# Reconstructing transmission trees from genetic data: a Bayesian approach

In alphabetic order: Simon Cauchemez, Anne Cori, Xavier Didelot, Neil Ferguson, Christophe Fraser, Thibaut Jombart,

...

June 7, 2012

## Purpose of the model

We seek a probabilistic model allowing to reconstruct the transmission tree of a disease outbreak based on RNA/DNA sequences sampled at given time points. We consider a single pathogen and genetic sequence per infection. The generation time is assumed to follow a known distribution. The transmission tree and the mutation rates are the elements we want to infer.

## Data and parameters

#### Data

For each patient i = 1, ..., n we note the data:

- $s_i$ : the genetic sequence obtained for patient i.
- $t_i$ : the collection time for  $s_i$  (time is considered as a discrete variable).

#### Augmented data

Augmented data are noted using capital latin letters:

- $T_i^{inf}$ : time at which patient i has been infected.
- $A_i$ : the closest observed ancestor of i in the infection tree;  $A_i = j$  indicates that j has infected i, either directly, or with one or several intermediate generations, which were unobserved.
- $K_i$ : an integer  $\geq 1$  indicating how many generations separate  $A_i$  and i:  $K_i = 1$  indicates that  $A_i$  infected i;  $K_i = 2$  indicates that j has infected an unobserved individual, who has in turn infected i.
- $T_i^{ini}$ : time at which  $A_i$  caused the initial infection of the lineage of i. For  $K_i = 1$ ,  $T_i^{inf} = T_i^{ini}$ .

As a first simple approach,  $K_i$  could be set to 1 for all i, hence assuming that the whole outbreak was observed.

#### **Functions**

We use the following functions of the data/augmented data:

- d(i, j): the number of transitions between  $s_i$  and  $s_j$ .
- g(i,j): the number of transversions between  $s_i$  and  $s_j$ .
- l(i,j): the number of nucleotide positions typed in both  $s_i$  and  $s_j$ .
- $w(\Delta_t)$ : generation time distribution (likelihood function for a secondary infection occurring  $\Delta_t$  unit times after the primary infection); we assume  $w(\Delta_t) = 0$  for  $\Delta_t \leq 0$ ; while not a requirement in theory, in practice this function will be truncated at a value  $\Delta_{max}$  so that  $w(\Delta_t) = 0$  if  $\Delta_t \geq \Delta_{max}$ .

#### **Parameters**

Parameters are indicated using greek letters:

- $\mu_1$ : rates of transitions, given per site and unit time (likely day).
- $\mu_2$ : rate of transversions, parametrised as  $\mu_2 = \kappa \mu_1$  to account for the correlation between the two rates.

### Model

This model assumes that cases are ordered by increasing infection dates  $(T_i^{inf} \leq T_{i+1}^{inf})$ . The posterior distribution is proportional to the joint distribution:

$$p(\{s_i, t_i, T_i^{inf}, T_i^{ini}, A_i, K_i\}_{(i=1,\dots,n)}, w, \mu_1, \kappa)$$
(1)

$$= p(\{s_i, t_i, T_i^{inf}, T_i^{ini}, A_i, K_i\}_{(i=1,\dots,n)} | w, \mu_1, \kappa) \times p(w, \mu_1, \kappa)$$
(2)

where the first term is the likelihood of observed and augmented data, and the second, the prior. The likelihood can be decomposed as:

$$p(\{s_{i}, t_{i}, T_{i}^{inf}, T_{i}^{ini}, A_{i}, K_{i}\}_{(i=1,\dots,n)} | w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$= \prod_{i=2}^{n} p(s_{i}, t_{i}, T_{i}^{inf}, T_{i}^{ini}, A_{i}, K_{i} | \{s_{k}, t_{k}, T_{k}^{inf}, T_{k}^{ini}, A_{k}, K_{k} | w, \mu_{1}, \kappa\}_{(k=1,\dots,i-1)})$$

$$\times p(s_{1}, t_{1}, T_{1}^{inf}, T_{1}^{ini}, A_{1}, K_{1} | w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$= \prod_{i=2}^{n} p(s_{i}, t_{i}, T_{i}^{inf}, T_{i}^{ini}, A_{i}, K_{i} | s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$\times p(s_{1}, t_{1}, T_{1}^{inf}, T_{1}^{ini}, A_{1}, K_{1} | w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$(5)$$

The term  $p(s_1, t_1, T_1^{inf}, T_1^{ini}, A_1, K_1 | w, \mu_1, \kappa)$  is the probability of the first infection, treated as a constant. This may be modified if we explicitly model infections from outside the system. The term for case i (i = 2, ..., n) is:

$$p(s_i, t_i, T_i^{inf}, T_i^{ini}, A_i, K_i | s_{A_i}, t_{A_i}, T_{A_i}^{inf}, T_{A_i}^{ini}, w, \mu_1, \kappa)$$
(6)

which can be decomposed into:

$$p(s_{i}|t_{i}, T_{i}^{inf}, T_{i}^{ini}, A_{i}, K_{i}, s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$\times p(t_{i}|T_{i}^{inf}, T_{i}^{ini}, A_{i}, K_{i}, s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$\times p(T_{i}^{inf}|T_{i}^{ini}, A_{i}, K_{i}, s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$\times p(T_{i}^{ini}|A_{i}, K_{i}, s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$\times p(A_{i}, K_{i}|s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)$$

$$= \underbrace{p(s_{i}|t_{i}, T_{i}^{ini}, A_{i}, s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, \mu_{1}, \kappa)}_{\Omega_{i}^{1}}$$

$$\times \underbrace{p(t_{i}|T_{i}^{inf}, w)p(T_{i}^{inf}|K_{i}, T_{i}^{ini}, w)p(T_{i}^{ini}|T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, w)}_{\Omega_{i}^{2}}$$

$$\times \underbrace{p(A_{i}, K_{i}|s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)}_{\Omega_{i}^{3}}$$

$$\times \underbrace{p(A_{i}, K_{i}|s_{A_{i}}, t_{A_{i}}, T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, T_{A_{i}}^{ini}, w, \mu_{1}, \kappa)}_{\Omega_{i}^{3}}$$

$$(8)$$

where  $\Omega_i^1$  is the genetic likelihood,  $\Omega_i^2$  if the epidemiological likelihood (derived from W&T), and  $\Omega_i^3$  are priors for the augmented data  $A_i$  and  $K_i$ .

Assuming that there is no within-host diversity,  $\Omega_i^1$  is computed as:

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{B}\left(d(i, A_i) | (t_i - t_{A_i}) l(i, A_i), \mu_1\right)}_{\text{transitions}} \times \underbrace{\mathcal{B}\left(g(i, A_i) | (t_i - t_{A_i}) l(i, A_i), \kappa \mu_1\right)}_{\text{transversions}}$$
(9)

if  $t_{A_i} \leq T_i^{ini}$ , and as:

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{B}\left(d(i,A_i)|(t_{A_i}-T_i^{ini}+t_i-T_i^{ini})l(i,A_i),\mu_1\right)}_{\text{transitions}} \times \underbrace{\mathcal{B}\left(g(i,A_i)|(t_{A_i}-T_i^{ini}+t_i-T_i^{ini})l(i,A_i),\kappa\mu_1\right)}_{\text{transversions}}$$
(10)

otherwise;  $\mathcal{B}(.|n,p)$  is the probability mass function of a Binomial distribution with n draws and a probability p.

 $\Omega_i^2$  is determined by the (known) distribution of the generation time, and the collection and infection dates:

$$\Omega_{i}^{2} = p(t_{i}|T_{i}^{inf}, w) \times p(T_{i}^{inf}|K_{i}, T_{i}^{ini}, w) \times p(T_{i}^{ini}|T_{A_{i}}^{inf}, w) 
= w(t_{i} - T_{i}^{inf}) \times w^{(K_{i}-1)}(T_{i}^{inf} - T_{i}^{ini}) \times w(T_{i}^{ini} - T_{A_{i}}^{inf})$$
(11)

with 1 the indicator function and  $w^{(k)} = \underbrace{w * w * \dots * w}_{k \text{ times}}$ , where \* denotes the convolution operator,

defined, for two discrete distributions a and b, by  $(a*b)(t) = \sum_{u=-\infty}^{+\infty} a(t-u)b(u)$ . By convention,  $w^{(0)}(t) = 1$ . The first term assumes that the probability of sequencing an isolate at time  $t_i$  is proportional to the infectiousness of the host at this time. The second term is an extension of Wallinga & Teunis's model for  $K_i - 1$  unobserved intermediate infections. The third term is a strict application of Wallinga & Teunis's model for the initial infection.

The term  $\Omega_i^3$  can be rewritten as:

$$\Omega_i^3 = p(A_i, K_i | s_{A_i}, t_{A_i}, T_{A_i}^{inf}, T_{A_i}^{ini}, w, \mu_1, \kappa) 
= p(A_i) p(K_i)$$
(12)

as the different components are independent.  $p(A_i)$  is the prior on ancestries, set to 1/(n-1).  $p(K_i)$  is the prior on the number of unobserved transmission steps. This is given by a binomial distribution of parameter  $\pi$ , which is the proportion of observed (sampled) cases in the outbreak. If we assume that the entire outbreak has been sampled, this would be set to  $p(K_i) = \mathbf{1}_{\{K_i = 1\}}$ .