

A Sample Book

A N Author

February 15, 2016

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| I | Introduction to programming and numerical methods | 1 |
| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1 | Choice of programming language | 5 |
| 1.2 | Designing programs | 6 |

So, ultimately, in order to understand nature it may be necessary to have a deeper understanding of mathematical relationships. But the real reason is that the subject is enjoyable, and although we humans cut nature up in different ways, and we have different courses in different departments, such compartmentalization is really artificial, and we should take our intellectual pleasures where we find them. *Richard Feynman, The Laws of Thermodynamics.*

Why a preface you may ask? Isn't that just a mere exposition of a *raison d'être* of an author's choice of material, preferences, biases, teaching philosophy etc.? To a large extent I can answer in the affirmative to that. A preface ought to be personal. Indeed, what you will see in the various chapters of these notes represents how I perceive computational physics should be taught.

This set of lecture notes serves the scope of presenting to you and train you in an algorithmic approach to problems in the sciences, represented here by the unity of three disciplines, physics, mathematics and informatics. This trinity outlines the emerging field of computational physics.

Our insight in a physical system, combined with numerical mathematics gives us the rules for setting up an algorithm, viz. a set of rules for solving a particular problem. Our understanding of the physical system under study is obviously gauged by the natural laws at play, the initial conditions, boundary conditions and other external constraints which influence the given system. Having spelled out the physics, for example in the form of a set of coupled partial differential equations, we need efficient numerical methods in order to set up the final algorithm. This algorithm is in turn coded into a computer program and executed on available computing facilities. To develop such an algorithmic approach, you will be exposed to several physics cases, spanning from the classical pendulum to quantum mechanical systems. We will also present some of the most popular algorithms from numerical mathematics used to solve a plethora of problems in the sciences. Finally we will codify these algorithms using some of the most widely used programming languages, presently C, C++ and Fortran and its most recent standard Fortran 2008¹. However, a high-level and fully object-oriented language like Python is now emerging as a good alternative although C++ and Fortran still outperform Python when it comes to computational speed. In this text we offer an approach where one can write all programs in C/C++ or Fortran. We will also show you how to develop large programs in Python interfacing C++ and/or Fortran functions for those parts of the program which are CPU intensive. Such an approach allows you to structure the flow of data in a high-level language like Python while tasks of a mere repetitive and CPU intensive nature are left to low-level languages like C++ or Fortran. Python allows you also to smoothly interface your program with other software, such as plotting programs or operating system instructions. A typical Python program you may end up writing contains everything from compiling and running your codes to preparing the body of a file for writing up your report.

Computer simulations are nowadays an integral part of contemporary basic and applied research in the sciences. Computation is becoming as important as theory and experiment. In physics, computational physics, theoretical physics and experimental physics are all equally

¹Throughout this text we refer to Fortran 2008 as Fortran, implying the latest standard.

important in our daily research and studies of physical systems. Physics is the unity of theory, experiment and computation². Moreover, the ability "to compute" forms part of the essential repertoire of research scientists. Several new fields within computational science have emerged and strengthened their positions in the last years, such as computational materials science, bioinformatics, computational mathematics and mechanics, computational chemistry and physics and so forth, just to mention a few. These fields underscore the importance of simulations as a means to gain novel insights into physical systems, especially for those cases where no analytical solutions can be found or an experiment is too complicated or expensive to carry out. To be able to simulate large quantal systems with many degrees of freedom such as strongly interacting electrons in a quantum dot will be of great importance for future directions in novel fields like nano-technology. This ability often combines knowledge from many different subjects, in our case essentially from the physical sciences, numerical mathematics, computing languages, topics from high-performance computing and some knowledge of computers.

In 1999, when I started this course at the department of physics in Oslo, computational physics and computational science in general were still perceived by the majority of physicists and scientists as topics dealing with just mere tools and number crunching, and not as subjects of their own. The computational background of most students enlisting for the course on computational physics could span from dedicated hackers and computer freaks to people who basically had never used a PC. The majority of undergraduate and graduate students had a very rudimentary knowledge of computational techniques and methods. Questions like 'do you know of better methods for numerical integration than the trapezoidal rule' were not uncommon. I do happen to know of colleagues who applied for time at a supercomputing centre because they needed to invert matrices of the size of $10^4 \times 10^4$ since they were using the trapezoidal rule to compute integrals. With Gaussian quadrature this dimensionality was easily reduced to matrix problems of the size of $10^2 \times 10^2$, with much better precision.

More than a decade later most students have now been exposed to a fairly uniform introduction to computers, basic programming skills and use of numerical exercises. Practically every undergraduate student in physics has now made a Matlab or Maple simulation of for example the pendulum, with or without chaotic motion. Nowadays most of you are familiar, through various undergraduate courses in physics and mathematics, with interpreted languages such as Maple, Matlab and/or Mathematica. In addition, the interest in scripting languages such as Python or Perl has increased considerably in recent years. The modern programmer would typically combine several tools, computing environments and programming languages. A typical example is the following. Suppose you are working on a project which demands extensive visualizations of the results. To obtain these results, that is to solve

²We mentioned previously the trinity of physics, mathematics and informatics. Viewing physics as the trinity of theory, experiment and simulations is yet another example. It is obviously tempting to go beyond the sciences. History shows that trines, trinities and for example triple deities permeate the Indo-European cultures (and probably all human cultures), from the ancient Celts and Hindus to modern days. The ancient Celts revered many such trines, their world was divided into earth, sea and air, nature was divided in animal, vegetable and mineral and the cardinal colours were red, yellow and blue, just to mention a few. As a curious digression, it was a Gaulish Celt, Hilary, philosopher and bishop of Poitiers (AD 315-367) in his work *De Trinitate* who formulated the Holy Trinity concept of Christianity, perhaps in order to accomodate millenia of human divination practice.

a physics problems like obtaining the density profile of a Bose-Einstein condensate, you need however a program which is fairly fast when computational speed matters. In this case you would most likely write a high-performance computing program using Monte Carlo methods in languages which are tailored for that. These are represented by programming languages like Fortran and C++. However, to visualize the results you would find interpreted languages like Matlab or scripting languages like Python extremely suitable for your tasks. You will therefore end up writing for example a script in Matlab which calls a Fortran or C++ program where the number crunching is done and then visualize the results of say a wave equation solver via Matlab's large library of visualization tools. Alternatively, you could organize everything into a Python or Perl script which does everything for you, calls the Fortran and/or C++ programs and performs the visualization in Matlab or Python. Used correctly, these tools, spanning from scripting languages to high-performance computing languages and visualization programs, speed up your capability to solve complicated problems. Being multilingual is thus an advantage which not only applies to our globalized modern society but to computing environments as well. This text shows you how to use C++ and Fortran as programming languages.

There is however more to the picture than meets the eye. Although interpreted languages like Matlab, Mathematica and Maple allow you nowadays to solve very complicated problems, and high-level languages like Python can be used to solve computational problems, computational speed and the capability to write an efficient code are topics which still do matter. To this end, the majority of scientists still use languages like C++ and Fortran to solve scientific problems. When you embark on a master or PhD thesis, you will most likely meet these high-performance computing languages. This course emphasizes thus the use of programming languages like Fortran, Python and C++ instead of interpreted ones like Matlab or Maple. You should however note that there are still large differences in computer time between for example numerical Python and a corresponding C++ program for many numerical applications in the physical sciences, with a code in C++ or Fortran being the fastest.

Computational speed is not the only reason for this choice of programming languages. Another important reason is that we feel that at a certain stage one needs to have some insights into the algorithm used, its stability conditions, possible pitfalls like loss of precision, ranges of applicability, the possibility to improve the algorithm and tailor it to special purposes etc etc. One of our major aims here is to present to you what we would dub 'the algorithmic approach', a set of rules for doing mathematics or a precise description of how to solve a problem. To devise an algorithm and thereafter write a code for solving physics problems is a marvelous way of gaining insight into complicated physical systems. The algorithm you end up writing reflects in essentially all cases your own understanding of the physics and the mathematics (the way you express yourself) of the problem. We do therefore devote quite some space to the algorithms behind various functions presented in the text. Especially, insight into how errors propagate and how to avoid them is a topic we would like you to pay special attention to. Only then can you avoid problems like underflow, overflow and loss of precision. Such a control is not always achievable with interpreted languages and canned functions where the underlying algorithm and/or code is not easily accessible. Although we will at various stages recommend the use of library routines for say linear alge-

bra³, our belief is that one should understand what the given function does, at least to have a mere idea. With such a starting point, we strongly believe that it can be easier to develop more complicated programs on your own using Fortran, C++ or Python.

We have several other aims as well, namely:

- We would like to give you an opportunity to gain a deeper understanding of the physics you have learned in other courses. In most courses one is normally confronted with simple systems which provide exact solutions and mimic to a certain extent the realistic cases. Many are however the comments like 'why can't we do something else than the particle in a box potential?'. In several of the projects we hope to present some more 'realistic' cases to solve by various numerical methods. This also means that we wish to give examples of how physics can be applied in a much broader context than it is discussed in the traditional physics undergraduate curriculum.
- To encourage you to "discover" physics in a way similar to how researchers learn in the context of research.
- Hopefully also to introduce numerical methods and new areas of physics that can be studied with the methods discussed.
- To teach structured programming in the context of doing science.
- The projects we propose are meant to mimic to a certain extent the situation encountered during a thesis or project work. You will typically have at your disposal 2-3 weeks to solve numerically a given project. In so doing you may need to do a literature study as well. Finally, we would like you to write a report for every project.

Our overall goal is to encourage you to learn about science through experience and by asking questions. Our objective is always understanding and the purpose of computing is further insight, not mere numbers! Simulations can often be considered as experiments. Rerunning a simulation need not be as costly as rerunning an experiment.

Needless to say, these lecture notes are upgraded continuously, from typos to new input. And we do always benefit from your comments, suggestions and ideas for making these notes better. It's through the scientific discourse and critics we advance. Moreover, I have benefitted immensely from many discussions with fellow colleagues and students. In particular I must mention Hans Petter Langtangen, Anders Malthe-Sørenssen, Knut Mørken and Øyvind Ryan, whose input during the last fifteen years has considerably improved these lecture notes. Furthermore, the time we have spent and keep spending together on the Computing in Science Education project at the University, is just marvelous. Thanks so much. Concerning the Computing in Science Education initiative, you can read more at <http://www.mn.uio.no/english/about/collaboration/cse/>.

Finally, I would like to add a petit note on referencing. These notes have evolved over many years and the idea is that they should end up in the format of a web-based learning environment for doing computational science. It will be fully free and hopefully represent a much more efficient way of conveying teaching material than traditional textbooks. I have

³Such library functions are often tailored to a given machine's architecture and should accordingly run faster than user provided ones.

not yet settled on a specific format, so any input is welcome. At present however, it is very easy for me to upgrade and improve the material on say a yearly basis, from simple typos to adding new material. When accessing the web page of the course, you will have noticed that you can obtain all source files for the programs discussed in the text. Many people have thus written to me about how they should properly reference this material and whether they can freely use it. My answer is rather simple. You are encouraged to use these codes, modify them, include them in publications, thesis work, your lectures etc. As long as your use is part of the dialectics of science you can use this material freely. However, since many weekends have elapsed in writing several of these programs, testing them, sweating over bugs, swearing in front of a `f*@?%g` code which didn't compile properly ten minutes before monday morning's eight o'clock lecture etc etc, I would dearly appreciate in case you find these codes of any use, to reference them properly. That can be done in a simple way, refer to M. Hjorth-Jensen, *Computational Physics*, University of Oslo (2013). The weblink to the course should also be included. Hope it is not too much to ask for. Enjoy!

Symbols

| | |
|---------------|---|
| α | Temperature coefficient of linear expansion (K^{-1}) |
| β | Temperature coefficient of volume expansion (K^{-1}) |
| γ | Ratio of heat capacities |
| ε | Permittivity |
| κ | Dielectric constant |
| λ | Wavelength (m) |
| ρ | Density (kg/m^3) |
| B | Magnetic field (T) |
| C | Molar heat capacity ($\text{JKg}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) |
| f | Frequency |
| k | Thermal conductivity ($\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) |
| R | Ideal gas constant ($8.31 \text{ Jmol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) |

Part I

Introduction to programming and numerical methods

The first part of this text aims at giving an introduction to basic C++ and Fortran programming, including numerical methods for computing integrals, finding roots of functions and numerical interpolation and extrapolation. It serves also the aim of introducing the first examples on parallelization of codes for numerical integration.

Chapter 1

Introduction

In the physical sciences we often encounter problems of evaluating various properties of a given function $f(x)$. Typical operations are differentiation, integration and finding the roots of $f(x)$. In most cases we do not have an analytical expression for the function $f(x)$ and we cannot derive explicit formulae for derivatives etc. Even if an analytical expression is available, the evaluation of certain operations on $f(x)$ are so difficult that we need to resort to a numerical evaluation. More frequently, $f(x)$ is the result of complicated numerical operations and is thus known only at a set of discrete points and needs to be approximated by some numerical methods in order to obtain derivatives, etc etc.

The aim of these lecture notes is to give you an introduction to selected numerical methods which are encountered in the physical sciences. Several examples, with varying degrees of complexity, will be used in order to illustrate the application of these methods.

The text gives a survey over some of the most used methods in computational physics and each chapter ends with one or more applications to realistic systems, from the structure of a neutron star to the description of quantum mechanical systems through Monte-Carlo methods. Among the algorithms we discuss, are some of the top algorithms in computational science. In recent surveys by Dongarra and Sullivan [?] and Cipra [?], the list over the ten top algorithms of the 20th century include

1. The Monte Carlo method or Metropolis algorithm, devised by John von Neumann, Stanislaw Ulam, and Nicholas Metropolis, discussed in chapters ??-??.
2. The simplex method of linear programming, developed by George Dantzig.
3. Krylov Subspace Iteration method for large eigenvalue problems in particular, developed by Magnus Hestenes, Eduard Stiefel, and Cornelius Lanczos, discussed in chapter ??.
4. The Householder matrix decomposition, developed by Alston Householder and discussed in chapter ??.
5. The Fortran compiler, developed by a team lead by John Backus, codes used throughout this text.
6. The QR algorithm for eigenvalue calculation, developed by Joe Francis, discussed in chapter ??

7. The Quicksort algorithm, developed by Anthony Hoare.
8. Fast Fourier Transform, developed by James Cooley and John Tukey.
9. The Integer Relation Detection Algorithm, developed by Helaman Ferguson and Rodney
10. The fast Multipole algorithm, developed by Leslie Greengard and Vladimir Rokhlin; (to calculate gravitational forces in an N-body problem normally requires N^2 calculations. The fast multipole method uses order N calculations, by approximating the effects of groups of distant particles using multipole expansions)

The topics we cover start with an introduction to C++ and Fortran programming (with digressions to Python as well) combining it with a discussion on numerical precision, a point we feel is often neglected in computational science. This chapter serves also as input to our discussion on numerical derivation in chapter ???. In that chapter we introduce several programming concepts such as dynamical memory allocation and call by reference and value. Several program examples are presented in this chapter. For those who choose to program in C++ we give also an introduction to how to program classes and the auxiliary library Blitz++, which contains several useful classes for numerical operations on vectors and matrices. This chapter contains also sections on numerical interpolation and extrapolation. Chapter ??? deals with the solution of non-linear equations and the finding of roots of polynomials. The link to Blitz++, matrices and selected algorithms for linear algebra problems are dealt with in chapter ???.

Therafter we switch to numerical integration for integrals with few dimensions, typically less than three, in chapter ???. The numerical integration chapter serves also to justify the introduction of Monte-Carlo methods discussed in chapters ??? and ???. There, a variety of applications are presented, from integration of multidimensional integrals to problems in statistical physics such as random walks and the derivation of the diffusion equation from Brownian motion. Chapter ??? continues this discussion by extending to studies of phase transitions in statistical physics. Chapter ??? deals with Monte-Carlo studies of quantal systems, with an emphasis on variational Monte Carlo methods and diffusion Monte Carlo methods. In chapter ??? we deal with eigensystems and applications to e.g., the Schrödinger equation rewritten as a matrix diagonalization problem. Problems from scattering theory are also discussed, together with the most used solution methods for systems of linear equations. Finally, we discuss various methods for solving differential equations and partial differential equations in chapters ???-??? with examples ranging from harmonic oscillations, equations for heat conduction and the time dependent Schrödinger equation. The emphasis is on various finite difference methods.

We assume that you have taken an introductory course in programming and have some familiarity with high-level or low-level and modern languages such as Java, Python, C++, Fortran 77/90/95, etc. Fortran¹ and C++ are examples of compiled low-level languages, in contrast to interpreted ones like Maple or Matlab. In such compiled languages the computer translates an entire subprogram into basic machine instructions all at one time. In an

¹With Fortran we will consistently mean Fortran 2008. There are no programming examples in Fortran 77 in this text.

interpreted language the translation is done one statement at a time. This clearly increases the computational time expenditure. More detailed aspects of the above two programming languages will be discussed in the lab classes and various chapters of this text.

There are several texts on computational physics on the market, see for example Refs. [?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?], ranging from introductory ones to more advanced ones. Most of these texts treat however in a rather cavalier way the mathematics behind the various numerical methods. We've also succumbed to this approach, mainly due to the following reasons: several of the methods discussed are rather involved, and would thus require at least a one-semester course for an introduction. In so doing, little time would be left for problems and computation. This course is a compromise between three disciplines, numerical methods, problems from the physical sciences and computation. To achieve such a synthesis, we will have to relax our presentation in order to avoid lengthy and gory mathematical expositions. You should also keep in mind that computational physics and science in more general terms consist of the combination of several fields and crafts with the aim of finding solution strategies for complicated problems. However, where we do indulge in presenting more formalism, we have borrowed heavily from several texts on mathematical analysis.

1.1 Choice of programming language

As programming language we have ended up with preferring C++, but all examples discussed in the text have their corresponding Fortran and Python programs on the webpage of this text.

Fortran (FORmula TRANslation) was introduced in 1957 and remains in many scientific computing environments the language of choice. The latest standard, see Refs. [?, ?, ?, ?], includes extensions that are familiar to users of C++. Some of the most important features of Fortran include recursive subroutines, dynamic storage allocation and pointers, user defined data structures, modules, and the ability to manipulate entire arrays. However, there are several good reasons for choosing C++ as programming language for scientific and engineering problems. Here are some:

- C++ is now the dominating language in Unix and Windows environments. It is widely available and is the language of choice for system programmers. It is very widespread for developments of non-numerical software
- The C++ syntax has inspired lots of popular languages, such as Perl, Python and Java.
- It is an extremely portable language, all Linux and Unix operated machines have a C++ compiler.
- In the last years there has been an enormous effort towards developing numerical libraries for C++. Numerous tools (numerical libraries such as MPI[?, ?, ?]) are written in C++ and interfacing them requires knowledge of C++. Most C++ and Fortran compilers compare fairly well when it comes to speed and numerical efficiency. Although Fortran 77 and C are regarded as slightly faster than C++ or Fortran, compiler improvements during the last few years have diminished such differences. The Java numerics project has lost some of its steam recently, and Java is therefore normally slower than C++ or Fortran.

- Complex variables, one of Fortran's strongholds, can also be defined in the new ANSI C++ standard.
- C++ is a language which catches most of the errors as early as possible, typically at compilation time. Fortran has some of these features if one omits implicit variable declarations.
- C++ is also an object-oriented language, to be contrasted with C and Fortran. This means that it supports three fundamental ideas, namely objects, class hierarchies and polymorphism. Fortran has, through the `MODULE` declaration the capability of defining classes, but lacks inheritance, although polymorphism is possible. Fortran is then considered as an object-based programming language, to be contrasted with C++ which has the capability of relating classes to each other in a hierarchical way.

An important aspect of C++ is its richness with more than 60 keywords allowing for a good balance between object orientation and numerical efficiency. Furthermore, careful programming can result in an efficiency close to Fortran 77. The language is well-suited for large projects and has presently good standard libraries suitable for computational science projects, although many of these still lag behind the large body of libraries for numerics available to Fortran programmers. However, it is not difficult to interface libraries written in Fortran with C++ codes, if care is exercised. Other weak sides are the fact that it can be easy to write inefficient code and that there are many ways of writing the same things, adding to the confusion for beginners and professionals as well. The language is also under continuous development, which often causes portability problems.

C++ is also a difficult language to learn. Grasping the basics is rather straightforward, but takes time to master. A specific problem which often causes unwanted or odd errors is dynamic memory management.

The efficiency of C++ codes are close to those provided by Fortran. This means often that a code written in Fortran 77 can be faster, however for large numerical projects C++ and Fortran are to be preferred. If speed is an issue, one could port critical parts of the code to Fortran 77.

Future plans

Since our undergraduate curriculum has changed considerably from the beginning of the fall semester of 2007, with the introduction of Python as programming language, the content of this course will change accordingly from the fall semester 2009. C++ and Fortran will then coexist with Python and students can choose between these three programming languages. The emphasis in the text will be on C++ programming, but how to interface C++ or Fortran programs with Python codes will also be discussed. Tools like Cython (or SWIG) are highly recommended, see for example the Cython link at <http://cython.org>.

1.2 Designing programs

Before we proceed with a discussion of numerical methods, we would like to remind you of some aspects of program writing.

In writing a program for a specific algorithm (a set of rules for doing mathematics or a precise description of how to solve a problem), it is obvious that different programmers will apply different styles, ranging from barely readable ² (even for the programmer) to well documented codes which can be used and extended upon by others in e.g., a project. The lack of readability of a program leads in many cases to credibility problems, difficulty in letting others extend the codes or remembering oneself what a certain statement means, problems in spotting errors, not always easy to implement on other machines, and so forth. Although you should feel free to follow your own rules, we would like to focus certain suggestions which may improve a program. What follows here is a list of our recommendations (or biases/prejudices).

First about designing a program.

- Before writing a single line, have the algorithm clarified and understood. It is crucial to have a logical structure of e.g., the flow and organization of data before one starts writing.
- Always try to choose the simplest algorithm. Computational speed can be improved upon later.
- Try to write a as clear program as possible. Such programs are easier to debug, and although it may take more time, in the long run it may save you time. If you collaborate with other people, it reduces spending time on debugging and trying to understand what the codes do. A clear program will also allow you to remember better what the program really does!
- Implement a working code with emphasis on design for extensions, maintenance etc. Focus on the design of your code in the beginning and don't think too much about efficiency before you have a thoroughly debugged and verified program. A rule of thumb is the so-called 80 – 20 rule, 80 % of the CPU time is spent in 20 % of the code and you will experience that typically only a small part of your code is responsible for most of the CPU expenditure. Therefore, spend most of your time in devising a good algorithm.
- The planning of the program should be from top down to bottom, trying to keep the flow as linear as possible. Avoid jumping back and forth in the program. First you need to arrange the major tasks to be achieved. Then try to break the major tasks into subtasks. These can be represented by functions or subprograms. They should accomplish limited tasks and as far as possible be independent of each other. That will allow you to use them in other programs as well.
- Try always to find some cases where an analytical solution exists or where simple test cases can be applied. If possible, devise different algorithms for solving the same problem. If you get the same answers, you may have coded things correctly or made the same error twice.

²As an example, a bad habit is to use variables with no specific meaning, like x1, x2 etc, or names for subprograms which go like routine1, routine2 etc.

- When you have a working code, you should start thinking of the efficiency. Analyze the efficiency with a tool (profiler) to predict the CPU-intensive parts. Attack then the CPU-intensive parts after the program reproduces benchmark results.

However, although we stress that you should post-pone a discussion of the efficiency of your code to the stage when you are sure that it runs correctly, there are some simple guidelines to follow when you design the algorithm.

- Avoid lists, sets etc., when arrays can be used without too much waste of memory. Avoid also calls to functions in the innermost loop since that produces an overhead in the call.
- Heavy computation with small objects might be inefficient, e.g., vector of class complex objects
- Avoid small virtual functions (unless they end up in more than (say) 5 multiplications)
- Save object-oriented constructs for the top level of your code.
- Use tailored library functions for various operations, if possible.
- Reduce pointer-to-pointer-to....-pointer links inside loops.
- Avoid implicit type conversion, use rather the explicit keyword when declaring constructors in C++.
- Never return (copy) of an object from a function, since this normally implies a hidden allocation.

Finally, here are some of our favorite approaches to code writing.

- Use always the standard ANSI version of the programming language. Avoid local dialects if you wish to port your code to other machines.
- Add always comments to describe what a program or subprogram does. Comment lines help you remember what you did e.g., one month ago.
- Declare all variables. Avoid totally the `IMPLICIT` statement in Fortran. The program will be more readable and help you find errors when compiling.
- Do not use `GOTO` structures in Fortran. Although all varieties of spaghetti are great culinary temptations, spaghetti-like Fortran with many `GOTO` statements is to be avoided. Extensive amounts of time may be wasted on decoding other authors' programs.
- When you name variables, use easily understandable names. Avoid `v1` when you can use `speed_of_light`. Associative names make it easier to understand what a specific subprogram does.
- Use compiler options to test program details and if possible also different compilers. They make errors too.

- Writing codes in C++ and Fortran may often lead to segmentation faults. This means in most cases that we are trying to access elements of an array which are not available. When developing a code it is then useful to compile with debugging options. The use of debuggers and profiling tools is something we highly recommend during the development of a program.