Credit Card Fraud Detection

A machine learning project to detect fraudulent credit card transactions using Logistic Regression. The model was trained and tested on an imbalanced dataset to evaluate its performance.

© Objectives

The primary objectives of this project are:

- **Q Detect fraudulent credit card transactions** using a supervised machine learning algorithm.
- **Handle class imbalance** in the dataset where fraudulent cases are significantly fewer than legitimate ones.
- **Quality and train a Logistic Regression model** to classify transactions as fraudulent (1) or legitimate (0).
- **Evaluate the model** using not just accuracy, but also **precision**, **recall**, **and F1-score**, which are more appropriate for imbalanced datasets.
- **Generate insights** from model performance to understand strengths and areas for improvement in fraud detection.
- \(\varphi \) Lay the foundation for future enhancements such as:
 - o Incorporating more advanced models (e.g., Random Forest, XGBoost)
 - Using resampling techniques like SMOTE
 - o Deploying the model for real-time fraud detection

📌 Project Overview

Credit card fraud detection is essential for minimizing losses in the financial industry. In this project, we built a classification model using **Logistic Regression** to predict whether a transaction is fraudulent or not.

Dataset

The dataset used is from [Kaggle - Credit Card Fraud Detection] (https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/mlg-ulb/creditcardfraud), which contains transactions made by European cardholders in September 2013.

- Total Records: 284,807
- Features: 30 (V1–V28 PCA components + Time, Amount)

- Target: `Class` (0 for normal, 1 for fraud)

↑ Technologies Used

- Python
- Pandas, NumPy
- Scikit-learn (LogisticRegression, train_test_split, accuracy_score)
- Jupyter Notebook / VS Code

🌣 Model Training

python

model = LogisticRegression(solver='liblinear', max_iter=1000)

model.fit(X_train, Y_train)

Key Insights

- **High Precision (0.98) for Fraud Class**: Very few legitimate transactions were wrongly flagged as fraud.
- Strong F1-Score (0.91) for Fraud Detection: Balanced performance between precision and recall.
- Slightly Lower Recall (0.86): Indicates a few fraudulent transactions were missed.
- Overall, the model performs reliably in identifying frauds with minimal false alarms