




## Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 311838
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
<b>Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%,</b> Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	<b>Excellent</b>	Very thorough, well-planned and well researched summative. Your content is focused, and reflects a core discussion connected to your topic, which is a critical and appropriate approach for academic modules. An excellent intrinsic and academic knowledge of the subject shown. Take this approach forward for future summative.
<b>Criticality weighted at 25%,</b> Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	<b>Excellent</b>	Excellent criticality reflecting the positives and negatives of the discussion. The summative was filled with solid cross-sectional research that applied to both practical and theoretical aspects of your chosen topic of discussion. This again is appropriate as the concept looks at practical application as well as theoretical underpinning in the real world.
<b>Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%:</b> Is the presentation well organised?	<b>Excellent</b>	Excellent structure and presentation. The presentation is easy to navigate, colour and layout are crisp and academically appropriate and professional. One comment I would make is that it may be advantageous to break up text slides with a few more visuals, just to give the presentation a little more aesthetic appeal/engagement. This is however a minor comment/recommendation.
<b>Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%:</b> Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?	<b>Excellent</b>	Excellent presentation skills. The topic is clearly discussed and there is a logical connection regarding the viewer being able to buy into the subject and discussion early on, due to the concise and focused nature of the topic, through the discussion. Your academic tone is suitably formal, however this does not detract from the subject being interesting. The potential of the subject is conveyed well through the presentation, which is also within the required time line of 15 minutes, [just over]. This is good practice.
<b>Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:</b>	<b>Outstanding</b>	The use of research is outstanding and highly relevant. There is citation on your presentation, in your supporting transcript and you also orally cite which is applicable at this academic



Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? <b>Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:</b> Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?		level of study. Criticality is emerging and there is discussion of the pros and cons of your topic. You can further build upon this approach for future summative. Your research is thorough, targeted and where required from newer research resources, which is highly appropriate for your subject.
Overall		
<b>Positives:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Outstanding research and application of research</li><li>• Excellent outcomes generally</li><li>• With an excellent grade overall</li></ul>		
<b>Points for development:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• You could add a few more visuals to presentation, just break up slide text – this is a minor comment however</li><li>• I would advise continuing to approach your future summative in the manner of your current summative here. Continue to invest in deep research, appropriate time planning and a very focused response to future summative</li></ul>		