

Bounded-Memory Runtime Enforcement of Timed Properties

This repository contains additional material for the work titled "Bounded-Memory Runtime Enforcement of Timed Properties". This work defines a bounded-memory RE framework for a regular timed property specified as a timed automaton. Specifically, this appendix contains proofs of the propositions included in the paper.

Definition 4 is recalled below:

An enforcer for a timed property $\varphi \subseteq tw(\Sigma)$ is a function $E^\varphi : tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow tw(\Sigma)$, satisfying the following constraints:

Soundness	(Snd)	$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma) : E^\varphi(\sigma) \models \varphi \vee E^\varphi(\sigma) = \epsilon$
Monotonicity	(Mo)	$\forall \sigma, \sigma' \in tw(\Sigma) : \sigma \preceq \sigma' \implies E^\varphi(\sigma) \preceq E^\varphi(\sigma')$
Transparency	(Tr1)	$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma), \text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma) = \emptyset \implies E^\varphi(\sigma) \triangleleft_d \sigma$
	(Tr2)	$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma), \text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma) \neq \emptyset \implies E^\varphi(\sigma) \preceq_d \sigma$

Definition 5 is recalled below:

The enforcer for a property $\varphi \subseteq tw(\Sigma)$ is the function $E^\varphi : tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow tw(\Sigma)$ defined as:

$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall a \in \Sigma,$

$$E^\varphi(\sigma) = \Pi_1(\text{store}^\varphi(\sigma)), \text{ where}$$

$\text{store}^\varphi : tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow tw(\Sigma) \times tw(\Sigma)$ is defined as:

- $\text{store}^\varphi(\epsilon) = (\epsilon, \epsilon)$

$$\text{store}^\varphi(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \begin{cases} (\sigma_s \cdot \min_{\preceq_{lex, end}}(k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca})), \epsilon) & \text{if } k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset, \\ (\sigma_s, \sigma_c) & \text{if } k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset, \\ (\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with

- $(\sigma_s, \sigma_c) = \text{store}^\varphi(\sigma)$

- $\sigma_{ca} = \sigma_c \cdot (t, a)$

Definition 10 is recalled below:

A bounded enforcer for a timed property $\varphi \subseteq tw(\Sigma)$, equipped with a buffer of size k , is a function $E^{\varphi, k} : tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow tw(\Sigma) \times \{\perp, \top, \text{stop}\}$ satisfying the following constraints:

Soundness	(SndB)	$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma) : E_{out}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) \models \varphi \vee E_{out}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) = \epsilon$
Monotonicity	(Mo1B)	$\forall \sigma, \sigma' \in tw(\Sigma) : \sigma \preceq \sigma' \implies E_{out}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) \preceq E_{out}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma')$
	(Mo2B)	$\forall \sigma, \sigma' \in tw(\Sigma) : \sigma \preceq \sigma', (E_{mode}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) = \perp \implies E_{mode}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma') = \perp)$
Transparency	(Tr1B)	$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma), \text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma) = \emptyset \vee E_{mode}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) = \perp \implies E_{out}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) \triangleleft_d \sigma$
	(Tr2B)	$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma), \text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma) \neq \emptyset \wedge E_{mode}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) = \top \implies E_{out}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma) \preceq_d \sigma$

Definition 11 is recalled below:

A bounded enforcer for a property $\varphi \subseteq tw(\Sigma)$ is the function $E^{\varphi,k} : tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow tw(\Sigma) \times \{\top, \perp, stop\}$, and is defined as:

$\forall \sigma \in tw(\Sigma), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall a \in \Sigma$,

$E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma) = (\Pi_1(store^{\varphi,k}(\sigma)), \Pi_3(store^{\varphi,k}(\sigma)))$, where:

$store^{\varphi,k} : tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow tw(\Sigma) \times tw(\Sigma) \times \{\top, \perp, stop\}$ is defined as:

- $store^{\varphi,k}(\epsilon) = (\epsilon, \epsilon, \top)$
- $store^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) =$

$$\begin{cases} (\sigma_s \cdot \min_{\leq_{lex}, end}(k^{\varphi}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca})), \epsilon, \{\top, \perp\}) & \text{if } k^{\varphi}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset, \\ (\sigma_s, \sigma_c, \perp) & \text{if } k^{pref(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset, \\ (\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}, \{\top, \perp\}) & \text{if } k^{pref(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset \wedge |\sigma_{ca}| \leq k \\ (\sigma_s, \sigma_c, stop) & \text{if } k^{pref(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset \wedge |\sigma_{ca}| > k \\ & \wedge Get_SW^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset \\ (\sigma_s, Clean^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}), \perp) & \text{if } k^{pref(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset \wedge |\sigma_{ca}| > k \\ & \wedge Get_SW^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$
- $(\sigma_s, \sigma_c, \{\top, \perp\}) = store^{\varphi,k}(\sigma)$
- $\sigma_{ca} = \sigma_c \cdot (t, a)$
- $E_{out}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma) = \Pi_1(E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma))$
- $E_{mode}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma) = \Pi_3(E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma))$
- $buff(E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma)) = \Pi_2(E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma))$
- $Clean^{\varphi,k} : tw(\Sigma) \times tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow tw(\Sigma)$

$$Clean^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \sigma' \in Get_SW^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) : \forall \sigma'' \in Get_SW^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}),$$

$$\sigma' \neq \sigma'' \wedge |\sigma'| > |\sigma''| \wedge (index(\sigma', \sigma_{ca}) \leq index(\sigma'', \sigma_{ca}))$$
- $index(\sigma', \sigma_{ca}) = (i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \in [1, |\sigma_{ca}|] : \sigma_{ca}[i] \neq \sigma'_i)$
- $Get_SW^{\varphi,k} : tw(\Sigma) \times tw(\Sigma) \rightarrow 2^{tw(\Sigma)}$

$$Get_SW^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \{\sigma'' \in tw(\Sigma) \mid \exists \sigma' \in delayable2^{\varphi}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \wedge$$

$$\exists i, j, k \in \mathbb{N} \wedge 1 \leq i \leq j < k :$$

$$\sigma'' = \sigma'_{[1\dots i-1]} \cdot \sigma'_{[j+1\dots k]} \wedge \sigma'_{[1\dots i-1]} \cdot \sigma'_{[i\dots j]} \cdot \sigma'_{[j+1\dots k]} \sim_{\varphi} \sigma''\}$$

C.1 Sketch of the proof (of Proposition 6)

Proposition 6: Given some property φ , its enforcement function E^{φ} as per Definition 5 satisfies the Soundness, Monotonicity, and Transparency constraints as per Definition 4.

Sketch of the proof of proposition 6:

The proof of **Mo** is straightforward by noticing that function $store^{\varphi}$ is monotonic on its first output ($\forall \sigma, \sigma' \in tw(\Sigma) : \sigma \preceq \sigma' \implies \Pi_1(store^{\varphi}(\sigma)) \preceq \Pi_1(store^{\varphi}(\sigma'))$).

Let us prove **Snd**, **Tr1**, and **Tr2** using induction on the input sequence σ .

Induction basis. If $\sigma = \epsilon$, $store^{\varphi}(\epsilon) = (\epsilon, \epsilon)$. The proposition holds trivially.

Induction step. We assume for $\sigma \in tw(\Sigma)$, if $store^{\varphi}(\sigma) = (\sigma_s, \sigma_c)$ and $\sigma_c \neq \epsilon$, then this proposition holds.

Let us prove, it holds for all $(t, a) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \Sigma)$. Following definition of $store^{\varphi}$, We distinguish two cases:

- Case 1: if $k^{\varphi}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$

In this case, we have $E^{\varphi}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s \cdot \min_{\leq_{lex}, end}(k^{\varphi}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}))$ and from definition of

k^φ , we have $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \in \sigma_s^{-1} \cdot \varphi$. Thus, $E^\varphi(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) \models \varphi$ and **Snd** holds.

Since, $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, Thus, **Tr1** holds trivially.

Since, $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$ and $E^\varphi(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s \cdot \min_{\leq_{\text{lex}, \text{end}}}(k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca})) \preceq_d \sigma$ (from Definition of k^φ), **Tr2** holds.

- Case 1: if $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$

In this case, we have $E^\varphi(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s$. Since, from induction hypothesis, we assume that the proposition holds for σ , meaning when $E^\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma_s$, **Snd**, **Tr1**, and **Tr2** holds; Thus, when $E^\varphi(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s$ **Snd**, **Tr1**, and **Tr2** will hold too.

C.2 Sketch of the proof (of Proposition 7)

Proposition 7 (Optimal Suppression): Given some property φ , its enforcement function E^φ as per Definition 5 satisfies the following constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \sigma \in \text{tw}(\Sigma), \\ & \exists \sigma_s, \sigma_c \in \text{tw}(\Sigma) : \text{store}^\varphi(\sigma) = (\sigma_s, \sigma_c) \wedge \\ & \forall (t, a) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \Sigma), t \geq \text{end}(\sigma_c) : \\ & (\text{delayable2}_\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_c \cdot (t, a)) = \emptyset \implies \forall \sigma_{\text{con}} \in \text{tw}(\Sigma) : \text{start}(\sigma_{\text{con}}) \geq t, \\ & \quad E^\varphi(\sigma \cdot (t, a) \cdot \sigma_{\text{con}}) = E^\varphi(\sigma \cdot \sigma_{\text{con}})) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Opts})$$

Sketch of the proof of Proposition 7:

Let us prove this using induction on the input sequence σ .

Induction basis. If $\sigma = \epsilon$, $\text{store}^\varphi(\epsilon) = (\epsilon, \epsilon)$, the proposition holds trivially.

Induction step. We assume for $\sigma \in \text{tw}(\Sigma)$, $\text{store}^\varphi(\sigma) = (\sigma_s, \sigma_c)$.

Let us prove, it holds for all $(t, a) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \Sigma)$. Following definition of store^φ , we have three cases:

- Case 1: if $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$
In this case, we will have a set of delayed words w of $\sigma_c \cdot (t, a)$ s.t. $\sigma_s \cdot w \in \varphi$. Thus, $\text{delayable2}_\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_c \cdot (t, a))$ will also be $\neq \emptyset$. Thus, proposition holds trivially.
- Case 2: if $k^{\text{pref}}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$
Since $k^{\text{pref}}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$, $\text{delayable2}_\varphi$ will also be $= \emptyset$, and according to **Opts** the event (t, a) should be suppressed. and, case 2 of store^φ is also suppressing the received event (t, a) , thus, proposition holds.
- Case 3: if $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$ and $k^{\text{pref}}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$
Since, $k^{\text{pref}}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, thus, $\text{delayable2}_\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_c \cdot (t, a))$ will also be $\neq \emptyset$. Thus, proposition holds trivially.

C.3 Sketch of the proof (of Proposition 12)

Proposition 12: Given some property φ and the maximum buffer size k , let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the number of locations in \mathcal{A}_φ . If $k \geq n$, then the enforcement function $E^{\varphi, k}$ as per Definition 11 satisfies the Soundness, Monotonicity, and Transparency constraints as per Definition 10.

Sketch of the proof of proposition 12:

The proof of **Mo1B** is straightforward by noticing that function $\text{store}^{\varphi, k}$ is monotonic on its first output ($\forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \text{tw}(\Sigma) : \sigma \preceq \sigma' \implies \Pi_1(\text{store}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma)) \preceq \Pi_1(\text{store}^{\varphi, k}(\sigma'))$).

The proof of **Mo2B** is straightforward by noticing that function $\text{store}^{\varphi,k}$ does not bring the nominal mode back in any of the cases once the mode has changed to \perp .

Let us now prove **SndB**, **Tr1B**, and **Tr2B** by an induction on the length of the input timed word σ .

Induction basis. If $\sigma = \epsilon$, $\text{store}^{\varphi,k}(\epsilon) = (\epsilon, \epsilon, \top)$. Since, $E_{\text{out}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma) = \Pi_1(E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma)) = \Pi_1(\Pi_1(\text{store}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma)), \Pi_3(\text{store}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma))) = \epsilon$, thus **SndB**, **Tr1B**, and **Tr2B** holds trivially.

Induction step. We assume for $\sigma \in \text{tw}(\Sigma)$, if $\text{store}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma) = (\sigma_s, \sigma_c)$ and $\sigma_c \neq \epsilon$, then this proposition holds. Let us consider $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma) = \top$.

Let us prove, it holds for all $(t, a) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \Sigma)$. Following definition of $\text{store}^{\varphi,k}$, we have following cases to examine:

- Case 1: $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$
 $E_{\text{out}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s \cdot \min_{\leq_{lex}, end}(k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}))$.
 Since, from definition of k^φ , $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \in \sigma_s^{-1} \cdot \varphi$, thus, **SndB** holds.
 Since, $k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca})$ will also be $\neq \emptyset$ and $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \text{mode} \setminus \{\text{stop}\} = \top$, Thus, **Tr1B** holds trivially.
 Since, $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$ and $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \text{mode} \setminus \{\text{stop}\} = \top$ and $E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s \cdot \min_{\leq_{lex}, end}(k^\varphi(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca})) \preceq_d \sigma \cdot (t, a)$ (from Definition of k^φ), **Tr2B** holds.
- Case 2: $k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$
 Since, from induction hypothesis, for σ , $E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma) = \sigma_s$ we assumed that **SndB** holds; and in this case, we have $E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s$, thus **SndB** holds here too.
 Since, $k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$ thus $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$, thus, **Tr2B** holds trivially.
 Since, $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$, $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \perp$, and (t, a) have been suppressed, thus $E_{\text{out}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s \triangleleft_d \sigma \cdot (t, a)$, thus **Tr1B** holds.
- Case 3: $k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset \wedge |\sigma_{ca}| \leq k$
 Same as case 2, **SndB** holds here too.
 Since, $k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, thus $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, and $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \text{mode} \setminus \{\text{stop}\} = \top$. Thus, **Tr1B** holds trivially.
 Also, $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$ and $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \top$. $E^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s \preceq_d \sigma \cdot (t, a)$ thus, **Tr2B** holds.
- Case 4: $k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset \wedge |\sigma_{ca}| > k \wedge \text{Get_SW}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) = \emptyset$
 Same as case 2, **SndB** holds here too.
 Since, $k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, thus $\text{delayable1}_\varphi(\sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$, $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \text{stop} \neq \perp$, thus **Tr1B** holds trivially.
 Since, $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \text{stop} \neq \top$, **Tr2B** holds trivially.
- Case 5: $k^{\text{pref}(\varphi)}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset \wedge |\sigma_{ca}| > k \wedge \text{Get_SW}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma_s, \sigma_{ca}) \neq \emptyset$
 Same as case 2, **SndB** holds here too.
 $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \perp$ and some events are removed from the buffer during cleaning, thus, $E_{\text{out}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \sigma_s \triangleleft_d \sigma \cdot (t, a)$, **Tr1B** holds.
 Since, $E_{\text{mode}}^{\varphi,k}(\sigma \cdot (t, a)) = \perp$, **Tr2B** holds trivially.

C.4 Informal proof (of Proposition 16)

Proposition 16: Given some property $\varphi \subseteq tw(\Sigma)$, its enforcer E^φ as per Definition 5 satisfies **Opt** property.

Proof of above proposition 16 can be read from [10].

C.5 Informal proof (of Proposition 18)

Proposition 18: Given some property φ and the maximum buffer size k , its enforcement function $E^{\varphi,k}$ as per Definition 11 satisfies **Opt1B** and **Opt2B** properties.

Proof for **Opt2B** can be read from [10].

Informal proof of proposition 18 for **Opt1B**:

If the buffer has not reached its maximum capacity, $F^{\varphi,k}$ has to insert/add other events, in order to produce a longer output than $E^{\varphi,k}$, which Def. 10 prevents. Otherwise when the buffer is exhausted, $F^{\varphi,k}$ has to produce maximal output by removing the least number of events such that ∞ -compatible($F^{\varphi,k}$) holds, which is already ensured by $\text{clean}^{\varphi,k}$ of Def. 11. Thus, the proposition holds.