



Tire City, Inc.

Jack Martin, Chief Financial Officer of Tire City, Inc., was preparing for a meeting with his company's bank later in the week. At that meeting, Mr. Martin intended to present a request that the bank grant Tire City a five-year loan to finance anticipated growth in the company and the expansion of the company's warehouse facilities.

In preparation for his meeting, Martin had gathered some recent financial statements for Tire City (see **Exhibit 1**).

Company Background

Tire City, Inc. (TCI) was a rapidly growing retail distributor of automotive tires in northeastern United States. Tires were sold through a chain of 10 shops located throughout eastern Massachusetts, southern New Hampshire and northern Connecticut. These stores kept sufficient inventory on hand to service immediate customer demand, but the bulk of Tire City's inventory was managed at a central warehouse outside Worcester, Massachusetts. Individual stores could be easily serviced by this warehouse, which could usually fill orders from individual stores within 24 hours.

For the year ended in December, 1995, TCI had sales of \$23,505,000. Net income for that period was \$1,190,000. During the previous three years, sales had grown at a compound annual rate in excess of 20%. This record was a reflection of Tire City's reputation for excellent service and competitive pricing, which yielded high levels of customer satisfaction.

Past Relationship With MidBank

In 1991, TCI had borrowed funds from MidBank to build a warehouse. This loan was being repaid in equal annual installments of \$125,000. At the end of 1995, the balance due on the loan was \$875,000. Also, in 1991 TCI established a line of credit at MidBank. The company had not yet borrowed any money under this credit arrangement.

Professor W. Carl Kester prepared this case as the basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of an administrative situation.

Copyright © 1997 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. To order copies or request permission to reproduce materials, call 1-800-545-7685 or write Harvard Business School Publishing, Boston, MA 02163. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, used in a spreadsheet, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise—without the permission of Harvard Business School.

The Current Financial Need

TCI had decided to expand its warehouse facilities to accommodate future growth. Indeed, the current warehouse facilities were practically bulging at the seams. During the next 18 months, TCI planned to invest \$2,400,000 on its expansion, \$2,000,000 of which would be spent during 1996 (no other capital expenditures were planned for 1996 and 1997). This expansion would fulfill the company's anticipated needs for several years. The warehouse construction project was expected to be completed in early 1997. Therefore, TCI would not be able to deduct any depreciation on the new building in 1996. However, Mr. Martin was told by his accountant that in 1997, Tire City could recognize a depreciation expense of 5% of the warehouse's total cost. The dollar value of TCI's depreciation expense on its other assets in 1996 and 1997 would be the same as it was in 1995.

The warehouse expansion project was designed so that disruption of the company's current operations would be minimized. However, management expected that by the end of 1996, TCI would temporarily have to decrease its inventories to a level of \$1,625,000, significantly lower than the \$2,190,000 shown on the balance sheet at the end of 1995. This cutback in inventories was expected to last only until the warehouse construction project was completed in early 1997. Mr. Martin had estimated that, by the end of 1997, inventory would rise back to the same proportional relationship to sales that it had in 1995.

Other than this temporary drop in inventory in 1996, the warehouse expansion was not expected to affect TCI's operations in any other material respects. Operating margins were expected to be consistent with recent past experience (the temporary drop in inventory would not affect cost of goods sold as a percent of sales, for example). Likewise, current accounts other than inventory were expected to maintain steady relationships to sales. Cash balances, for instance, would be maintained at a level of 3% of sales during the next two years. Although the Federal statutory marginal corporate tax rate was 35%, the average tax rate on TCI's pre-tax income had typically been higher than this due to miscellaneous local taxes. This higher overall level of taxation was expected to continue in the future at rates consistent with the most recent past experience. In view of this anticipated stability, Mr. Martin expected TCI's dividend payout policy to remain unchanged in the foreseeable future.

TCI had preliminary discussions with MidBank about borrowing money to finance the warehouse expansion and the growth of the business. The proposed terms of the financing called for taking down (i.e., borrowing) the loan in two separate parts on an as-needed basis: one in 1996 and one in 1997. The loan would be repaid in four equal annual installments. The first installment payment would take place one year after the construction of the warehouse was completed (i.e., in 1998). The interest rate was set at 10% per year.

Mr. Martin's Task

In preparation for his meeting, Mr. Martin intended to develop a set of pro forma financial statements for the company. He and his staff had projected a 20% increase in sales each year in 1996 and in 1997, from \$23,505,000 to \$28,206,000 and \$33,847,000, respectively. Mr. Martin's first priority was to predict what the rest of the income statement and the balance sheet for the firm would look like at the end of 1996 and 1997.

Exhibit 1 Financial Statements for Tire City, Inc.

For years ending 12/31	1993	1994	1995
INCOME STATEMENT			
Net sales	\$ 16,230	\$ 20,355	\$ 23,505
Cost of sales	<u>9,430</u>	<u>11,898</u>	<u>13,612</u>
Gross profit	6,800	8,457	9,893
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	5,195	6,352	7,471
Depreciation	160	180	213
Net interest expense	<u>119</u>	<u>106</u>	<u>94</u>
Pre-tax income	1,326	1,819	2,115
Income taxes	<u>546</u>	<u>822</u>	<u>925</u>
Net income	\$ 780	\$ 997	\$ 1,190
Dividends	\$ 155	\$ 200	\$ 240
BALANCE SHEET			
Assets			
Cash	\$ 508	\$ 609	\$ 706
Accounts receivable	2,545	3,095	3,652
Inventories	<u>1,630</u>	<u>1,838</u>	<u>2,190</u>
Total current assets	4,683	5,542	6,548
Gross plant & equipment	3,232	3,795	4,163
Accumulated depreciation	<u>1,335</u>	<u>1,515</u>	<u>1,728</u>
Net plant & equipment	1,897	2,280	2,435
Total assets	\$ 6,580	\$ 7,822	\$ 8,983
LIABILITIES			
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 125	\$ 125	\$ 125
Accounts payable	1,042	1,325	1,440
Accrued expenses	<u>1,145</u>	<u>1,432</u>	<u>1,653</u>
Total current liabilities	2,312	2,882	3,218
Long-term debt	1,000	875	750
Common stock	1,135	1,135	1,135
Retained earnings	<u>2,133</u>	<u>2,930</u>	<u>3,880</u>
Total shareholders' equity	3,268	4,065	5,015
Total liabilities	\$ 6,580	\$ 7,822	\$ 8,983