

Self_Orientation_Score: 17.000

- Blame: The product expert does not explicitly blame others in the transcript, but there is a discussion about accountability and ensuring follow-ups are done as promised, which could be interpreted as a minor instance of shifting responsibility.
- Name-drop: The product expert name-drops several times, mentioning various companies and individuals by name, which could be considered frequent or severe instances.
- Ego: The product expert frequently uses "I" and discusses their own actions and thoughts, indicating a moderate ego-centric behavior.
- Curiosity: The product expert shows curiosity by asking questions and seeking to understand how to improve meetings and the use of the product, indicating moderate curiosity.
- Interruption: There are instances where the product expert talks about interrupting during meetings and the importance of not doing so, suggesting an awareness of the issue, which could be interpreted as moderate interruptions.
- Sentiment: Based on the transcript, there is a mixed sentiment. The product expert expresses enthusiasm and sees potential, but also acknowledges challenges and the need for improvement.
- Ratings: The feedback related to self-orientation is not explicitly stated in the transcript, but the product expert's self-reflection on their performance suggests moderate ratings.

Event Details Analysis:

- The event feedback is generally positive, with comments on elevator pitching, measuring real trust, and opportunities for Relate. One comment mentions getting into Mercer, which could be seen as a name-drop.
- The event rating data is not provided, so it cannot be factored into the score.
- The event question data shows engagement and curiosity from the product expert, as they ask about elevator pitching and measuring trust, indicating a desire to learn and improve.