EXTENSION PLAN

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The analysis of wildfires in Muskogee, Oklahoma, is motivated by a crucial need to prioritize the well-being of the community and address the escalating threat of wildfires. This initiative seeks to enhance the region's resilience by providing actionable insights for effective mitigation strategies and emergency response plans. The heightened risk of wildfires in Muskogee, attributed to climate conditions, vegetation types, and human activities, necessitates a scientific understanding of wildfire dynamics specific to the region.

From a practical standpoint, the analysis aims to inform land-use planning, community awareness programs, and resource allocation to minimize the adverse impacts on both urban and rural areas. By comprehensively assessing vulnerability and identifying at-risk populations and economic assets, the study prioritizes a human-centered approach to wildfire management.

Scientifically, the analysis contributes to our broader understanding of wildfire behavior in diverse landscapes, intending to extrapolate findings to similar ecosystems facing comparable risks. The primary goal is to learn about specific factors affected by the increased risk of wildfires in Muskogee, enabling the development of predictive models for early detection and intervention.

In essence, this study aspires to serve as a model for proactive wildfire management, combining scientific rigor with practical insights to empower communities facing the challenges that are impacted due to wildfires.

IMPACT FOCUS

Focusing on the **economic impact of wildfires on crop production and cattle in Muskogee, Oklahoma** is paramount due to the region's significant role in agricultural output, particularly in cattle, poultry, wheat, hogs, and various other crops. The statistics underscore Muskogee's prominence in the national agricultural landscape, making it crucial to understand and address the potential consequences of wildfires on these key sectors.

Muskogee stands as the second-largest producer of beef cows in the nation, boasting an impressive count of 2,073,000 head. With 5,450,000 head of cattle and calves, it ranks as the fourth-largest cattle-producing state, contributing substantially to the agricultural economy with a staggering \$2.54 billion in gross income. The sheer magnitude of cattle production underscores the economic significance of this sector for Muskogee.

Poultry production is another vital component of Muskogee's agricultural prowess. The region produces 1.3 billion pounds of broiler meat and 64.5 million dozen eggs, with cash receipts totaling \$613 million. This substantial contribution to the poultry industry further amplifies the importance of safeguarding these operations from the potential ravages of wildfires.

Wheat, being the fourth-largest produced crop in the state with 110.2 million bushels, generates \$584 million in cash receipts. Muskogee's standing as the fourth-largest wheat-producing state in the nation highlights the need for proactive measures to protect this crucial crop from the adverse impacts of wildfires.

Hogs and pigs contribute significantly to Muskogee's agricultural diversity, with 2.4 million head generating \$574 million in gross income. While the state ranks as the eighth-largest producer in the nation, the economic implications for Muskogee underscore the importance of mitigating the risks wildfires pose to this sector.

Diversification is evident in Muskogee's agricultural landscape with milk cows, hay, corn, cotton, soybeans, sorghum, peanuts, and other crops making notable contributions. With millions of bushels and pounds produced, each crop plays a role in shaping the economic landscape. For instance, Muskogee stands as the eighth-largest producer of hay, contributing 5.4 million tons and \$129 million in cash receipts.

The significance of Muskogee's agricultural output extends beyond traditional crops to include forestry, valued at \$2.7 billion in products shipped. Greenhouse and horticulture activities contribute \$176 million in cash receipts, while pecans, with 8 million pounds produced, generate \$24 million, placing the region as the fifth-largest pecan-producing state.

In light of Muskogee's agricultural prominence and its ranking as the fourth-largest sorghum-producing state, the impact of wildfires could reverberate through the entire nation's agricultural economy. As the ninth-largest peanut producer, with 66.9 million pounds and \$15.5 million in cash receipts, Muskogee's role in providing these staple crops further emphasizes the need for comprehensive wildfire prevention and management strategies.

Understanding the potential consequences of wildfires on Muskogee's diverse agricultural sectors is vital for developing effective risk mitigation and response plans. Given the economic importance of these crops, a proactive approach to protect against wildfire threats is essential to ensure the resilience and sustainability of Muskogee's agricultural economy.

In addition to relying on economic impact calculations, the approach also involves a separate investigation into the relationship between high temperatures, wildfires, and crop yields. This dual focus recognizes the interconnected nature of environmental factors and their potential impacts on agricultural outcomes.

The inquiry into whether high temperatures lead to wildfires or if wildfires contribute to temperature increases introduces an important layer of complexity. Wildfires can be both influenced by and influential to temperature patterns. High temperatures can create dry conditions that elevate the risk of wildfires, while the combustion of vegetation during wildfires releases heat-trapping gases into the atmosphere, potentially contributing to temperature increases.

Understanding this dynamic is crucial for assessing the vulnerability of Muskogee's agricultural sector to the combined effects of high temperatures and wildfires. A thorough investigation of these interdependencies can inform proactive measures for wildfire prevention, as well as adaptive strategies for managing the potential impacts on crop yields.

WHY THIS FOCUS

The analysis I am planning to undertake, examining the impact of wildfires on crop production in Muskogee, is driven by a profound recognition of the potential consequences on agriculture, a cornerstone of the community's livelihood. This investigation is both scientifically and practically significant, as it seeks to unravel the intricate dynamics between wildfires, smoke-induced environmental changes, and the subsequent effects on key crops, with a particular focus on corn, soybeans, and wheat.

Muskogee's heavy reliance on agriculture, evident in its substantial cattle production and diverse array of crops, underscores the practical importance of understanding how wildfires may disrupt this vital sector. The scientific significance lies in the opportunity to contribute nuanced insights into the specific responses of different crops to the multifaceted challenges posed by wildfires, including reduced light availability, exposure to gases like ozone, and potential deposition of particulates on plant tissues.

The consideration of light availability is crucial, especially given the differential responses of crops like corn and soybeans. Corn, a C4 plant, tends to be more light-sensitive, making it particularly vulnerable during the grain fill stages. On the other hand, soybeans, a C3 plant, may be more affected by changes in CO2 levels. By exploring these nuances, the analysis aims to provide actionable insights for farmers, allowing them to anticipate and mitigate potential losses based on the specific characteristics of their crops.

The impact of smoke on photosynthesis, a fundamental process in plant growth, introduces another layer of complexity. Reports suggesting that a 15% reduction in light intensity might not significantly affect corn yield, while sustained shading of 30-50% could lead to losses, highlight the importance of understanding the threshold levels beyond which crop productivity is compromised. This knowledge is invaluable for farmers who can use it to tailor their agricultural practices and make informed decisions during and after wildfire events.

The potential stress induced by gases like ozone, coupled with the deposition of particulates on plant tissues, adds further dimensions to the analysis. These factors could impact crop health and development, potentially leading to reduced photosynthesis and overall productivity. By delving into these mechanisms, the analysis aims to uncover the specific vulnerabilities of Muskogee's crops to these environmental stressors, offering insights into potential areas of intervention and mitigation.

For wheat, approaching harvest season under hazy conditions raises concerns about grain drying and disease development. Understanding the interplay between atmospheric conditions during wildfires and their impact on wheat is crucial for optimizing harvest practices and managing disease risks, further emphasizing the practical utility of this analysis.

In sum, the analysis is motivated by a commitment to advancing scientific understanding and providing actionable insights for the Muskogee community. By exploring the differential responses of key crops to wildfires, the goal is to equip local farmers, policymakers, and agricultural stakeholders with knowledge that empowers them to make informed decisions, safeguarding the resilience and sustainability of Muskogee's agricultural sector in the face of increasing wildfire risks.

DATA USED

Utilizing crop production data from the <u>United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)</u> for the calculation of economic impacts in Muskogee holds several key advantages. The USDA is a reputable and authoritative source for agricultural statistics, providing comprehensive and reliable data that is widely recognized in the research and policymaking communities. The decision to rely on USDA data is informed by the agency's commitment to collecting, analyzing, and disseminating accurate information related to crop production, livestock, and other agricultural activities.

One of the primary reasons for choosing USDA data is the agency's extensive and systematic approach to data collection. The USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) gathers information through surveys, censuses, and statistical models, ensuring a comprehensive and representative overview of agricultural activities at the national, state, and county levels. This comprehensive coverage is crucial for Muskogee's economic impact assessment, providing a solid foundation for the calculation formulas.

The USDA's crop production data include critical details such as the number of bushels produced, cash receipts, and other relevant metrics for a variety of crops, including wheat, hay, corn, cotton, soybeans, and more. This granularity allows for a nuanced analysis of the economic contributions of each specific crop to Muskogee's agricultural landscape.

Moreover, the USDA's commitment to transparency and data quality enhances the reliability of the calculations. The agency employs rigorous quality control measures to ensure the accuracy and consistency of the data it releases. This commitment is essential for research and decision-making processes, providing users with confidence in the integrity of the information used for economic impact assessments.

In conclusion, the choice to use USDA crop production data stems from the agency's reputation for accuracy, comprehensiveness, and transparency. Leveraging this reliable information provides a solid foundation for estimating the economic impact of agriculture in Muskogee. Additionally, the parallel investigation into the relationship between temperature (National Centers for Environmental Information), wildfires, and crop yields contributes to a holistic understanding of the factors influencing agricultural outcomes in the region. This combined approach aims to provide valuable insights for sustainable agricultural practices and effective risk management in the face of dynamic environmental conditions.

MODEL TO BE USED

Calculating the economic impact of crop production and cattle in Muskogee involves considering various factors, including gross income, market value, and the overall contribution of these sectors to the local economy. Here's a step-by-step guide for this calculation:

For Cattle Production:

CONTRIBUTION PER HEAD = GROSS INCOME / (NUMBER OF BEEF COWS + NUMBER OF CATTLE AND CALVES)

ECONOMIC IMPACT = CONTRIBUTION PER HEAD * (NUMBER OF BEEF COWS + NUMBER OF CATTLE AND CALVES)

For Crop Production:

CONTRIBUTION PER UNIT = CASH RECEIPTS / QUANTITY PRODUCED

ECONOMIC IMPACT FOR EACH CROP = CONTRIBUTION PER UNIT * QUANTITY PRODUCED

Overall Economic Impact:

OVERALL ECONOMIC IMPACT = ECONOMIC IMPACT FROM CATTLE + TOTAL CROP ECONOMIC IMPACT

Upon computing the comprehensive economic impact for each year, the amalgamation with the previously determined smoke impact serves as an external variable in our predictive model. The incorporation of these two distinct factors, economic ramifications and the influence of smoke resulting from wildfires, propels our analysis towards a holistic understanding of their collective implications on the Muskogee region.

The fusion of economic and smoke impacts functions as a crucial exogenous input, augmenting the predictive model's capacity to forecast the combined effects on the local environment. By integrating these diverse elements, we aim to unveil a more nuanced perspective on the intertwined dynamics of economic and environmental repercussions stemming from wildfires in the Muskogee vicinity.

This amalgamated approach seeks to transcend the limitations of isolated analyses, presenting a comprehensive forecast that captures the symbiotic relationship between economic variables and the lingering effects of smoke. The predictive model, fortified with this amalgamated data, endeavors to unravel the intricate interdependencies, offering a more accurate projection of the future trajectory in the aftermath of wildfires.

Our strategy aligns with a broader goal of enhancing the predictive capabilities for stakeholders and policymakers, fostering a comprehensive understanding of the potential impacts on the local community. By merging economic and environmental considerations, our approach aims to contribute to more informed decision-making, ultimately steering the region towards strategies that balance economic resilience with environmental sustainability in the face of escalating wildfire risks.

UNKNOWNS AND DEPENDENCIES

Several external factors may influence the ability to address supplementary questions. Firstly, the availability and accessibility of relevant data could pose a significant challenge. Additionally, the unpredictability of future wildfire occurrences in Muskogee is beyond immediate control. The frequency, intensity, and locations of wildfires can be influenced by climate patterns, land management practices, and other external factors that are difficult to predict accurately.

Economic and societal changes within Muskogee could also impact the analysis. Shifts in agricultural practices, land use policies, or economic priorities may alter the baseline assumptions used in the analysis.

Furthermore, technological advancements or limitations may affect the precision of the predictive model. Changes in computing power, modeling techniques, or the availability of advanced satellite data can either enhance or constrain the accuracy of the analysis.

Collaboration and cooperation with local authorities, research institutions, and relevant stakeholders are essential for obtaining accurate and timely information. However, external factors such as changes in governmental priorities, funding constraints, or shifts in institutional dynamics may affect the level of collaboration and data sharing.

Addressing these external factors requires adaptability and a proactive approach to seek alternative data sources, incorporate new methodologies, and stay informed about changes in the local and global context. Collaboration and open communication with relevant stakeholders remain critical to overcoming challenges and ensuring the robustness of the analysis.

TIMELINE FOR EXTENDED ANALYSIS ON THE IMPACT OF WILDFIRES IN MUSKOGEE:

Day 1-2: Data Collection

- Identify and access relevant datasets on crop production, cattle, economic indicators, and historical wildfire incidents in Muskogee.
- Compile a comprehensive dataset that includes information on crop yields, economic values, and wildfire occurrences.

Day 3-4: Data Preprocessing

- Clean and preprocess the collected data to address missing values, inconsistencies, and format issues.
- Standardize units and ensure compatibility between datasets for accurate analysis.

Day 5-6: Economic Impact Calculation

- Implement formulas to calculate the economic impact of crop production and cattle based on the compiled dataset.
- Validate calculations and cross-check results to ensure accuracy.

Day 7-8: Model Analysis

- Build a predictive model that incorporates economic impact and smoke-related variables.
- Test the model's robustness by examining historical data and checking for correlations between economic factors and wildfire impacts.

Day 9-10: Visualization Creation

- Develop visualizations, such as graphs and charts, to represent the economic impact and smoke-related variables over time.
- Ensure the visualizations effectively communicate trends and patterns in the data.

Day 11-12: Documentation

- Document the entire analysis process, including data sources, preprocessing steps, model details, and visualization interpretations.
- Review the documentation for clarity and completeness.
- Work on the presentation

This proposed timeline allows for a systematic and efficient completion of the extended analysis within the allocated 12 days, ensuring each stage is thoroughly executed and contributes to a comprehensive understanding of the economic impact of wildfires in Muskogee.

REFERENCES

https://okfbfoundationforagriculture.org/oklahoma-agriculture-at-a-glance/

https://www.nass.usda.gov/datasets/

https://www.no-tillfarmer.com/articles/12574-does-smoke-from-wildfires-affect-crop-yields

 $\underline{\text{https://agcrops.osu.edu/newsletter/corn-newsletter/2023-21/how-could-haze-wildfires-affect-} \underline{\text{crop-growth}}$