Template for Survey or Review Article

Title Page

- Title: Your title should contain no more than 80 characters including spaces.
- Running title: Provide a running title less than 40 characters including spaces.
- Provide highest academic degree for all the authors.
- Academic affiliations
- Conflict of interest statement: Any and all personal or institutional financial support related to the study must be noted here; see guidelines for format.
 - If any author has consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements, etc.; if so please note.
 - If you or any author have received or may receive any personal payment or inkind benefit or other professional benefits from a commercial entity (e.g., serve as a consultant), please note, "Each author () certifies that he or she has or may receive payments or benefits from a commercial entity () related to this work" and note the initials of those authors who received funding in and the source in the parentheses.
 - If any author has directly received research funding and/or has potential conflicts of interest, State "One or more of the authors () has received funding from" and note the source and the initials of those authors who received funding in the parentheses.
 - If the institution of the authors has received any sort of support related to the work state "The institution of the authors () has received funding from" and note the source and the initials of those authors who received funding in the parentheses. If no author has received any financial support please note, "Each author certifies that he or she has no commercial associations (e.g., consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements, etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article."
- Ethical Board Review statement: Institutional ethical board review is required for any study involving humans, human material or data, or animals; a statement of approval must be noted here; see guidelines for format
- Work performed at [Insert name of institution or institutions in the case of authors from multiple institutions]
- Word Count (Introduction through Discussion): Generally limit manuscripts to fewer than 3000 words.

•	Corresponding author: P author including phone, F	rovide complete FAX, and e-mail	contact informa address.	tion for corres	onding

1	Abstract : Your Abstract must be structured with the following five sections and contain
2	fewer than 250 words.
3	• Background:
4	• Questions/purposes:
5	• Methods:
6	• Results:
7	• Conclusions:
8	Note: Authors of Meta-analyses must follow QUORUM guidelines. All reviews must be
9	systematic and follow the Cochrane guidelines . These guidelines have been established
10	to tell authors what information is required to ensure readers can properly evaluate a
11	study.
12	

- 13 **Introduction** (maximum of 500 words)
- All manuscripts must contain an Introduction, typically 3-4 paragraphs.
- Generally include one paragraph of background, one or two of rationale, and a final
 paragraph stating the questions or purposes.
- Generally formulate no more than 2-4 questions or purposes; each should be sufficiently important that their answers would appear in an Abstract.

20 Search Strategy and Criteria

19

- 21 Explicitly describe your search strategy.
- Specify all search engines (e.g., MedLine, EMBASE, Google Scholar).
- Note all search criteria including Boolean operators and Medline Field Tags (if any).
- Note the number of initial articles you identified, then the numbers as you introduced
- exclusion criteria.
- Create a flow chart noting the numbers of articles identified initially, then the
- exclusion steps with the numbers left after each exclusion. As with any experimental
- study, the descriptions should be sufficiently complete than another investigator
- would essentially be able to reproduce your findings from your descriptions (realizing
- later dates of search will yield somewhat differing results).
- Any summary of individual articles should appear in this section (if brief) or in an
- 32 Appendix (if more than 500 words), with Results limited to that summary information
- required to address the questions.

- 35 **Results** (maximum of 500 words)
- Ensure a one-to-one correspondence of questions raised in the Introduction and
- 37 answers provided in Results. Provide one paragraph for each explicit question.

38

- 39 **Discussion** (maximum of 1000 words)
- Begin with a restatement of rationale and the questions.
- Briefly describe the literature limitations for your review. Readers should generally
- 42 understand from this review what sorts of questions might be answered and which
- 43 could not be.
- Highlight major controversies and unresolved issues. That is, what studies would be
- 45 needed to address the major issues.
- End with a synthesis addressing the key questions.

Acknowledgments

• Note any nonfinancial acknowledgments. Begin with, "The Authors wish to thank..."

References

- Ensure your references are complete and in alphabetical order and proper format. Use the modified AMA style for example:
 - Kurtz S, Ong K, Lau E, Mowat F, Halpern M. Projections of primary and revision hip and knee arthroplasty in the United States from 2005 to 2030. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89:780-5
- In-text citations should appear before commas and periods and located in a sentence immediately after the point they are documenting.

Legends

- Provide brief legends to include the major point.
- Figure legends should be written in complete sentences.
- Illustrations with multiple figures (e.g., 1A, 1B, 1C) must be labeled "A," "B," and "C" in the lower left hand corner. Each figure requires a separate legend.
- Figures should be uploaded and separately labeled in Editorial Manager.