The image cannot be displayed. Your computer may not have enough memory to open the image, or the image may have been corrupted. Restart your computer, and then open the file again. If the red x still appears, you may have to delete the image and then insert it again.

Chapter 6: Process/thread Synchronization







Module 6: Process Synchronization

- Background
- The Critical-Section Problem
- Peterson's Solution
- Synchronization Hardware
- Semaphores
- Classic Problems of Synchronization
- Monitors
- Synchronization Examples
- Atomic Transactions





Background

- Concurrent access to shared data may result in data inconsistency
- Maintaining data consistency requires mechanisms to ensure the orderly execution of cooperating processes
- Suppose that we wanted to provide a solution to the consumer-producer problem that fills all the buffers. We can do so by having an integer count that keeps track of the number of full buffers. Initially, count is set to 0. It is incremented by the producer after it produces a new buffer and is decremented by the consumer after it consumes a buffer.





Bounded-Buffer – Producer & Consumer Processes

Producer

item nextProduced;

```
while (1) {
    while (((in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE) == out)
    ; /* do nothing */
    buffer[in] = nextProduced;
    in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
```

Consumer

```
item nextConsumed;
```

```
while (1) {
     while (in == out)
     ; /* do nothing */
     nextConsumed = buffer[out];
     out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
}
```





Producer

while (true)

}





Consumer





Race Condition

count++ could be implemented as

```
register1 = count
register1 = register1 + 1
count = register1
```

count-- could be implemented as

```
register2 = count
register2 = register2 - 1
count = register2
```

■ Consider this execution interleaving with "count = 5" initially:

```
S0: producer execute register1 = count {register1 = 5}
S1: producer execute register1 = register1 + 1 {register1 = 6}
S2: consumer execute register2 = count {register2 = 5}
S3: consumer execute register2 = register2 - 1 {register2 = 4}
S4: producer execute count = register1 {count = 6}
S5: consumer execute count = register2 {count = 4}
```

6.7





Solution to Critical-Section Problem

- 1. Mutual Exclusion If process P_i is executing in its critical section, then no other processes can be executing in their critical sections
- 2. Progress If no process is executing in its critical section and there exist some processes that wish to enter their critical section, then the selection of the processes that will enter the critical section next cannot be postponed indefinitely
- 3. Bounded Waiting A bound must exist on the number of times that other processes are allowed to enter their critical sections after a process has made a request to enter its critical section and before that request is granted
 - Assume that each process executes at a nonzero speed
 - No assumption concerning relative speed of the N processes





Peterson's Solution

- Two process solution
- Assume that the LOAD and STORE instructions are atomic; that is, cannot be interrupted.
- The two processes share two variables:
 - int turn;
 - Boolean flag[2]
- The variable turn indicates whose turn it is to enter the critical section.
- The flag array is used to indicate if a process is ready to enter the critical section. flag[i] = true implies that process P_i is ready!





Algorithm for Process P

```
do {
    flag[i] = TRUE;
    turn = j;
    while ( flag[j] && turn == j);

    CRITICAL SECTION

flag[i] = FALSE;

    REMAINDER SECTION

} while (TRUE);
```





Synchronization Hardware

- Many systems provide hardware support for critical section code
- Uniprocessors could disable interrupts
 - Currently running code would execute without preemption
 - Generally too inefficient on multiprocessor systems
 - Operating systems using this not broadly scalable
- Modern machines provide special atomic hardware instructions
 - Atomic = non-interruptable
 - Either test memory word and set value
 - Or swap contents of two memory words





TestAndndSet Instruction

Definition:

```
boolean TestAndSet (boolean *target)
{
    boolean rv = *target;
    *target = TRUE;
    return rv:
}
```



6.12



Solution using TestAndSet

- Shared boolean variable lock., initialized to false.
- Solution:

```
do {
  while ( TestAndSet (&lock ))
     ; /* do nothing

  // critical section

lock = FALSE;

  // remainder section
} while ( TRUE);
```





Semaphore

- Synchronization tool that does not require busy waiting
- Semaphore *S* integer variable
- Two standard operations modify S: wait() and signal()
 - Originally called P() and V()
- Less complicated
- Can only be accessed via two indivisible (atomic) operations

```
wait (S) {
    while S <= 0
        ; // no-op
        S--;
    }
    signal (S) {
        S++;
    }</li>
```





Semaphore as General Synchronization Tool

- Counting semaphore integer value can range over an unrestricted domain
- Binary semaphore integer value can range only between 0 and 1; can be simpler to implement
 - Also known as mutex locks
- Can implement a counting semaphore S as a binary semaphore
- Provides mutual exclusion

```
Semaphore S; // initialized to 1
```

```
wait (S);Critical Sectionsignal (S);
```





Semaphore Implementation

- Must guarantee that no two processes can execute wait () and signal () on the same semaphore at the same time
- Thus, implementation becomes the critical section problem where the wait and signal code are placed in the critical section.
 - Could now have busy waiting in critical section implementation
 - But implementation code is short
 - Little busy waiting if critical section rarely occupied
 - Note that applications may spend lots of time in critical sections and therefore this is not a good solution.





Semaphore Implementation with no Busy waiting

- With each semaphore there is an associated waiting queue. Each entry in a waiting queue has two data items:
 - value (of type integer)
 - pointer to next record in the list
- Two operations:
 - block place the process invoking the operation on the appropriate waiting queue.
 - wakeup remove one of processes in the waiting queue and place it in the ready queue.





Semaphore Implementation with no Busy waiting (Cont.)

Implementation of wait:

```
wait (S){
    value--;
    if (value <= 0) {
        add this process to waiting queue
        block(); }
}</pre>
```

Implementation of signal:





Deadlock and Starvation

- Deadlock two or more processes are waiting indefinitely for an event that can be caused by only one of the waiting processes
- Let S and Q be two semaphores initialized to 1

Starvation – indefinite blocking. A process may never be removed from the semaphore queue in which it is suspended.





Classical Problems of Synchronization

6.20

- Bounded-Buffer Problem
- Dining-Philosophers Problem





Bounded-Buffer Problem

- N buffers, each can hold one item
- Semaphore mutex initialized to the value 1
- Semaphore full initialized to the value 0
- Semaphore empty initialized to the value N.





Bounded Buffer Problem (Cont.)

■ The structure of the producer process

```
do {
    // produce an item

wait (empty);
wait (mutex);

// add the item to the buffer

signal (mutex);
signal (full);
} while (true);
```





Bounded Buffer Problem (Cont.)

The structure of the consumer process

```
do {
    wait (full);
    wait (mutex);

    // remove an item from buffer
    signal (mutex);
    signal (empty);

    // consume the removed item
} while (true);
```





Dining-Philosophers Problem



- Shared data
 - Bowl of rice (data set)
 - Semaphore chopstick [5] initialized to 1





Dining-Philosophers Problem (Cont.)

The structure of Philosopher i:

```
Do {
    wait ( chopstick[i] );
    wait ( chopStick[(i + 1) \% 5]);
        // eat
    signal ( chopstick[i] );
    signal (chopstick[ (i + 1) \% 5] );
       // think
} while (true) ;
```





Problems with Semaphores

- Correct use of semaphore operations:
 - signal (mutex) wait (mutex)
 - What happens?

```
    P0
    wait(S);
    wait(Q);
    wait(S);
    :
    :
    :
    signal(S);
    signal(Q);
    signal(S);
```





Synchronization Examples

- Windows XP
- Linux
- Pthreads





Windows XP Synchronization

- Uses interrupt masks to protect access to global resources on uniprocessor systems
- Uses spinlocks on multiprocessor systems
- Also provides dispatcher objects which may act as either mutexes and semaphores
- Dispatcher objects may also provide events
 - An event acts much like a condition variable





Linux Synchronization

- Linux:
 - disables interrupts to implement short critical sections
- Linux provides:
 - semaphores
 - spin locks





Pthreads Synchronization

- Pthreads API is OS-independent
- It provides:
 - mutex locks
 - condition variables
- Non-portable extensions include:
 - read-write locks
 - spin locks



