

Metrics

Saverio Dieni

November 2025

1 Results

Dataset / Metric	NsDiff	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
ETTm1					
MSE	0.4468	0.4442	<i>0.4460</i>	0.4920	0.4583
MAE	0.4333	<i>0.4307</i>	0.4307	0.4495	0.4413
CRPS	0.3402	0.3321	0.3297	0.3485	<i>0.3320</i>
QICE	2.4697	2.1753	<i>1.9338</i>	1.9374	1.2383
ETTm2					
MSE	0.3499	0.3488	<i>0.3471</i>	0.3482	0.3470
MAE	0.3876	0.3874	0.3863	<i>0.3868</i>	0.3868
CRPS	0.2912	<i>0.2909</i>	0.2903	0.2920	0.2925
QICE	2.6343	<i>2.5926</i>	2.5649	2.5935	2.7174
ETTh1					
MSE	0.6606	0.6672	0.6666	<i>0.6593</i>	0.6284
MAE	0.5638	0.5649	0.5646	<i>0.5624</i>	0.5586
CRPS	0.4149	0.4157	0.4153	<i>0.4107</i>	0.4105
QICE	<i>0.9897</i>	1.1159	1.0770	0.9840	1.1681
ETTh2					
MSE	0.5062	0.5346	0.5341	<i>0.5332</i>	0.5342
MAE	0.4948	0.5048	0.5044	<i>0.5042</i>	0.5050
CRPS	0.3679	0.3739	0.3728	<i>0.3723</i>	<i>0.3721</i>
QICE	1.7390	1.3623	1.2758	1.1942	1.2521
ILI					
MSE	0.2102	0.2101	0.2079	<i>0.2002</i>	0.1862
MAE	0.3079	0.3086	0.3048	<i>0.2935</i>	0.2855
CRPS	0.2991	0.2997	0.2971	<i>0.2730</i>	0.2677
QICE	7.5231	7.5151	7.5161	7.2827	7.2796
EXG					
MSE	<i>0.0204</i>	0.0204	0.0205	0.0205	0.0206
MAE	0.1049	0.1049	<i>0.1048</i>	0.1047	0.1048
CRPS	0.0776	0.0777	0.0776	<i>0.0776</i>	0.0777
QICE	<i>2.5381</i>	2.5942	2.547	2.5277	2.5551
Linear Synthetic					
MSE	<i>0.9236</i>	0.9252	1.0350	0.9151	1.0229
MAE	<i>0.7007</i>	0.7013	0.7411	0.6973	0.7362
CRPS	<i>0.4998</i>	0.5019	0.5323	0.4968	0.5328
QICE	0.7572	1.1359	1.3589	<i>0.7218</i>	2.3495
Quadratic Synthetic					
MSE	1.0707	1.0752	1.1603	<i>1.0709</i>	1.1836
MAE	0.6606	0.6625	0.6905	<i>0.6612</i>	0.6896
CRPS	0.4711	0.4759	0.4943	<i>0.4712</i>	0.5075
QICE	0.8848	2.1357	0.4244	<i>0.5048</i>	1.8914

Table 1: Comparison of models on ETT, ILI, EXG, Linear and Quadratic Synthetic datasets. Best results bold, second best italics.

Model	Best MSE	Best MAE	Best CRPS	Best QICE	Total Best
NsDiff	2	2	3	1	8
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2	0	0	0	2
NsRatd-v1 with ref	0	2	2	2	6
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	1	2	1	3	7
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	2	2	2	9

Table 2: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

Model	MSE Top-2	MAE Top-2	CRPS Top-2	QICE Top-2	Total Top-2
NsDiff	4	3	4	3	14
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2	1	1	1	5
NsRatd-v1 with ref	2	3	2	3	10
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	5	7	5	6	23
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	2	4	3	12

Table 3: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.

1.1 Results on the real-world datasets

Dataset / Metric	NsDiff	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
ETTm1					
MSE	0.4468	0.4442	<i>0.4460</i>	0.4920	0.4583
MAE	0.4333	<i>0.4307</i>	0.4307	0.4495	0.4413
CRPS	0.3402	0.3321	0.3297	0.3485	<i>0.3320</i>
QICE	2.4697	2.1753	<i>1.9338</i>	1.9374	1.2383
ETTm2					
MSE	0.3499	0.3488	<i>0.3471</i>	0.3482	0.3470
MAE	0.3876	0.3874	0.3863	<i>0.3868</i>	0.3868
CRPS	0.2912	<i>0.2909</i>	0.2903	0.2920	0.2925
QICE	2.6343	2.5926	2.5649	2.5935	2.7174
ETTh1					
MSE	0.6606	0.6672	0.6666	<i>0.6593</i>	0.6284
MAE	0.5638	0.5649	0.5646	<i>0.5624</i>	0.5586
CRPS	0.4149	0.4157	0.4153	<i>0.4107</i>	0.4105
QICE	0.9897	1.1159	1.0770	0.9840	1.1681
ETTh2					
MSE	0.5062	0.5346	0.5341	<i>0.5332</i>	0.5342
MAE	0.4948	0.5048	0.5044	<i>0.5042</i>	0.5050
CRPS	0.3679	0.3739	0.3728	0.3723	<i>0.3721</i>
QICE	1.7390	1.3623	1.2758	1.1942	<i>1.2521</i>
ILI					
MSE	0.2102	0.2101	0.2079	<i>0.2002</i>	0.1862
MAE	0.3079	0.3086	0.3048	<i>0.2935</i>	0.2855
CRPS	0.2991	0.2997	0.2971	<i>0.2730</i>	0.2677
QICE	7.5231	7.5151	7.5161	7.2827	7.2796
EXG					
MSE	<i>0.0204</i>	0.0204	0.0205	0.0205	0.0206
MAE	0.1049	0.1049	<i>0.1048</i>	0.1047	0.1048
CRPS	0.0776	0.0777	0.0776	<i>0.0776</i>	0.0777
QICE	2.5381	2.5942	2.5470	2.5277	2.5551

Table 4: Comparison of models on six real-world datasets. Best results bold, second best italics.

Model	Best MSE	Best MAE	Best CRPS	Best QICE	Total Best
NsDiff	1	1	2	0	4
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2	0	0	0	2
NsRatd-v1 with ref	0	2	2	1	5
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	0	1	0	3	4
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	2	2	2	9

Table 5: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

Given the complete metric table, I counted how many times each model achieved the best value for each metric. I then produced two summaries: one considering only the best (top-1) results, and another counting both the best and second-best (top-2) results. Looking at the top-1 summary, the second version of NsRatd achieved the highest number of best metrics, especially when a reference is used, although NsDiff also showed good performance. The top-2 summary indicates that NsRatd-v2 achieves superior performance compared to NsRatd-v1. When considering only the real-world datasets, the advantage of NsRatd-v2, especially in the reference-based variant, becomes even more pronounced.

Model	MSE	Top-2	MAE	Top-2	CRPS	Top-2	QICE	Top-2	Total	Top-2
NsDiff	2		1		2		2		7	
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	2		1		1		1		5	
NsRatd-v1 with ref	2		3		2		2		9	
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	3		5		3		4		15	
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3		2		4		3		12	

Table 6: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.

2 Results with a masked Input

Dataset / Metric	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
ETTm1				
MSE	0.8115	0.8131	<i>0.6156</i>	0.5780
MAE	0.6400	0.6396	<i>0.5406</i>	0.5320
CRPS	0.4818	0.4802	<i>0.4063</i>	0.3921
QICE	1.9580	1.8689	<i>1.1800</i>	0.7987
ETTm2				
MSE	0.7465	0.7455	0.7440	<i>0.7449</i>
MAE	0.6244	<i>0.6241</i>	0.6245	0.6239
CRPS	0.4476	<i>0.4480</i>	0.4485	0.4489
QICE	5.2870	5.3079	4.7904	<i>5.2561</i>
ETTh1				
MSE	0.8186	0.8177	<i>0.8009</i>	0.7496
MAE	0.6544	0.6541	<i>0.6504</i>	0.6373
CRPS	0.4729	0.4725	<i>0.4681</i>	0.4661
QICE	0.9118	<i>0.9142</i>	1.1839	1.9962
ETTh2				
MSE	0.6615	0.6631	0.6609	<i>0.6634</i>
MAE	0.5721	0.5724	<i>0.5713</i>	0.5740
CRPS	<i>0.4191</i>	0.4191	0.4185	0.4265
QICE	<i>1.9684</i>	1.9847	2.0698	2.6071
ILI				
MSE	0.4439	0.4328	<i>0.4256</i>	0.4028
MAE	0.4787	0.4671	<i>0.4648</i>	0.4506
CRPS	0.3759	0.3703	<i>0.3510</i>	0.3439
QICE	5.0345	4.9894	4.2482	<i>4.3229</i>
EXG				
MSE	0.4928	0.4922	0.4929	<i>0.4928</i>
MAE	0.5025	0.5021	0.5023	<i>0.5022</i>
CRPS	0.3805	<i>0.3808</i>	0.3809	0.3809
QICE	4.9703	5.0579	<i>5.0563</i>	5.0593
Linear Synthetic				
MSE	<i>0.9393</i>	1.0281	0.9299	1.0139
MAE	<i>0.7093</i>	0.7412	0.7055	0.7369
CRPS	<i>0.5051</i>	0.5362	0.5016	0.5377
QICE	<i>0.6184</i>	2.0087	0.4192	3.0205
Quadratic Synthetic				
MSE	<i>1.0763</i>	1.1500	1.0709	1.1836
MAE	<i>0.6640</i>	0.6856	0.6612	0.6896
CRPS	<i>0.4744</i>	0.4924	0.4712	0.5075
QICE	1.4214	<i>1.0978</i>	0.5048	1.8914

Table 7: Comparison of models on ETT, ILI, EXG, Linear and Quadratic Synthetic datasets. The results are computed with a masked input, with 20% of the series masked. Best results bold, second best italicics.

Model	Best MSE	Best MAE	Best CRPS	Best QICE	Total Best
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	1	1	2	2	6
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	1	0	0	2
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	3	2	2	4	11
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	4	4	2	13

Table 8: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

Model	MSE Top-2	MAE Top-2	CRPS Top-2	QICE Top-2	Total Top-2
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	3	3	5	5	16
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	2	2	2	7
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	5	6	5	6	22
NsRatd-v2 with ref	6	5	4	4	19

Table 9: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.

2.1 Results on the real-world datasets, with a masked input

Dataset / Metric	NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	NsRatd-v1 with ref	NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	NsRatd-v2 with ref
ETTm1				
MSE	0.8115	0.8131	<i>0.6156</i>	0.5780
MAE	0.6400	0.6396	<i>0.5406</i>	0.5320
CRPS	0.4818	0.4802	<i>0.4063</i>	0.3921
QICE	1.9580	1.8689	<i>1.1800</i>	0.7987
ETTm2				
MSE	0.7465	0.7455	0.7440	<i>0.7449</i>
MAE	0.6244	<i>0.6241</i>	0.6245	0.6239
CRPS	0.4476	<i>0.4480</i>	0.4485	0.4489
QICE	5.2870	5.3079	4.7904	<i>5.2561</i>
ETTh1				
MSE	0.8186	0.8177	<i>0.8009</i>	0.7496
MAE	0.6544	0.6541	<i>0.6504</i>	0.6373
CRPS	0.4729	0.4725	<i>0.4681</i>	0.4661
QICE	0.9118	<i>0.9342</i>	1.1839	1.9962
ETTh2				
MSE	0.6615	0.6631	0.6609	<i>0.6634</i>
MAE	0.5721	0.5724	<i>0.5713</i>	0.5740
CRPS	<i>0.4191</i>	0.4191	0.4185	0.4265
QICE	<i>1.9684</i>	1.9847	2.0698	2.6071
ILI				
MSE	0.4439	0.4328	<i>0.4256</i>	0.4028
MAE	0.4787	0.4671	<i>0.4648</i>	0.4506
CRPS	0.3759	0.3703	<i>0.3510</i>	0.3439
QICE	5.0345	4.9894	4.2482	<i>4.3229</i>
EXG				
MSE	0.4928	0.4922	0.4929	<i>0.4928</i>
MAE	0.5025	0.5021	0.5023	<i>0.5022</i>
CRPS	0.3805	<i>0.3808</i>	0.3809	0.3809
QICE	4.9703	5.0579	<i>5.0563</i>	5.0593

Table 10: Comparison of models on six real-world datasets. The results are computed with a masked input, with 20% of the series masked. Best results bold, second best italics.

Model	Best MSE	Best MAE	Best CRPS	Best QICE	Total Best
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	1	1	2	2	6
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	1	0	0	2
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	1	0	0	2	3
NsRatd-v2 with ref	3	4	4	2	13

Table 11: Number of best results (lower is better) per model.

In this section, I analyze the impact of providing a reference when the input series is heavily degraded. I simulated this condition by masking 20% of the input. Even under this setting, NsRatd-v2 continues to outperform NsRatd-v1. The use of a reference is particularly beneficial for the real-world datasets, where NsRatd-v2 shows a marked improvement, as illustrated in Table 11.

Model	MSE Top-2	MAE Top-2	CRPS Top-2	QICE Top-2	Total Top-2
NsRatd-v1 w/o ref	1	1	3	4	9
NsRatd-v1 with ref	1	2	2	1	6
NsRatd-v2 w/o ref	3	4	3	4	14
NsRatd-v2 with ref	6	5	4	4	19

Table 12: Top-2 results (first or second best) per model.