Global	Co-operation					
	Entity	Models	Options	2010	2020	2030
EU-enla	rgement and trade arrangements (WTO)					
	Countries in EU	GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE		EU25+Romenia + Bulgaria + Turkey	no further accession	=
	Trade arrangements		yes			
	EU - Turkey	GTAP	yes	Turkey enters EU	-	-
	EU - Former Soviet Union	GTAP	yes	elimination of bilateral tariffs in manufacturing	no further arrangements	-
	EU - USA	GTAP	yes	no specific arrangements	-	-
	EU - Latin America and Carribean, Middle East, Africa	GTAP	yes	no specific arrangements	-	-
	Trade / WTO		yes			
	Export subsidies	GTAP	yes	25% reduction	50% reduction as compared to 2010	abolished for all sectors
	Import tariffs	GTAP	yes	25% reduction	50% reduction as compared to 2010	abolished for all sectors
	Non-tariff barriers for agricultural products (SPS, TBT) between trade blocks (see footnote *)	GTAP	yes	situation 2001	global SPS and TBT leads to 1% cost price increase for agricultural products in developing countries	2,5% cost price increase (as compared to 2010) for agricultural products in developing countries
	Domestic support in agriculture		yes		· •	
	Intervention prices	GTAP	yes	maintained at post MTR CAP reform levels	safety net just below average world market price levels	abolished
	Production quota (milk)	GTAP	yes	level as decided for 2003 MTR CAP reform and agreed with Acceeding Countries	abolished	-
	Production quota (sugar)	GTAP	yes	as decided in the 2003 reform	abolished	-
	Coupled payments	GTAP	yes	full incorporation in decoupled single farm payment scheme	-	-
	Decoupled payments (single farm payment scheme; partial, full, regional implementation)	GTAP	yes	EU: implementation of 2003 CAP reform, 2010: same decoupling in all scenarios	reduction by 25% as compared to 2010	reduction by 50% as compared to 2010
	Rural development funds (2nd CAP pillar)	Downscaling		Downscaling and added to agricultural income	same as 2010	same as 2010
	Compulsory set-aside of arable land (excl. organic farms)	GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE	yes	Never introduced in EU10; gradually abolished in EU15 from current level to 0% between 2018 and 2022 (equal change per year); 50% of area in set-aside is released as available area for arable land.	same as 2010	same as 2010
	% of set-aside land used for biofuel cultivation			5%	15%	20%
Demogr		GTAP/IMAGE				
Demogr	Global population					
	Population EU-25, including distribution within countries			Distribution based on EUROSTAT projections HIGH variant	Distribution based on EUROSTAT projections HIGH variant	Distribution based on EUROSTAT projections HIGH variant
	Distribution of population within NUTS2 regions (increase/decrease)(dense: > 500 inh/km2; intermediate: 60-500 inh/km2; thin <60 inh/km2) (average of circle with radius 3 km)			Increase in population is spread in both the dense and intermediately populated areas. Decreases in population take place in the thinly populated areas.	Increase in population is spread in both the dense and intermediately populated areas. Decreases in population take place in the thinly populated areas.	Increase in population is spread in both the dense and intermediately populated areas. Decreases in population take place in the thinly populated areas.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				, h.h	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Entity	Models	Options	2010	2020	2030
ro-economic growth	GTAP/IMAGE				
Global / global region		individua	bers from CPB study adjusted for al EU25 countries based on EU-study rojection of age-related expenditure)	same as 2010	same as 2010
EU-25: per country		individua	bers from CPB study adjusted for al EU25 countries based on EU-study rojection of age-related expenditure)	same as 2010	same as 2010
o-technology (including introduction Effects on productivity growth (irt FAO pro					
EU25			0%	0%	0%
EU25 (CEEC)+baltic			0% 5%	0% 5%	0% 5%
(CEEC)+baltic			5%	5%	5%
(CEEC)+baltic Turkey	Fregion GTAP		5%	5%	5%
(CEEC)+baltic Turkey sumer behaviour			5% 0%	5%	5% 0%

Entity	Models	Options	2010	2020	2030
national policies					
Nature development (EU)					
Area (EU)	GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE		Area nature is determined by interplay of change in agriculture/built-up area and natural succession, mimimal area determined by protected areas	same as 2010	same as 2010
Protected areas	CLUE		Forest, semi-natural, recently abandoned > all other uses not allowed in Natura 2000 locations (except succession); Other restrictions in Natura 2000 areas: Agricultural uses > urban: not allowed; Arable > grass: allowed; Grass > arable: not allowed; Arable & grass > permanent not allowed; Permanent > grass & arable: not allowed; Agriculture > recently abandoned: allowed, but incentives to prevent this by compensation to farmers (agri-env schemes)	same as 2010	same as 2010
Policy measures to control fragmentation	CLUE		Incentives aimed at limiting fragmentation of natural areas	same as 2010	same as 2010
Efforts to establish ecological corridors at national and international level			Yes: Farming conditions are not favourable in ecological corridor areas due to restrictions, so, all agricultural land use types in these corridors face a decrease in suitability	yes	yes
Agro-biodiversity	CLUE		Incentives (agri-env schemes) to prevent abandonment of agriculture within NATURA2000 area by compensation of farmers (see 8.1b)	agricultural areas within (proposed) Natura 2000 network either remain under extensive agriculture or are used for nature development. Main grassland areas in LFA's are incorporated in Natura 2000 network (extensive pastures).	same as 2020
Local patches of (semi-)natural areas	CLUE		Strong protection of local patches; but in case of strong competition and in main agricultural regions some patches may disappear	same as 2010	same as 2010
Less favoured areas		yes			
Area (classification criteria)	CLUE	yes	LFA maintained at current level; also implemented for EU10+2; Except for arable agriculture in locations with high erosion risk	-	•
Incentives/compensation for farmers	CLUE	yes	abolished	same as 2010	same as 2010

Global Co-operation					
Entity	Models	Options	2010	2020	2030
Land policies					
Shifts in permanent pasture area	CLUE	per Implemei	ives to prevent the conversion of manent pasture to arable land. Ited through a decrease in suitability e land on land currently assigned to pasture	same as 2010	same as 2010
Shifts in arable cropping patterns	CLUE		to concentrate pasture/arable crops erably in most productive areas	same as 2010	same as 2010
Effects of active nature restoration on sur	ccession	With	n NATURA2000 sites is, due to		
		succes	ble management/reforestation the sion time of recently ab>seminat & i-nat>forest reduced by 4 years		
Effect population on succession	CLUE	Moder areas du Convers natural ta success Due to g is retan livestock dens neighbort LSU/km2 stages) density is that succ	ate pressure in densely populated e to recreational uses/hobby farming etc. ion of recently abandoned to semi- ikes longer (years added to 'natural' ision time per population pressure class: 100 years (no succession) 2: 20 years 3: 10 years 4: 2 years 5: 0 years 4: 2 years 5: 0 years razing it is assumed that succession ided by 5 to 10 years depending on density in neighborhood. If the mean ity of land-based systems in the lood (circle radius 3 km) exceeds 75 it is assumed that succession (both is retarded by 10 years; if livestock between 30 LSU/km2 it is assumed ession (both stages) is retarded by 5 years. on in Natura 2000 locations and the rounding 2 km is not retarded.	same as 2010	same as 2010
Erosion risk	CLUE	erosic provider s	sion to arable land is not allowed in in sensitive areas; incentives are d to abandon arable land in erosion ensitive areas or convert to grassland/permanent crops.	same as 2010	same as 2010

l Co-operation					
Entity	Models	Options	2010	2020	2030
Energy crops		yes			
Crops for biofuels (sugarbeet, potatoes, coleseed), coppice, firewood:	GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE	yes	output IMAGE	output IMAGE	output IMAGE
Crop residues / manure (ethanol, methane)	?	yes	10%; reuse of crop residues and manure is stimulated by policy and government research.	30%	60%
Proportion of bio-energy in energy consumption	GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE	yes	52 Mton	52 Mton	52 Mton
Proportion of bio-fuels in transport fuel consumption	GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE	yes	5,75%	5,75%	5,75%
Import restrictions / tariffs for bio-fuels	GTAP/IMAGE	yes	no restrictions	same as 2010	same as 2010
Environmental legislation, public health, animal welfare etc. (incl. cross-compliance, good agricultural practices)	GTAP/IMAGE/CLUE		strong: minimization of environmental hazards	no further arrangements	-
Land conversion policy					
Large cities	CLUE		growth restricted to designated areas	same as 2010	same as 2010
Provincial towns	CLUE		designated areas adapted to demand	same as 2010	same as 2010
Small villages	CLUE		growth (if any) restricted to designated areas;	same as 2010	same as 2010
Focus of growth	CLUE		Restrictions in urban spatial planning resulting in compact urban growth; growth both in large cities and provincial towns	same as 2010	same as 2010
Nature and urbanization	CLUE		Semi-natural and forest may not change into residential uses	same as 2010	same as 2010

^{*} Regional blocks:

¹ Netherlands / Rest of EU15 / CEEC / Baltic countries / Rest of Europe;

² Canada / USA / Central America / South America;

³ Oceania;

⁴ Japan;

⁵ East Asia / South-east Asia;

⁶ South Asia;

⁷ Former Soviet Union; 8 Middle Arfica / South Africa 9 Turkey / Middle East / North Africa;

¹⁰ Rest of World.

^{**} Effects on productivity growth are the resultant of a combination of environmental constraints and technology development.