→ CS4395 Portfolio Text Classification Assignment

Shane Arwood

December 4, 2022

This notebook uses a text dataset, each of which is associated with a particular emotion, like worry or sadness. With the dataset of texts and sentiments, Keras, text classification, and deep learning models are used to attempt to classify the texts into their sentiments.

```
pip install tensorflow==2.7.0
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
np.random.seed(1234)
import tensorflow as tf
import nltk
nltk.download('stopwords')
from tensorflow import keras
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
from nltk.tokenize import word tokenize
from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.text import Tokenizer
from tensorflow.keras import layers, models, preprocessing
from tensorflow.keras.layers.experimental.preprocessing import TextVectorization
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
nltk.download('stopwords')
nltk.download('punkt')
    [nltk data] Downloading package stopwords to /root/nltk data...
    [nltk_data]
                  Package stopwords is already up-to-date!
    [nltk data] Downloading package stopwords to /root/nltk data...
                  Package stopwords is already up-to-date!
    [nltk data]
    [nltk data] Downloading package punkt to /root/nltk data...
                  Package punkt is already up-to-date!
    [nltk data]
    True
```

Step 1: Dataset setup

```
# read file
texts_data = pd.read_csv('tweet_emotions.csv', header=0, usecols=['sentiment','conten
sentiment_data= texts_data[['sentiment']].astype('category')
texts_data.head()
```

sentiment content

```
0
                         @tiffanylue i know i was listenin to bad habi...
             empty
      1
           sadness
                       Layin n bed with a headache ughhhh...waitin o...
      2
           sadness
                                  Funeral ceremony...gloomy friday...
      3
         enthusiasm
                               wants to hang out with friends SOON!
             neutral @dannycastillo We want to trade with someone w...
stopwords = stopwords.words('english')
# remove stopwords
for i in range (0, 7999):
  tokens = word_tokenize(texts_data.loc[i, 'content'])
  tokens = [t.lower() for t in tokens if t.isalpha()]
  tokens = [t for t in tokens if t not in stopwords]
  texts_data.loc[i, 'text'] = ' '.join(tokens)
# Split texts_data into train and test
i = np.random.rand(len(texts_data)) < 0.8</pre>
train = texts data[i]
test = texts data[~i]
# Graph of distribution of target classes
print("Distribution of target classes")
print(sentiment data.value counts())
     Distribution of target classes
     sentiment
     worry
                    2516
     sadness
                    1771
     neutral
                    1495
     surprise
                    443
     hate
                     439
     happiness
                     361
     love
                     293
     fun
                     176
     relief
                     166
                     150
     empty
     enthusiasm
                     102
                      54
     boredom
     anger
                      34
     dtype: int64
```

Description of dataset and what the model should predict: The dataset contains various short texts, each with a different sentiment. The csv file's first column is the sentiment, and the second column is the The goal of the model is to be able to predict the sentiment expressed in a certain text given its contents.

→ Step 2: Sequential model

```
# number of classes and parameters
num labels = 13
vocab_size = 25000
batch_size = 1000
# Fit tokenizer on content (training data)
tokenizer = Tokenizer(num words=vocab size)
tokenizer.fit_on_texts(train.content)
x train = tokenizer.texts to matrix(train.content, mode='tfidf')
x_test = tokenizer.texts_to_matrix(test.content, mode='tfidf')
# Encode sentiments as they are strings
encoder = LabelEncoder()
encoder.fit(train.sentiment)
y_train = encoder.transform(train.sentiment)
y_test = encoder.transform(test.sentiment)
# create and fit model
seq model = models.Sequential(tf.keras.layers.BatchNormalization())
seq_model.add(layers.Dense(32, input_dim=vocab_size, kernel_initializer='normal', act
seq model.add(layers.Dense(40, kernel initializer='normal', activation='sigmoid'))
layers.Dropout(.5) # increase dropout due to overfitting
seq model.add(layers.Dense(13, kernel initializer='normal', activation='softmax'))
seq_model.compile(loss='sparse_categorical_crossentropy',
             optimizer='adam',
             metrics=['accuracy'])
seq history = seq model.fit(x train, y train,
                   batch size=batch size,
                   epochs=30,
                   verbose=1,
                   validation split=0.1)
    Epoch 1/30
    6/6 [============== ] - 6s 633ms/step - loss: 2.4931 - accuracy
    Epoch 2/30
    6/6 [============= ] - 2s 391ms/step - loss: 2.3089 - accuracy
    Epoch 3/30
    6/6 [===========] - 2s 366ms/step - loss: 2.1525 - accuracy
    Epoch 4/30
    6/6 [=============== ] - 2s 361ms/step - loss: 2.0608 - accuracy
    Epoch 5/30
    6/6 [=============== ] - 2s 362ms/step - loss: 2.0044 - accuracy
    Epoch 6/30
    6/6 [========================] - 2s 362ms/step - loss: 1.9693 - accuracy
```

```
Epoch 7/30
6/6 [==================] - 2s 372ms/step - loss: 1.9435 - accuracy
Epoch 8/30
6/6 [=============== ] - 2s 365ms/step - loss: 1.9230 - accuracy
Epoch 9/30
6/6 [============== ] - 2s 371ms/step - loss: 1.9001 - accuracy
Epoch 10/30
6/6 [=========================] - 2s 354ms/step - loss: 1.8736 - accuracy
Epoch 11/30
Epoch 12/30
6/6 [============ ] - 2s 366ms/step - loss: 1.8113 - accuracy
Epoch 13/30
6/6 [============= ] - 2s 365ms/step - loss: 1.7740 - accuracy
Epoch 14/30
6/6 [============= ] - 2s 365ms/step - loss: 1.7318 - accuracy
Epoch 15/30
Epoch 16/30
6/6 [============== ] - 2s 356ms/step - loss: 1.6316 - accuracy
Epoch 17/30
Epoch 18/30
6/6 [========================] - 2s 358ms/step - loss: 1.5148 - accuracy
Epoch 19/30
6/6 [=============== ] - 2s 364ms/step - loss: 1.4475 - accuracy
Epoch 20/30
6/6 [=============== ] - 2s 368ms/step - loss: 1.3735 - accuracy
Epoch 21/30
6/6 [================] - 2s 359ms/step - loss: 1.2975 - accuracy
Epoch 22/30
6/6 [================] - 2s 361ms/step - loss: 1.2213 - accuracy
Epoch 23/30
6/6 [================ ] - 2s 365ms/step - loss: 1.1475 - accuracy
Epoch 24/30
6/6 [================] - 2s 421ms/step - loss: 1.0788 - accuracy
Epoch 25/30
6/6 [=============== ] - 3s 543ms/step - loss: 1.0121 - accuracy
Epoch 26/30
6/6 [=============== ] - 3s 449ms/step - loss: 0.9523 - accuracy
Epoch 27/30
6/6 [================ ] - 2s 368ms/step - loss: 0.8951 - accuracy
Epoch 28/30
6/6 [=============== ] - 2s 363ms/step - loss: 0.8446 - accuracy
Epoch 29/30
```

Step 3: Different architecture, CNN

```
max_features = 10000
maxlen = 500
batch_size = 32
# Proprocess data
train_data = preprocessing.sequence.pad sequences(x_train, maxlen=maxlen)
test data = preprocessing.sequence.pad sequences(x test, maxlen=maxlen)
# create CNN model
cnn_model = models.Sequential()
cnn_model.add(layers.Embedding(max_features, 13))
cnn_model.add(layers.Conv1D(32, 13, activation='relu'))
cnn_model.add(layers.MaxPooling1D(5))
cnn_model.add(layers.Conv1D(32, 13, activation='relu'))
cnn_model.add(layers.GlobalMaxPooling1D())
cnn_model.add(layers.Dense(13))
# compile model
cnn model.compile(optimizer="adam",
        loss='sparse categorical crossentropy',
       metrics=['accuracy'])
history = cnn model.fit(x train,
           y train,
           epochs=10,
           batch size=128,
           validation split=0.2)
  Epoch 1/10
  Epoch 2/10
  Epoch 3/10
  Epoch 4/10
  Epoch 5/10
  Epoch 6/10
  Epoch 7/10
  Epoch 8/10
```

Step 4: Embedding

```
# Divide into train/validation/test
val_split = 0.2
test split = 0.8
val_split = int(val_split * len(texts_data))
test_split = int(test_split * len(texts_data))
val_samples = texts_data.text[:val_split]
val labels = texts data.sentiment[:val split]
train samples = texts data.text[val split:test split]
train labels = texts data.sentiment[val split:test split]
test samples = texts data.text[test split:]
test_labels = texts_data.sentiment[test_split:]
# Create text vectorizer
vectorizer = TextVectorization(max tokens=25000, output sequence length=200)
text_ds = tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices(train_samples).batch(128)
vectorizer.adapt(text ds)
vocabulary = vectorizer.get vocabulary()
word index = dict(zip(vocabulary, range(len(vocabulary))))
# create embedding layer
EMBEDDING DIM = 128
MAX SEQUENCE LENGTH = 200
embedding layer = layers.Embedding(len(word index) + 1,
                            EMBEDDING DIM,
                            input length=MAX SEQUENCE LENGTH)
```

```
# add layers
int_sequences_input = keras.Input(shape=(None,), dtype="int64")
embedded_sequences = embedding_layer(int_sequences_input)
x = layers.Conv1D(128, 13, activation="relu")(embedded_sequences)
x = layers.MaxPooling1D(13)(x)
x = layers.Conv1D(128, 13, activation="relu")(x)
x = layers.GlobalMaxPooling1D()(x)
x = layers.Dense(128, activation="relu")(x)
x = layers.Dropout(0.5)(x)
preds = layers.Dense(13, activation="softmax")(x)
embed_model = keras.Model(int_sequences_input, preds)
x_train = vectorizer(np.array([[s] for s in train_samples])).numpy()
x val = vectorizer(np.array([[s] for s in val samples])).numpy()
# encode y values to be ints compatible with x
y_train = encoder.transform(np.array(train_labels))
y_val = encoder.transform(np.array(val_labels))
embed_model.compile(
  loss="sparse_categorical_crossentropy", optimizer="rmsprop", metrics=["acc"])
embed model.fit(x train, y train, batch size=128, epochs=20, validation data=(x val,
  Epoch 1/20
  Epoch 2/20
  Epoch 3/20
  Epoch 4/20
  Epoch 5/20
  Epoch 6/20
  Epoch 7/20
  Epoch 8/20
  Epoch 9/20
  Epoch 10/20
  Epoch 11/20
  Epoch 12/20
  Epoch 13/20
  Epoch 14/20
```

Analysis on performance of the models

The accuracy of the embedding approach was highest, followed by the sequential model and the other different architecture, CNN. The embedding approach reached 0.97, the sequential reached 0.84, and the CNN only got to around 0.3. However, the validation accuracies for all three approaches were low, hovering around 0.2 to 0.3. This seems to be due to overfitting. I attempted to use batch normalization, shuffling the data to ensure and even distribution in test and train, and adding dropouts between layers to mitigate this. Preprocessing the data even more could be tried as well, since these approaches only caused small improvements. Also, due to the speed constraints of google colab, using the entire dataset was not feasible. It had to be limited to around 8,000 entries, which did not seem like enough to create a well fitting model, especially becuase the distributions of the sentiments (the target classes) were so uneven in the sample I took.

There was particularly difficulty in trying to improve the CNN model simply due to the time it took for the model to fit; trying an RNN model simply took too long as well so I was unable to fully implement it at all. For the CNN model, it took several minutes for each epoch, so it was only feasible to have 10 epochs. This likely played a large role in its extremely low accuracy compared to the others. The results of the embedding approach were most promising, as they incorporated validation sets as well.

×

✓ 11m 43s completed at 12:37 AM