

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This document was created by:

- Ashish Ghaskata
- Krishna Raj Bhandari
- Suraj Bhatta
- Mohammad Adnan Khan

It documents the overall project workflow and lessons learned during the development of the **FunFlip Educational Game**.

Intended audience includes:

- Project team members
- Supervisors and mentors
- Future maintainers or development teams

Scope of use: This document captures the approach, execution, and retrospective learning from the software project. It is useful for quality reviews, audits, and knowledge transfer.

1.2 Summary

This document summarizes the engineering practices, methodologies, and lessons learned throughout the development lifecycle of the *FunFlip Game*. It provides insight into how the team applied software engineering concepts, from requirements engineering to testing and handover.

Stakeholders: Developers, testers, end users (children aged 4–6), project mentors.

1.3 Definitions and Abbreviations

Term	Definition
Kanban	Validation and Verification Model
HMI	Human-Machine Interface
TSCN	Godot Scene File
NFR	Non-Functional Requirement
QA	Quality Assurance
Agile	Iterative development approach focusing on increments
SMART	Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound

1.4 References, Standards, and Rules

- FunFlip Game Requirements Document
- Architecture Documentation
- Test Protocols
- ISO 25010 Software Quality Model

1.5 Overview

This document includes:

- The structured project approach
- Key activities across the software development lifecycle
- Quality assurance and testing strategy
- Documentation and handover process
- Lessons learned by the team

It is organized into core sections, followed by a reflective summary and appendix if needed.

2. Project Approach

2.1 Requirements Engineering

- Defined a clear product vision and learning goals
- Used SMART goals, personas, and user stories to reflect user needs
- Documented both:
 - **Functional requirements:** card flip logic, navigation, gameplay
 - **Non-functional requirements:** response time $\leq 0.5s$, offline operation

2.2 System Architecture & Design

- Implemented **5-layer architecture**:
UI → SceneLoader → Game Logic → Data → Services
- Ensured **low coupling, high cohesion**, and clean separation of concerns
- Focused on **usability, accessibility, and performance**
- Used supporting diagrams: activity flow, domain model, interaction models

2.3 Implementation

- Used **Godot Engine 4.x** for development
- Followed **component reuse** (e.g., Card.tscn, AudioControl.gd)
- Applied **data-driven** structure using categories.json
- Adopted **agile-inspired iterations** for incrementally adding features

2.4 Quality Assurance & Testing

- Created **detailed test protocols** for functional and non-functional aspects
- Ran **system, integration, and acceptance tests** on Android/iOS
- Tested under **realistic usage scenarios**
- Included **positive and negative test cases**
- Confirmed **no critical defects** at final acceptance

2.5 Documentation & Handover

- Delivered complete documentation:
 - Architecture
 - Requirements
 - Test reports
 - Acceptance documentation
- Validated system's suitability for target users (children aged 4–6)

2.6 Process Alignment

- Aligned with **V-Model/W-Model** software process
- Integrated QA from early stages
- Maintained **requirements traceability**
- Applied design principles and focus on usability in every phase

3. Lessons Learned

3.1 Importance of Early and Clear Requirements

- Clearly defined specs helped reduce confusion later
- Functional and non-functional clarity boosted design efficiency

3.2 Value of Modular Architecture

- Layered design improved **reusability** and **maintainability**
- Simplified future updates and bug tracking

3.3 Iterative Testing is Essential

- Early unit + integration testing prevented end-phase failures
- Systematic testing cycles improved confidence in product quality

3.4 User-Centered Design is Key

- Designing for children required attention to **interface size, feedback, and simplicity**

3.5 Documentation as a Parallel Process

- Keeping docs up to date throughout improved handover
- Saved time and ensured knowledge was not lost

3.6 Team Communication

- Clear roles and consistent coordination enabled timely delivery
- Collaboration tools and mutual understanding were critical

3.7 Tools and Process Familiarity

- Proficiency in **Godot, draw.io**, and following structured practices added value
- Sticking to guidelines ensured alignment with academic and engineering standards

4. Index

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose.....	1
1.2 Summary.....	1
1.3 Definitions and Abbreviations	1
1.4 References, Standards, and Rules	2
1.5 Overview	2
2. Project Approach.....	2
2.1 Requirements Engineering.....	2
2.2 System Architecture & Design	2
2.3 Implementation	3
2.4 Quality Assurance & Testing	3
2.5 Documentation & Handover.....	3
2.6 Process Alignment	3
3. Lessons Learned	3
3.1 Importance of Early and Clear Requirements	3
3.2 Value of Modular Architecture	4
3.3 Iterative Testing is Essential.....	4
3.4 User-Centered Design is Key	4
3.5 Documentation as a Parallel Process.....	4
3.6 Team Communication	4
3.7 Tools and Process Familiarity	4