```
Objective: Implementing Stochastic Gradient Descent from scratch for Linear Regression
          Some Terminologies (references at the bottom)
           1. Gradient means the rate of inclination or declination of a slope.
           2. Descent means the instance of descending.
           3. iterative means that we need to get the results multiple times to get the most optimal result.
           4. One epoch is when an ENTIRE dataset is passed to the model
           5. batch size is the number of training examples present in a single batch
           6. Iterations is the number of batches needed to complete one epoch.
          Note: The number of batches is equal to number of iterations for one epoch.
          Example: Let's say we have 2000 training examples that we are going to use .
          We can divide the dataset of 2000 examples into batches of 500 then it will take 4 iterations to complete 1 epoch. Where Batch
          Size is 500 and Iterations is 4, for 1 complete epoch.
          In Gradient Descent, the weights are updated after all the training data has been passed through the model. In Stochastic
          Gradient Descent, the weights are updated after each sample passes the model. The samples are updated after every epoch.
 In [1]: import warnings
          warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
          from sklearn.datasets import load boston
          from random import seed
          from random import randrange
          from csv import reader
          from math import sqrt
          from sklearn import preprocessing
          from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
          import pandas as pd
          import numpy as np
          import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          from prettytable import PrettyTable
          from sklearn.linear_model import SGDRegressor
          from sklearn import preprocessing
          from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error
          np.random.seed(507)
 In [2]: # loading the inbuilt boston housing dataset
          from sklearn.datasets import load boston
         boston = load boston()
 In [3]: # Shape of the data
          #print(boston.data.shape)
          # print the column names
          #print(boston.feature names)
          # print the target data
          #print(boston.target)
          #print (boston.DESCR)
          # converting the boston dataset into dataframe
          import pandas as pd
          boston df = pd.DataFrame(boston.data)
          print(boston_df.head())
                  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
                                                                                    10 \
          0 \quad 0.00632 \quad 18.0 \quad 2.31 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.538 \quad 6.575 \quad 65.2 \quad 4.0900 \quad 1.0 \quad 296.0 \quad 15.3
          2 0.02729
                      0.0 7.07 0.0 0.469 7.185 61.1 4.9671 2.0 242.0 17.8
          3 0.03237 0.0 2.18 0.0 0.458 6.998 45.8 6.0622 3.0 222.0 18.7
          4 0.06905 0.0 2.18 0.0 0.458 7.147 54.2 6.0622 3.0 222.0 18.7
                 11
                       12
          0 396.90 4.98
         1 396.90 9.14
          2 392.83 4.03
          3 394.63 2.94
          4 396.90 5.33
 In [4]: # Creating independent variable X and dependent variable y out of the boston data
          X = boston.data
          y = boston.target
          Standardize the data: We will standardize the data so that our sgd converges faster
 In [5]: # Standardizing the data
          standardised boston data = StandardScaler().fit transform(X)
          Stochastic Gradient Descent from scratch
           1. Pick random example.
           2. Train on it. Adjust params immediately.
           3. Repeat step 1 and 2 until best weights are obtained that reduce the error.
          The equation of linear regression is given by:
         y = W0 + W1.x1 + W2.x2 + .. + WnXn
          Here,

 n is the number of features

    W is the weight of each feature x

    y is the dependent variable

          We can express the above equation as the dot-product of W and X, but there is a missing term x0. As a result, the length of W
          and X wont match. So we will create a vector x0 that will contain ones and concatenate it to existing X.
 In [6]: # Shape before adding x0
          standardised_boston_data.shape
 Out[6]: (506, 13)
 In [7]: # Adding feature x0 which contains 1 to existing standardized X_train using np.c_
          standardised_boston_data = np.c_[np.ones((standardised_boston_data.shape[0], 1)), standardised_bosto
          n_data]
 In [8]: standardised_boston_data.shape
 Out[8]: (506, 14)
 In [9]: # Shape after adding x0
          standardised_boston_data.shape
 Out[9]: (506, 14)
In [10]: # take a look at the X_train data
          standardised_boston_data[0:2]
                             , -0.41978194, 0.28482986, -1.2879095 , -0.27259857,
Out[10]: array([[ 1.
                  -0.14421743, 0.41367189, -0.12001342, 0.1402136, -0.98284286,
                  -0.66660821, -1.45900038, 0.44105193, -1.0755623 ],
                           , -0.41733926, -0.48772236, -0.59338101, -0.27259857,
                  -0.74026221, 0.19427445, 0.36716642, 0.55715988, -0.8678825,
                  -0.98732948, -0.30309415, 0.44105193, -0.49243937]])
In [11]: y[0:10]
Out[11]: array([24., 21.6, 34.7, 33.4, 36.2, 28.7, 22.9, 27.1, 16.5, 18.9])
          Now we have shape of X same as our weight vector W
In [12]: # Initialising weight vector with normally distributed values of shape of the features of X
          W = np.random.normal(0,1,standardised boston data.shape[1])
In [13]: # best W as per theory
          W_best_theory = np.linalg.inv(standardised_boston_data.T.dot(standardised_boston_data)).dot(standard
          ised boston data.T).dot(y)
          W_best_theory
Out[13]: array([ 2.25328063e+01, -9.28146064e-01, 1.08156863e+00, 1.40899997e-01,
                  6.81739725e-01, -2.05671827e+00, 2.67423017e+00, 1.94660717e-02,
                 -3.10404426e+00, 2.66221764e+00, -2.07678168e+00, -2.06060666e+00,
                  8.49268418e-01, -3.74362713e+00])
 In [ ]: from tqdm import tqdm
          1. Implement your own version of SGDRegressor
In [23]: def gradient_descent(X, y, W, learning_rate):
              from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
              import numpy as np
              weights = W
              b curr = 0
              iterations = 10000
              n = m = float(len(y))
              for i in range(iterations):
                  y_pred = X.dot(weights)
                  cost_function = mean_squared_error(y_pred, y)
                                                                    # Cost function taken is MSE
                  weight derivative = 2 * X.T.dot(X.dot(weights) - y)
                  weights = weights - (learning rate * weight derivative)
                  if i % 1000 == 0: #10000
                      #learning rate= learning rate/2
                      print('iteration: {}, cost: {}'.format(i, cost function))
                      #print('y', y)
                      #print('X', X)
              return weights
In [24]: new_weights = gradient_descent(standardised_boston_data, y, W, learning_rate= 0.0001)
          new weights
          iteration: 0, cost: 629.4371378641337
          iteration: 1000, cost: 21.894834201406763
          iteration: 2000, cost: 21.894831181736777
          iteration: 3000, cost: 21.894831181729206
          iteration: 4000, cost: 21.894831181729202
          iteration: 5000, cost: 21.894831181729206
          iteration: 6000, cost: 21.894831181729206
          iteration: 7000, cost: 21.894831181729206
          iteration: 8000, cost: 21.894831181729206
         iteration: 9000, cost: 21.894831181729206
Out[24]: array([ 2.25328063e+01, -9.28146064e-01, 1.08156863e+00, 1.40899997e-01,
                  6.81739725e-01, -2.05671827e+00, 2.67423017e+00, 1.94660717e-02,
                  ·3.10404426e+00, 2.66221764e+00, -2.07678168e+00, -2.06060666e+00,
                  8.49268418e-01, -3.74362713e+00])
          2. Plot a chart of predicted values vs actual values of your own SGD implementation
In [26]: y pred new = standardised_boston_data.dot(new_weights)
          #y pred new
In [61]: # code source:https://medium.com/@haydar ai/learning-data-science-day-9-linear-regression-on-boston-
          housing-dataset-cd62a80775ef
          plt.scatter(y, y pred new)
          plt.xlabel("Prices: $Y i$")
          plt.ylabel("Predicted prices: $\hat{Y} i$")
          plt.title("Our Implementation: Prices vs Predicted prices: $Y i$ vs $\hat{Y} i$")
          plt.show()
                   Our Implementation: Prices vs Predicted prices: Yi vs Yi
              40
           30 تر،
             20
                      10
                               20
                                        30
                                                40
                                                         50
                                   Prices: Y/
In [28]: delta y = y - y pred new
          import seaborn as sns;
          import numpy as np;
          sns.set style('whitegrid')
          sns.kdeplot(np.array(delta_y), bw=0.5)
          plt.show()
          0.14
          0.12
          0.10
          0.08
          0.06
          0.04
          0.02
                     -10
                               0
                                                20
In [29]: sns.set style('whitegrid')
          sns.kdeplot(np.array(y pred new), bw=0.5)
          plt.show()
          0.07
          0.06
          0.05
          0.04
          0.03
          0.02
          0.01
          0.00
          3. Try sklearn SGDRegressor and plot a chart of predicted values vs actual values
In [59]: clf = SGDRegressor()
          clf.fit(standardised_boston_data, y)
          y_pred_sgd = clf.predict(standardised_boston_data)
In [62]: # code source:https://medium.com/@haydar_ai/learning-data-science-day-9-linear-regression-on-boston-
          housing-dataset-cd62a80775ef
          plt.scatter(y, y_pred_sgd)
          plt.xlabel("Prices: $Y_i$")
          plt.ylabel("Predicted prices: $\hat{Y}_i$")
          plt.title("SGDRegressor Implementation: Prices vs Predicted prices: $Y_i$ vs $\hat{Y}_i$")
          plt.show()
               SGDRegressor Implementation: Prices vs Predicted prices: Y_i vs \bar{Y}_i
              40
             30
          \sim
             20
                      10
                               20
                                                40
                                        30
                                   Prices: Y
In [63]: delta_y = y - y_pred_sgd
          import seaborn as sns;
          import numpy as np;
          sns.set_style('whitegrid')
          sns.kdeplot(np.array(delta_y), bw=0.5)
          plt.show()
          0.14
          0.12
          0.10
          0.08
          0.06
          0.04
          0.02
          0.00
              -20
In [65]: | sns.set_style('whitegrid')
          sns.kdeplot(np.array(y_pred_sgd), bw=0.5)
          plt.show()
          0.06
          0.05
          0.04
          0.03
          0.02
          0.01
          0.00
                                           30
                                                   40
          4. Compare weights from your implementation with SGDRegressor
In [31]: # Weights from SGDRegressor
          print(clf.coef_)
          [11.2174002 -0.66393316 0.7497664 -0.24136469 0.787859 -0.85339866]
           3.11119844 -0.29189204 -2.17892926 0.93258773 -0.68137105 -1.71991135
           0.91874577 -3.41834437]
In [44]: from prettytable import PrettyTable
          t1 = PrettyTable()
          #t1.field_names = ['Predicted Weights', 'SGDRegressor Weights']
          t1.add_column("Predicted Weights", new_weights)
          t1.add_column('SGDRegressor Weights', clf.coef_)
          print(t1)
          del t1
          | Predicted Weights | SGDRegressor Weights |
             _____
          | 22.532806324110666 | 11.217400204808976 |
          | -0.9281460643011963 | -0.6639331580390468
          | 1.0815686278223753 | 0.7497663951081511
           0.1408999969042694 | -0.2413646909656736
          | 0.6817397247778005 | 0.7878590018721183
           -2.056718266005212 | -0.8533986612431524
          | 2.6742301652393268 | 3.1111984444947542
          | 0.019466071657037825 | -0.2918920414447237
          | -3.1040442580864442 | -2.178929263216641
          | 2.662217642473595 | 0.9325877305231811
          | -2.07678168384335 | -0.6813710528512208
```

SGDRegressor MSE: 22.700680326716487 Our SGD's MSE: 21.894831181729206

Conclusion:

Reading material:

cc10bca2d3c4

5. Compare MSE of your implementation and SGDRegressor

print("SGDRegressor MSE: {}".format(sgdregressor\_mse))

our\_sgd\_implementation = mean\_squared\_error(y\_pred\_new, y)
print("Our SGD's MSE: {}".format(our\_sgd\_implementation))

In [58]: sgdregressor\_mse = mean\_squared\_error(y, clf.predict(standardised\_boston\_data))

Without standardizing data, I ran into error but standardizing helped in convergence.

Our SGD gave pretty much the same MSE for the Boston Housing Dataset.

• With 10000 and a learning rate of 0.0001, we got an MSE of 21.9 close to SGDRegressor's MSE of 22.70

• https://towardsdatascience.com/how-to-make-sgd-classifier-perform-as-well-as-logistic-regression-using-parfit-