

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose

This document was created by:

- Ashish Ghaskata
- Krishna Raj Bhandari
- Suraj Bhatta
- Mohammad Adnan Khan

It documents the overall project workflow and lessons learned during the development of the **FunFlip Educational Game**.

**Intended audience** includes:

- Project team members
- Supervisors and mentors
- Future maintainers or development teams

**Scope of use:** This document captures the approach, execution, and retrospective learning from the software project. It is useful for quality reviews, audits, and knowledge transfer.

## 1.2 Summary

This document summarizes the engineering practices, methodologies, and lessons learned throughout the development lifecycle of the *FunFlip Game*. It provides insight into how the team applied software engineering concepts, from requirements engineering to testing and handover.

**Stakeholders:** Developers, testers, end users (children aged 4–6), project mentors.

## 1.3 Definitions and Abbreviations

Term	Definition
Kanban	Validation and Verification Model
HMI	Human-Machine Interface
TSCN	Godot Scene File
NFR	Non-Functional Requirement
QA	Quality Assurance
Agile	Iterative development approach focusing on increments
SMART	Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound

## 1.4 References, Standards, and Rules

- FunFlip Game Requirements Document
- Architecture Documentation
- Test Protocols
- ISO 25010 Software Quality Model

## 1.5 Overview

This document includes:

- The structured project approach
- Key activities across the software development lifecycle
- Quality assurance and testing strategy
- Documentation and handover process
- Lessons learned by the team

It is organized into core sections, followed by a reflective summary and appendix if needed.

# 2. Project Approach

## 2.1 Requirements Engineering

- Defined a clear product vision and learning goals
- Used SMART goals, personas, and user stories to reflect user needs
- Documented both:
  - **Functional requirements:** card flip logic, navigation, gameplay
  - **Non-functional requirements:** Quick Response offline operation

## 2.2 System Architecture & Design

- Implemented **5-layer architecture**:  
UI → SceneLoader → Game Logic → Data → Services
- Ensured **low coupling, high cohesion**, and clean separation of concerns
- Focused on **usability, accessibility, and performance**
- Used supporting diagrams: activity flow, domain model, interaction models

## 2.3 Implementation

- Used **Godot Engine 4.x** for development
- Followed **component reuse** (e.g., Card.tscn, AudioControl.gd)
- Applied **data-driven** structure using categories.json
- Adopted **agile-inspired iterations** for incrementally adding features

## 2.4 Quality Assurance & Testing

- Created **detailed test protocols** for functional and non-functional aspects
- Ran **system, integration, and acceptance tests** on Windows Laptop or PC
- Tested under **realistic usage scenarios**
- Included **positive and negative test cases**
- Confirmed **no critical defects** at final acceptance

## 2.5 Documentation & Handover

- Delivered complete documentation:
  - Architecture
  - Requirements
  - Test reports
  - Acceptance documentation
- Validated system's suitability for target users (children aged 4–6)

## 2.6 Process Alignment

- Aligned with **V-Model/W-Model** software process
- Integrated QA from early stages
- Maintained **requirements traceability**
- Applied design principles and focus on usability in every phase

# 3. Lessons Learned

## 3.1 Importance of Early and Clear Requirements

- Clearly defined specs helped reduce confusion later
- Functional and non-functional clarity boosted design efficiency

### 3.2 Value of Modular Architecture

- Layered design improved **reusability** and **maintainability**
- Simplified future updates and bug tracking

### 3.3 Iterative Testing is Essential

- Early unit + integration testing prevented end-phase failures
- Systematic testing cycles improved confidence in product quality

### 3.4 User-Centered Design is Key

- Designing for children required attention to **interface size, feedback, and simplicity**

### 3.5 Documentation as a Parallel Process

- Keeping docs up to date throughout improved handover
- Saved time and ensured knowledge was not lost

### 3.6 Team Communication

- Clear roles and consistent coordination enabled timely delivery
- Collaboration tools and mutual understanding were critical

### 3.7 Tools and Process Familiarity

- Basic knowledge of **Godot, draw.io**, and following structured practices added value
- Sticking to guidelines ensured alignment with academic and engineering standards

## 4. Index

### Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	1
1.1 Purpose .....	1
1.2 Summary .....	1
1.3 Definitions and Abbreviations .....	1
1.4 References, Standards, and Rules .....	2
1.5 Overview .....	2
2. Project Approach .....	2
2.1 Requirements Engineering .....	2
2.2 System Architecture & Design .....	2
2.3 Implementation .....	3
2.4 Quality Assurance & Testing .....	3
2.5 Documentation & Handover .....	3
2.6 Process Alignment .....	3
3. Lessons Learned .....	3
3.1 Importance of Early and Clear Requirements .....	3
3.2 Value of Modular Architecture .....	4
3.3 Iterative Testing is Essential .....	4
3.4 User-Centered Design is Key .....	4
3.5 Documentation as a Parallel Process .....	4
3.6 Team Communication .....	4
3.7 Tools and Process Familiarity .....	4