

# Metrics

Saverio Dieni

November 2025

## 1 Results

Dataset / Metric	NsDiff	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
<b>ETTm1</b>					
MSE	0.4468	<b>0.4442</b>	<i>0.4460</i>	0.4920	0.4583
MAE	0.4333	<i>0.4307</i>	<b>0.4307</b>	0.4495	0.4413
CRPS	0.3402	0.3321	<b>0.3297</b>	0.3485	<i>0.3320</i>
QICE	2.4697	2.1753	<i>1.9338</i>	1.9374	<b>1.2383</b>
<b>ETTm2</b>					
MSE	0.3499	0.3488	<i>0.3471</i>	0.3482	<b>0.3470</b>
MAE	0.3876	0.3874	<b>0.3863</b>	<i>0.3868</i>	0.3868
CRPS	0.2912	<i>0.2909</i>	<b>0.2903</b>	0.2920	0.2925
QICE	2.6343	<i>2.5926</i>	<b>2.5649</b>	2.5935	2.7174
<b>ETTTh1</b>					
MSE	0.6606	0.6672	0.6666	<i>0.6593</i>	<b>0.6284</b>
MAE	0.5638	0.5649	0.5646	<i>0.5624</i>	<b>0.5586</b>
CRPS	0.4149	0.4157	0.4153	<i>0.4107</i>	<b>0.4105</b>
QICE	<i>0.9897</i>	1.1159	1.0770	<b>0.9840</b>	1.1681
<b>ETTTh2</b>					
MSE	<b>0.5062</b>	0.5346	0.5341	<i>0.5332</i>	0.5342
MAE	<b>0.4948</b>	0.5048	0.5044	<i>0.5042</i>	0.5050
CRPS	<b>0.3679</b>	0.3739	0.3728	0.3723	<i>0.3721</i>
QICE	1.7390	1.3623	1.2758	<b>1.1942</b>	<i>1.2521</i>
<b>ILI</b>					
MSE	0.2102	0.2101	0.2079	<i>0.2002</i>	<b>0.1862</b>
MAE	0.3079	0.3086	0.3048	<i>0.2935</i>	<b>0.2855</b>
CRPS	0.2991	0.2997	0.2971	<i>0.2730</i>	<b>0.2677</b>
QICE	7.5231	7.5151	7.5161	<i>7.2827</i>	<b>7.2796</b>
<b>EXG</b>					
MSE	<i>0.0204</i>	<b>0.0204</b>	0.0205	0.0205	0.0206
MAE	0.1049	0.1049	<i>0.1048</i>	<b>0.1047</b>	0.1048
CRPS	<b>0.0776</b>	0.0777	0.0776	<i>0.0776</i>	0.0777
QICE	<i>2.5381</i>	2.5942	2.5478	<b>2.5277</b>	2.5551
<b>Linear Synthetic</b>					
MSE	<i>0.9236</i>	0.9252	1.0350	<b>0.9151</b>	1.0229
MAE	<i>0.7007</i>	0.7013	0.7411	<b>0.6973</b>	0.7362
CRPS	<i>0.4998</i>	0.5019	0.5323	<b>0.4968</b>	0.5328
QICE	<b>0.7572</b>	1.1359	1.3589	<i>0.7218</i>	2.3495
<b>Quadratic Synthetic</b>					
MSE	<i>1.0707</i>	1.0752	1.1603	<b>1.0680</b>	1.2198
MAE	<i>0.6606</i>	0.6625	0.6905	<b>0.6597</b>	0.6990
CRPS	<i>0.4711</i>	0.4759	0.4943	<b>0.4709</b>	0.5115
QICE	<i>0.8848</i>	2.1357	<b>0.4244</b>	1.1605	1.3291

Table 1: Comparison of models on ETT, ILI, EXG, Linear and Quadratic Synthetic datasets. Best results bold, second best italics.

<b>Model</b>	<b>Best MSE</b>	<b>Best MAE</b>	<b>Best CRPS</b>	<b>Best QICE</b>	<b>Total Best</b>
NsDiff	1	1	2	1	<b>5</b>
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2	0	0	0	<b>2</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	0	2	2	2	<b>6</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	2	3	3	2	<b>10</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	2	2	2	<b>9</b>

Table 2: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

<b>Model</b>	<b>MSE Top-2</b>	<b>MAE Top-2</b>	<b>CRPS Top-2</b>	<b>QICE Top-2</b>	<b>Total Top-2</b>
NsDiff	4	3	4	4	<b>15</b>
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2	1	1	1	<b>5</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	2	3	2	3	<b>10</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	5	7	5	5	<b>22</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	2	4	3	<b>12</b>

Table 3: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.

## 1.1 Results on the real-world datasets

Dataset / Metric	NsDiff	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
<b>ETTm1</b>					
MSE	0.4468	<b>0.4442</b>	<i>0.4460</i>	0.4920	0.4583
MAE	0.4333	<i>0.4307</i>	<b>0.4307</b>	0.4495	0.4413
CRPS	0.3402	0.3321	<b>0.3297</b>	0.3485	<i>0.3320</i>
QICE	2.4697	2.1753	<i>1.9338</i>	1.9374	<b>1.2383</b>
<b>ETTm2</b>					
MSE	0.3499	0.3488	<i>0.3471</i>	0.3482	<b>0.3470</b>
MAE	0.3876	0.3874	<b>0.3863</b>	<i>0.3868</i>	0.3868
CRPS	0.2912	<i>0.2909</i>	<b>0.2903</b>	0.2920	0.2925
QICE	2.6343	<i>2.5926</i>	<b>2.5649</b>	2.5935	2.7174
<b>ETTh1</b>					
MSE	0.6606	0.6672	0.6666	<i>0.6593</i>	<b>0.6284</b>
MAE	0.5638	0.5649	0.5646	<i>0.5624</i>	<b>0.5586</b>
CRPS	0.4149	0.4157	0.4153	<i>0.4107</i>	<b>0.4105</b>
QICE	<i>0.9897</i>	1.1159	1.0770	<b>0.9840</b>	1.1681
<b>ETTh2</b>					
MSE	<b>0.5062</b>	0.5346	0.5341	<i>0.5332</i>	0.5342
MAE	<b>0.4948</b>	0.5048	0.5044	<i>0.5042</i>	0.5050
CRPS	<b>0.3679</b>	0.3739	0.3728	0.3723	<i>0.3721</i>
QICE	1.7390	1.3623	1.2758	<b>1.1942</b>	<i>1.2521</i>
<b>ILI</b>					
MSE	0.2102	0.2101	0.2079	<i>0.2002</i>	<b>0.1862</b>
MAE	0.3079	0.3086	0.3048	<i>0.2935</i>	<b>0.2855</b>
CRPS	0.2991	0.2997	0.2971	<i>0.2730</i>	<b>0.2677</b>
QICE	7.5231	7.5151	7.5161	<i>7.2827</i>	<b>7.2796</b>
<b>EXG</b>					
MSE	<i>0.0204</i>	<b>0.0204</b>	0.0205	0.0205	0.0206
MAE	0.1049	0.1049	<i>0.1048</i>	<b>0.1047</b>	0.1048
CRPS	<b>0.0776</b>	0.0777	0.0776	<i>0.0776</i>	0.0777
QICE	<i>2.5381</i>	2.5942	2.5478	<b>2.5277</b>	2.5551

Table 4: Comparison of models on six real-world datasets. Best results bold, second best italics.

Model	Best MSE	Best MAE	Best CRPS	Best QICE	Total Best
NsDiff	1	1	2	0	<b>4</b>
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2	0	0	0	<b>2</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	0	2	2	1	<b>5</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	0	1	0	3	<b>4</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	2	2	2	<b>9</b>

Table 5: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

Given the complete metric table, I counted how many times each model achieved the best value for each metric. I then produced two summaries: one considering only the best (top-1) results, and another counting both the best and second-best(top-2) results. Looking at the top-1 summary, the second version of NsRatd achieved the highest number of best metrics, especially when a reference is used, although NsDiff also showed good performance. The top-2 summary indicates that NsRatd-v2 achieves superior performance compared to NsRatd-v1. When considering only the real-world datasets, the advantage of NsRatd-v2, especially in the reference-based variant, becomes even more pronounced.

Model	MSE Top-2	MAE Top-2	CRPS Top-2	QICE Top-2	Total Top-2
NsDiff	2	1	2	2	<b>7</b>
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2	1	1	1	<b>5</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	2	3	2	2	<b>9</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	3	5	3	4	<b>15</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	2	4	3	<b>12</b>

Table 6: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.

## 2 Results with a masked Input

Dataset / Metric	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
<b>ETTh1</b>				
MSE	0.8115	0.8131	<i>0.6156</i>	<b>0.5780</b>
MAE	0.6400	0.6396	<i>0.5406</i>	<b>0.5320</b>
CRPS	0.4818	0.4802	<i>0.4063</i>	<b>0.3921</b>
QICE	1.9580	1.8689	<i>1.1800</i>	<b>0.7987</b>
<b>ETTh2</b>				
MSE	0.7465	0.7455	<b>0.7440</b>	<i>0.7449</i>
MAE	0.6244	<i>0.6241</i>	0.6245	<b>0.6239</b>
CRPS	<b>0.4476</b>	<i>0.4480</i>	0.4485	0.4489
QICE	5.2870	5.3079	<b>4.7904</b>	<i>5.2561</i>
<b>ETTh1</b>				
MSE	0.8186	0.8177	<i>0.8009</i>	<b>0.7496</b>
MAE	0.6544	0.6541	<i>0.6504</i>	<b>0.6373</b>
CRPS	0.4729	0.4725	<i>0.4681</i>	<b>0.4661</b>
QICE	<b>0.9118</b>	<i>0.9342</i>	1.1839	1.9962
<b>ETTh2</b>				
MSE	<b>0.6615</b>	0.6631	0.6609	<i>0.6634</i>
MAE	<b>0.5721</b>	0.5724	<i>0.5713</i>	0.5740
CRPS	<i>0.4191</i>	0.4191	0.4185	<b>0.4265</b>
QICE	<i>1.9684</i>	1.9847	2.0698	<b>2.6071</b>
<b>ILI</b>				
MSE	0.4439	0.4328	<i>0.4256</i>	<b>0.4028</b>
MAE	0.4787	0.4671	<i>0.4648</i>	<b>0.4506</b>
CRPS	0.3759	0.3703	<i>0.3510</i>	<b>0.3439</b>
QICE	5.0345	4.9894	<b>4.2482</b>	<i>4.3229</i>
<b>EXG</b>				
MSE	0.4928	<b>0.4922</b>	0.4929	<i>0.4928</i>
MAE	0.5025	<b>0.5021</b>	0.5023	<i>0.5022</i>
CRPS	<b>0.3805</b>	<i>0.3808</i>	0.3809	0.3809
QICE	<b>4.9703</b>	5.0579	<i>5.0563</i>	5.0593
<b>Linear Synthetic</b>				
MSE	<i>0.9393</i>	1.0281	<b>0.9299</b>	1.0139
MAE	<i>0.7093</i>	0.7412	<b>0.7055</b>	0.7369
CRPS	<i>0.5051</i>	0.5362	<b>0.5016</b>	0.5377
QICE	<i>0.6184</i>	2.0087	<b>0.4192</b>	3.0205
<b>Quadratic Synthetic</b>				
MSE	<i>1.0763</i>	1.1500	<b>1.0709</b>	1.1836
MAE	<i>0.6640</i>	0.6856	<b>0.6612</b>	0.6896
CRPS	<i>0.4744</i>	0.4924	<b>0.4712</b>	0.5075
QICE	1.4214	<i>1.0978</i>	<b>0.5048</b>	1.8914

Table 7: Comparison of models on ETT, ILI, EXG, Linear and Quadratic Synthetic datasets. The results are computed with a masked input, with 20% of the series masked. Best results bold, second best italics.

<b>Model</b>	<b>Best MSE</b>	<b>Best MAE</b>	<b>Best CRPS</b>	<b>Best QICE</b>	<b>Total Best</b>
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	1	1	2	2	<b>6</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	1	0	0	<b>2</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	3	2	2	4	<b>11</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	4	4	2	<b>13</b>

Table 8: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

<b>Model</b>	<b>MSE Top-2</b>	<b>MAE Top-2</b>	<b>CRPS Top-2</b>	<b>QICE Top-2</b>	<b>Total Top-2</b>
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	3	3	5	5	<b>16</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	2	2	2	<b>7</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	5	6	5	6	<b>22</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	6	5	4	4	<b>19</b>

Table 9: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.

## 2.1 Results on the real-world datasets, with a masked input

Dataset / Metric	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
<b>ETTm1</b>				
MSE	0.8115	0.8131	<i>0.6156</i>	<b>0.5780</b>
MAE	0.6400	0.6396	<i>0.5406</i>	<b>0.5320</b>
CRPS	0.4818	0.4802	<i>0.4063</i>	<b>0.3921</b>
QICE	1.9580	1.8689	<i>1.1800</i>	<b>0.7987</b>
<b>ETTm2</b>				
MSE	0.7465	0.7455	<b>0.7440</b>	<i>0.7449</i>
MAE	0.6244	<i>0.6241</i>	0.6245	<b>0.6239</b>
CRPS	<b>0.4476</b>	<i>0.4480</i>	0.4485	0.4489
QICE	5.2870	5.3079	<b>4.7904</b>	<i>5.2561</i>
<b>ETTh1</b>				
MSE	0.8186	0.8177	<i>0.8009</i>	<b>0.7496</b>
MAE	0.6544	0.6541	<i>0.6504</i>	<b>0.6373</b>
CRPS	0.4729	0.4725	<i>0.4681</i>	<b>0.4661</b>
QICE	<b>0.9118</b>	<i>0.9342</i>	1.1839	1.9962
<b>ETTh2</b>				
MSE	<b>0.6615</b>	0.6631	0.6609	<i>0.6634</i>
MAE	<b>0.5721</b>	0.5724	<i>0.5713</i>	0.5740
CRPS	<i>0.4191</i>	0.4191	0.4185	<b>0.4265</b>
QICE	<i>1.9684</i>	1.9847	2.0698	<b>2.6071</b>
<b>ILI</b>				
MSE	0.4439	0.4328	<i>0.4256</i>	<b>0.4028</b>
MAE	0.4787	0.4671	<i>0.4648</i>	<b>0.4506</b>
CRPS	0.3759	0.3703	<i>0.3510</i>	<b>0.3439</b>
QICE	5.0345	4.9894	<b>4.2482</b>	<i>4.3229</i>
<b>EXG</b>				
MSE	0.4928	<b>0.4922</b>	0.4929	<i>0.4928</i>
MAE	0.5025	<b>0.5021</b>	0.5023	<i>0.5022</i>
CRPS	<b>0.3805</b>	<i>0.3808</i>	0.3809	0.3809
QICE	<b>4.9703</b>	5.0579	<i>5.0563</i>	5.0593

Table 10: Comparison of models on six real-world datasets. The results are computed with a masked input, with 20% of the series masked. Best results bold, second best italics.

Model	Best MSE	Best MAE	Best CRPS	Best QICE	Total Best
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	1	1	2	2	<b>6</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	1	0	0	<b>2</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	1	0	0	2	<b>3</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	4	4	2	<b>13</b>

Table 11: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

In this section, I analyze the impact of providing a reference when the input series is heavily degraded. I simulated this condition by masking 20% of the input. Even under this setting, NsRatd-v2 continues to outperform NsRatd-v1. The use of a reference is particularly beneficial for the real-world datasets, where NsRatd-v2 shows a marked improvement, as illustrated in Table 11.

<b>Model</b>	<b>MSE Top-2</b>	<b>MAE Top-2</b>	<b>CRPS Top-2</b>	<b>QICE Top-2</b>	<b>Total Top-2</b>
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	1	1	3	4	<b>9</b>
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	2	2	1	<b>6</b>
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	3	4	3	4	<b>14</b>
NsRatd-v2 with ref	6	5	4	4	<b>19</b>

Table 12: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.