

**Note**

From:

~~S.G.~~

S.G.

Subject:

~~STACK (32, 2stack, por)~~

To:

Date:

~~2018-10-20~~Starta generic type  
2018-11-02

(1)

1. ~~Proced~~ Subroutines on arbitrary types:

push(array of T, T): array of T

- ⇒ a) for non-reference types, compile anew;  
b) for reference types, use type erasure:

push(array of <T>, <T>): array of <T>  
or even:

push(array of <ref T>, <ref T>):  
array of <ref T>

! solves also the 'new array of <T>' problem!

2. Containers of previously unknown type:

- a) type array of T = ...

type list of T = struct {  
next: list of T; // ok, ref  
value: T; // ok, last field  
}

⇒ resolved at the code generation time with real type attributes;

2

! Works only for bytecode  
inline subroutines!  
(mostly operators)

!! Problems with implementing  
native Starta subroutines

list of T  
list of <T> +

---

3. Current implementation;  
assumes that all types  
fit one stackcell.

! A lot of problems:

— Can not compile to Java  
bytecode

— Can not implement  
'new T[10]' for a generic T

— Implementations are  
inefficient and can not  
be easily optimised.

⇒ remove from the language?

3

Generic types of the "3-rd way" are only needed for:

-  $\text{push}(T[]; T) \rightarrow T[]$  also:  
 $\text{pop}()$   
 $\text{trim}()$

$\Rightarrow$  can be implemented once for all reference types  $T$ ;

$\Rightarrow$  will have to include a parametrised module for non-reference  $T$ s, but that's probably OK (i.e. not too much burden/overhead)

-  $\text{length}(\text{array of } T)$

$\Rightarrow$  defined for reference type 'array of  $T$ ' anyway...

-  $\text{trim}(\text{array of } T): \text{array of } T$

$\Rightarrow$  defined only for the reference type 'array of  $T$ ' anyway...

- same for 'clone()'

-  $\text{sort}(\text{array of type } T \text{ } a; \text{ cmp: function}(a, b : T) \rightarrow (\text{int})) : \text{array of } T;$