1 Basics

1.1 Optimal transport

Let X and Y be two Radon spaces and take $c: X \times Y \to [0,\infty]$ be a Borel-measurable function (with c(x,y) indicating the cost of transportation from x to y). Given probability measures μ on X and ν on Y, the Kantorovich formulation of the optimal transportation problem seeks to find the measure ν on $X \times Y$ achieving the infimum

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{X \times Y} c(x, y) d\pi(x, y) \, \middle| \, \pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu) \right\},\,$$

where $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ denotes the set of all couplings of ν and μ . The existence of such a ν is guaranteed if c is lower semi-continuous. Often, we use the dual form of this problem given by

$$\sup \left(\int_X \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) + \int_Y \psi(y) \, \mathrm{d}\nu(y) \right),$$

where the supremum runs over all pairs of bounded and continuous functions $\varphi:X\to\mathbb{R}$ and $\psi:Y\to\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \le c(x, y).$$

See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transportation_theory_(mathematics) for more details.

1.2 Wasserstein metric

Let (M,d) be a Radon space. For $p \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{P}_p(M)$ denote the collection of all probability measures μ on M with finite pth moment, i.e. those μ for which there exists some $x_0 \in M$ such that

$$\int_{M} d(x, x_0)^p \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) < \infty.$$

The pth Wasserstein distance between two probability measures μ and ν in $\mathcal{P}_{\nu}(M)$ is defined as

$$W_p(\mu,\nu) := \left(\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu)} \int_{M \times M} d(x,y)^p \, \mathrm{d}\pi(x,y)\right)^{1/p}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$W_p(\mu,\nu) = (\inf \mathbb{E}[d(X,Y)^p])^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all X and Y whose joint distribution is a coupling of μ and ν . Letting $\operatorname{Lip}_1(M)$ denote the space of all real functions on M with Lipschitz smoothness at most 1, we have a more specific duality result.

Theorem 1 (Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality). Let (M,d) be a Radon space and fix $\mu, \nu \in P_1(M)$. Then,

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_1(M)} \left\{ \int_M f \, d\mu - \int_M f \, d\nu \right\}.$$

Proof. From the more general dual form, we find that

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left(\int_M f \, \mathrm{d}\mu + \int_M g \, \mathrm{d}\nu \right)$$

over bounded, continuous f and g with $f(x) + g(y) \le d(x, y)$. Thus, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist such f and g with

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) - \varepsilon \le \int_M f \,\mathrm{d}\mu + \int_M g \,\mathrm{d}\nu.$$

Next, define $h:M\to\mathbb{R}$ by $h(x)=\inf_{y\in M}(d(x,y)-g(y))$, which is well defined by our boundedness assumption. Note that

$$|h(x) - h(x')| = \left| \inf_{y \in M} (d(x, y) - g(y)) - \inf_{y \in M} (d(x', y) - g(y)) \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{y \in M} |d(x, y) - d(x', y)| \leq d(x, x'),$$

so $h \in \text{Lip}_1(M)$. Also, by design, $f \leq h \leq -g$ pointwise. Taking $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ to be a coupling of μ and ν , we have

$$W_{1}(\mu,\nu) - \varepsilon \leq \int_{M} f \, d\mu + \int_{M} g \, d\nu$$

$$\leq \int_{M} h \, d\mu - \int_{M} h \, d\nu$$

$$\leq \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_{1}(M)} \left\{ \int_{M} f \, d\mu - \int_{M} f \, d\nu \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_{1}(M)} \left\{ \int_{M \times M} (f(x) - f(y)) \, d\pi(x,y) \right\}$$

$$\leq \int_{M \times M} d(x,y) \, d\pi(x,y),$$

from which the theorem follows.

Proposition 2. (\mathcal{P}_p, W_p) is a metric space.

See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wasserstein_metric and http://n.ethz.ch/~gbasso/download/A%20Hitchhikers%20guide%20to%20Wasserstein/A%20Hitchhikers%20guide%20to%20Wasserstein.pdf for more details.

1.3 Gaussian-smoothed Wasserstein metric

In what follows, we will restrict ourselves to Borel probability distributions over \mathbb{R}^d , and we will denote the set of such measures with finite pth moments as $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We will let \mathcal{N}_{σ} denote the standard normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation σ , with corresponding probability density function φ_{σ} . We define the smoothed Wasserstein distance W_p^{σ} by

$$W_p^{\sigma}(\nu,\mu) := W_p(\nu * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, \mu * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}) = \inf(\mathbb{E}[d(X+Z,Y+Z)^p])^{1/p},$$

taking an infimum over X and Y with the correct marginals and independent $Z \sim \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$.

Proposition 3. W_p^{σ} is a metric on $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. The fact that $W_p^{\sigma}(\nu,\mu)$ is symmetric, non-negative, and equals zero for $\nu=\mu$ follows from the definition. Now, fix $\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3\in\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\pi_{12}\in\Pi(\mu_1*\mathcal{N}_\sigma,\mu_2*\mathcal{N}_\sigma)$ be the smoothed optimal coupling of μ_1 and μ_2 , and let $\pi_{23}\in\Pi(\mu_2*\mathcal{N}_\sigma,\mu_3*\mathcal{N}_\sigma)$ be the optimal coupling of μ_2 and μ_3 (existence is guaranteed because metrics are continuous). Then, we can use the gluing lemma to construct a measure $\pi\in\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ with π_{12} and π_{23} as marginals in the natural way. Then, defining $\pi_{13}\in\Pi(\mu_1,\mu_3)$ by $\pi_{13}(A\times B)=\pi(A\times\mathbb{R}^d\times B)$, we have

$$\begin{split} W_p^{\sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_3) &\leq (\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{13}} \| X_1 - X_3 \|^p)^{1/p} = (\mathbb{E}_{\pi} \| X_1 - X_3 \|^p)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E}_{\pi} \| X_1 - X_2 \|^p)^{1/p} + (\mathbb{E}_{\pi} \| X_2 - X_3 \|^p)^{1/p} \\ &= (\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{12}} \| X_1 - X_2 \|^p)^{1/p} + (\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{23}} \| X_2 - X_3 \|^p)^{1/p} \\ &= W_p^{\sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2) + W_p^{\sigma}(\mu_2, \mu_3). \end{split}$$

Finally, suppose that $W_p^{\sigma}(\mu,\nu)=0$. Then $\mu*\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}=\nu*\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ (since W_p is a metric), and so $\phi_{\mu}\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}=\phi_{\nu}*\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}$. Since $\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}\neq0$ everywhere, we get $\phi_{\nu}=\phi_{\mu}$ pointwise, so $\nu=\mu$.

In fact, this proof generalizes to any noise model \mathcal{M}_{σ} for which $\phi_{\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}}$ is zero. A sufficient condition for this is infinite divisibility, i.e. that the noise can be expressed as a sum of an arbitrary number of i.i.d variables. This includes stable distributions but excludes distributions with bounded support.

See $\label{lem:more_details} \begin{tabular}{ll} See $http://people.ece.cornell.edu/zivg/GOT_AISTATS2020.pdf for more details. \end{tabular}$

1.3.1 Smoothed W_1 metric

We have

$$\begin{split} W_1^{\sigma}(\mu,\nu) &= W_1(\mu * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, \nu * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}) \\ &= \sup_{f \in \operatorname{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathbb{E}_{\mu * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}} f - \mathbb{E}_{\nu * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}} f \\ &= \sup_{f \in \operatorname{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} f * \varphi_{\sigma} - \mathbb{E}_{\nu} f * \varphi_{\sigma} \\ &\approx \sup_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta \\ f_{\theta} \in \operatorname{Lip}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} f_{\theta} * \varphi_{\sigma} - \mathbb{E}_{\nu} f_{\theta} * \varphi_{\sigma}, \end{split}$$

for some parameterization of Lipschitz-1 functions $\{f_{\theta}\}_{{\theta}\in\Theta}$. (note: does equality 2 need any conditions on measures, or can I take a limit?) We have a closed form for neural networks with a single hidden layer using group sort activation Another perspective is that

$$W_1^{\sigma}(P,Q) = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} g - \mathbb{E}_{\nu} g,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} = \{ f * \varphi_{\sigma} \mid f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \}$. This supremum domain is more well-behaved in some sense (H older ball?) than $\operatorname{Lip}_{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$.

1.3.2 Emperical approximation with smoothed W_1 metric

In the non-smooth case, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[W_1(\hat{P}_n, P)] \lesssim \begin{cases} n^{-1/2}, & d = 1\\ \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, & d = 2\\ n^{-1/d}, & d \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

These are asymptotically tight, except for the second, which has some wiggle room (how much?). Thus, for d = 1, we have

$$\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}W_1(\hat{P}_n,P)\to \text{const.}$$

A natural question is to find the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}W_1(\hat{P}_n, P)$

1.4 Bary

1.5 Background proofs

Proposition 4. The characteristic function of the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ is given by

$$\phi(t) = e^{it\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}.$$

Proof. For the standard normal $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, we have

$$\phi_0(t) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}[e^{itX}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\int_0^{\infty} e^{itx} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-itx} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \, \mathrm{d}x \right]$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \cos(tx) e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Hence, we can use integration by parts to obtain

$$\phi_0'(t) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \sin(tx) x e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \sin(tx) d[e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}]$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left[\sin(tx) e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \Big|_0^\infty - x \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx \right]$$

$$= -x\phi'(t)$$

With initial condition $\phi_0(0) = 1$, this gives that $\phi_0(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$. Thus,

$$\phi(t) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)}[e^{itX}] = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)}[e^{it(\sigma X + \mu)}] = e^{it\mu}\phi_0(\sigma t) = e^{it\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}.$$