

0.38% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab89654c0

0.38% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab8974702

0.38% java libjvm.so [.] 0x0000000000bb074a

0.38% java libjvm.so [.] 0x00000000008246cc

0.38% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab8965516

0.37% java libjimage.so [.] 0x0000000000003a1f

0.37% java ld-2.29.so [.] \_dl\_relocate\_object

0.37% java libjvm.so [.] 0x00000000008246c7

0.36% C1 CompilerThre libc-2.29.so [.] \_\_vfscanf\_internal

0.36% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab896bd88

0.35% java libc-2.29.so [.] \_int\_malloc

0.30% C1 CompilerThre libc-2.29.so [.] \_\_memmove\_avx\_unaligned\_erms

0.29% java ld-2.29.so [.] strcmp

0.27% C1 CompilerThre [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab8957096

0.27% java [JIT] tid 1347 [.] 0x00007f9ab8969568

0.26% C1 CompilerThre libc-2.29.so [.] [cfree@GLIBC\_2.2.5](mailto:cfree@GLIBC_2.2.5)

**MEMORY USAGE (MEMUSAGE)**

Memory usage summary: heap total: 14905189, heap peak: 12809891, stack peak: 30688

total calls total memory failed calls

malloc| 11386 14668887 0

realloc| 38 1120 0 (nomove:0, dec:0, free:0)

calloc| 110 235182 0

free| 4545 2169338

Histogram for block sizes:

0-15 383 3% ===

16-31 5356 46% ==================================================

32-47 2258 19% =====================

48-63 1224 10% ===========

64-79 375 3% ===

80-95 153 1% =

96-111 56 <1%

112-127 167 1% =

128-143 111 <1% =

144-159 22 <1%

160-175 27 <1%

176-191 64 <1%

192-207 18 <1%

208-223 10 <1%

224-239 37 <1%

240-255 54 <1%

256-271 25 <1%

272-287 53 <1%

288-303 68 <1%

304-319 28 <1%

320-335 18 <1%

336-351 128 1% =

352-367 2 <1%

368-383 62 <1%

384-399 9 <1%

400-415 2 <1%

432-447 65 <1%

464-479 2 <1%

480-495 2 <1%

496-511 2 <1%

512-527 3 <1%

528-543 2 <1%

544-559 147 1% =

### Performance results analysis

Both the programs were run for the exact same data for the exact same number of iterations, i.e. 100000 items with varying types of GST Tax slabs and the MRP for each of these commodities is calculated by the program.

Here is a table that describes the summary of the performance parameters

Table 1 Performance Comparison

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **C** | **JAVA** |
| Execution Time | 0.009869s | 0.145300s |
| IPC | 3.51 ins/cycle | 1.15 ins/cycle |
| Instructions | 46,010,443 ins | 411,960,222 ins |

We can clearly observe in this scenario C is approximately 15 times faster than Java, this is without the optimizations done in C, which will make it even faster. Since Java is a interpreted language it is bound to be slower, the byte code generated is run on a JVM that uses the JIT Compiler to compile and run the code.

Here is a table that compares the memory usage of the two languages

Table 2 Memory Comparison

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **C** | **JAVA** |
| malloc | 1568.53 kB | 14325.08 kB |
| realloc | 780 kB | 1.09 kB |
| calloc | 0 kB | 229.67 kB |
| free | 1562.53 kB | 2118.49 kB |
| heap total | 2348.53 kB | 14555.84 kB |
| stack peak | 2.06 kB | 29.96 kB |

Similarly, as observed previously, C is approximately 7 times less memory consuming, comparing the malloc and stack peak. malloc is the main routine in Linux libc that allocates memory for the program at runtime, both our programs make runtime memory allocation to make this comparison fair. Another thing to note is that the amount of memory allocated by malloc and then freed is important, since this shows the memory leaks that can happen in the program.

Another interesting thing to note in the memusage dump is that C allocated 99% of its memory chunks of the size 15-31 bytes, i.e. most of the memory that is used by the program was only for the gst item objects that we allocated at runtime. While in Java the allocation is distributed only about 46% of its memory was 15-31 byte chunks, this is because of the other objects that are associated with the gst item that also need to be allocated along with it.

In C the difference of malloc and free is 6kB while in JAVA it is 12206kB, which means that this memory wasn’t freed at runtime, it must have been freed either by the JVM or by the OS when the program terminated.

The conclusion from this analysis is that JAVA has much more overhead than C since it is interpreted and has many inbuilt complex data structures that require a lot of runtime overhead, this contributes to the slower runtime and the higher memory usage. This can be seen in the Overhead displayed in perf analysis, Java several calls to [JIT] tid <tid>.
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