

Different recursion schemas and their properties

I.Zhirkov

2015

Morphisms

- Catamorphisms (destruction)
- Anamorphisms (construction)
- Hylomorphisms (combination of two)
- Paramorphisms (saves more information than hylomorphisms)

Catamorphism

- “generalized fold”

$b :: B$

$h :: \text{List } A \rightarrow B$

$h \text{ Nil} = b$

$h (\text{Cons } a \text{ as}) = f a (h \text{ as})$

- Notation: $h = (b, f)$
- Arises from algebra $(f a \rightarrow a)$.

Anamorphism

- “generalized unfold”

$$p :: B \rightarrow \text{Bool}$$
$$g :: B \rightarrow (A, B)$$
$$h :: B \rightarrow \text{List } A$$
$$h\ b = \text{Nil},$$
$$h\ b = \text{Consa } (h\ b'),$$
$$p\ b$$
$$\text{otherwise}$$

where $(a, b') = g\ b$

- Notation $h = \llbracket g, p \rrbracket$
- Arises from coalgebra $(a \rightarrow f\ a)$.

Hylomorphism

- “call-tree looks like data structure”

$$c :: C$$
$$f :: B \rightarrow C \rightarrow C$$
$$g :: A \rightarrow (B, A)$$
$$p : A \rightarrow \text{Bool}$$
$$h :: A \rightarrow C$$
$$h\ a = c,$$
$$= f\ b(h\ a'),$$
$$p\ a$$

otherwise

where $(b, a') = g\ a$

- Notation $h = \llbracket (c, f), (g, p) \rrbracket$

Hylomorphism-2

- Is a composition of anamorphism and catamorphism
 $\llbracket (c, f), (g, p) \rrbracket = \llbracket c, f \rrbracket \circ \llbracket g, p \rrbracket$
- *Look at the whiteboard for a fancy call-tree image*
- Example: factorial is $\llbracket (1, \times), (g, p) \rrbracket$, where:

$$p\ n = n == 0$$

$$g\ n = (n, n - 1)$$

Paramorphism

- Hylomorphism for *fac* is not inductively defined on *nat*.
- A nat paramorphism example:

$$h\ 0 = b$$

$$h\ (\text{Succ } n) = f\ n\ (h\ n)$$

- A list example:

$$h\ \text{Nil} = b$$

$$h\ (\text{Cons } x\ xs) = f\ x\ xs\ (h\ xs)$$

- Notation: $\langle [b, f] \rangle$

We will provide some explanations about the algebra and coalgebra nature.

Category

$$C = (obj, hom, \circ)$$

Objects and morphisms between objects with their compositions.

Laws:

- Identity morphisms for each object
- Composition is associative
- Path equality

“Point” is synonymous to “Object”

Categories: *Set*

- $ob(Set)$ – all sets
- $hom(E, F)$ – functions between sets E and F
- \circ – composition

Categories: *Set*

- $ob(Set)$ – all sets
- $hom(E, F)$ – functions between sets E and F
- \circ – composition
- Note: $ob(Set)$ is not a set itself (is a class).

Categories

- *Mon*: (monoids, morphisms, composition)
- *Grp*: (groups, morphisms, composition)
- *Hask*: (haskell types, functions, $(.)$)
- ...

Functor

- Functor is a morphism between categories (preserves structures)

Functor in FP

- an (endo-)functor is an operation from types to types (from Hask to Hask)
- preserves identity and composition.
- functions can be 'mapped over' functors
- Basic ones: identity, product, sum (tagged), arrow ...

A usual recursive datatype

```
data Expr = Const Int  
          | Add Expr Expr  
          | Mul Expr Expr
```

is same as:

```
newtype Fix f = Fix (f (Fix f))
```

```
data ExprF a = Const Int | Add a a | Mul a a
```

```
type Expr = Fix ExprF
```

Test

```
testExpr = Fx $ (Fx $ (Fx $ Const 2) 'Add' (Fx $ Const 3))  
          'Mul' (Fx $ Const 4)
```


It is a functor!

```
instance Functor ExprF where
    fmap eval (Const i) = Const i
    fmap eval (Add x y) = Add (eval x) (eval y)
    fmap eval (Mul x y) = Mul (eval x) (eval y)
```

It is possible to construct an algebra on top of any functor.

```
type Algebra f a = f a → a
```

We expect to be able to 'evaluate' children of `Expr`. Example:

```
alg':: ExprF Int → Int
alg' (Const i) = i
alg' (Add x y) = x + y
alg' (Mul x y) = x * y
```

What is an algebra?

(C, F, A, m)

- Category C (*Hask*, points are types of Haskell)
- Endofunctor F (maps *Hask* points into other *Hask* points)
- Point A (**carrier**) of category C (some type).
- Function m mapping $F A \rightarrow A$

Hence our definition:

```
type Algebra f a = f a → a
```

C is implied (*Hask*), F and A are type-level, the rest is m , the function itself (of type `Algebra f a`).

Look at the whiteboard for a fancy image!

Initial algebra

- There are infinitely many algebras based on same functor
- One is particular **Initial algebra**,
- Does not 'forget' anything, preserves all information about input.
- \exists a homomorphism from initial algebra to any other algebra.
- Assume: carrier is `Fix f`, algebra is `Fx` (its ctor).

```
type Algebra f a = f a → a
```

```
type ExprInitAlgebra = Algebra ExprF (Fix ExprF)  
ex_init_alg :: ExprF (Fix ExprF) → Fix ExprF  
ex_init_alg = Fx
```

Functor f is fixed. Let a be the carrier object for a new algebra.

	Carrier	Evaluator
Initial algebra	Fix f	Fx
Some algebra	a	alg

Constructing any algebra-1

We want to get a homomorphism from initial algebra to some other algebra. Carrier mapper:

$g :: \text{Fix } f \rightarrow a$

Remember:

$\text{newtype Fix } f = \text{Fx } (f (\text{Fix } f))$

Thanks to the fact that f is a functor, fmap is at our disposal to map :

$\text{fmap } g :: f (\text{Fix } f) \rightarrow f a$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(\text{Fix } f) & \xrightarrow{\text{fmap } g} & f a \\ \downarrow Fx & & \downarrow alg \\ \text{Fix } f & \xrightarrow{g} & a \end{array}$$

Constructing any algebra-2

`Fix` is lossless, thus invertible.

`unFix :: Fix f → f (Fix f)`

`unFix (Fix x) = x`

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(\text{Fix } f) & \xrightarrow{\text{fmap } g} & f \ a \\ \downarrow \text{Fix} & & \downarrow \text{alg} \\ \text{Fix } f & \xrightarrow{g} & a \end{array} \quad \text{becomes} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f(\text{Fix } f) & \xrightarrow{\text{fmap } g} & f \ a \\ \uparrow \text{unFix} & & \downarrow \text{alg} \\ \text{Fix } f & \xrightarrow{g} & a \end{array}$$

`g` can be defined with `unfix`, `fmap` and evaluator:

`g = alg. (fmap g) . unFix`

Meet catamorphism

```
g = alg. (fmap g) . unFix
```

```
cata :: Functor f => (f a -> a) -> (Fix f -> a)
```

```
cata alg = alg . fmap (cata alg) . unFix
```

Quick check:

```
alg :: ExprF String -> String
```

```
alg (Const i) = [ chr (ord 'a' + i)]
```

```
alg (Add x y) = x ++ y
```

```
alg (Mul x y) = concat [[a,b] | a <- x, b <- y]
```

```
*Main> :t cata alg
```

```
cata alg :: Fix ExprF -> String
```

Coalgebra

Algebra: $f\ a \rightarrow a$

Coalgebra: $a \rightarrow f\ a$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(\text{Fix } f) & \xrightarrow{\text{fmap } g} & f\ a \\ \downarrow Fx & & \downarrow alg \\ \text{Fix } f & \xrightarrow{g} & a \end{array} \quad \text{becomes} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f(\text{Fix } f) & \xleftarrow{\text{fmap } g} & f\ a \\ \uparrow unFix & & \uparrow coalg \\ \text{Fix } f & \xleftarrow{g} & a \end{array}$$

The same reasoning about initial coalgebra applies.

Coalgebra-2

μ combines `Fx` and `unFix`.

```
newtype Mu f = In {out :: f (Mu f)}
```

```
type CoAlgebra f a = a → f a
```

```
type Algebra f a = f a → a
```

```
catam alg = alg . fmap (catam alg) . out
```

```
anam :: Functor f ⇒ CoAlgebra f a → (a → Mu f)
```

```
anam coalg = In . fmap (anam coalg) . coalg
```

Coalgebra-3

As *out* is an initial algebra, *in* is a terminal coalgebra (there exists a unique homomorphism from any coalgebra to *in*).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(\mu \ f) & \xleftarrow{\text{fmap } g} & f \ a \\ \downarrow in & & \uparrow coalg \\ \mu \ f & \xleftarrow{g} & a \end{array}$$

Look at the whiteboard for a combined image.

Using fixed point

We can define catamorphism and anamorphism in a non-recursive way.

```
mu f = f (mu f)
```

```
cata_fix :: Functor f => Algebra f a -> (Mu f -> a)  
cata_fix = mu (\f alg -> alg . fmap (f alg) . out)
```

```
anam_fix :: Functor f => CoAlgebra f a -> (a -> Mu f)  
anam_fix = mu (\f coalg -> In . fmap (f coalg) . coalg)
```

A note on notation

Authors use this rather unusual notation:

$$(f \stackrel{F}{\leftarrow} g)h = f \circ h_F \circ g$$

h_F is lifted inside the functor F .

$$\text{Thus: } (f \stackrel{F}{\leftarrow} g) = \lambda h. f \circ h_F \circ g$$

Also:

$$(f \Delta g)(x, y) = (f \ x, g \ y)$$

Notation summary

- Catamorphism:

$$(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)_F = \mu(\phi \xleftarrow{F} out)$$

`cata_fix = mu (\f alg → alg . fmap (f alg) . out)`

- Anamorphism:

$$[\![\psi]\!]_F = \mu(in \xleftarrow{F} \psi)$$

`anam_fix = mu (\f coalg → In . fmap (f coalg) . coalg)`

- Hylomorphism:

$$[\![\phi, \psi]\!]_F = \mu(\phi \xleftarrow{F} \psi)$$

- Paramorphism:

$$[\![\mathcal{E}]\!] = \mu(\lambda f. \mathcal{E} \circ (id \Delta f)_F \circ out)$$

Remember: $(f \xleftarrow{F} g) = \lambda h. f \circ h_F \circ g$

For each morphism type we give:

- Evaluation rule
- Uniqueness property
- Fusion law (class-preserving functions mapping over morphism)

Utility: fixed point fusion

$$f(\perp) = \perp \wedge f \circ g = h \circ f \Rightarrow f(\mu g) = \mu h \text{ (without proof)}$$

Catamorphism

- Evaluation rule:

$$(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \circ \text{in} = \phi \circ (\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$$

Apply recursively, then ϕ again. Compare with fixed point:

$$x = \mu f \Rightarrow x = f\ x$$

- **Uniqueness Property** (prove functions equality without explicit induction)

$$f = (\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)_F \equiv f \circ \perp = (\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)_F \circ \perp \wedge f \circ \text{in} = \phi \circ f_{\mu} F$$

Remember, In is also a type ctor, applying isomorphism between a and Fa

Catamorphisms - Fusion law

Blend $cata \circ f$ into a single catamorphism.

$$f \circ (\downarrow \phi) = (\downarrow \psi) \Leftarrow f \perp = \perp \wedge f \circ \phi = \psi \circ f_{\mu}F$$

Look at the whiteboard for a fancy diagram

Useful variation: f is strict, not “like $(\downarrow \psi)$ ”:

$$f \circ (\downarrow \phi) = (\downarrow \psi) \Leftarrow f \circ \perp = (\downarrow \psi) \circ \perp \wedge f \circ \phi = \psi \circ f_{\mu}F$$

Injective functions are catamorphisms

$$f : A \rightarrow B$$

$$\phi : A_F \rightarrow A$$

Then:

$$f \circ (\phi) = (f \circ \phi \circ g_F) \Leftarrow \begin{cases} f \perp = \perp \\ g \circ f = id \end{cases}$$

Take $(\phi) = in :$

$$f = (f \circ in \circ g_F) \Leftarrow \begin{cases} f \perp = \perp \\ g \circ f = id \end{cases}$$

Catamorphisms preserve strictness

A useful lemma for some proofs:

$$\mu F \circ \perp = \perp \Leftarrow \forall f : Ff \circ \perp = \perp$$

For cata:

$$(\downarrow \phi)_F \circ \perp = \perp \equiv \phi \circ \perp = \perp$$

Example: fold-unfold

Whiteboard

Anamorphisms

Evaluation rule:

$$out \circ \llbracket \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_F \cdot \psi$$

Apply $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket$, then apply $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_F$ to the result.

$$f = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \equiv out \circ f = f_F \cdot \psi$$

Fusion law

$$[[\phi]] \circ f = [[\psi]] \Leftarrow \phi \circ f = f_F \circ \psi$$

Proof by fixed point fusion theorem with $f := (\circ f)$, $g := in \leftarrow^F \phi$,
 $h := in \leftarrow^L \psi$

Surjective function is an anamorphism

Examples

$iteratef = \llbracket firstOfSum \circ id \Delta f \rrbracket$

$takewhile\ p =$

$\llbracket secondOfSum' \map' (VOID \mid id \circ (not\ p \circ second)?) \circ out \rrbracket$

$f' \map' g \circ p?$ models if-then-else

“Actually, even in Haskell recursion is not completely first class because the compiler does a terrible job of optimizing recursive code. This is why F-algebras and F-coalgebras are pervasive in high-performance Haskell libraries like vector, because they transform recursive code to non-recursive code, and the compiler does an amazing job of optimizing non-recursive code.” (Gabriel Gonzales)

Further reading:

- `Control.Functor.Algebra`