## Quick Sort(n=10000)

| Pivote_type | Random   | Shorted  | Almost Sorted |
|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| Pivote_1    | 0.002592 | 0.015757 | 0.002360      |
| Pivote_2    | 0.032730 | 0.032906 | 0.032939      |
| Pivote_3    | 0.002573 | 0.002231 | 0.001707      |
| Pivote_4    | 0.002346 | 0.001455 | 0.001540      |

## Quick Sort(n=100000)

| Pivote_type | Random   | Shorted  | Almost Sorted |
|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| Pivote_1    | 0.021726 | 0.218549 | 0.026780      |
| Pivote_2    | 0.324617 | 0.280552 | 0.280952      |
| Pivote_3    | 0.023757 | 0.024253 | 0.021179      |
| Pivote_4    | 0.025350 | 0.016249 | 0.017671      |

#### **Quick Sort(n=1000000)**

| Pivote_type | Random   | Shorted  | Almost Sorted |
|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| Pivote_1    | 0.244447 | 3.135580 | 0.338356      |
| Pivote_2    | 3.377431 | 3.544594 | 4.475770      |
| Pivote_3    | 0.263125 | 0.326828 | 0.217733      |
| Pivote_4    | 0.289285 | 0.199582 | 0.205873      |

## **Quick Sort(n=10000000)**

| Pivote_type | Random   | Shorted   | Almost Sorted |
|-------------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| Pivote_1    | 2.822957 | 44.411758 | 3.779875      |

| Pivote_2 | 37.270622 | 41.312969 | 41.308641 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Pivote_3 | 2.887685  | 4.670725  | 3.815877  |
| Pivote_4 | 2.911639  | 2.338098  | 2.407053  |

#### **Marge Sort**

| Pivote_type  | Random     | Shorted    | Almost Sorted |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| n = 10000    | 0.002930   | 0.001890   | 0.002023      |
| n = 100000   | 0.032618   | 0.022705   | 0.023903      |
| n = 1000000  | 0.724812   | 0.479621   | 0.499767      |
| n = 10000000 | 217.792412 | 213.530912 | 214.987556    |

#### What is your observation? Which short is faster and why?

Ans :- From the two sorting algorithm that we have used, I observed that quick sort is better than merge sort. Though merge sort has the time complexity of  $O(n \log n)$  in the best, average and worst case but when the input size is increasing to  $10^7$  merge sort taking long time to provide output. That is why according to my observation quick sort is better. Now observing closely to the 4 pivoting techniques of quick sort, I found the technique 4 that is median of n/4, middle, 3n/4 is best because analyzing the worst case time complexity of this technique, the recursive equation is

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n$$

Solving the recursive equation of the worst case time complexity comes to O(n log n).

Another way we can say that quick sort is better is through space complexity analysis. Quick sort does not require any support of an additional array but merge sort requires the support of an additional array.

# Hence we can say that this technique of quick sort is faster and efficient.

But if we compare between merge sort and quick sort in terms of the theoretical aspects then we have to say that merge sort is faster. This is because the recursive equation for the worst case time complexity for quick sort is T(n) = T(n-1) + n which is  $O(n^2)$  but for merge sort the equation is T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n which is  $O(n \log n)$ .