| Continental Market | Models | Options | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 |
|--|-------------------|---------|---|---|---|
| ** | | Орионо | | | |
| EU-enlargement and trade arrangements (WTO) | OTAD/IMAAOE/OLLIE | | FILOS | Description Distriction | |
| Countries in EU | GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE | | EU-25 | +Romenia + Bulgaria | no further accession |
| Trade arrangements | OTAD | yes | Overtones Heisen | fth | |
| EU - Turkey | GTAP | yes | Customs Union | no further arrangements | = |
| EU - Former Soviet Union | GTAP | yes | no specific arrangements | - | - |
| EU - USA | GTAP | yes | no specific arrangements | elimination of bilateral tariffs | no further arrangements |
| EU - Latin America and Carribean, Middle East, | GTAP | yes | no specific arrangements | - | - |
| Africa | | | | | |
| Trade / WTO | 0715 | yes | | | |
| Export subsidies | GTAP | yes | no change | no change | no change |
| Import tariffs | GTAP | yes | no change | no change | no change |
| Non-tariff barriers for agricultural products (SPS, TBT) between trade blocks (see footnote *) | GTAP | yes | no specific arrangements | - | - |
| Domestic support in agriculture | | yes | | | |
| Intervention prices | GTAP | yes | maintained at levels decided for 2003 MTR CAP reform | no further change | - |
| Production quota (milk) | GTAP | yes | level as decided for 2003 MTR CAP reform and agreed with Acceeding Countries | set at level to safeguard self-sufficiency | no further arrangements |
| Production quota (sugar) | GTAP | yes | as decided in the 2003 reform | set at level to safeguard self-sufficiency | no further arrangements |
| Coupled payments | GTAP | yes | maintained at maximum levels of 2003 MTR CAP reform | no further arrangements | - |
| Decoupled payments (single farm payment scheme; partial, full, regional implementation) | GTAP | yes | EU: implementation of 2003 CAP reform, 2010: same decoupling in all scenarios | no further arrangements | - |
| Rural development funds (2nd CAP pillar) | Downscaling | | Downscaling and added to agricultural income | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Compulsory set-aside of arable land (excl. organic farms) | GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE | yes | Never introduced in EU10; gradually abolished in EU15 from current level to 0% between 2018 and 2022 (equal change per year); 50% of area in set-aside is released as available area for arable land. | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| % of set-aside land used for biofuel cultivation | | | 5% | 15% | 20% |
| 70 or our dollar land dood for blonder early aller. | | | 0,0 | .670 | 2070 |
| Demography | GTAP/IMAGE | | | | |
| Global population | | | | | |
| Population EU-25, including distribution within countries | | | Distribution based on EUROSTAT projections BASE variant | Distribution based on EUROSTAT projections BASE variant | Distribution based on EUROSTAT projection BASE variant |
| Distribution of population within NUTS2 regions | | | Increase in population is spread in both the | Increase in population is spread in both the | Increase in population is spread in both the |
| (increase/decrease)(dense: > 500 inh/km2; | | | dense and intermediately populated areas. | dense and intermediately populated areas. | dense and intermediately populated areas. |
| intermediate: 60-500 inh/km2; thin <60 inh/km2) | | | Decreases in population take place in the | Decreases in population take place in the | Decreases in population take place in the |
| (average of circle with radius 3 km) | | | thinly populated areas | thinly populated areas | thinly populated areas |

| Continental Market Entity | Models | Options | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 |
|---|-----------------|---------|---|---|-------------------------|
| Macro-economic growth | GTAP/IMAGE | | | | |
| Global / global region | | indivi | umbers from CPB study adjusted for dual EU25 countries based on EU-study projection of age-related expenditure) | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| EU-25: per country | | indivi | umbers from CPB study adjusted for dual EU25 countries based on EU-study c projection of age-related expenditure) | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Agro-technology (including introduction of GMC | | | | | |
| Effects on productivity growth (irt FAO projection)* | ** GTAP/IMAGE | | | | |
| EU25 + CEEC | | | -5% | -5% | -5% |
| Turkey | | | -10% | -10% | -10% |
| Consumer behaviour | | | | | |
| Preference for products from own IMAGE region | GTAP | | 1% shift (i.e. stronger preference) | additional 2% shift | additional 2% shift |
| Consumption of animal protein from meat | GTAP | | endogenous GTAP outcome | endogenous GTAP outcome | endogenous GTAP outcome |
| Change in built-up area per person per year (including all built-up area: residential/services/recreation/industry/infrastructu | CLUE | | m2 per person per year (average value trend during 1990-2000 over all EU countries) | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| e) | | | <u> </u> | | |
| EU and national policies | | | | | |
| Nature development (EU) | | | | | |
| Area (EU) | GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE | chang | a nature is determined by interplay of e in agriculture/built-up area and natural cession, mimimal area determined by protected areas | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Protected areas | CLUE | >8 | st & semi-natural & recently abandoned griculture not allowed in Natura2000 tions; all other conversions allowed in Natura 2000 locations, including urbanization/abandonment | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Policy measures to control fragmentation | CLUE | | No specific incentives | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Efforts to establish ecological corridors at national and international level | | | no | no | no |
| Agro-biodiversity | CLUE | | pecific arrangements; agriculture within NATURA2000 may be abandoned | agricultural areas within (proposed) Natura 2000 network either remain under extensive agriculture or are abandoned | no further arrangements |
| Local patches of (semi-)natural areas | CLUE | | No specific efforts | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |

| ontinental Market | | | | | |
|---|------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Entity | Models | Options | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 |
| Less favoured areas | | 1/00 | | | |
| Area (classification criteria) | CLUE | yes yes L | FA maintained at current level; also | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| (| | , | implemented for EU10 +2 | | |
| Incentives/compensation for farmers | CLUE | | nange in financial compensation level of | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| | | | stays the same (Note see also comment | | |
| | | | A1, we cannot yet vary this in time) | | |
| Land Policies | | | | | |
| Shifts in permanent pasture area | CLUE | F | ully allowed, dynamic land allocation | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| | | | possible | | |
| Shifts in arable cropping patterns | CLUE | No cl | nange from current location preferences | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| F# 1 | OLUE | 11.1 | for arable crops | 0040 | 2010 |
| Effect population on succession | CLUE | | pressure in densely populated areas due | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| | | | recreational uses/hobby farming etc. | | |
| | | | version of recently abandoned to semi- | | |
| | | | al takes longer (years added to 'natural' | | |
| | | Suc | ccession time per population pressure | | |
| | | | class | | |
| | | | 1: 100 years (no succession) | | |
| | | | 2: 25 years | | |
| | | | 3: 15 years | | |
| | | | 4: 2 years | | |
| | | | 5: 0 years | | |
| | | Due | to grazing it is assumed that succession | | |
| | | | etarded by 5 to 10 years depending on | | |
| | | | ock density in neighborhood. If the mean | | |
| | | | ensity of land-based systems in the | | |
| | | | borhood (circle radius 3 km) exceeds 75 | | |
| | | | km2 it is assumed that succession (both | | |
| | | | es) is retarded by 10 years; if livestock | | |
| | | | ty is between 30 LSU/km2 it is assumed | | |
| | | | uccession (both stages) is retarded by 5 | | |
| | | i i i i i | years. | | |
| | | Suc | cession in Natura 2000 locations is not | | |
| | | Guo | retarded | | |
| | | | | | |
| Effects of active nature restoration on succe | ssion CLUE | | No | No | No |
| Erosion risk | CLUE | | No specific measures | No specific measures | No specific measures |
| 55.511 Hok | 0101 | | spoomo mododros | opcomo mododico | 110 opcomo mododico |

| Continental Market Entity | Models | Options | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 |
|---|-----------------|---------|---|--|--|
| Energy crops | | yes | | | |
| Crops for biofuels (sugarbeet, potatoes, coleseed), coppice, firewood: | GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE | yes | Outcome IMAGE. Upto 50% of set-aside land is used for energy crops; remainder (mostly coppice and firewood) on abandoned land if available. | Outcome IMAGE | Outcome IMAGE |
| Crop residues / manure (ethanol, methane) | ? | yes | 5% | 25%; larger farmers can process manure efficiently at their location | 50%; uptake of new technologies leads to growth of farms with fermentation installations on site |
| Proportion of bio-energy in energy consumption | GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE | yes | 0 Mton; no obligations | 0 Mton | 0 Mton |
| Proportion of bio-fuels in transport fuel consumption | GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE | yes | 0% obligation; implemented only if competitive with fossile fuel | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Import restrictions / tariffs for bio-fuels | GTAP/IMAGE | yes | imports are only allowed from US and other transatlantic partners | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Environmental legislation, public health, animal welfare etc. (incl. cross-compliance, good agricultural practices) | GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE | | loose interpretation of directives and regulations | no further arrangements | - |
| Land conversion policy | | | | | |
| Large cities | CLUE | | no restrictions; in practice, growth of urban centres is favoured in this scenario | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Provincial towns | CLUE | | no incentives or restrictions | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| \ | CLUE | | no incentives or restrictions; in practice, rural population will tend to decrease in regions with land abandonment | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Focus of growth | CLUE | | No restrictions/spatial urban planning. Autonomous focus of growth of urban centres; Sprawled growth | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |
| Nature and urbanization | CLUE | | No restrictions for conversions into residential | same as 2010 | same as 2010 |

^{*} Regional blocks:

¹ Netherlands / Rest of EU15 / CEEC / Baltic countries / Rest of Europe;

² Canada / USA / Central America / South America;

³ Oceania;

⁴ Japan;

⁵ East Asia / South-east Asia;

⁶ South Asia;

⁷ Former Soviet Union;

⁸ Middle Arfica / South Africa

⁹ Turkey / Middle East / North Africa;

¹⁰ Rest of World.

^{**} Effects on productivity growth are the resultant of a combination of environmental constraints and technology development.