Reading Between (the Party) Lines

by

Sophie Beiying Chou

Submitted to the MIT Media Lab, School of Architecture and Planning in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MS in Media Arts and Sciences

at the

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

June 2016

© Massachusetts Institute of Teo	chnology 2016. All rights reserved.
Author	
	MIT Media Lak
	May 5, 2016
Certified by	
, and the second	Deb Roy
	Associate Professo
	Thesis Supervisor
Assembled her	
Accepted by	WHO IS THE CHAIR(WO)MAN
Chairman Danarta	nent Committee on Graduate These

Reading Between (the Party) Lines

by

Sophie Beiying Chou

Submitted to the MIT Media Lab on May 5, 2016, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MS in Media Arts and Sciences

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, no nibh deleniti pri, docendi omnesque no cum, sed rationibus consetetur ne. Nam mentitum maluisset te, est eleifend intellegebat ex. Stet volutpat deseruisse pro an, at causae alienum assueverit vel. Vis timeam atomorum cu, solet epicurei temporibus ut ius. Pertinax consetetur sea te. Ne quas harum denique ius. Et sit vocibus sententiae definiebas, ei usu minim abhorreant. Nam cu errem equidem, omnesque offendit ea duo. Duo an dicant definitiones. Tation graece melius cum ut, ea dicta vulputate reprehendunt vix, eu quis fuisset expetendis mea. Has blandit praesent reprehendunt ei. Animal iuvaret has ea, vis quodsi sanctus an. Duo albucius hendrerit definitionem at, vide malorum vel an. No sit debet blandit, mentitum temporibus cu sea. Id vitae aperiam vis, virtute copiosae accusata no ius. Invenire dignissim at cum, an adhuc vivendo principes has. Ut mei mutat voluptua suavitate, aliquid equidem has et. Cum eu erant putant, ne facete timeam euismod sed, usu ei erroribus hendrerit. Est id vero dictas legendos. Et ullum iriure mel, ei eum graeci interpretaris, pro atqui oblique id. Enim mundi liberavisse mel ei, pri et quodsi eleifend. Habeo molestie quo et, mundi primis accumsan eu vim, pro ei impetus prodesset efficiantur.

Thesis Supervisor: Deb Roy Title: Associate Professor

	The following	people served as readers for th	is thesis:
Sepandai	r Kamvar		
		Associate Professor of Media	Arts and Sciences MIT Media Lab
			mir modia bas
Irod Dal			
iyau nai	ıwalı	Associate Professor of Media	
			MIT Media Lab

Acknowledgments

Thank you!!

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	11
2	Cor	ntent vs. Context in Percieved Media Bias	13
	2.1	The Role of the Reader in Percieved Bias	13
		2.1.1 The Hostile Media Effect	13
		2.1.2 Perceptions of Media Brands	13
	2.2	The Role of Language in Percieved Bias	13
		2.2.1 Language and Politics	13
		2.2.2 The Seductive Allure [of Simple] Language	14
	2.3	The 2016 Elections	14
		2.3.1 Criticism of Media Bias	14
3	Dat	a Collection	15
	3.1	The Electome	15
	3.2	Story Collection	15
	3.3	Article Topic Classification	17
	3.4	Flesch-Kincaid Readability Tests	18
4	Exp	perimental Design	19
	4.1	Data Selection	19
	4.2	CrowdFlower	19
	4.3	Demographic Survey	19
	4.4	Political Affiliation Survey	19

	4.5	Quality Assurance	19
5	Pre	-Survey Analysis	21
	5.1	Topic Analysis	21
	5.2	Flesch-Kincaid Analysis	21
		5.2.1 Comparisons to other Reading Level Tests	21
6	Stu	dy	23
	6.1	Demographics of Readers	23
	6.2	Overall Bias Reportings	23
7	Ana	alysis	25
	7.1	Media Brand Effect	25
	7.2	Reading Level Effect	25
	7.3	Other Linguistic Cues	25
A	Tab	les	27
В	Figi	ures	29

List of Figures

B-1	Armadillo slaying lawyer	29
B-2	Armadillo eradicating national debt	30

List of Tables

A.1	Armadillos .																																		2	7
-----	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---

Introduction

Most Americans say that they want to read news that's unbiased. A survey from Pew Research in 2012 showed that more than two-thirds (68%) of readers want to read political articles with a neutral stance, compared to just a little less than a quarter (23%) of those who want to read those stories that share their point of view.1 But what exactly does that mean?

To begin with, whether or not we perceive news as biased is biased in itself. Conservative readers tend to view media as more biased than both Democrats and Independents (49% to 32% and 35%, respectively)[?].

The Hostile Media Effect, first studied by Vallone, Ross, and Lepper in 1985, gives one possible explanation for discrepancies: it describes a phenomenon where people with strong stances on an issue tend to perceive media covered as biased against their opinions, even on the same article.2

Clearly, finding bias in news depends on who the reader is as much as what they are reading.

In my thesis, I seek to examine the effects of context versus content in perceptions of media bias. In particular, when the context of a story is removed, how do linguistic features, in particular reading level and vocabulary, in the content affect the reader? Although studies have been conducted to both examine the psychological effect of wording on believability (see "Seductive Allure") and the impact of media brands and bias (see Baum, 2008), I seek to combine and contrast the two.

To do so, I will perform an A/B study for a broad range of readers to read and annotate political news stories (collected daily and sorted using a machine learning classifier). Each story is determined to be primarily about one political candidate and one topic computationally. In the control group, readers are given the full text of the article with no additional content. In the experimental group, readers are given a link to the original article complete with the byline, publication, and images. Stories are classified as either "high reading level," "average reading level," or "low reading level" by the Flesch-Kincaid test.

For each reader, I will collect their demographic information, and self-reported political stances. I will then analyze the effects of reading level versus media brand in the reader's perception of the article.

I want to measure just how strong the effect of the media brand and the reader's beliefs are.

Content vs. Context in Percieved Media Bias

2.1 The Role of the Reader in Percieved Bias

It comes as no surprise that our own political stances have a significant effect in perceptions of media bias.

2.1.1 The Hostile Media Effect

Test test [2]

2.1.2 Perceptions of Media Brands

2.2 The Role of Language in Percieved Bias

2.2.1 Language and Politics

Presidential speeches degrading over time— ie simple language appeals to the masses in politics

$2.2.2 \quad \hbox{The Seductive Allure [... of Simple] Language}$

But we trust complex language for explaining technical facts

2.3 The 2016 Elections

2.3.1 Criticism of Media Bias

(Obama Speech)

So.... are you what you cover?

Data Collection

3.1 The Electome

The Electome is a large, collaborative, and ongoing effort in the Laboratory for Social Machines that seeks to analyze the "competition of ideas" in the upcoming 2016 elections. It does so by using techniques in natural language processing, machine learning, and network analysis to make sense of "big data" collected from two main sources: traditional media (online versions of news publications) and social media (Twitter) [3].

The foundations of this thesis, which emerged from the Electome, are grounded in the former dataset, although only a portion of the data collected is analyzed in this study.

3.2 Story Collection

(this part is mine)

News articles from 14 different news publications were systematically collected every hour from RSS feeds beginning from January 2015. The outlets tracked are:

- CNN
- Fox News

- The Wall Street Journal
- ProPublica
- Politico
- McClatchy
- The Washington Post
- Buzzfeed News
- NPR
- The Huffington Post
- The Associated Press
- Reuters
- The New York Times
- The Los Angeles Times

[1]TEST

Talk about structure: - crawler - structural parser - In this study:

- CNN
- Fox News
- The New York Times
- The Wall Street Journal
- The Associated Press

On an hourly basis, news articles from 14 different media outlets are ingested through their RSS feeds. These out-lets are: CNN, Fox News, The Wall Street Journal (WSJ), ProPublica, Politico, The McClatchy, The Washington Post (WashPo), BuzzFeed, National Public Radio (NPR), The Huffington Post, Associated Press (AP), Reuters, The New York Times (NYT) and The L.A. Times. These outlets were selected to represent a balanced collection of outlets: po-litically (i.e., liberal and conservative), new and old (e.g., Buzzfeed and NYT), public and private (e.g., NPR and Fox News), for-profit and non-profit (e.g., CNN and ProPublica), wire services 2 (e.g., Reuters and AP), and to include some smaller but influential outlets (e.g., The McClatchy). As with the Twitter pipeline, we started capturing articles from February 2015. The HTML Document Object Model (DOM) is extracted from the feeds and passed to a structural parser. The parser uses Beautiful Soup 3, which is a python package for pars- ing HTML to extract the headline, body, dateof-publication, and authors of each article and stores it in a database. At this stage, data deduplication is performed to ensure that only one copy of an article is in the database. This is necessary since articles from wire services like the AP and Reuters sometimes end up in the feeds of other news outlets. On av- erage 2,000 articles are ingested daily from the 14 media outlets. Next, all unique articles are passed to the election classifier.

3.3 Article Topic Classification

(This part is prashanths—so cite)

- Income Inequality
- Environment/Energy
- Jobs/Employment
- Guns
- Racial Issues

- Foreign Policy/National SecurityLGBT Issues
- \bullet Ethics
- Education
- Financial Regulation
- \bullet Budget/Taxation
- Veterans
- Campaign Finance
- Surveillance/Privacy
- Drugs
- Justice
- Abortion
- Immigration
- Trade
- Health Care
- Economy
- Other

3.4 Flesch-Kincaid Readability Tests

Experimental Design

- 4.1 Data Selection
- 4.2 CrowdFlower
- 4.3 Demographic Survey
- 4.4 Political Affiliation Survey
- 4.5 Quality Assurance
- -Filter by nationality highest setting on crowdflower Gold questions time limits price $\,$

Pre-Survey Analysis

- 5.1 Topic Analysis
- 5.2 Flesch-Kincaid Analysis
- 5.2.1 Comparisons to other Reading Level Tests

Study

We ran this over n days blah blah

- 6.1 Demographics of Readers
- 6.2 Overall Bias Reportings

Analysis

- 7.1 Media Brand Effect
- 7.2 Reading Level Effect
- 7.3 Other Linguistic Cues

Appendix A

Tables

Table A.1: Armadillos

Armadillos	are
our	friends

Appendix B

Figures

Figure B-1: Armadillo slaying lawyer.

Figure B-2: Armadillo eradicating national debt.

Bibliography

- [1] Amy Mitchell, Jeffrey Gottfried, Jocelyn Kiley, and Katerina Eva Matsa. Political polarization & media habits. http://www.journalism.org/2014/10/21/political-polarization-media-habits/, oct 2014. (Accessed on 04/02/2016).
- [2] Robert P Vallone, Lee Ross, and Mark R Lepper. The hostile media phenomenon: biased perception and perceptions of media bias in coverage of the beirut massacre. Journal of personality and social psychology, 49(3):577, 1985.
- [3] Prashanth Vijayaraghavan, Soroush Vosoughi, and Deb Roy. Automatic detection and categorization of election-related tweets. In 10th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 2016.