# Intro to Modern Algebra Homework 3

Sam Cook

October 19th, 2017

# 1 Section 3.3 #8

**Problem** Let  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  be as in Exercise 39 of Section 3.1 Prove that the function  $f: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \to \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  given by  $f(a+b\sqrt{2})=a-b\sqrt{2}$  is an isomorphism.

**Solution** In order for f to be an isomorphism, it must be a bijective homomorphism.

First, to be bijective, it must be both injective and surjective. Take  $f(a), f(b) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  such that f(a) = f(b). Then, for some  $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $f(k + l\sqrt{2}) = f(m + n\sqrt{2})$ . This implies that  $k - l\sqrt{2} = m - n\sqrt{2}$ , by the definition of f. We can group the rational and irrational parts together to get  $k - l\sqrt{2} = m - n\sqrt{2} \Rightarrow (k - m) = (l - n)\sqrt{2}$ . But (k - m) is rational, and  $(l - n)\sqrt{2}$  is irrational. The only way this could be possible is if (k - m) = 0 and (l - n) = 0, since  $(0 - 0) = (0 - 0)\sqrt{2}$ . This implies that k = m and l = n. Therefore,  $k + l\sqrt{2} = m + n\sqrt{2}$ , or a = b and f is an injective function.

Now, consider  $c + d\sqrt{2}\epsilon \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  for any  $c, d\epsilon \mathbb{Q}$ .  $c + d\sqrt{2}$  is mapped to from  $c - d\sqrt{2}$ , which can also be written as  $c + (-d)\sqrt{2}$ , since  $f(c - d\sqrt{2}) = c + d\sqrt{2}$ . Therefore, f is surjective, and as a result of that, bijective.

Now, we must prove that f is a homomorphism. Consider  $f((k+l\sqrt{2})*(m+n\sqrt{2}))$  with  $(k+l\sqrt{2}), (m+n\sqrt{2}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . We can multiply the terms to get  $f(km+kn\sqrt{2}+ml\sqrt{2}+nl)$ , which simplifies to  $f((km+nl)+(kn+ml)\sqrt{2})$ . By applying the function f and distributive laws,  $f((km+nl)+(kn+ml)\sqrt{2})=(km+nl)-(kn+ml)\sqrt{2}$ . Now consider  $f(k+l\sqrt{2})*f(m+n\sqrt{2})$ . By applying f, we get  $(k-l\sqrt{2})*(m-n\sqrt{2})$ . We can use distributive laws to get  $km-kn\sqrt{2}-ml\sqrt{2}+2nl=(km+2nl)-(kn+ml)\sqrt{2}=f((k+l\sqrt{2})*(m+l\sqrt{2}))$ . Therefore, f preserves multiplication

Now consider  $f((k+l\sqrt{2})+(m+n\sqrt{2}))$  This simplifies to  $f((k+m)+(n+l)\sqrt{2})$ . Applying f,  $f((k+m)+(n+l)\sqrt{2})=(k+m)-(n+l)\sqrt{2}$ . Next consider  $f(k+l\sqrt{2})+f(m+n\sqrt{2})$ . Applying f,  $f(k+l\sqrt{2})+f(m+n\sqrt{2})=(k-l\sqrt{2})+(m-\sqrt{2})=(k+m)-(n+l)\sqrt{2}$ , by associativity. But  $(k+m)-(n+l)\sqrt{2}=f((k+l\sqrt{2})+(m+n\sqrt{2}))$  and therefore f preserves addition. Since f preserves addition and multiplication, it is a homomorphism, and since it is a bijective homomorphism, it is an isomorphism.

## 2 Section 3.3# 12e

**Problem** Is the following function a homeomorphism or not?

$$f: \mathbb{Z}_{12} \to \mathbb{Z}_4 \tag{1}$$

defined by  $f([x]_{12}) = [x]_4$ , where  $[u]_4$  denotes the class of the integer u in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ 

**Solution** First, we need to prove that this function is well defined. To do this, consider  $[a]_{12}$  and  $[a+k12]_{12}, k\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that a, a+12  $epsilon\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . It is clear that  $[a]_{12}=[a+12]_{12}$ , since these are equivalence classes in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . Now consider  $f([a]_{12})$  and  $f([a+12]_{12})$ . Then applying f,  $f([a]_{12})=[a]_4$  and  $f([a+12]_{12})=[a+12]_4$ . But  $[a+12]_4=[a]_4+[12]_4=[a]_4+[0]_4=[a]_4$ , since it is an equivalence class. Then  $f([a]_{12})=f([a+12]_{12})$ , and f is well defined.

Now, for f to be a homomorphism, it must preserve addition and multiplication. Consider  $f([x]_{12} + [y]_{12})$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . Then  $f([x]_{12} + [y]_{12}) = f([x+y]_{12}) = [x+y]_4$ , by the definitions of equivalence classes and f. Now consider  $f([x]_{12}) + f([y]_{12}) = [x]_4 + [y]_4 = [x+y]_4 = f([x]_{12} + [y]_{12})$ , by the same properties of equivalence classes. Since  $f([x]_{12} + [y]_{12}) = f([x]_{12}) + f([y]_{12})$ , f preserves addition.

To check if f preserves multiplication, consider  $f([x]_{12}*[y]_{12})$ . We can use rules of equivalence classes and apply the function f to get  $f([x]_{12}*[y]_{12}) = f([xy]_{12}) = [xy]_4$ . Now consider  $f([x]_{12})*f([y]_{12})$ . By applying f, we get  $f([x]_{12})*f([y]_{12}) = [x]_4*[y]_4 = [xy]_4 = f([x]_{12}*[y]_{12})$ , and therefore f preserves multiplication.

Since f is well-defined and preserves multiplication and addition, it is homomorphism.

### 3 Section 3.3#30

**Problem** Let  $f: R \to S$  be a homomorphism of rings and let  $K = \{r \in R | f(r) = 0_S\}$ . Prove that K is a subring of R.

**Solution** Since f is a homomorphism of rings,  $0_R \epsilon R$ ,  $0_S \epsilon S$ , and  $f(0_R) = 0_S$ . Therefore,  $0_R \epsilon K$ , and  $K \neq \emptyset$ .

Now take  $a, b \in K$ . By the definition of K,  $f(a) = 0_S$  and  $f(b) = 0_S$ . Subtracting one from the other,  $f(a) - f(b) = 0_S - 0_S = 0_S$ . Since f is a ring homomorphism, it preserves subtraction, and  $f(a) - f(b) = f(a - b) = 0_S$ . This implies that  $a - b \in K$ , and therefore K is closed under subtraction.

To proved that K is closed under multiplication, consider the same a, b from above. Then  $f(a) * f(b) = 0_S * 0_S = 0_S$ . Again, since f is a ring homomorphism, it preserves multiplication and  $f(a) * f(b) = f(a * b) = 0_S$ . Therefore,  $ab \in K$  and K is closed under multiplication.

Since K is a nonempty subset closed under subtraction and multiplication, it is a subring of R.

# 4 Section 3.3 #38

**Problem** Let F be a field and  $f: F \to R$  a homomorphism of rings.

- (a) If there is a nonzero element c of F such that  $f(c) = 0_R$ , prove that f is the zero homomorphism (that is,  $f(x) = 0_R$  for every  $x \in F$ ). [Hint:  $c^{-1}$  exists (Why?). If  $x \in F$ , consider  $F(xcc^{-1})$ .]
- (b) Prove that f is either injective or the zero homomorphism. [Hint: If f is not the zero homomorphism and f(a) = f(b), then  $f(a b) = 0_R$ .]

### Solution

(a) Take  $c\epsilon F, c \neq 0, f(c) = 0_R$  and take any  $x\epsilon F$ . Note that since F is a field,  $c^{-1}$  exists. Now consider the product  $f(x) * f(c) * f(c^{-1}) = 0_R$ . We know that the solution is  $0_R$ , since  $f(c) = 0_R$ , and  $0_R$  multiplied by anything is  $0_R$ . Since f is a ring homomorphism,

 $f(x) * f(c) * f(c^{-1}) = f(xcc^{-1}) = f(x*1) = f(x) = 0_R$ . This implies that for any element  $x \in F$ ,  $f(x) = 0_R$ , and therefore f is the zero homomorphism.

(b) If there is a nonzero element c of F such that  $f(c) = 0_R$ , then f is the zero homomorphism, from part (a) above. Assume that there there is no such element c of F. Now assume that for  $a, b \in F$ , f(a) = f(b). Then  $f(a) - f(b) = f(a - b) = 0_R$ , since f is a ring homomorphism. Since F is a field and f is a ring homomorphism,  $f(a - b) = 0_R$  implies that  $a - b = 0_F$ , which can be simplified to  $a - b = 0_F \Rightarrow a = b + 0_F \Rightarrow a = b$ . Therefore, f is injective.

Now, if there is a nonzero element c of F such that  $f(c) = 0_R$ , then f is the zero homomorphism, and if there is not, f is injective.

### 5 Extra Problem

**Problem** Define an equivalence relation on the set of all rings by defining a ring R to be equivalent to a ring S if there is a ring isomorphism  $f: R \to S$ , i.e. R is isomorphic to S,  $R \simeq S$ . Show that this is an equivalence relation by showing

- $\bullet R \simeq R$  for all rings R
- If  $R \simeq S$  for rings R and S, then  $S \simeq R$
- If  $R \simeq S$  and  $S \simeq T$  for rings R, S, and T, then  $R \simeq T$  (See problem #27)

#### Solution

• Let  $f: R \to R$  be defined for  $a \in R$  as f(a) = a, the identity function.

The identity function is bijective, but this is easy to prove. To prove injectivity, assume that f(x) = f(y) for some  $x, y \in R$ . By the definition of f,  $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ , and therefore f is injective. To prove surjectivity, take  $f(z) \in R$ . f(z) is mapped to by  $z \in R$ , and thus f is surjective. Since f is surjective and injective, it is bijective.

Now to prove that f is a homomorphism, we must prove that it preserves addition and multiplication.

Consider f(x+y) such that  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then f(x+y) = x+y. Now consider f(x) and f(y). Well f(x) = x and f(y) = y, so f(x+y) = x+y = f(x)+f(y). Therefore f preserves addition. Next, consider f(xy) = xy, f(x) = x, and f(y) = y. Then f(xy) = xy = f(x)f(y), and therefore f preserves multiplication.

Thus,  $f: R \to R$  is an isomorphism for all rings R.

• Assume there is some function  $f: R \to S$  such that f is an isomorphism. Consider  $g: S \to R$  such that g is the inverse of f,  $f^{-1}$ . We know that the inverse of a bijective function is bijective, so this means that g is bijective.

Now, we need to prove that g is a homomorphism. By the definition of inverse functions, g is  $f^{-1}$ , g(f(x)) = x, for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Consider g(c+d) for some  $c, d \in S$ . We know that c and d are mapped to by f, since f is onto, so let f(a) = c and f(b) = d for some  $a, b \in R$ . Then g(c+d) = g(f(a) + f(b)) = g(f(a+b)), since f is isomorphic. Applying g, g(f(a+b)) = a + b = g(f(a)) + g(f(b)) = g(c) + g(d), by applying g and substituting. Therefore g preserves addition.

Next, consider g(c\*d) for some  $c, d \in S$ . Similarly, we know that c and d are mapped to by f, since f is onto, so let f(a) = c and f(b) = d for some  $a, b \in R$ . Then g(c\*d) = g(f(a)\*f(b)). Again, since f is isomorphic, it preserves multiplication and g(f(a)\*f(b)) = g(f(ab)) = ab = g(f(a))\*g(f(b)) = g(c)\*g(d), by applying g and substituting. Thus, g preserves multiplication.

Since g is a bijection that preserves addition and multiplication,  $g:S\to R$  is an isomorphism.

• Assume that  $f: R \to S$  and  $g: S \to T$  are isomorphisms. Then f and g are bijective, as is  $g \circ f$ , the composition of the funtions.

Now, we must prove that  $g \circ f$  is an homomorphism. Consider g(f(x+y)), for some  $x, y \in R$  and  $f(x+y) \in S$ . Since f and g are isomorphisms, g(f(x+y)) = g(f(x) + f(y)) = g(f(x)) + g(f(y)), so  $g \circ f$  respects addition.

Now consider g(f(x\*y)). Again, since f and g are isomorphisms, they respect multiplication, g(f(x\*y)) = g(f(x)\*f(y)) = g(f(x))\*g(f(y)), and  $g \circ f$  respects multiplication.

Therefore, since  $g \circ f$  preserves multiplication and addition, and is a bijection from  $R \to T$ , R and T are isomorphic.