

Estimation

If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a large population $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N$

of size 'N' then usually the sample mean and variance are denoted by \bar{x} and s^2 and population mean and

variance are denoted by μ and σ^2 , i.e. $\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_n}{n}$, $s^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2$ and

$$\mu = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_N}{N}, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i^2 - \mu^2.$$

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a large population $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N$ of size 'N' then which of the following is correct:

- (a) $E(x_i) = \mu^2, E(\bar{x}) = \mu$ (b) $E(x_i) = \mu, E(\bar{x}) = \mu$ (c) $E(x_i) = \mu, E(\bar{x}) = \mu^2$ (d) *None of these.*

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a large population $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N$ of size 'N' then which of the following is correct:

- (a) $V(x_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}, V(\bar{x}) = \sigma^2$ (b) $V(x_i) = \sigma^2, V(\bar{x}) = \sigma^2$ (c) $V(x_i) = \sigma^2, V(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ (d) *None of these.*

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a large population $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N$

of size 'N' then which of the following is correct:

- (a) $E(s^2) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \sigma^2$ (b) $E(s^2) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) \sigma^2$ (c) $E(s^2) = \sigma^2$
 (d) *None of these.*

Theorem 6.9. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be n random variables then

$$V\left[\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 V(X_i) + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i < j}}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$$

Que. If X_1 and X_2 are two random variables, a_1 and a_2 are two constants then $V(a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2) = ?$

- (a) $a_1^2 V(X_1) + a_2^2 V(X_2) - 2a_1 a_2 \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)$ (b) $a_1^2 V(X_1) + a_2^2 V(X_2) + 2a_1 a_2 \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)$
 (c) $a_1^2 V(X_1) + a_2^2 V(X_2) - 2a_1 a_2 \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)$ (d) $a_1^2 V(X_1) + a_2^2 V(X_2) + 2a_1 a_2 \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)$.

Remark: If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a large population $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N$ of size 'N' then $E(x_i) = \mu, E(\bar{x}) = \mu, V(x_i) = \sigma^2, V(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}, E(s^2) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \sigma^2, E(S^2) = \sigma^2$ where $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$.

Remark: If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a large population $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N$

of size 'N' then $E(x_i) = \mu, E(\bar{x}) = \mu, V(x_i) = \sigma^2, V(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}, E(s^2) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \sigma^2, E(S^2) = \sigma^2$ where $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$.

12.11. Unbiased Estimate for population Mean (μ) and Variance (σ^2). Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample of size n from a large population X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N (of size N) with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Then the sample mean (\bar{x}) and variance (s^2) are given by

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \text{ and } s^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

Now
$$E(\bar{x}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i)$$

Since x_i is a sample observation from the population X_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) it can take any one of the values X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N each with equal probability $1/N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E(x_i) &= \frac{1}{N} X_1 + \frac{1}{N} X_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{N} X_N \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N) = \mu \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

$$\therefore E(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu) = \frac{1}{n} n\mu \Rightarrow E(\bar{x}) = \mu \quad \dots(12-6)$$

Thus the sample mean (\bar{x}) is an unbiased estimate of the population mean (μ).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now } E(s^2) &= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i^2) - E(\bar{x})^2 \quad \dots(2)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{We have } V(x_i) &= E[x_i - E(x_i)]^2 = E(x_i - \mu)^2, \quad [\text{From (1)}] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} [(X_1 - \mu)^2 + (X_2 - \mu)^2 + \dots + (X_N - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2 \quad \dots(3)\end{aligned}$$

Also we know that

$$V(x) = E(x^2) - [E(x)]^2 \Rightarrow E(x^2) = V(x) + \{E(x)\}^2 \quad \dots(4)$$

In particular,

$$E(x_i^2) = V(x_i) + \{E(x_i)\}^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2 \quad \dots(5)$$

Also from (4), $E(\bar{x}^2) = V(\bar{x}) + \{E(\bar{x})\}^2$

But $V(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$, where σ^2 is the population variance. [c.f. § 12.13]

$$\therefore E(\bar{x}^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \quad [\text{Using (12.6)}] \quad \dots(5a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E(s^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} n (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \sigma^2 \\
&= \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \quad \dots(12.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E(s^2) \neq \sigma^2$, sample variance is not an unbiased estimate of population variance.

From (12.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{n-1} E(s^2) &= \sigma^2 \Rightarrow E\left(\frac{ns^2}{n-1}\right) = \sigma^2 \\
\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right] &= \sigma^2 \text{ i.e., } E(S^2) = \sigma^2 \quad \dots(12.8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad \dots(12.8a)$$

$\therefore S^2$ is an unbiased estimate of the population variance σ^2 .

Proof. Let x_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a random sample of size n from a population with variance σ^2 , then the sample mean \bar{x} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} &= \frac{1}{n} (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \\
\therefore V(\bar{x}) &= V\left[\frac{1}{n} (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)\right] = \frac{1}{n^2} V(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} [V(x_1) + V(x_2) + \dots + V(x_n)],
\end{aligned}$$

the covariance terms vanish since the sample observations are independent, [c.f. Remark (ii) § 6.6]

$$\text{But } V(x_i) = \sigma^2, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad [\text{From (3) of § 12.11}]$$

$$\therefore V(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{n^2} (n\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{S.E.}(\bar{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \dots(12.9)$$

Characteristics of Estimators. The following are some of the criteria that should be satisfied by a good estimator.

- (i) *Unbiasedness* (ii) *Consistency* (iii) *Efficiency*
(iv) *Sufficiency.*

Definition: Any function of random sample

$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ that are being observed, say,

$T_n(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ is called a **statistic**. Clearly a statistic is a random variable. If it is used to estimate an unknown **parameter 'θ'** of the distribution then it is called an **estimator**. A particular value of the estimator, say, is called an **Estimate of 'θ'**.

Definition: A statistic $T_n(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ is said to be an Unbiased estimator of parameter $\gamma(\theta)$ if $E(T_n) = \gamma(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

Remark: If $E(T_n) > \gamma(\theta)$ then is said to be **positively biased** and if $E(T_n) < \gamma(\theta)$ then is said to be **negatively biased**.
The amount of bias $b(\theta) = E(T_n) - \gamma(\theta)$.

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a Normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 , then $t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ is an unbiased estimator of:

- (a) $1 + \mu$ (b) $1 + \mu^2$ (c) $n + \mu^2$ (d) None of these.

Poll Que. Which of the following is/are correct?

- (a) Sample mean is an Unbiased Estimator of population mean.
(b) Sample variance is an Unbiased Estimator of population variance.

(c) $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ is an Unbiased Estimator of population variance.

(d) *None of these.*

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a Normal population $N(\mu, 1)$

then $t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ is an unbiased estimator of:

(a) $1 + \mu$ (b) $1 + \mu^2$ (c) $n + \mu^2$ (d) *None of these.*

Remark: If 'T' is an unbiased estimator of ' θ ' then ' T^2 ' is a biased estimator of ' θ^2 '.

7.1. Bernoulli Distribution. A random variable X which takes two values 0 and 1, with probabilities q and p respectively, i.e., $P(X = 1) = p$, $P(X = 0) = q$, $q = 1 - p$ is called a *Bernoulli variate* and is said to have a Bernoulli distribution.

Remark: (i) If in binomial distribution, number of trials ' n ' = 1 then the binomial distribution becomes Bernoulli distribution.

(ii) Sum of ' n ' independent Bernoulli variates is a binomial variate i.e. if $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size ' n ' drawn from Bernoulli population with parameter ' θ ' then $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sim B(n, \theta)$.

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size ' n ' drawn on ' X ' which takes the values

'1' or '0' with respective probabilities ' θ ' and ' $(1 - \theta)$ ' then $t =$

$\frac{[\sum x_i (\sum x_i - 1)]}{n(n-1)}$ is an unbiased estimator of:

(a) $1 + \theta$ (b) $1 + \theta^2$ (c) $n + \theta^2$ (d) *None of these.*

Poll Que. Let 'X' be distributed in the Poisson form with parameter 'θ'. Then the only unbiased estimate of $\exp\{-(k+1)\theta\}$, $k > 0$ is:

- (a) $T(X) = (-k)^{-X}$ (b) $T(X) = (k)^{-X}$ (c) $T(X) = (-k)^X$ (d) *None of these.*

Example 15.4. Let X be distributed in the Poisson form with parameter θ . Show that the only unbiased estimator of $\exp [-(k+1)\theta]$, $k > 0$, is $T(X) = (-k)^X$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} &T(x) > 0 \text{ if } x \text{ is even} \\ \text{and} \quad &T(x) < 0 \text{ if } x \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

15.3. Consistency. An estimator $T_n = T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, based on a random sample of size n , is said to be consistent estimator of $\gamma(\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, the parameter space, if T_n converges to $\gamma(\theta)$ in probability.

i.e., if
$$T_n \xrightarrow{P} \gamma(\theta) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots(15.1)$$

In other words, T_n is a consistent estimator of $\gamma(\theta)$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$, there exists a positive integer $n \geq m(\epsilon, \eta)$ such that

$$P [|T_n - \gamma(\theta)| < \epsilon] \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots(15.2)$$

$$\Rightarrow P [|T_n - \gamma(\theta)| < \epsilon] > 1 - \eta ; \forall n \geq m \quad \dots(15.2a)$$

where m is some very large value of n .

15.4.2. Sufficient Conditions for Consistency.

Theorem 15.2. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of estimators such that for all $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$(i) E_{\theta}(T_n) \rightarrow \gamma(\theta), n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{and} \quad (ii) \text{Var}_{\theta}(T_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then T_n is a consistent estimator of $\gamma(\theta)$.

Poll Que. Which of the following is/are correct?

- (a) **Sample mean is only an Unbiased Estimator of population mean.**

(b) Sample mean is only a consistent Estimator of population mean.

(c) Sample mean is both Unbiased and consistent Estimator of population mean.

(d) *None of these.*

Example 15.5. (a) *Prove that in sampling from a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ population, the sample mean is a consistent estimator of μ .*

Unbiasedness is a property associated with finite n . A statistic $T_n = T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, is said to be an unbiased estimator of $\gamma(\theta)$ if

$$E(T_n) = \gamma(\theta), \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

15.4.1. Invariance Property of Consistent Estimators.

Theorem 15.1. *If T_n is a consistent estimator of $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\psi(\gamma(\theta))$ is a continuous function of $\gamma(\theta)$, then $\psi(T_n)$ is a consistent estimator of $\psi(\gamma(\theta))$.*

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ are random observations on a Bernoulli variate 'X' taking the value '1' with probability 'p' and the value '0' with probability '(1 - p)', then $\frac{\sum x_i}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right)$ is a consistent estimator of:

- (a) $p(1 - p)$ (b) $1 - p$ (c) p (d) *None of these.*

Example 15.6. *If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are random observations on a Bernoulli variate X taking the value 1 with probability p and the value 0 with probability (1 - p), show that :*

$\frac{\sum x_i}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right)$ is a consistent estimator of $p(1 - p)$.

Solution. Since X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are *i.i.d* Bernoulli variates with parameter ' p ',

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sim B(n, p)$$

$$\Rightarrow E(T) = np \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(T) = npq$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{T}{n}$$

$$\therefore E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} E(T) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot np = p$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \text{Var}(T) = \frac{pq}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $E(\bar{X}) \rightarrow p$ and $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$; \bar{X} is a consistent estimator of p .

Also $\frac{\sum x_i}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right) = \bar{X} (1 - \bar{X})$, being a polynomial in \bar{X} , is a continuous function of \bar{X} .

Since \bar{X} is consistent estimator of p , by the invariance property of consistent estimators (Theorem 15.1), $\bar{X} (1 - \bar{X})$ is a consistent estimator of $p(1 - p)$.

15.5. Efficient Estimators. Efficiency. Even if we confine ourselves to unbiased estimates, there will, in general, exist more than one consistent estimator of a parameter. For example, in sampling from a normal population $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, when σ^2 is known, sample mean \bar{x} is an unbiased and consistent estimator of μ [c.f. Example 15.5a].

$$\therefore V(Md) = \frac{1}{4n} \cdot 2\pi\sigma^2 = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2n}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Since} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} E(Md) = \mu \\ V(Md) \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \text{ , as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

median is also an unbiased and consistent estimator of μ .

If, of the two consistent estimators T_1, T_2 of a certain parameter θ , we have

$$V(T_1) < V(T_2), \text{ for all } n \quad \dots(15.11)$$

then T_1 is more efficient than T_2 for all samples sizes.

We have seen above :

$$\text{For all } n, \quad V(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\text{and for large } n, \quad V(Md) = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2n} = 1.57 \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Since $V(\bar{x}) < V(Md)$, we conclude that for normal distribution, sample mean is more efficient estimator for μ than the sample median, for large samples at least.

15.5.1. Most Efficient Estimator. *If in a class of consistent estimators for a parameter, there exists one whose sampling variance is less than that of any such estimator, it is called the most efficient estimator. Whenever such an estimator exists, it provides a criterion for measurement of efficiency of the other estimators.*

Efficiency (Def.) If T_1 is the most efficient estimator with variance V_1 and T_2 is any other estimator with variance V_2 , then the efficiency E of T_2 is defined as :

$$E = \frac{V_1}{V_2} \quad \dots(15.12)$$

Obviously, E cannot exceed unity.

If T, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n are all estimators of $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\text{Var}(T)$ is minimum, then the efficiency E_i of T_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is defined as :

$$E_i = \frac{\text{Var } T}{\text{Var } T_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad \dots(15.12a)$$

Obviously $E_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

For example, in the normal samples, since sample mean \bar{x} is the most efficient estimator of μ [c.f. Remark to Example 15.31], the efficiency E of Md for such samples, (for large n), is :

$$E = \frac{V(\bar{x})}{V(Md)} = \frac{\sigma^2/n}{\pi\sigma^2/(2n)} = \frac{2}{\pi} = 0.637$$

Example 15.7. A random sample $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$ of size 5 is drawn from a normal population with unknown mean μ . Consider the following estimators to estimate μ :

$$(i) \quad t_1 = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5}{5}$$

$$(ii) \quad t_2 = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2} + X_3, \quad (iii) \quad t_3 = \frac{2X_1 + X_2 + \lambda X_3}{3}$$

where λ is such that t_3 is an unbiased estimator of μ .

Find λ . Are t_1 and t_2 unbiased? State giving reasons, the estimator which is best among t_1, t_2 and t_3 .

(a) $\lambda = -1$

(b) $\lambda = 0$

(c) $\lambda = 1$

(d) None of these.

(a) only ' t_1 ' is unbiased

(b) only ' t_2 ' is unbiased

(c) both ' t_1 ' and

' t_2 ' are unbiased

(d) None of these.

- (a) ' t_1 ' is most efficient (b) ' t_2 ' is most efficient (c) ' t_3 ' is most efficient
 (d) *None of these.*

$$V(t_1) = \frac{1}{25} [V(X_1) + V(X_2) + V(X_3) + V(X_4) + V(X_5)] = \frac{1}{5} \sigma^2$$

$$V(t_2) = \frac{1}{4} [V(X_1) + V(X_2)] + V(X_3) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 = \frac{3}{2} \sigma^2$$

$$V(t_3) = \frac{1}{9} [4V(X_1) + V(X_2)] = \frac{1}{9} (4\sigma^2 + \sigma^2) = \frac{5}{9} \sigma^2 \quad (\because \lambda = 0)$$

Since $V(t_1)$ is the least, t_1 is the best estimator (in the sense of least variance) of μ .

Example 15.8. X_1, X_2 , and X_3 is a random sample of size 3 from a population with mean value μ and variance σ^2 , T_1, T_2, T_3 are the estimators used to estimate mean value μ , where

$$T_1 = X_1 + X_2 - X_3, \quad T_2 = 2X_1 + 3X_3 - 4X_2, \quad \text{and} \quad T_3 = (\lambda X_1 + X_2 + X_3)/3$$

- (i) Are T_1 and T_2 unbiased estimators ?
- (ii) Find the value of λ such that T_3 is unbiased estimator for μ .
- (iii) With this value of λ is T_3 a consistent estimator ?
- (iv) Which is the best estimator ?

Poll Que. Which of the following is the probability density function of the random variable $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$?

- (a) $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$ (b) $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ (c)
 $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ (d) $\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$.

15.10. Methods of Estimation. So far we have been discussing the requisites of a good estimator. Now we shall briefly outline some of the important methods for obtaining such estimators. Commonly used methods are

- (i) *Method of Maximum Likelihood Estimation.*
- (ii) *Method of Minimum Variance.*
- (iii) *Method of Moments.*
- (iv) *Method of Least Squares.*
- (v) *Method of Minimum Chi-square*
- (vi) *Method of Inverse Probability.*

Likelihood Function. *Definition.* Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample of size n from a population with density function $f(x, \theta)$. Then the likelihood function of the sample values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , usually denoted by $L = L(\theta)$ is their joint density function, given by

$$L = f(x_1, \theta) f(x_2, \theta) \dots f(x_n, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta). \quad \dots(15.53)$$

L gives the relative likelihood that the random variables assume a particular set of values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . For a given sample x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , L becomes a function of the variable θ , the parameter.

The principle of maximum likelihood consists in finding an estimator for the unknown parameter $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$, say, which maximises the likelihood function $L(\theta)$ for variations in parameter i.e., we wish to find $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)$ so that

$$L(\hat{\theta}) > L(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad L(\hat{\theta}) = \text{Sup } L(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

Thus if there exists a function $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of the sample values which maximises L for variations in θ , then $\hat{\theta}$ is to be taken as an estimator of θ . $\hat{\theta}$ is usually called *Maximum Likelihood Estimator (M.L.E.)*. Thus $\hat{\theta}$ is the solution, if any, of

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta^2} < 0 \quad \dots(15.54)$$

Since $L > 0$, and $\log L$ is a non-decreasing function of L ; L and $\log L$ attain their extreme values (maxima or minima) at the same value of $\hat{\theta}$. The first of the two equations in (15.54) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{L} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = 0, \quad \dots(15.54a)$$

a form which is much more convenient from practical point of view.

If θ is vector valued parameter, then $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)$, is given by the solution of simultaneous equations :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log L (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) = 0 ; i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

...(15.54b)

Equations (15.54a) and (15.54b) are usually referred to as the *Likelihood Equations* for estimating the parameters.

Solution. $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

$$\log L = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Poll Que. Which of the following is the probability density function of the random variable $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$?

- (a) $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$ (b) $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$ (c) $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$ (d) $\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\sigma}} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$.

Poll Que. If $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ is a random sample of size 'n' from a Normal population $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then $\log L = ?$

(a) $-\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$ (b)

$-\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$

(c) $-\frac{n}{2} \log(\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$ (d)

$-\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$.

Example 15.31. In random sampling from normal population $N(\mu; \sigma^2)$, find the maximum likelihood estimators for

- (i) μ when σ^2 is known,
- (ii) σ^2 when μ is known, and

(iii) the simultaneous estimation of μ and σ^2 .

For part (i) (a) MLE for ' μ ' when ' σ^2 ' is known is $\frac{\bar{x}}{2}$ (b) MLE for ' μ ' when ' σ^2 ' is known is \bar{x}

(c) MLE for ' μ ' when ' σ^2 ' is known is $2\bar{x}$ (d)

None of these.

For part (ii) (a) MLE for ' σ^2 ' when ' μ ' is known is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

(b) MLE for ' σ^2 ' when ' μ ' is known is $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$

(c) MLE for ' σ^2 ' when ' μ ' is known is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$

(d) *None of these.*

For part (iii) (a) MLE for ' μ ' and ' σ^2 ' are \bar{x} and s^2 respectively

(b) MLE for ' μ ' and ' σ^2 ' are \bar{x} and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$ respectively

(c) MLE for ' μ ' and ' σ^2 ' are \bar{x} and $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ respectively (d) *None of these.*

Solution. $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

$$\log L = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Case (i). When σ^2 is known, the likelihood equation for estimating μ is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log L = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n 2(x_i - \mu)(-1) = 0$$

$$\text{or} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x} \quad \dots(*)$$

Hence M.L.E. for μ is the sample mean \bar{x} .

Case (ii). When μ is known, the likelihood equation for estimating σ^2 is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \log L = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow n - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \quad \dots(**)$$

Case (iii). The likelihood equations for simultaneous estimation of μ and σ^2 are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log L = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \log L = 0, \text{ thus giving}$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} \quad \text{[From (*)]}$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 \quad \text{[From (**)]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = s^2, \text{ the sample variance.}$$

Poll Que. (i) Which of the following is the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter ' α ' of

a population having density function: $\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\alpha - x), 0 < x < \alpha$ when a sample of unit size is drawn

from the population? (Here ' x ' is the sample value).

- (a) $2x$ (b) x (c) $\frac{x}{2}$ (d) *None of these.*

Poll Que. (ii) Is the above MLE is biased?

- (a) **Yes** (b) **No.**

Example 15.32. Prove that the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter α of a population having density function :

$$\frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\alpha - x), 0 < x < \alpha$$

for a sample of unit size is $2x$, x being the sample value. Show also that the estimate is biased. [Burdwan Univ. B.Sc. (Maths. Hons.), 1991]

Solution. For a random sample of unit size ($n = 1$), the likelihood function is :

$$L(\alpha) = f(x, \alpha) = \frac{2}{\alpha^2}(\alpha - x); 0 < x < \alpha$$

Likelihood equation gives :

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \log L = \frac{d}{d\alpha} [\log 2 - 2 \log \alpha + \log(\alpha - x)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha - x} = 0 \Rightarrow 2(\alpha - x) - \alpha = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = 2x$$

Hence MLE of α is given by $\hat{\alpha} = 2x$.

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\alpha}) &= E(2X) = 2 \int_0^{\alpha} x \cdot f(x, \alpha) dx \\ &= \frac{4}{\alpha^2} \int_0^{\alpha} x(\alpha - x) dx = \frac{4}{\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\alpha x^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{3} \right]_0^{\alpha} = \frac{2}{3} \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(\hat{\alpha}) \neq \alpha$, $\hat{\alpha} = 2x$ is not an unbiased estimate of α .

[Springer Ebooks.pdf](#)

$$P(-3 \leq Z \leq 3) = 0.9973, i.e., P(|Z| \leq 3) = 0.9973 \quad P(|Z| > 3) = 1 - P(|Z| \leq 3) = 0.0027$$

$$P(-1.96 \leq Z \leq 1.96) = 0.95, i.e., P(|Z| \leq 1.96) = 0.95$$

$$P(|Z| > 1.96) = 1 - P(|Z| \leq 1.96) = 0.05$$

$$P(|Z| \leq 2.58) = 0.99 \quad P(|Z| > 2.58) = 0.01$$