

Prof. Dr. Bernhard Seeger Amir El-Shaikh, M.Sc. Marius Kuhrt, M.Sc.

Exercises for the lecture Implementation of Database Systems

Submission: 15. 11. 2024, **no later than** 8:00 p.m. via the ILIAS platform

Exercise 2

Task 2.1: 2Q Replacement Strategies (3+1+5+6)

(15 Points)

In the lecture you got to know the LRU-k strategy. In practice, k=2 already achieves very good results. In this task you're required to implement a replacement strategy that is easier to implement than LRU-2, but still achieves similarly good results.

The 2Q strategy is based on two lists. The first list (A1) is managed using the FIFO strategy, the second (Am) using the LRU(-1) strategy. First, it is checked whether a requested page is already managed in one of the lists. If this is not the case, the page is sorted at the beginning of A1. If the list is already full, the oldest page is removed from A1 (A1 is a classic queue). If the page is in A1, it is moved to the beginning of Am.

Furthermore, you may find the full article on the 2Q procedure on the ILIAS platform.

We use the Buffer class to implement the replacement strategy. A buffer uses the (abstract) method Buffer.Slot::victim() to determine which page is to be swapped out next. Pages can be requested in the buffer by calling fix(). If there is no free frame left, the page returned by victim() is swapped out of the buffer.

a) First extend the class Buffer so that the current page fault rate can be queried. The page miss rate is the ratio of pages not in the buffer to requested pages. To do so, implement an abstract class PageFaultRateBuffer that inherits from Buffer. PageFaultRateBuffer maintains two counters: one for the total number of page accesses, and a second for the number of unbuffered page accesses. Furthermore, a method getPageFaultRate() is to be implemented that returns the current page fault rate.

Hint: The method fix() should be overridden accordingly.

- **b)** Extend the class LRUBuffer to PageFaultRateLRUBuffer by adding the measurement of the page fault rate (similarly to part **a**)).
- c) Create a class SimpleTwoQueueBuffer that inherits from PageFaultRateBuffer with the following data fields:
 - int kin, where the size of kin is 25% of the total number of slots.
 - Queue<Slot> a1.
 - Queue<Slot> am.

The (simple) 2Q strategy's victim() method returns the page (slot) to be swapped out based on the lists am and a1. Hence, the 2Q strategy should be implemented using the victim() and fix() methods respectively.

d) The method implemented in part c) does not consider correlated access. However, this can be achieved by splitting a1 into two FIFO queues. To do so, create a class TwoQueueBuffer that inherits from PageFaultRateBuffer. Now, instead of one queue a1, TwoQueueBuffer has two queues, Queue<Slot> a1in and Queue<char> a1out, plus a field int kout. The queue a1in maps the correlation time. As long as a requested page is in a1in, accesses are considered correlated. As soon as a page from the a1in queue is swapped out, a reference to it is inserted into the a1out queue. If a page in the a1out queue is referenced, it will be moved to the am queue. Implement the replacement strategy described above, taking into account the notes on part c).

Test the buffers created in the previous parts of the exercise by simulating the scenario from task 2.2 and output the page fault rates for LRUBuffer, SimpleTwoQueueBuffer and TwoQueueBuffer on the console, respectively.

<u>Task 2.2</u>: Key-Value Stores vs Relational Databases (2+5+6+2) (15 Points)

In this task, the classes Customer, Order and Product provided in the code template in Ilias are to be persisted on the external storage using a key-value store and a relational database.

- a) Create methods in the provided class ShopGenerator to generate random objects of the classes Customer, Order and Product. Make sure that the respective IDs are unique. When Customer is created, it should have a random, upper bounded number of Order objects (but at least one!). Created Order objects should also manage a random, upper bounded number of Product (but at least one!).
- b) Complete the class KVStoreImpl, which, among other things, implements the CustomerStore interface using the jdbm library and persists Customer objects on the external storage using a PrimaryHashMap. Use the customerId as a key. Use the class KVExample as a guide for dealing with jdbm.
- c) Complete the class H2StoreImpl, which, among other things, implements the CustomerStore interface using a H2 database and persists *all* information of a Customer object on the external storage. The relational schema can be found in the createTables method. Use the H2Example class as a guide to dealing with H2.
- d) Complete the InsertionPerformanceTest class and insert 10,000 randomly generated Customer elements into an instance of KVStoreImpl and an instance of H2StoreImpl in a main method. Measure the time it takes to insert all elements. Document and explain your results as a comment in the code or in a PDF file.

Note: The following tasks are optional but *highly* encouraged.

Task 2.3: Locality (0 Points)

The following is a reference string of a transaction:

AABBECFCCCDAAFFGLGGIMIMIMEEFGEF

Determine:

- a) the current locality for t=5, t=15, t=22 and window size 6.
- **b)** the average locality for window size 6.
- c) the LRU stack depth distribution. Create a diagram containing the number of accesses of each position in the stack.

Task 2.4: Replacement Strategies

(0 Points)

The following is a reference string of a transaction:

ABAABAACDBACED

Assume a buffer with 3 frames. Sketch the modifications of the buffer for each of the following replacement strategies:

- a) FIFO
- b) LFU
- c) LRU
- d) CLOCK

Additionally, provide the page fault ratio for each strategy.

Task 2.5: LRU-2 (0 Points)

The following is a reference string of a transaction:

BAABCDBEBAACDBE

Assume page accesses happen at a rate of 1 per second, the database buffer holds four frames and uses the LRU-2 replacement strategy. The correlation time is 3 seconds. Sketch the status of the buffer and its structures ${\tt HIST(p,\ i)}$ and ${\tt LAST(p)}$ on each access of the transaction.