2. Representation of strategies in tree-form decision spaces

Link

0 - Introduction

- This lecture demonstrates how strategies are represented for the case of tree-form decision making.
- Two critical properties of a game representation:
 - The set of strategies is a convex and compact set.
 - Each player's utility function is multilinear.

These two properties guarantee optimization methods can be used.

1 - Tree-Form Decision Making

- Tree-form sequential decision process (TFSDP) Problem where the agent interacts with the environment in two ways:
 - Decision points agent must select an action from a set of legal actions.
 - Observation points agent observes a signal from the environment.

Decision and observation points are structured sequentially into a tree.

Note: It is assumed the agent isn't forgetful, so the tree never cycles back to a previously visited point.

- TFSDPs provide a general formalism for extensive-form games with perfect recall.
 (ex. poker, bridge, MDP)
- Summary of notation in TFSDPs:
 - ${\mathcal J}$ set of decision points.
 - A_j set of legal actions, at a decision point $j \in \mathcal{J}$
 - \mathcal{K} set of observation points.
 - S_k set of possible signals at observation point $k \in \mathcal{K}$
 - \sum set of sequences defined as $\sum := (j,a): j \in \mathcal{J}, a \in A_j$
 - p_j parent sequence of decision point $j \in \mathcal{J}$, defined as the last sequence on the path from the root of the TFSDP to decision point j. (null set if the agent doesn't act before j)
- Sequence ((j,a) or ja) decision-action pair.
- Parent sequence (p_j) the last decision point encountered before the decision point j.
- Differences between TFSDPs and extensive-form games:
 - TFSDPs define the decision problem for a single agent.
 - Extensive-form games encode the dynamics of all agents involved.
 - EFG, decision nodes belong to players and observation nodes belong to a fictitious player, nature, who chooses a stochastic action.
- Similarities between TFSDPs and extensive-form games:
 - decision points = information sets
- sequences = (information set, action) pairs.

2 - Strategies in Tree-Form Decision Making

• A strategy for an agent in a TFSDP specifies a distribution over the set of actions A_i at each decision point $j \in \mathcal{J}$.

2.1 - Behavioral Strategies

• Behavioral strategy - defines the probability for selecting an action given a decision point, for every sequence. Noted as a vector indexed over sequences, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\sum_{i=1}^{|\Sigma_i|}}$

Major drawback of this representation: in order to determine the probability of reaching a given terminal state, we must compute the product of all actions on the nath

This causes some expressions which depend on this probability to be non-convex. (ex. expected utility)

2.2 - Sequence-Form Strategies

• Sequence-form representation - defines the product of the probabilities of all actions at all decision points on the path from the root to the action a at decision point j.

Noted as a vector over sequences, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{|\sum|}$

Note: Is this the probability of taking a given action in a given state when at the root?

- Probability-mass-conservation constraints:
 - ullet For $p_j
 eq arnothing$ (non-root decision points), $\sum_{a \in A_j} \mathbf{x}[ja] = \mathbf{x}[p_j]$
 - ullet For $p_j=arnothing$ (root decision points), $\sum_{a\in A_j}\mathbf{x}[ja]=1$

Because of the probability-mass-conservation constraint, the set of valid sequence-form strategies (denoted Q) is convex.

$$Q := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\Sigma|}_{\geq 0} \, : \, \sum_{a \in A_j} \mathbf{x}[ja] = egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } p_j = arnothing \ \mathbf{x}[p_j], & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}
ight\}$$

2.3 - Deterministic and Randomized Sequence-Form Strategies

- Deterministic strategies strategies that always select the same action at each decision point. Deterministic strategies are a subset of all possible strategies.
- In sequence-form representation, deterministic strategies are the subset of Q whose elements are all either 0 or 1.

$$\prod := Q \cap \{0,1\}^{|\Sigma|}$$

- Reduced normal-form strategies the set of deterministic sequence-form strategies, in game theory.
- Theorem: the set of deterministic sequence-form strategies generates the polytope of sequence-form strategies, that is, $Q=co\prod$

2.4 Bottom-up decomposition of the polytope of sequence-form strategies

- The sequence-form representation lends itself nicely to several common optimization procedures.
- One such procedure is bottom-up decomposition work from the leaf nodes upward to the root, constructing the sequence-form representation at each node.
- This process is done using two operations which conserve convexity:
 - Cartesian product the cartesian product of two sets yields a new set of all possible combinations of the input sets. $A \times B = \{(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$.
 - Convex hull the convex hull of a set yields the smallest set that contains all points of the input set. This is akin to taking a linear weighted average of the points in a set.

Ex. $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $co(X) = \{\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2 \mid 0 \le \alpha \le 1\}$. This is the set of points between x_1 and x_2 . The convex hull of a set with three points forms a triangle in 2D.

- Bottom-up construction rules:
 - Leaf nodes $Q_{\mathrm{leaf}} = \Delta_n$ where Δ is a probability simplex and n is the number of actions.
 - Decision points convex hull of the child node strategies. The set of strategies rooted at a decision node is the linear combination of child strategies weighted by the probability of taking the actions that lead to each child.
 - Observation points cartesian product of the child node strategies. The set of strategies rooted at an observation node is the combination of the independent strategies at its child nodes.