

The peer-review process

You must use web submission system to submit a manuscript. After using the 5 step submission process, a reference number is given and you can view the situation of the manuscript from the web system.

JPS is a journal for BSc, MSc and PhD Physics students. This journal aims to train students for peer-review publication processes. Due to nature of the journal, we are not very prescriptive. This not means we publish unscientific articles. This means originality of a manuscript can be lower than other journals. With this structure, JPS will be a best choice for publishing unpublished posters, BSc projects with interesting results, experiments with standart results or publications that need quick and open access. To obtain the quality of publications, we use advanced peer-review process for all our published material. We aim to be both fair and consistent. For every submission that falls within the scope of JPS, we normally select two experts in the subject of the article whose information are given by the authors. In the submission process, we request three possible expert information, they can be a professor at the Author's university, a scientist with publications about the subject or one can be at least a PhD student with publications about the subject. This experts normally act as referees and advise the Editors on whether the article should be accepted or rejected. We ask our referees to take into account the content of the article, its quality and presentation when reaching their recommendations. As a result we may ask you to revise your article in the light of the referees' comments.

Revising your article

You can submit the revised version of your article via web system. When you submit a revised version of your article in response to the referees' comments, you must accompany it with a detailed list of the changes made (ignoring typographical errors, but mentioning additional paragraphs, changes to figures, etc) suitable for transmission to the referee. Where changes have been made in response to the referees' remarks it is important to mention this and indicate where they can be found. You should go through the referees' comments and for each comment mention whether you followed their suggestion or whether you disagree and wish to respond to the comment. If a referee has misunderstood a point, it is not necessarily their fault and may have been caused by ambiguity or lack of clarity in your article which needs to be corrected. Some authors copy out each of the referees' comments in turn and include their response immediately after. In other cases responses can be made referring back to the reports. Finally, please make sure that you send your revised article to us and not simply the original version again. This is a common mistake, especially when authors send in their work electronically. Electronic revised articles should contain all text and graphics files needed to generate the revised version, and not just those files that have changed.

By observing these guidelines you will be assisting the referees, who give up their time to review manuscripts. If you prepare your article carefully then this can save valuable time during the publication process.

Comments and Replies

If you wish to submit a short item as a Comment on a published article or a related scientific issue then you should be aware that these are subject to assessment by the respective journal's Editorial Board. In addition, any criticized author has the right to submit a Reply (and will normally be invited to do so); this will also be assessed on receipt. The expected series of items when published consists of: Article/Comment/Reply. Where possible, a Comment and its related Reply are published together in the same journal issue.

What we do with your article after it is accepted

After acceptance your article will be edited and processed and a proof will be produced.

Proofs

We will contact you by e-mail when the PDF proof of your article is ready.

Please do not amend the PDF proof file, add annotations or send an amended manuscript at this stage.

The ultimate responsibility for ensuring the accuracy of the published article rests with you. If proofs reach you during an absence of which we have not been informed, or if the proofs are not returned sufficiently quickly, we may publish the article without your corrections.

When checking your proofs you should take particular care checking mathematics, tables and references. Only essential corrections should be made. You may be charged for excessive corrections arising from your own errors or omissions. You should provide new files if figures need correction. We recommend that you check the accuracy of your original diagrams very carefully before submission: we cannot accept responsibility for any errors in original diagrams.

Offprints

Articles of JPS are published online only.

Copyright, reproduction and permissions

Transfer of copyright

Before we can publish any article in JPS a legal Licence to Publish must be accepted by the authors.