

Terraform workshop

Saurabh Hirani

saurabh.hirani@gmail.com

What this session is:

- ▶ Why Terraform?

What this session is:

- ▶ Why Terraform?
- ▶ Iterative hands on

What this session is not:

- ▶ AWS training
- ▶ Terraform reference

I can learn it on my own

- ▶ Read this book
- ▶ Read this blog
- ▶ Refer this site

Why attend this session?

- ▶ No one goes from a messed up working setup to a clean working setup

Why attend this session?

- ▶ No one goes from a messed up working setup to a clean working setup
- ▶ We will do that

Why Terraform?

- ▶ Short answer - it is useful, battle tested and has a strong community

Why manual infra creation is a bad idea?

- ▶ Manual \Rightarrow Error prone

Why manual infra creation is a bad idea?

- ▶ Manual \Rightarrow Error prone
- ▶ Parity loss between dev, stage, prod

Why manual infra creation is a bad idea?

- ▶ Manual \Rightarrow Error prone
- ▶ Parity loss between dev, stage, prod
- ▶ Boring!

There has to be a better way

- ▶ aws cli shell scripts?

There has to be a better way

- ▶ aws cli shell scripts?
- ▶ For python users `boto`

There has to be a better way

- ▶ aws cli shell scripts?
- ▶ For python users [boto](#)
- ▶ For ruby users [fog](#)

Advantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product

Advantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product
- ▶ You wrote it - you know all about it

Disadvantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product

Disadvantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product
- ▶ You wrote it - **only** you know all about it

Disadvantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product
- ▶ You wrote it - **only** you know all about it
- ▶ Need a central box to manage

Disadvantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product
- ▶ You wrote it - **only** you know all about it
- ▶ Need a central box to manage
- ▶ State information?

Disadvantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product
- ▶ You wrote it - **only** you know all about it
- ▶ Need a central box to manage
- ▶ State information?
- ▶ Audit trail?

Disadvantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product
- ▶ You wrote it - **only** you know all about it
- ▶ Need a central box to manage
- ▶ State information?
- ▶ Audit trail?
- ▶ Is this what you are supposed to do?

Disadvantages of homegrown scripts

- ▶ Customized for your product
- ▶ You wrote it - **only** you know all about it
- ▶ Need a central box to manage
- ▶ State information?
- ▶ Audit trail?
- ▶ Is this what you are supposed to do?
- ▶ New product == new infra scripts?

We need a tool that...

- ▶ Helps automate infra creation

We need a tool that...

- ▶ Helps automate infra creation
- ▶ Can be used by multiple users

We need a tool that...

- ▶ Helps automate infra creation
- ▶ Can be used by multiple users
- ▶ Promotes reusability

We need a tool that...

- ▶ Helps automate infra creation
- ▶ Can be used by multiple users
- ▶ Promotes reusability
- ▶ Is not our headache to maintain

Enter Terraform

Features

- ▶ Infrastructure as code

Features

- ▶ Infrastructure as code
- ▶ Ease of learning curve + active community

Features

- ▶ Infrastructure as code
- ▶ Ease of learning curve + active community
- ▶ Support for multiple cloud vendors

Features

- ▶ Infrastructure as code
- ▶ Ease of learning curve + active community
- ▶ Support for multiple cloud vendors
- ▶ State management

Features

- ▶ Infrastructure as code
- ▶ Ease of learning curve + active community
- ▶ Support for multiple cloud vendors
- ▶ State management
- ▶ Modules!

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic
- ▶ CF verbosity $>$ TF

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic
- ▶ CF verbosity $>$ TF
- ▶ CF community $<$ TF

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic
- ▶ CF verbosity $>$ TF
- ▶ CF community $<$ TF
- ▶ CF learning curve \approx TF

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic
- ▶ CF verbosity $>$ TF
- ▶ CF community $<$ TF
- ▶ CF learning curve \approx TF
- ▶ Other alternatives:

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic
- ▶ CF verbosity $>$ TF
- ▶ CF community $<$ TF
- ▶ CF learning curve \approx TF
- ▶ Other alternatives:
 - ▶ `Ansible cloud modules`

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic
- ▶ CF verbosity $>$ TF
- ▶ CF community $<$ TF
- ▶ CF learning curve \approx TF
- ▶ Other alternatives:
 - ▶ [Ansible cloud modules](#)
 - ▶ [Sparkleformation](#)

Comparison with Cloudformation

- ▶ Biggest advantage of TF over CF - TF is cloud vendor agnostic
- ▶ CF verbosity $>$ TF
- ▶ CF community $<$ TF
- ▶ CF learning curve \approx TF
- ▶ Other alternatives:
 - ▶ [Ansible cloud modules](#)
 - ▶ [Sparkleformation](#)
 - ▶ Many others

Choose your editor

- ▶ Atom syntax support
- ▶ Atom linting support
- ▶ Vim syntax support
- ▶ Vim syntax support
- ▶ Choose your weapon - syntax + basic linting (demo)
- ▶ Use "terraform validate" otherwise

Setup

- ▶ 1 VPC
- ▶ 1 public subnet, 1 private subnet
- ▶ 1 ELB with public subnet + public security group
- ▶ 2 instances behind ELB in private subnet + private security group
- ▶ Hard to actually do the entire setup - simulate via s3

Demo

- ▶ Clone terraform-workshop repo

assignment-1

- ▶ One file to rule them all - main.tf

assignment-1

- ▶ One file to rule them all - main.tf
- ▶ Good: works

assignment-1

- ▶ One file to rule them all - main.tf
- ▶ Good: works
- ▶ Bad: Hard to maintain

assignment-2

- ▶ Split main.tf

assignment-2

- ▶ Split main.tf
- ▶ Good: Easier to read

assignment-2

- ▶ Split main.tf
- ▶ Good: Easier to read
- ▶ Bad: Does not handle different environments

assignment-2

- ▶ Split main.tf
- ▶ Good: Easier to read
- ▶ Bad: Does not handle different environments
- ▶ Worth mentioning: `terraform workspaces`

assignment-3

- ▶ Split same code across multiple environments

assignment-3

- ▶ Split same code across multiple environments
- ▶ Good: works

assignment-3

- ▶ Split same code across multiple environments
- ▶ Good: works
- ▶ Bad: Repetition of code - only env different

assignment-4

- ▶ tfvars to abstract out common code

assignment-4

- ▶ tfvars to abstract out common code
- ▶ Good: works

assignment-4

- ▶ tfvars to abstract out common code
- ▶ Good: works
- ▶ Bad: same as previous case but now both dev stage exactly the same

assignment-5

- ▶ local module

assignment-5

- ▶ local module
- ▶ Good: works

assignment-5

- ▶ local module
- ▶ Good: works
- ▶ Bad: Infra and module tightly coupled

assignment-6

- ▶ remote module

assignment-6

- ▶ remote module
- ▶ Good: decoupling, versioning

assignment-6

- ▶ remote module
- ▶ Good: decoupling, versioning
- ▶ Bad: Long terraform commands to document

Modules

- ▶ Rakefile for release versioning

Modules

- ▶ Rakefile for release versioning
- ▶ terraform-docs for auto generating documentation

assignment-7

- ▶ add Makefile to the mix

assignment-7

- ▶ add Makefile to the mix
- ▶ Good: make X helps

assignment-7

- ▶ add Makefile to the mix
- ▶ Good: make X helps
- ▶ Bad: create/destroy everything together - no staged approach

assignment-8

- ▶ Split the creation keeping in mind the infra and/or the users

assignment-8

- ▶ Split the creation keeping in mind the infra and/or the users
- ▶ Remote state

assignment-8

- ▶ Split the creation keeping in mind the infra and/or the users
- ▶ Remote state
- ▶ Good: cleaner, modular than previous approach

assignment-8

- ▶ Split the creation keeping in mind the infra and/or the users
- ▶ Remote state
- ▶ Good: cleaner, modular than previous approach
- ▶ Bad: Don't know the dependencies by looking at the structure

Splitting infra creation advantages

- ▶ Allows closer inspection

Splitting infra creation advantages

- ▶ Allows closer inspection
- ▶ Plan with local source, apply in dev with git source

Splitting infra creation advantages

- ▶ Allows closer inspection
- ▶ Plan with local source, apply in dev with git source
- ▶ [Example](#)

Splitting infra creation advantages

- ▶ Allows closer inspection
- ▶ Plan with local source, apply in dev with git source
- ▶ [Example](#)
- ▶ Remote state allows team to collaborate

Splitting infra creation advantages

- ▶ Allows closer inspection

Splitting infra creation advantages

- ▶ Allows closer inspection
- ▶ Plan locally, apply in dev via versioning and take it from there

Splitting infra creation advantages

- ▶ Allows closer inspection
- ▶ Plan locally, apply in dev via versioning and take it from there
- ▶ Remote state allows team to collaborate

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform
 - ▶ Less flexible

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform
 - ▶ Less flexible
 - ▶ Preferred for inner source (think moniker)

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform
 - ▶ Less flexible
 - ▶ Preferred for inner source (think moniker)
- ▶ Approach 2: construct names locally and pass to the module

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform
 - ▶ Less flexible
 - ▶ Preferred for inner source (think moniker)
- ▶ Approach 2: construct names locally and pass to the module
- ▶ Example

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform
 - ▶ Less flexible
 - ▶ Preferred for inner source (think moniker)
- ▶ Approach 2: construct names locally and pass to the module
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ Less Uniform

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform
 - ▶ Less flexible
 - ▶ Preferred for inner source (think moniker)
- ▶ Approach 2: construct names locally and pass to the module
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ Less Uniform
 - ▶ More flexible

Naming: to delegate or not to delegate?

- ▶ Approach 1: pass primitives, module constructs the name
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ More Uniform
 - ▶ Less flexible
 - ▶ Preferred for inner source (think moniker)
- ▶ Approach 2: construct names locally and pass to the module
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ Less Uniform
 - ▶ More flexible
 - ▶ Preferred for open source

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremities: whole universe v/s each component

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:
 - ▶ Less repetition

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:
 - ▶ Less repetition
 - ▶ Magical?

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:
 - ▶ Less repetition
 - ▶ Magical?
 - ▶ Manually do targeted destroys

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:
 - ▶ Less repetition
 - ▶ Magical?
 - ▶ `Manually do targeted destroys`
- ▶ Incremental:

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:
 - ▶ Less repetition
 - ▶ Magical?
 - ▶ **Manually do targeted destroys**
- ▶ Incremental:
 - ▶ More repetition

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:
 - ▶ Less repetition
 - ▶ Magical?
 - ▶ **Manually do targeted destroys**
- ▶ Incremental:
 - ▶ More repetition
 - ▶ Remember dependency order

One shot v/s incremental

- ▶ Avoid extremeties: whole universe v/s each component
- ▶ Do simple splits: vpc, base, app
- ▶ One shot:
 - ▶ Less repetition
 - ▶ Magical?
 - ▶ **Manually do targeted destroys**
- ▶ Incremental:
 - ▶ More repetition
 - ▶ Remember dependency order
 - ▶ One step at a time

assignment-9

- ▶ Use simple numbering to define steps

assignment-9

- ▶ Use simple numbering to define steps
- ▶ Hack

assignment-9

- ▶ Use simple numbering to define steps
- ▶ Hack
- ▶ Needs a better way to manage folder level dependencies

More material

- ▶ Yevgeniy's awesome terraform tutorial
- ▶ Terraform module registry
- ▶ terraform interpolation syntax
- ▶ tfenv

Q & A