

How Do Household Energy Transitions Work?*

Jill Baumgartner (Co-PI)¹ Sam Harper (Co-PI)¹
Chris Barrington-Leigh¹ Collin Brehmer² Ellison M. Carter²
Xiaoying Li² Brian E. Robinson¹ Guofeng Shen³
Talía J. Sternbach¹ Shu Tao³ Kaibing Xue⁴ Wenlu Yuan¹
Xiang Zhang¹ Yuanxun Zhang⁴

2024-10-10

Table of contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Subheading	2
1.1.1	Sub-subheading	2
1.1.2	Personal exposure	3
	Abbreviations and other terms	4

*Affiliations [1] McGill University; [2] Colorado State University; [3] Peking University; [4] University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences

1 Introduction

China is deploying an ambitious policy to transition up to 70% of households in northern China from residential coal heating to electric or gas “clean” space heating, including a large-scale roll out across rural and peri-urban Beijing, referred to in this document as China’s Coal Ban and Heat Pump (CBHP) subsidy policy. To meet this target the Beijing municipal government announced a two-pronged program that designates coal-restricted areas and simultaneously offers subsidies to night-time electricity rates and for the purchase and installation of electric-powered heat pumps to replace traditional coal-heating stoves. The policy was piloted in 2015 and, starting in 2016, was rolled out on a village-by-village basis. The variability in when the policy was applied to each village allowed us to treat the roll-out of the program as a quasi-randomized intervention and evaluate its impacts on air quality and health. Household air pollution is a well-established risk factor for adverse health outcomes over the entire lifecourse, yet there is no consensus that clean energy interventions can improve these health outcomes based on evidence from randomized trials (Lai et al. 2024). Households may be differentially affected by the CBHP due to factors such as financial constraints and user preferences, and there is uncertainty about whether and how the policy may affect indoor and outdoor air pollution, as well as heating behaviors and health outcomes.

1.1 Subheading

1.1.1 Sub-subheading

Table 1: Controlled direct effects for the CHP on blood pressure.

	Adjusted Total Effect		CDE Mediated By:					
	ATT ^a	(95%CI)	Indoor PM		Indoor Temp		PM + Temp	
			ATT ^b	(95%CI)	ATT ^b	(95%CI)	ATT ^b	(95%CI)
Brachial SBP	-1.4	(-3.3, 0.5)	-0.8	(-2.9, 1.3)	-0.3	(-2.2, 1.6)	0.3	(-1.9, 2.5)
Central SBP	-1.4	(-3.3, 0.4)	-0.8	(-2.9, 1.3)	-0.4	(-2.2, 1.3)	0.2	(-1.9, 2.4)
Brachial DBP	-1.6	(-2.9, -0.3)	-1.1	(-2.7, 0.5)	-1.1	(-2.3, 0.1)	-0.6	(-2.1, 0.9)
Central DBP	-1.6	(-2.9, -0.3)	-1.1	(-2.7, 0.6)	-1.2	(-2.4, -0.0)	-0.7	(-2.2, 0.9)

Note: Results combined across 30 multiply-imputed datasets. ATT = Average Treatment Effect on the Treated, CDE = Controlled Direct Effect, CI = Confidence Interval, DBP = Diastolic blood pressure, PM = Particulate matter, SBP = Systolic blood pressure.

^a Adjusted for age, sex, waist circumference, smoking, alcohol consumption, and use of blood pressure medication.

^b Mediators were set to the mean value for untreated participants at baseline.

1.1.2 Personal exposure

Table ?@tbl-a-het-personal shows limited evidence that the *ATT*s across cohorts and time demonstrate meaningful heterogeneity.

Abbreviations and other terms

ATT

Lai PS, Lam NL, Gallery B, Lee AG, Adair-Rohani H, Alexander D, et al. 2024. Household Air Pollution Interventions to Improve Health in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: An Official American Thoracic Society Research Statement. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 209:909–927; doi:[10.1164/rccm.202402-0398ST](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202402-0398ST).