

Medical Decision

Original Data

	polyp	
size_1cm	NNP	NP
[0,10)	401	68
[10,80)	17	155

Recoded Data for Decision Test Statistics

	Gold Positive	Gold Negative	Total
Test Positive	155	17	172
Test Negative	68	401	469
Total	223	418	641

	n
Total	641 ^a
Diseased	223 ^b
Healthy	418 ^d
Positive Tests	172 ^e
Negative Tests	469 ^f
True Test	556 ^g
Wrong Test	85 ^h

^a Total Number of Subjects

^b Total Number of Subjects with Disease

^d Total Number of Healthy Subjects

^e Total Number of Positive Tests

^f Total Number of Negative Tests

^g Total Number of True Test Results

^h Total Number of Wrong Test Results

	Ratios
Sensitivity	69.51 % ^a
Specificity	95.93 % ^b

	Ratios
Accuracy	86.74 % ^d
Prevalence	34.79 % ^e
Positive Predictive Value	90.12 % ^f
Negative Predictive Value	85.50 % ^g
Post-test Disease Probability	90.12 % ^h
Post-test Health Probability	85.50 % ⁱ
Positive Likelihood Ratio	17.0905
Negative Likelihood Ratio	0.3179

^a Sensitivity (True Positives among Diseased)

^b Specificity (True Negatives among Healthy)

^d Accuracy (True Test Result Ratio)

^e Disease Prevalence in this population

^f Positive Predictive Value (Probability of having disease after a positive test using this experimental population)

^g Negative Predictive Value (Probability of being healthy after a negative test using this experimental population)

^h Post-test Probability of Having Disease (Probability of having disease after a positive test using known Population Prevalence)

ⁱ Post-test Probability of Being Healthy (Probability of being healthy after a negative test using known Population Prevalence)

Decision Statistics	Estimate	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Apparent prevalence	26.83 %	23.44 %	30.44 %
True prevalence	34.79 %	31.10 %	38.62 %
Test sensitivity	69.51 %	63.01 %	75.48 %
Test specificity	95.93 %	93.57 %	97.61 %
Diagnostic accuracy ^a	86.74 %	83.87 %	89.27 %
Positive predictive value	90.12 %	84.65 %	94.14 %
Negative predictive value	85.50 %	81.98 %	88.56 %
Proportion of subjects with the outcome ruled out	73.17 %	69.56 %	76.56 %
Proportion of subjects with the outcome ruled in	26.83 %	23.44 %	30.44 %
Proportion of false positives	4.07 %	2.39 %	6.43 %
Proportion of false negative	30.49 %	24.52 %	36.99 %

^a Proportion of all tests that give a correct result.

Decision Statistics	Estimate	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Diagnostic odds ratio ^a	53.7673	30.6243	94.3995
Number needed to diagnose ^b	1.5281	1.3682	1.7675
Youden's index ^d	0.6544	0.5658	0.7309
Likelihood ratio of a positive test	17.0905	10.6428	27.4444
Likelihood ratio of a negative test	0.3179	0.2605	0.3879

^a How much more likely will the test make a correct diagnosis than an incorrect diagnosis in patients with the disease.

^b Number of patients that need to be tested to give one correct positive test.

^d Youden's index is the difference between the true positive rate and the false positive rate. Youden's index ranges from -1 to +1 with values closer to 1 if both sensitivity and specificity are high (i.e. close to 1).

Medical Decision

Original Data

	polyp	
size_1cm_down	NNP	NP
(10,80]	9	149
[0,10]	409	74

Recoded Data for Decision Test Statistics

	Gold Positive	Gold Negative	Total
Test Positive	149	9	158
Test Negative	74	409	483
Total	223	418	641

	n
Total	641 ^a
Diseased	223 ^b
Healthy	418 ^d
Positive Tests	158 ^e
Negative Tests	483 ^f
True Test	558 ^g
Wrong Test	83 ^h

^a Total Number of Subjects

^b Total Number of Subjects with Disease

^d Total Number of Healthy Subjects

^e Total Number of Positive Tests

^f Total Number of Negative Tests

^g Total Number of True Test Results

^h Total Number of Wrong Test Results

	Ratios
Sensitivity	66.82 % ^a
Specificity	97.85 % ^b

	Ratios
Accuracy	87.05 % ^d
Prevalence	34.79 % ^e
Positive Predictive Value	94.30 % ^f
Negative Predictive Value	84.68 % ^g
Post-test Disease Probability	94.30 % ^h
Post-test Health Probability	84.68 % ⁱ
Positive Likelihood Ratio	31.0324
Negative Likelihood Ratio	0.3391

^a Sensitivity (True Positives among Diseased)

^b Specificity (True Negatives among Healthy)

^d Accuracy (True Test Result Ratio)

^e Disease Prevalence in this population

^f Positive Predictive Value (Probability of having disease after a positive test using this experimental population)

^g Negative Predictive Value (Probability of being healthy after a negative test using this experimental population)

^h Post-test Probability of Having Disease (Probability of having disease after a positive test using known Population Prevalence)

ⁱ Post-test Probability of Being Healthy (Probability of being healthy after a negative test using known Population Prevalence)

Decision Statistics	Estimate	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Apparent prevalence	24.65 %	21.36 %	28.18 %
True prevalence	34.79 %	31.10 %	38.62 %
Test sensitivity	66.82 %	60.22 %	72.96 %
Test specificity	97.85 %	95.95 %	99.01 %
Diagnostic accuracy ^a	87.05 %	84.20 %	89.55 %
Positive predictive value	94.30 %	89.46 %	97.36 %
Negative predictive value	84.68 %	81.15 %	87.77 %
Proportion of subjects with the outcome ruled out	75.35 %	71.82 %	78.64 %
Proportion of subjects with the outcome ruled in	24.65 %	21.36 %	28.18 %
Proportion of false positives	2.15 %	0.99 %	4.05 %
Proportion of false negative	33.18 %	27.04 %	39.78 %

^a Proportion of all tests that give a correct result.

Decision Statistics	Estimate	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Diagnostic odds ratio ^a	91.5030	44.6786	187.4009
Number needed to diagnose ^b	1.5465	1.3895	1.7803
Youden's index ^d	0.6466	0.5617	0.7197
Likelihood ratio of a positive test	31.0324	16.1545	59.6126
Likelihood ratio of a negative test	0.3391	0.2814	0.4088

^a How much more likely will the test make a correct diagnosis than an incorrect diagnosis in patients with the disease.

^b Number of patients that need to be tested to give one correct positive test.

^d Youden's index is the difference between the true positive rate and the false positive rate. Youden's index ranges from -1 to +1 with values closer to 1 if both sensitivity and specificity are high (i.e. close to 1).

References

- [1] The jamovi project (2021). *jamovi*. (Version 1.6) [Computer Software]. Retrieved from <https://www.jamovi.org>.
- [2] R Core Team (2020). *R: A Language and environment for statistical computing*. (Version 4.0) [Computer software]. Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org>. (R packages retrieved from MRAN snapshot 2020-08-24).
- [3] Heinzen, E Sinnwell, J Atkinson, E Gunderson, T Dougherty, G (2018). *arsenal: An Arsenal of 'R' Functions for Large-Scale Statistical Summaries*. [R package]. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=arsenal>.
- [4] Ewen Harrison and Tom Drake and Riinu Ots (2019). *finalfit: Quickly Create Elegant Regression Results Tables and Plots when Modelling*. [R package]. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=finalfit>.
- [5] Mark Stevenson with contributions from Telmo Nunes, Cord Heuer, Jonathon Marshall, Javier Sanchez, Ron Thornton, Jeno Reiczigel, Jim Robison-Cox, Paola Sebastiani, Peter Solymos, Kazuki Yoshida, Geoff Jones, Sarah Pirikahu, Simon Firestone, Ryan Kyle, Johann Popp, Mathew Jay and Charles Reynard. (2020). *epiR: Tools for the Analysis of Epidemiological Data..* [R package]. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=epiR>.
- [6] Stites EC, Wilen CB. (2020). The Interpretation of SARS-CoV-2 Diagnostic Tests. *Med (N Y)*.. [link](#).