

# CPSC-406 Report

Your Name  
Chapman University

February 15, 2026

## Abstract

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Week by Week</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Week 1 . . . . .	1
<b>3</b>	<b>Synthesis</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Evidence of Participation</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>4</b>

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Week by Week

### 2.1 Week 1

## Exercise 1

### 1. Word acceptance table

$w$	accepted by $A_1$ ?	accepted by $A_2$ ?
$aaa$	No	Yes
$aab$	Yes	No
$aba$	No	No
$abb$	No	No
$baa$	No	Yes
$bab$	No	No
$bba$	No	No
$bbb$	No	No

## 2. Description of the languages

**Language of  $A_1$ .** In  $A_1$ , any word beginning with  $b$  immediately goes to a trap state. If the word begins with  $a$ , the automaton stays in state 2 while reading  $a$ 's, and moves to the accepting state 3 upon reading a single  $b$ . Any further symbol leaves the accepting state.

Therefore, a word is accepted if and only if it consists of one or more  $a$ 's followed by exactly one  $b$ .

$$L(A_1) = \{a^n b \mid n \geq 1\}$$

Equivalently, as a regular expression:

$$L(A_1) = a^+b$$

**Language of  $A_2$ .** In  $A_2$ , the only accepting state is 3. The automaton reaches state 3 precisely after reading two consecutive  $a$ 's. Any  $b$  resets the automaton to state 1.

Thus, a word is accepted if and only if it ends with at least two consecutive  $a$ 's.

$$L(A_2) = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w \text{ ends with } aa\}$$

Equivalently, as a regular expression:

$$L(A_2) = (a|b)^*aa$$

## Exercise 2

Alphabet:  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ .

### 1. DFAs for the given languages

(1) All words that end with **ab**. Let  $M_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

$$Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \quad q_0 \text{ start}, \quad F = \{q_2\}.$$

Transitions:

	$a$	$b$
$q_0$	$q_1$	$q_0$
$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$
$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_0$

State  $q_2$  represents that the word currently ends in **ab**.

**(2) All words that contain aba.** Let  $M_2 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

$$Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \quad q_0 \text{ start}, \quad F = \{q_3\}.$$

Transitions:

	$a$	$b$
$q_0$	$q_1$	$q_0$
$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$
$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_0$
$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_3$

State  $q_3$  means the substring **aba** has been seen.

**(3) Odd number of a's and odd number of b's.** Use four states representing parity:

$$Q = \{(E, E), (E, O), (O, E), (O, O)\}$$

(start state  $(E, E)$ ). Accepting state:  $(O, O)$ .

Reading  $a$  toggles the first component; reading  $b$  toggles the second component.

**(4) Even number of a's and odd number of b's.** Same construction as (3).

Start state:  $(E, E)$ . Accepting state:  $(E, O)$ .

Transitions again toggle parity accordingly.

**(5) Any three consecutive characters contain at least one a.** This is equivalent to saying the word does *not* contain bbb.

Use states counting consecutive  $b$ 's:

$$Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$$

- $q_0$ : no recent  $b$
- $q_1$ : one consecutive  $b$
- $q_2$ : two consecutive  $b$ 's
- $q_3$ : three consecutive  $b$ 's (trap)

Start:  $q_0$ .

Accepting states:  $\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$ .

Transitions:

- On  $a$ : go to  $q_0$  from any state except  $q_3$  - On  $b$ :

$$q_0 \rightarrow q_1, \quad q_1 \rightarrow q_2, \quad q_2 \rightarrow q_3, \quad q_3 \rightarrow q_3$$

**(6) All words that contain bbb.** Same structure as (5), but now:

Accepting state:  $\{q_3\}$  only.

Once  $q_3$  is reached, stay there.

## 2. Observations

Several patterns appear:

- Languages involving substrings (e.g. **aba**, **bbb**) use states that track partial progress toward matching the substring.
- Parity conditions use a product construction (Cartesian product) of two 2-state automata, giving 4 states.
- Conditions about avoiding a pattern (problem 5) are closely related to conditions about containing that pattern (problem 6); they differ mainly in which states are accepting.
- Many DFAs follow a systematic design pattern: track exactly the minimal information necessary to decide acceptance.

## Week 1 Discord Question

Why is it important that the transition function of a DFA be total (defined for every state-symbol pair)? What would break, both formally and conceptually, if we allowed “missing” transitions?

## 3 Synthesis

## 4 Evidence of Participation

## 5 Conclusion

## References

[BLA] Author, [Title](#), Publisher, Year.