Empowering Consensus: A Majority Model Approach to Collision Avoidance in Swarm Systems

Harnessing Collective Intelligence for Cooperative Decision Making

Satyam Dubey
dept. Information Technology
Rajkiya Engineering College
Banda, India
Satyamd812@gmail.com

***Abstract*—Swarm robotics is an emerging field that draws inspiration from the collective behavior of social insects, such as ants and bees, to design robotic systems capable of accomplishing complex tasks in a distributed manner. One key aspect of swarm robotics is the ability of individual robots to communicate and cooperate effectively, leading to the emergence of intelligent group behavior. In recent years, the concept of majority rule has gained significant attention as a means to facilitate decision making within a swarm. This paper presents a comprehensive review of the use of majority rule in swarm robotics, exploring its theoretical foundations, implementation strategies, and applications in various domains. The objective is to highlight the potential of majority rule as a powerful mechanism for achieving consensus and making robust decisions in large-scale swarm robotic systems.**

I. Introduction

The concept of swarm robotics originates from the study of swarm intelligence, which explores the self-organized behavior observed in natural swarms. By mimicking the principles of social insect colonies, swarm robotics aims to leverage the collective power, adaptability, robustness, and scalability exhibited by these natural systems. This research field has gained significant attention due to its potential applications in diverse domains, including search and rescue operations, environmental monitoring, agriculture, transportation, and industrial automation.

Unlike traditional robotics, which often focuses on designing and programming individual robots with complex algorithms, swarm robotics emphasizes the interactions and cooperation among large numbers of agents to achieve a common goal. Each agent in the swarm typically has limited sensing, computational, and communication capabilities. However, by leveraging the collective intelligence and coordination of the swarm, the overall system can exhibit emergent behaviors and accomplish tasks beyond the capabilities of individual agents.

The majority rule is a well-known decision-making approach that has been successfully applied in various domains, voting systems, and consensus algorithms. It offers a simple yet effective mechanism for reaching decisions based on the majority opinion within a group. Leveraging the power of majority consensus, the majority rule enables efficient and decentralized decision-making in swarm robotics.

In this paper, we explore the application of the majority rule in the context of consensus and hurdle avoidance in swarm robotics. Our objective is to develop a collective decision-making mechanism that allows a swarm of agents to collectively navigate the environment while effectively avoiding hurdles. We implement the majority rule approach in a simulated swarm robotics scenario and evaluate its performance through extensive experimentation.

Our approach is based on a mathematical model that represents individual agents as point masses with positions and directions in a two-dimensional environment. The agents move autonomously, adjusting their directions based on the consensus of their neighbors. The consensus phase occurs periodically, allowing the agents to align their directions towards preferred paths. Additionally, repulsion forces are employed to ensure hurdle avoidance and maintain safe distances from obstacles.

The experimental results provide insights into the effectiveness of the majority rule in enabling consensus and hurdle avoidance in swarm robotics. We discuss the implications of our findings, including the advantages of the majority rule approach, its scalability, and potential applications in real-world scenarios. Furthermore, we highlight the limitations of the approach and suggest areas for future research and improvement.

Overall, this research contributes to the growing body of knowledge in swarm robotics by investigating the application of the majority rule in consensus and hurdle avoidance. The findings of this study have the potential to inform the design of robust and efficient swarm robotics systems that can navigate complex environments, detect optimal paths, and overcome hurdles through collective decision-making.

II. Methodology

In this section, we describe the methodology used to investigate the influence of neighbor distance finding and latent to non-latent agent conversion based on majority rule in the swarm robotics simulation.

A. Agent Representation

Each agent in the simulation is represented as a point in a two-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system. The agent's position is denoted by the coordinates (x, y), and its orientation is represented by the angle θ with respect to the positive x-axis.

B. Swarm Dynamics

The movement and interaction of agents in the swarm are governed by a set of rules and forces. The agent's movement is determined by the velocity vector $\vec{v}$, which is calculated based on the agent's current position, direction, and speed. The agent updates its position using the following equations:

$$x' = x + \cos(\theta) \cdot v \cdot \Delta t$$

$$y' = y + \sin(\theta) \cdot v \cdot \Delta t$$

where x' and y' represent the new position of the agent, Δt is the time step, and v is the agent's speed.

C. Neighbor Distance Finding

To facilitate coordination and avoid collisions, each agent maintains awareness of its neighbors within a certain distance. The neighbor distance finding mechanism uses the Euclidean distance formula to determine the proximity between agents. Given the positions (x_i, y_i) and (x_j, y_j) of two agents i and j, the distance d_{ij} between them is computed as:

$$d_{ij} = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2}$$

Agents within a predefined neighbor radius r_n are considered neighbors, and their interactions influence the agent's behavior.

D. Force-Based Interaction Model

The interactions between agents are modeled using a force-based approach. Each agent experiences three types of forces: repulsion, alignment, and attraction.

1. **Repulsion Force**: Agents within a repulsion radius r_r exert repulsive forces on each other to avoid collisions. The repulsion force $\vec{F}_r$ acting on agent i due to agent j is computed as:

$$\vec{F}_r = k_r \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}_{ij}}{d_{ij}}\right)$$

where k_r is the repulsion strength, $\vec{r}_{ij}$ is the vector pointing from agent j to agent i, and d_{ij} is the distance between the agents.

2. **Alignment Force**: Agents within the neighbor radius r_n tend to align their directions to achieve consensus. The alignment force $\vec{F}_a$ acting on agent i due to agent j is calculated as:

$$\vec{F}_a = k_a \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{v}_j}{|\vec{v}_j|}\right)$$

where k_a is the alignment strength, and $\vec{v}_j$ is the velocity vector of agent j.

3. **Attraction Force**: Agents are attracted towards a common goal or a central point within the environment. The attraction force $\vec{F}_t$ acting on agent i towards the goal is given by:

$$\vec{F}_t = k_t \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{g} - \vec{r}_i}{|\vec{g} - \vec{r}_i|}\right)$$

where k_t is the attract strength, $\vec{g}$ represents the position of the goal, and $\vec{r}_i$ is the position of agent i.

E. Consensus Phase

To achieve consensus among the agents, a consensus phase is performed at regular intervals. During the consensus phase, agents update their directions based on the majority opinion of their neighbors. The average direction θ_c for agent i is calculated as:

$$\theta_c = \text{atan2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sin(\theta_j), \sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos(\theta_j)\right)$$

where θ_j represents the direction of neighbor agent j, and N is the total number of neighbors.

F. consensus

consensus is achieved through collective decision-making based on the majority rule. During each time step, the agents assess their neighbors' directions and adjust their own directions accordingly. The consensus phase occurs periodically every T time steps.

The agents update their directions using a weighted average of their current direction θ_i and the average direction θ_i^{avg}:

$$\theta_i' = (1 - \alpha)\theta_i + \alpha\theta_i^{avg}$$

where α is a weighting factor representing the strength of the consensus influence.

The consensus process allows the swarm to collectively align their directions towards preferred paths, enabling efficient exploration and navigation towards the goal locations.

G. Hurdle Avoidance

To avoid hurdles within the environment, the agents utilize repulsion forces to maintain a safe distance from obstacles. The repulsion force exerted by an obstacle k on agent i is given by:

$$F_{ik} = \begin{cases} \frac{r - d_{ik}}{d_{ik}} & \text{if } d_{ik} < r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where d_{ik} is the distance between agent i and obstacle k, and r is the repulsion radius.

The agents adjust their positions based on the repulsion forces, ensuring they maintain a safe distance from the hurdles while navigating towards the goal locations.

H. Mathematical Model of Hurdle Oscillation Movement

Let H represent a single hurdle in the environment with position (x_H, y_H). The oscillation of the hurdle can be modeled as a sinusoidal function, where A_H denotes the amplitude of oscillation and ω_H represents the oscillation frequency. The hurdle's position y_H can be described as a function of time t as follows:

$$y_H(t) = y_H + A_H \sin(\omega_H t)$$

Here, A_H governs the maximum displacement of the hurdle from its original position y_H, and ω_H controls the rate of oscillation.

I. Agent-Obstacle Interaction

When an agent comes within a certain distance R_R from a hurdle, it experiences a repulsion force that depends on the distance between the agent and the hurdle. The repulsion force aims to avoid collisions and steer the agent away from the obstacle. The agent's updated position (x_i, y_i) due to the repulsion force from the n-th hurdle can be calculated using:

$$x_i = x_i - \frac{R_R - \text{distance}(x_i, y_i, x_{H_n}, y_{H_n})}{\text{distance}(x_i, y_i, x_{H_n}, y_{H_n})} \cdot (x_i - x_{H_n})$$

$$y_i = y_i - \frac{R_R - \text{distance}(x_i, y_i, x_{H_n}, y_{H_n})}{\text{distance}(x_i, y_i, x_{H_n}, y_{H_n})} \cdot (y_i - y_{H_n})$$

Here, (x_i, y_i) represents the agent's updated position, and (x_{H_n}, y_{H_n}) represents the position of the n-th hurdle.

J. Data Collection and Analysis

During the simulation, various data are collected, including the positions, directions, consensus rates, and collision counts of the agents. Statistical analysis techniques, such as average consensus rate calculation and collision frequency analysis, are employed to evaluate the efficiency and effectiveness of the swarm behavior.

III. Experimental Results and Discussion

In this section, we present the experimental results obtained from the simulation using the majority rule approach for consensus and hurdle avoidance in swarm robotics. We analyze the swarm's ability to navigate the environment, detect optimal paths, and effectively avoid hurdles using collective decision-making.

A. Swarm Navigation and consensus

The simulation demonstrated that the majority rule-based approach enabled the swarm to effectively navigate the environment and detect optimal paths. Agents coordinated their movements and adjusted their directions based on the majority opinion of their neighbors. By collectively detecting paths, the swarm efficiently explored the environment and identified routes towards the goal locations. The coordination among agents ensured a smooth flow of movement, minimizing collisions and maximizing the exploration and exploitation of the available paths.

B. Hurdle Avoidance

The majority rule-based approach also facilitated hurdle avoidance within the swarm. Agents detected hurdles in their vicinity and adjusted their directions to avoid collisions. By collectively sharing obstacle information and leveraging the majority opinion, the swarm effectively identified safe paths and coordinated movements to avoid hurdles. The repulsion force exerted between agents ensured a sufficient separation distance, enabling successful hurdle avoidance. The coordination among agents allowed the swarm to overcome hurdles collectively, resulting in efficient traversal of the environment.

C. Decision Convergence for Path Selection

The consensus phase in the majority rule-based approach played a crucial role in decision convergence for path selection. Agents periodically entered the consensus phase, during which they updated their directions based on the majority opinion of their neighbors. Through collective decision-making, the swarm converged on preferred paths towards the goal locations. The consensus-based path selection process enabled the swarm to navigate the environment effectively and optimize the utilization of available paths.

D. Emergence of Collective Path-Finding Behavior

The majority rule-based approach led to the emergence of collective path-finding behavior within the swarm. As agents shared path information and adjusted their directions based on the majority opinion, cohesive groups formed and moved together towards the goal locations. The coordination and cooperation among agents enabled the swarm to exhibit unified path-finding behavior, effectively exploring the environment, avoiding hurdles, and reaching the goal locations in an optimized manner.

E. Discussion

The experimental results highlight the effectiveness of the majority rule approach for consensus and hurdle avoidance in swarm robotics. By leveraging collective decision-making and the majority opinion of neighbors, the swarm demonstrated efficient navigation, consensus, and hurdle avoidance capabilities. The coordination among agents, achieved through the consensus phase, played a crucial role in the swarm's ability to collectively detect paths and avoid hurdles.

The majority rule-based approach offers several advantages in swarm robotics. By distributing decision-making across the swarm, it reduces the reliance on centralized control and promotes decentralized coordination. The approach allows the swarm to adapt and respond to dynamic environments, making it robust and capable of handling unforeseen hurdles and obstacles. Furthermore, the utilization of repulsion forces and alignment dynamics ensures safe and efficient traversal, minimizing collisions and optimizing path exploration.

It is important to note that the performance of the majority rule-based approach may be influenced by various factors, such as the choice of interaction radius, the strength of forces, and the complexity of the environment. Further experimentation and parameter tuning may be required to optimize the approach for specific scenarios and applications. Additionally, the scalability and robustness of the approach should be further investigated to determine its effectiveness in larger swarm sizes and more challenging environments.

Overall, the experimental results demonstrate the potential of the majority rule-based approach for consensus and hurdle avoidance in swarm robotics. The approach enables the swarm to collectively detect paths, avoid hurdles, and exhibit efficient and coordinated behavior. Future research should focus on further enhancing the approach, exploring different consensus strategies, and investigating real-world implementations for practical applications.

IV. Drawback

The agents' random initial positions and directions, represented as (initial x, initial y) and initial direction respectively, could lead to some agents getting trapped in local minima of the environment's potential field. In such cases, the agents may struggle to find a path towards the target position (target x , target y) resulting in a failure to reach it.

The probability of an agent successfully reaching the target position could be influenced by the agent's speed. If the agent's speed is too low compared to the distance between its initial position and the target position, it might take a significant amount of time to reach the target. Consequently, in some runs of the simulation, the agent might not reach the target within the allotted time .

The consensus-based approach, where agents update their directions based on interactions with neighboring agents, might introduce delays in reaching a collective decision. This delay can be represented as CONSENSUS PERIOD, indicating how frequently consensus is achieved. As a result, the agents might not reach an agreement on the optimal direction to move in within a given time frame, leading to instances where they fail to reach the target.

The absence of individual agent memory and decision-making algorithms limits the agents' ability to adapt to changing conditions or learn from past experiences. Consequently, in some instances, the agents might repeat unsuccessful strategies, leading to a failure to reach the target .

V. Conclusion

In this research, we investigated the application of the majority rule in the context of consensus and hurdle avoidance in swarm robotics. Through extensive experimentation and analysis, we have obtained valuable insights into the effectiveness and potential of the majority rule approach.

Our results demonstrate that the majority rule enables swarm agents to collectively detect optimal paths and navigate the environment while effectively avoiding hurdles. The consensus-based decision-making process allows the swarm to converge towards preferred paths and align their directions accordingly. Additionally, the incorporation of repulsion forces ensures safe obstacle avoidance and maintains suitable distances among agents.

In conclusion, our research presents a compelling case for the utilization of the majority rule in swarm robotics for consensus and hurdle avoidance. The results provide valuable insights into the potential of collective decision-making and emergent behavior in swarm systems. We hope that this work inspires further exploration and advancements in swarm robotics, ultimately leading to the development of more efficient and adaptive swarm systems for various applications.

References

[1] Hamann 2018 formal Hamann, H. (2018). Swarm Robotics: A Formal Approach.

[2] Ferrante, E., Brambilla, M., Birattari, M., and Dorigo, M. (2010). Socially- mediated negotiation for obstacle avoidance in collective transport. In Pro- ceedings of the 10th International Symposium on Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems (DARS 2010), page to appear. Springer, Berlin, Germany.

[3] Montes de Oca, M. A., Ferrante, E., Mathews, N., Birattari, M., and Dorigo, M. (2010). Opinion dynamics for decentralized decision-making in a robot swarm. In Dorigo, M. et al., editors, LNCS 6234. Swarm Intelligence. 7th International Conference, ANTS 2010, pages 251–262. Springer, Berlin, Ger- many.

[4] Montes de Oca, M. A., Ferrante, E., Scheidler, A., Pinciroli, C., Birattari, M., Dorigo, M. (2011). Majority- rule opinion dynamics with differential latency: Supplementary information web page.Available online: http://iridia.ulb.ac.be/supp/IridiaSupp2010-014/

[5] Roberts, J., Stirling, T., Zufferey, J., Floreano, D. (2009). 2.5D infrared range and bearing system for collective robotics. In Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS 2009) (pp. 3659–3664). Piscataway: IEEE Press.

[6] Sugawara, K., Kazama, T., Watanabe, T. (2004). Foraging behavior of interacting robots with virtual pheromone. In Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS 2004) (pp. 3074–3079). Piscataway: IEEE Press.

[7] Bonani, M., Longchamp, V., Magnenat, S., Rétornaz, P., Burnier, D., Roulet, G., Vaussard, F., Bleuler, H., Mondada, F. (2010). The MarXbot, a miniature mobile robot opening new perspectives for the collective-robotic research. In Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS 2010) (pp. 4187–4193). Piscataway: IEEE Press.

[8] Ducatelle, F., Di Caro, G., Gambardella, L. M. (2010). Cooperative self-organization in a heterogeneous swarm robotic system. In Proceedings of the genetic and evolutionary computation conference (GECCO 2010) (pp. 87–94). New York: ACM.

[9] Julia T Ebert, Melvin Gauci, and Radhika Nagpal. "Multi-feature collective decision making in robot swarms". In: Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems. 2018, pp. 1711–1719.

[10] Judhi Prasetyo, Giulia De Masi, and Eliseo Ferrante. "Collective decision making in dynamic environments". In: Swarm Intelligence 13.3 (2019), pp. 217–243.