```
Multivariate Analysis
 Assignment 5
Problem 1
 Assume: 2 populations having the same covariance matrix & but
different mean vectors u, & u2.
Population 1 samples: Y1, Y12, ..., YIn, | each Yij ∈ R 1xp
Population 2 samples : Y21, Y22, ..., Y2n2 linear combination: Z = a'y
 :. Z1i = a'Y1i, Z2i = a'Y2i and Z1 = 1 = Z1i = a'Y1, Z2 = 1 = Zzi
 We wish to find a s.t (\(\frac{z_1-\overline{z_2}}{z_2}\) is marinized.
 Now { \( \overline{Z_1 - \overline{Z_2}} \) = \[ \alpha' (\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2) \]^2 \qquad \( S_z^2 \)
         ( Sz ) z a'Spla
 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\left[\alpha'(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2)\right]}{\alpha' \, S_{PL} \, \alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow \left(\alpha' \, S_{PL} \, \alpha\right) \, 2 \left[\alpha'(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2)\right] \left(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2\right) \\ -\left[\alpha'(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2)\right]^2 \left(2 \, S_{PL} \, \alpha\right) = 0
 => (a'Spla) (\vec{y}, -\vec{y}_2) - [a'(\vec{y}, -\vec{y}_2)] (Spla) = 0
 \Rightarrow \underbrace{(a'S_{pL}a)}_{(\overline{y}_1-\overline{y}_2)} = S_{pL}a \Rightarrow a = c S_{pL}^{-1}(\overline{y}_1-\overline{y}_2)
                                                        where e = a'Spe a
    [a' (J, - J)]
 man [(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)/s_2] = \{[e Spl^{-1}(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)]'(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)\}^2
                                     [csp1-1 (y,-y2)] Sp1 [csp1-(y,-y2)]
  =\left\{\left(\overline{y},-\overline{y}_{2}\right)'\left(S_{P}e^{-\Delta}\right)'C\left(\overline{y},-\overline{y}_{2}\right)\right\}^{2}
=\left[\left(\overline{y},-\overline{y}_{2}\right)'S_{P}e^{-\Delta}\left(\overline{y},-\overline{y}_{2}\right)\right].
    ( \( \bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \bar) (Spl - 1) 2 Spl Spl ( \bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \bar) (\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \bar) Spl - (\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \bar) 2
      = (\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2) Spe-1 (\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2).
  : As e^2(s) get cancelled out, we conclude that a can take any non-zero value.
      if c is set to one, \left(\frac{\overline{Z}_1-\overline{Z}_2}{5_2}\right) is maximized with a=S_{pe}^{-1}(\overline{Y}_1-\overline{Y}_2)
    - the manimizing vector a is not unique.
```

Problem 2
$$Z = a'y, a = 3$$

$$\left(\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2\right)^2$$

$$Z = a'y, \quad a = S_{pl}^{-1}(\bar{y}_{1} - \bar{y}_{2})$$

$$\frac{(\bar{z}_{1} - \bar{z}_{2})^{2}}{(\bar{y}_{1} - \bar{y}_{2})^{2}} = [(S_{pl}^{-1}(\bar{y}_{1} - \bar{y}_{2}))^{2}(\bar{y}_{1} - \bar{y}_{2})]$$

$$C_{3}^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{\{(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)'(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)\}}{\{(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)'\}}$$

= {(\(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2\)\)Spl (\(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2\)\}

(Y, - Y2) Spl (Y, - Y2)

$$\frac{\overline{y_2}}{y_1-y_2} = \frac{\{(y_1-y_2)' \leq p_1^{-1}(\overline{y_1}-\overline{y_2})\}^2}{(y_1-y_2)' \leq p_1^{-1}(\overline{y_1}-\overline{y_2})}$$

$$(Spl^{-1}(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)) Spl(Spl)$$

 $\{(y_1 - y_2) Spl^{-1}(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)\}^2$

(\(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 \) Spe (\(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 \)

```
Problem 3
 Z<sub>1</sub> = a'y manimizes a'Ha/a'Ea. Thus, 7, = a', Ha, /a', Ea,
  (Bymbols carry their usual meanings). To show that z_1 & z_2 are uncorrelated: \Re z_1 z_2 = \frac{8z_1 z_2}{5} = \frac{\alpha_1}{5} \frac{5}{\alpha_2}
  The pooled extinator of \Sigma = S = \frac{E}{N-k}, with N = \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} n_i.
  where k = number of growhs, N-k ni = number of samples in growh i.
  \mathcal{H}_{z_1 z_2} = \frac{a_1' E a_2}{\sqrt{(a_1' E a_1)(a_2' E a_2)}} To show a_1' E a_2 = 0, consider:

Ha_1 = \mathcal{N}_1 E a_1, Ha_2 = \mathcal{N}_2 E a_2
  : a2'Ha1 = λ1a2' Ea1, a1'Ha2 - λ2 α1' Ea2
  Subtracting -> (1-72) az' Ea, = 0 As az' Ha, is symmetric.
  since, eigenvalues of E^{-1}H are distinct, \pi_1 - \pi_2 \neq 0.
 Now, to show that z_2 = a_2^2 y has the largest discriminant eviletion.

n_2 = a_2^2 + a_2 / a_2^2 = a_2^2 y has the largest discriminant eviletion.

I laine largest x = a_2^2 + a_2 = 0.
 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}} \left( \frac{a_{2}' H a_{2}}{a_{2}' E a_{2}} + \gamma a_{1}' E a_{2} \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a_{2}' E a_{2}} \quad 2 H a_{2} - \underline{a_{2}' H a_{2} 2 E a_{2}} + \gamma E a_{1} = 0
\Rightarrow \underbrace{2 H a_{2} - 2 \lambda_{2} E a_{2}}_{a_{2}' E a_{2}} + \gamma E a_{1} = 0 \quad \underbrace{\text{multipying}}_{\text{with } a_{1}}
 → Using lagrangian ?
 2a'Haz-2πzai Eaz + γ ai Ea, = 0 => ai Eaz = 0 => ai Haz = 0
 As \gamma = 0, Haz - \pi_2 E a_2 = 0. So, 2nd eigenvector of E^{-1}H maximizes \pi_2
 = az'Haz /az'Eaz hubject to Mz, zz = 0.
 Similary, subject to Mz, z3 = Mz, z3 = 0: Haz - 73 Eaz = 0
  \Rightarrow E^{-1}H a_3 = \lambda_3 a_3 ... a_3 is the 3rd eigenvector of E^{-1}H
 coverponding to its 3rd largest distinct eigenvalue 73.
 : \lambda_3 = a_3' H a_3 is navimized and we have the corresponding
                az'Eaz 3rd discriminant function Z3 = az J.
Also, the set of vectors a, az, az is linearly independent.
```