




Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

| | |
|---|---|
| Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram | Student number: 305073 |
| Details of any extensions etc: | Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission |

| Criteria and weighting | Level | Comments |
|--|-----------|--|
| Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%) To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic | Very Good | Very good knowledge of the subject demonstrated generally. Whilst the 'themes' discussed did reflected the general App title, there could have been a more focused in-depth discussion on one of these themes for example. You only had 2,000 words in the assignment, and deeper research into a narrower context would have elicited more specific discussion connected to your title. The assignment took a little while to hit its stride, as the initial 'context' discussion of the assignment took a little while to get into specifics. Again when you have a limited word count, use words in a concise and focused manner and get into the main discussion as soon as you can. |
| Criticality (30%) To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic | Very Good | There is a genuine attempt at the use of critical discussion, you do look at the pros and cons of your themes for example. Again, a more focused approach to core discussion in assignments will allow a more developmental 'scaffolding' of fors and againsts of a particular topic and so this is worth bearing in mind to develop a better critical level in your content. There is theory and practice in your assignment and this is appropriate regarding learning outcomes. |
| Use of relevant sources (20%) To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature | Very Good | Very good use of relevant research resources. There were more opportunities to cite in your content, and so do bear this point in mind for future. There is a very good list of references connected to your in-text citation. Your list of references are generally quite recent and this is good practice. |
| Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) | Excellent | The assignment is presented to a generally excellent standard. Care has been taken to lay the content out academically and professionally. Do remember however, that |



| | | |
|--|------------------|--|
| (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills. | | the list of references need to be on their own page at the end of the assignment. |
| Academic integrity (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills. | Excellent | The assignment title is from the list given by the University of Essex and this is appropriate. The assignment is also within the required word count, which is good practice. Don't use italics in your assignment, if you are using a sub-header use standard bold text, and start the discussion under the sub header. Your academic writing style is generally excellent, and so maintain your current approach for future summative |
| Overall | | |
| Positives: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Excellent layout and structure for the assignment• With an excellent academic tone of voice• Generally the majority of outcomes sit in the very good bracket | | |
| Points for development: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Use a more focused research approach moving forward• Get directly into discussion and debate sooner, when an assignment has a small word count• This approach will allow a more critically focused discussion to take place | | |