

1. THE MOMENT-BASED LOW-ORDER EQUATIONS

1.1 Forming the Low-Order System

To form the LO system of equations, spatial moments are taken over each spatial cell i : $x \in [x_{i-1/2}, x_{i+1/2}]$, weighted with the standard linear finite element (FE) interpolatory basis functions. For example, the L moment operator is defined by

$$\langle \cdot \rangle_{L,i} = \frac{2}{h_i} \int_{x_{i-1/2}}^{x_{i+1/2}} b_{L,i}(x)(\cdot) dx, \quad (1.1)$$

where $h_i = x_{i+1/2} - x_{i-1/2}$ is the width of the spatial element and $b_{L,i}(x) = (x_{i+1/2} - x)/h_i$ is the FE basis function, for cell i , corresponding to position $x_{i-1/2}$. The right moment $\langle \cdot \rangle_{R,i}$ is defined with weight function $b_{R,i}(x) = (x - x_{i-1/2})/h_i$. It is noted in this notation $\langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}$ and $\langle \phi \rangle_{R,i}$ represent spatial moments of the intensity over cell i , opposed to $\phi_{L,i}$ and $\phi_{R,i}$, which represent the interior value of the linear representation of $\phi(x)$ at $x_{i-1/2}$ and $x_{i+1/2}$ within the cell. To reduce the angular dimensionality, positive and negative half-range integrals of the angular intensity are taken. The half-range averages of I are defined as $\phi^+(x) = \int_0^1 I(x, \mu) d\mu$ and $\phi^-(x) = \int_{-1}^0 I(x, \mu) d\mu$, respectively. Thus, in terms of half-range quantities, $\phi(x) = \phi^-(x) + \phi^+(x)$.

1.1.1 Radiation Energy Equations

Pairwise application of the L and R basis moments with the $+$ and $-$ half-range integrals to Eq. (??) ultimately yields four moment equations per cell. As in [28], algebraic manipulation is performed to form intensity-weighted averages of μ , which we denote as consistency terms. As an example, the equation resulting from

application of the L moment and positive half-range integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\mu_{i-1/2}^{n+1,+} \phi_{i-1/2}^{n+1,+} + \{\mu\}_{L,i}^{n+1,+} \langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^{n+1,+} + \{\mu\}_{R,i}^{n+1,+} \langle \phi \rangle_{R,i}^{n+1,+} + \left(\sigma_{t,i}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{c\Delta t} \right) h_i \langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^{n+1,+} \\
& - \frac{\sigma_{s,i} h_i}{2} (\langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^{n+1,+} + \langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^{n+1,-}) = \frac{h_i}{2} \langle \sigma_a^{n+1} ac T^{n+1,4} \rangle_{L,i} + \frac{h_i}{c\Delta t} \langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^{n,+}, \quad (1.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where the $\phi_{i-1/2}^+$ and $\mu_{i-1/2}^+$ terms represent face-averaged quantities at $x_{i-1/2}$. The negative direction and R moment equations are derived analogously. The element-averaged angular consistency terms are defined in terms of half-range integrals, e.g.,

$$\{\mu\}_{L,i}^{n+1,+} \equiv \frac{\langle \mu I^{n+1} \rangle_{L,i}^+}{\langle I^{n+1} \rangle_{L,i}^+} = \frac{\frac{2}{h_i} \int_0^1 \int_{x_{i-1/2}}^{x_{i+1/2}} \mu b_{L,i}(x) I^{n+1}(x, \mu) dx d\mu}{\frac{2}{h_i} \int_0^1 \int_{x_{i-1/2}}^{x_{i+1/2}} b_{L,i}(x) I^{n+1}(x, \mu) dx d\mu}. \quad (1.3)$$

The $\mu_{i-1/2}^{n+1,+}$ term is defined analogously and represents an angular average on the face at $x_{i-1/2}$.

1.1.2 Material Energy Equations

To derive the LO material energy equations, $T(x)$ is represented spatially in the LDFE trial space, i.e., $T(x) \simeq T_{L,i} b_{L,i}(x) + T_{R,i} b_{R,i}(x)$, $x \in (x_{i-1/2}, x_{i+1/2})$. Similarly, the emission term is represented in the material and radiation equations with the LDFE interpolant $T^4(x) \simeq T_{L,i}^4 b_{L,i}(x) + T_{R,i}^4 b_{R,i}(x)$. The L and R spatial moments are taken of the material energy equations; the LDFE representations for $T(x)$ and $\sigma_a ac T^4(x)$ are used to simplify the spatial integrals. For example, the final LO material energy equation resulting from application of the L moment is

$$\frac{\rho_i c_{v,i}}{\Delta t} \left[\left(\frac{2}{3} T_{L,i} + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i} \right)^{n+1} - \left(\frac{2}{3} T_{L,i} + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i} \right)^n \right] + \sigma_{a,i}^{n+1} (\langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^+ + \langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^-)^{n+1}$$

$$= \sigma_{a,i}^{n+1} ac \left(\frac{2}{3} T_{L,i}^4 + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i}^4 \right)^{n+1}. \quad (1.4)$$

Cross sections have been assumed constant over each element, evaluated at the average temperature within the element, i.e., $\sigma_{a,i}^{n+1} = \sigma_{a,i}([T_{L,i}^{n+1} + T_{R,i}^{n+1}]/2)$. Because the material energy balance only contains angularly integrated quantities, there is no need to take angular moments of the above equation.

1.2 Closing the System with Information from the HO solution

The six degrees of freedom (DOF) over each cell i are the four moments $\langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^+$, $\langle \phi \rangle_{R,i}^+$, $\langle \phi \rangle_{L,i}^-$, and $\langle \phi \rangle_{R,i}^-$ and the two spatial edge values $T_{L,i}$ and $T_{R,i}$. The four radiation and two material energy equations define a system of equations for the six DOF, coupled to other cells via upwinding in the streaming term. The relation between the volume and face averaged quantities and the angular consistency parameters (e.g., Eq. (1.3)) are not known a priori. A lagged estimate of I^{n+1} from the previous HO solve is used to estimate the angular consistency parameters. In the HOLO algorithm, the equations for LO unknowns at iteration $k+1$ use consistency parameters computed (via relations, e.g., Eq. (1.3)) using the latest HO solution $\tilde{I}^{n+1,k+1/2}$ as an approximation for $I^{n+1}(x, \mu)$. To close the LO system spatially, a linear-discontinuous (LD) spatial closure with the usual upwinding approximation is used. For example, for positive flow (e.g., Eq. (1.2)) the face terms $\mu_{i-1/2}$ and $\phi_{i-1/2}$ are upwinded from the previous cell $i-1$ or from a boundary condition; the terms at $x_{i+1/2}$ are linearly extrapolated, computed using the L and R basis moments, e.g., $\phi_{i+1/2}^+ = 2\langle \phi \rangle_R^+ - \langle \phi \rangle_L^+$. Because there are no derivatives of T in Eq. (??), there is no need to define T on the faces; the temperature has been assumed linear within a cell to relate T and T^4 .

The choice of a LD spatial closure should preserve the equilibrium diffusion limit.

In this limit, the MC HO solution will estimate angular consistency terms associated with an isotropic intensity, based on a spatially LD emission source. The isotropic-intensity consistency terms will produce LO equations that are equivalent to S_2 equations, with quadrature points of $\pm 1/2$. Because the spatial closure produces equations that are equivalent to an LDFE solution to these equations, we expect the equations to preserve the equilibrium diffusion limit [18, 4].

The linear-discontinuous (LD) closure with upwinding is not strictly positive. In particular, for optically thick cells with a steep intensity gradient, the solution becomes negative. These negative values of intensity can propagate to adjacent cells. In thick regions of TRT problems, reasonably fine spatial cells can still be on the order of millions of mean free paths; negative values with an LD representation are unavoidable in practice for such cells and mesh refinement is of minimal use. Typically, for a standard LDFE method, the equations are lumped to produce a strictly positive solution (for 1D) [18]. However, standard FE lumping procedures would introduce difficulties in computing the consistency terms from the HO solution. Thus, an alternative spatial closure is used that is equivalent to the standard FE lumping procedure. The L and R moments are defined the same as before, preserving the average within a cell, but the relation between the moments and the outflow is modified. For example, for positive μ , the outflow is now defined as $\phi_{i+1/2}^+ = \langle \phi \rangle_R^+$. Because the basis function $b_{R,i}(x)$ is strictly positive, the outflow is positive. This closure is only used in cells where negative intensities occur.

1.2.1 Newton's Method for LO Equations

Adding the equations for each cell together forms a global system of coupled equations. The equations are nonlinear due to the Planckian emission source. We have used Newton's method to solve the nonlinear system, based on a typical linearization

of the Planckian source with cross sections evaluated at temperatures from the previous iteration, as described in [18]. Because we have only considered problems with constant densities and heat capacities, the linearization described below is in terms of temperature T rather than material internal energy, for simplicity. However, the linearization can be formed in terms of internal energy to apply this method to a general equation of state.

To formulate the Newton iterations, the Planckian source is linearized in the material and radiation equations (Eq. (??) & Eq. (??)). Application of the first order Taylor expansion in time to the implicit emission source $B(T^{n+1})$, about some temperature T^* at some time $t^* \in [t^n, t^{n+1}]$, yields

$$\sigma_a^{n+1} ac T^{4,n+1} \simeq \sigma_a^* ac [T^{*4} + (T^{n+1} - T^*) 4T^{*3}] \quad (1.5)$$

where $\sigma_a^* \equiv \sigma_a(T^*)$. Substitution of this expression into Eq. (??) yields

$$\rho c_v \left(\frac{T^{n+1} - T^n}{\Delta t} \right) = \sigma_a^* \phi^{n+1} - \sigma_a^* ac [T^{*4} + (T^{n+1} - T^*) 4T^{*3}]. \quad (1.6)$$

Algebraic manipulation of this equation yields an expression for $T^{n+1} - T^*$:

$$(T^{n+1} - T^*) = \frac{\frac{\sigma_a^* \Delta t}{\rho c_v} [\phi^{n+1} - ac T^{*4}] + (T^n - T^*)}{1 + \sigma_a^* ac \Delta t \frac{4T^{*3}}{\rho c_v}}.$$

This expression is substituted back into Eq. (1.5) to form an explicit approximation for the emission source at t^{n+1} as

$$\sigma_a ac T^{4,n+1} \simeq \sigma_a^* (1 - f^*) \phi^{n+1} + f^* \sigma_a^* ac T^{4,n} + \rho c_v \frac{1 - f^*}{\Delta t} (T^n - T^*) \quad (1.7)$$

where $f^* = [1 + \sigma_a^* c \Delta t 4a T^{*3} / (\rho c_v)]^{-1}$ is often referred to as the Fleck factor [8].

Next, the above equation must be spatially discretized. Application of the L spatial moment yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_a^* a c T^{4,n+1} \rangle_{L,i} = & \sigma_{ai}^* (1 - f_i^*) \langle \phi^{n+1} \rangle_{L,i} + f_i^* \sigma_{ai}^* a c \left(\frac{2}{3} T_{L,i}^{4,n} + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i}^{4,n} \right) \\ & \rho_i c_{vi} \frac{1 - f_i^*}{\Delta t} \left[\frac{2}{3} (T_{L,i}^n - T_{L,i}^*) + \frac{1}{3} (T_{R,i}^n - T_{R,i}^*) \right], \quad (1.8) \end{aligned}$$

where $T^{4,n}$ and T^n have been assumed LD and f^* is assumed constant over a cell, i.e., $f_i^* \equiv \sigma_a(T_i^*)$. The error introduced by a constant f^* approaches zero as the non-linearity is converged because T^* approaches T^{n+1} . Based on an estimate for T^* , Eq. (1.8) is an expression for the Planckian emission source in the radiation moment equations with an additional effective scattering source. A similar expression can be derived for $\langle \sigma_{a,i} a c T^4 \rangle_R$ and the right moment equations. The expressions for the emissions source is substituted into the radiation moment equations (Eq. (1.2)–(??)) to produce a linear system of equations for the new radiation intensity moments.

Once the linear equations have been solved for new radiation moments, new temperature unknowns can be estimated. To conserve energy, the same linearization and discretizations used to solve the radiation equation must be used in the material energy equation. Substitution of Eq. (1.8) into the material energy L moment equation ultimately yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3} T_{L,i}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i}^{n+1} = & \frac{f_i^* \sigma_{ai}^* \Delta t}{\rho c_v} \left[\langle \phi^{n+1} \rangle_{L,i} - a c \left(\frac{2}{3} T_{L,i}^{4,n} + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i}^{4,n} \right) \right] + \\ & (1 - f_i^*) \left(\frac{2}{3} T_{L,i}^* + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i}^* \right) + f_i \left(\frac{2}{3} T_{L,i}^n + \frac{1}{3} T_{R,i}^n \right) \quad (1.9) \end{aligned}$$

A similar expression is produced for the R moment equation. This produces a local

matrix equation to solve for new T unknowns. If both the radiation and temperature unknowns are lumped, this matrix becomes diagonalized.

Based on these equations, the algorithm for solving the LO equations, with iteration index l , is defined as

1. Initialize T unknowns using T^n or the last estimate of T^{n+1} from previous LO solve
2. Build the LO system based on the effective scattering $(1 - f^l)$ and emission terms evaluated using T^l .
3. Solve the linearized LO system to produce an estimate for $\phi^{n+1,l}$.
4. Evaluate a new estimate of T^{n+1} unknowns using Eq. (??).
5. $T^* \leftarrow \tilde{T}^{n+1}$.
6. Repeat 2-5 until $(T^{n+1,k})^4$ and $\phi^{n+1,k}$ are converged.

1.2.2 Direct Solution of the LO Equations

Isotropic scattering, including effective scattering terms from the linearization, are included in the system matrix. The system matrix is an asymmetric, banded matrix with a band width of seven and is inverted directly. Newton iterations are repeated until $\phi^{n+1}(x)$ and $T^{n+1}(x)$ are converged to a desired relative tolerance. Convergence is calculated using the spatial L_2 norm of the change in $\phi^{n+1}(x)$ and $T^{n+1}(x)$, relative to the norm of each solution. The lumping-equivalent discretization discussed above is used for cells where the solution for ϕ^{n+1} becomes negative. When negative values for $\phi^{n+1,\pm}(x)$ are detected, the lumping-equivalent discretization is used within those cells and that Newton step is repeated.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.R. Bolding and J.E. Morel. A High-Order Low-Order Algorithm with Exponentially-Convergent Monte Carlo for k -Eigenvalue problems. ANS Winter Meeting. Anaheim, CA, 2014.
- [2] Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar. *Radiative Transfer*. Courier Corporation, 2013.
- [3] Jeffery D. Densmore. Asymptotic analysis of the spatial discretization of radiation absorption and re-emission in implicit monte carlo. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 230(4):1116 – 1133, 2011.
- [4] Jeffery D. Densmore and Edward W. Larsen. Asymptotic equilibrium diffusion analysis of time-dependent monte carlo methods for grey radiative transfer. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 199(1):175 – 204, 2004.
- [5] Jeffery D Densmore, Kelly G Thompson, and Todd J Urbatsch. A hybrid transport-diffusion monte carlo method for frequency-dependent radiative-transfer simulations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231(20):6924–6934, 2012.
- [6] Paul Nelson Edward W. Larsen. Finite-difference approximations and super-convergence for the discrete-ordinate equations in slab geometry. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 19(2):334–348, 1982.
- [7] Edward W. Larsen and Jim E. Morel. Advances in discrete-ordinates methodology. *Nuclear Computational Science. Springer Netherlands*, pages 1–84, 2010.
- [8] J. A. Fleck, Jr. and J. D. Cummings, Jr. An implicit monte carlo scheme for calculating time and frequency dependent nonlinear radiation transport. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 8(3):313–342, December 1971.

- [9] N. A. Gentile and Ben C. Yee. Iterative implicit monte carlo. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Transport*, 0(0):1–31, 0.
- [10] NA Gentile. Implicit monte carlo diffusion: An acceleration method for monte carlo time-dependent radiative transfer simulations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 172(2):543–571, 2001.
- [11] Eugene D. Brooks III, Michael Scott McKinley, Frank Daffin, and Abraham Szke. Symbolic implicit monte carlo radiation transport in the difference formulation: a piecewise constant discretization. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 205(2):737 – 754, 2005.
- [12] Eugene D. Brooks III, Abraham Szke, and Jayson D.L. Peterson. “piecewise linear discretization of symbolic implicit monte carlo radiation transport in the difference formulation ”. “*Journal of Computational Physics* ”, ”220”(1):471 – 497, 2006.
- [13] Rong Kong and Jerome Spanier. A new proof of geometric convergence for general transport problems based on sequential correlated sampling methods. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227:9762 – 9777, 2008.
- [14] Edward W. Larsen, Akansha Kumar, and Jim E. Morel. Properties of the implicitly time-differenced equations of thermal radiation transport. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 238:82–96, April 2013.
- [15] E.W. Larsen, G.C. Pomraning, and V.C. Badham. Asymptotic analysis of radiative transfer problems. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 29(4):285 – 310, 1983.
- [16] Elmer Eugene Lewis and Warren F Miller. *Computational methods of neutron transport*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, NY, 1984.

- [17] Michael Scott McKinley, Eugene D Brooks III, and Abraham Szoke. Comparison of implicit and symbolic implicit monte carlo line transport with frequency weight vector extension. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 189(1):330–349, 2003.
- [18] J.E. Morel, T.A. Wareing, and K. Smith. Linear-Discontinuous Spatial Differencing Scheme for S_n Radiative Transfer Calculations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 128:445–462, 1996.
- [19] H. Park, J.D. Densmore, A.B. Wollaber, D.A. Knoll, and R.M. Ramenzahn. Monte Carlo Solution Methods in a Moment-Based Scale-Bridging Algorithm For Thermal Radiative Transfer Problems. M&C. Sun Valley, ID, 2013.
- [20] J.R. Peterson. Exponentially Convergent Monte Carlo for the 1-d Transport Equation. Master’s thesis, Texas A&M, 2014.
- [21] J.K. Shultis and W.L. Dunn. *Exploring Monte Carlo Methods*. Academic Press, Burlington, MA 01803, 2012.
- [22] "Weston M. Stacey". *"Nuclear Reactor Physics"*. Wiley, 2007.
- [23] T.A. Wareing. *Asymptotic diffusion accelerated discontinuous finite element methods for transport problems*. PhD thesis, Michigan, 1991.
- [24] T.A. Wareing, E.W. Larsen, and M.L. Adams. Asymptotic Diffusion Accelerated Discontinuous Finite Element Schemes for the S_N Equations in Slab and X-Y Geometries. In *International Topical Meeting on Advances in Mathematics, Computations, Reactor Physics*, volume 3, Pittsburgh, PA, 1991.
- [25] J. Willert, C.T. Kelly, D.A. Knoll, and H. Park. A Hybrid Approach to the Neutron Transport k-Eigenvalue Problem using NDA-based Algorithms. M&C. Sun Valley, ID, 2013.

- [26] Jeffrey Willert and H. Park. Residual monte carlo high-order solver for moment-based accelerated thermal radiative transfer equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 276:405 – 421, 2014.
- [27] Allan B Wollaber, Edward W Larsen, and Jeffery D Densmore. A discrete maximum principle for the implicit monte carlo equations. *Nuclear Science and Engineering*, 173(3):259–275, 2013.
- [28] E.R. Wolters. *Hybrid Monte Carlo - Deterministic Neutron Transport Methods Using Nonlinear Functionals*. PhD thesis, Michigan, 2011.

APPENDIX A

FIRST APPENDIX

Text for the Appendix follows.



Figure A.1: TAMU figure

APPENDIX B

SECOND APPENDIX WITH A LONGER TITLE - MUCH LONGER IN FACT

Text for the Appendix follows.



Figure B.1: TAMU figure

B.1 Appendix Section