# SOME ALGORITHMS FOR CORRELATED BANDITS WITH NON-STATIONARY REWARDS: REGRET BOUNDS AND APPLICATIONS

Prathamesh Mayekar joint work with Prof N Hemachandra

Industrial Engineering and Operations Research Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

January 18, 2018

#### Overview I

#### Classical Multi-armed bandit problem

- Gambler needs to decide each arm to play at each time instant
- Each machine has different reward distribution unknown to the gambler
- Objective of the gambler is to maximize his total reward

#### Our Variant of the Multi-armed bandit problem

- Set of bandit arms  $N \equiv \{1, 2...n\}$  have a corresponding feature  $p_i$
- Expected reward of arm i is  $\mu_i$
- Reward of arm i at time t,

$$X_i(t) = p_i \times D(p_i, t)$$

- $D(p_i, t)$  is a function of  $p_i$  and time t unknown to the user
- $D(p_i,t)$  leads to a non-stationary bandit problem with dependent arms

#### Reward Structure of arms

$$D(p_i, t) = N(1 - F(p_i, t)) + \epsilon(t)$$

Hence, 
$$\mu_i(t) = p_i N(1 - F(p_i, t))$$
.

- *N* is a constant which remains same across all the arms
- $\bullet$   $\epsilon(t)$  corresponds to a residual error term,
  - $\epsilon(t)$  has mean 0
  - $\epsilon(t)$  is i.i.d across time periods as well as arms

#### Reward Structure of arms

 $\circ$  F(p,t) is given as follows

$$F(p,t) = 0 \qquad \forall p \le 0$$
 
$$F(p,t) = \frac{p}{b(t)} \qquad \forall 0 \le p \le b(t)$$
 
$$F(p,t) = 1 \qquad \forall p \ge b(t)$$

- Non-stationarity arises as, b(t) changes in a piece-wise constant manner at unknown time points (break points)
- Assumption  $0 \le p_i \le b(t)$   $\forall p_i \in \mathcal{P}$   $\forall t$

Prathamesh Mayekar

# Regression based sliding window approach

- To account for non-stationarity only the latest  $\tau$  readings are considered
- Parameters N, b(t) are same across all n-arms
- Estimate the rewards for all the arms by estimating the parameters as follows,

$$(\bar{N}_t, \bar{b}_t(t)) = argmin_{N,b} \sum_{s=max(t-\tau,0)+1}^{t} (d(p_{I(s)}, s) - N(1 - \frac{p_{I(s)}}{b(t)}))^2$$

 $\bar{N}_t, \bar{b}_t(t)$  denote the estimates of N and b(t) at time t  $d(p_{I(s)}, s)$  represents the demand obtained at time s I(s) represents the arm played at time s

# Greedy Algorithm

- No padding function
- Plays the arm which has highest reward estimate
- Does not need the error term  $\epsilon(t)$  to be truncated normal

# Greedy Algorithm

**INITIALIZATION**:Play any two distinct arms in first two time periods;

```
for t \leq T do
     if all observations in the window are from one particular arm then then
           play any other arm randomly
     end
     else
           \bar{N}_t, \bar{b}_t(t) = argmin_{N,b} \sum_{s=max(t-\tau,0)+1}^{min(t,\tau)} (d(p_{I(s)},s) - N(1 - \frac{p_{I(s)}}{h(t)})^2
           Determine(reward estimate \bar{x}_i(t))
           for each arm i do do
                 \bar{x}_i(t) = p_i \times \tilde{N}(1 - \frac{p_i}{h(t)});
           end
           Play the arm which has maximum \bar{x}_i(t);
     end
     t = t + 1;
end
```

#### Theorem (Greedy algorithm worst case bounds)

Greedy Algorithm — Analysis of greedy algorithm

The Expected number of times a non optimal arm  $i \in \mathcal{N}$  is played, when the Greedy algorithm is applied on k bandit arms, fixed time horizon T with a sliding window of length  $\tau$  is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{split} E[\tilde{N}_{T}(i)] &\leq 1 + min_{m_{1} \in \{1, \dots, \tau-2\}} \left[ m_{1} + \sum_{t=3}^{\tau} 2 \times E_{\chi^{2}(t-2)} \left( \frac{\alpha_{maxN}(\sigma^{2} \times \chi^{2}(t-2), m_{1})}{2} \right) \right] \\ &+ min_{m_{2} \in \{1, \dots, \tau-1\}} \left[ \left( \lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1 \right) m_{2} + 2 \times (T - \tau) \times E_{\chi^{2}(\tau-2)} \left( \frac{\alpha_{maxN}(\sigma^{2} \times \chi^{2}(\tau-2), m_{2})}{2} \right) \right] + \gamma_{T} \tau \end{split}$$

where  $\gamma_T$  are the number of breakpoints,  $\chi^2(\tau-2)$  is chi-square distribution with  $\tau-2$  degrees of freedom and

$$\alpha_{max}(\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(\tau-2), m) = 2 \times P(t(RV)_{\tau-2} \ge \frac{\Delta \mu_T(i)}{2 \times p_i \times \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(\tau-2)}{\tau-2}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}}).$$

(here  $t(RV)_{\tau-2}$  is a t random variable with  $\tau-2$  degrees of freedom) and  $\Delta\mu_i(T) = \min_{t \in \{1,...T\}} (\mu_{i*}(t) - \mu_i(t) : i \neq i^*)$ .

Prathamesh Mayekar January 18, 2018

# Interpretation of the upper bound

- Bound can be viewed as a sum of stationary and non-stationary part
- Non-Stationary Part
  - At most  $\gamma_T \tau$  decision points contain data from before and after the breakpoint
  - $\gamma_T \tau$  is used to bound this part

# Interpretation of the upper bound

#### Stationary Part

- 1 is used to upper bound the number of times arm *i* is played in first two time periods
- $min_{m_1 \in \{1,...,\tau-2\}} \left[ m_1 + \sum_{t=3}^{\tau} 2 \times E_{\chi^2(t-2)} \left( \frac{\alpha_{maxN}(\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(t-2),m_1)}{2} \right) \right]$  is an upper bound from the time period t=3 to  $t=\tau$
- The third term,  $\min_{m_2 \in \{1, \dots, \tau-1\}} \left[ \left( \left\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \right\rceil 1 \right) m_2 + 2 \times (T \tau) \times E_{\chi^2(\tau-2)} \left( \frac{\alpha_{\max N} (\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(\tau-2), m_2)}{2} \right) \right]$  upper bounds from the time period  $t = \tau + 1$  to t = T
- second and third term monotonically increase with decrease in  $\Delta \mu_i(T)$  $\Delta \mu_i(T) = \min_{t \in \{1,...T\}} (\mu_{i*}(t) - \mu_i(t) : i \neq i^*)$

#### Bounds for the stationary case

With length of window  $\tau$  as t

$$\begin{split} E[\tilde{N}_{T}(i)] &\leq 1 \\ &+ \min_{m_{1} \in \{1, \dots, T-2\}} \left[ m_{1} + \sum_{t=3}^{T} 2 \times E_{\chi^{2}(t-2)} \left( \frac{\alpha_{maxN}(\sigma^{2} \times \chi^{2}(t-2), m_{1})}{2} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

No terms of the form kT

#### Problem setting

- Time horizon T=130
- Arm set  $\mathcal{N} \equiv \{1, 2, 3\}$ , Feature set  $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{2, 3, 4\}$
- b(t) varies over time as follows

• 
$$b(t) = 5.5 \quad \forall t \le 40$$

• 
$$b(t) = 4.5 \quad \forall t \ge 40 \quad and \quad t \le 90$$

$$b(t) = 9.0 \quad \forall t \ge 90$$

- $N = 800, \epsilon(t) = N(0, 10^2)$
- Length of sliding window  $\tau = 20$ .
- Expected Reward

$\mu_i$	$t \le 40$	$40 \le t \le 90$	$t \ge 90$
$\mu_1$	1018.18	888.88	1244.44
$\mu_2$	1090.9090	800	1600
$\mu_3$	1090.9090	355.56	1777.77

# Input parameters for computation of upper bound

- Common parameters for all arms
  - Number of arms, 3
  - 2 Time horizon, T = 130
  - 3 Number of breakpoints,  $\gamma_T = 2$
  - $\sigma^2 = 10^2$
- 2 Parameters specific to the arms

Parameters	Arm 1	Arm 2	Arm 3
$p_i$	2	3	4
$\Delta\mu_1$	72.729	88.85	218.182

# Bibliography I

# Thank You!!!

#### Theorem (Greedy algorithm worst case bounds)

The Expected number of times a non optimal arm i is played, when the Greedy algorithm is applied on k bandit arms, fixed time horizon T with a sliding window of length  $\tau$  is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{split} E[N_T(i)] &\leq \tau - 1 + \gamma_T \tau \\ &+ \min_{m \in \{1, \dots, \tau - 1\}} \left[ (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1) m \right. \\ &+ 2 \times (T - \tau) \times E_{\chi^2(\tau - 2)} \left( \frac{\alpha_{maxN}(\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(\tau - 2), m)}{2} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

where  $\gamma_T$  are the number of breakpoints and

Backup slides

$$\alpha_{max}(\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(\tau - 2), m) = 2 \times P(t(RV)_{\tau - 2} \ge \frac{\Delta \mu_T(i)}{2 \times p_i \times \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(\tau - 2)}{\tau - 2}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}}).$$

Also 
$$\Delta \mu_i(T) = \min_{t \in \{1,...T\}} (\mu_{i*}(t) - \mu_i(t) : i \neq i^*)$$
.

① Number of times a non-optimal arm i is played can be divided as follows:

$$\tilde{N_T}(i) = \sum_{t=1}^{2} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}} + \sum_{t=3}^{\tau} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}} + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}}$$

$$\tilde{N_T}(i) \le 1 + (\tau - 2) + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}}$$

(Since any two arms are played in the first two time periods) Recollect that I(t) represents the arm played at time t. Also  $i_t^*$  represents the optimal arm at time t.

Prathamesh Mayekar

Now,

$$\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*\}} = \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) \le m\}} + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) > m\}}$$

Here  $n_i(t, \tau)$  is the number of times the arm has been played in the last  $\tau$  periods, where for  $t > \tau$ 

$$n_i(t,\tau) = \sum_{s=(t-\tau+1)}^{t} \{I(s) = i\}$$

for  $t < \tau$ ,

$$n_i(t,\tau) = \sum_{s=1}^{i} \{I(s) = i\}$$

Prathamesh Mayekar January 18, 2018

$$\therefore \tilde{N}_{T}(i) = \tau - 1 + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{I} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_{t}^{*}, n_{i}(t,\tau)\leq m\}} + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_{t}^{*}, n_{i}(t,\tau)>m\}} \tag{1}$$

2 Using lemma ?? the first term in the right hand side of inequality ?? can be bounded as follows

$$\therefore \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau)\leq m)\}} \leq (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1)m \tag{2}$$

Prathamesh Mayekar

3 The second term in the right hand side of inequality ?? can be bounded as follows

$$\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau)>m\}} \le \gamma_T \tau + \sum_{t\in\mathbb{T}(\tau)} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau)>m\}}$$
(3)

where,

 $\mathbb{T}(\tau)$  is a set of indices such that  $t \in \{\tau, ...T\}$  has  $\mu_s(j) = \mu_t(j)$   $\forall t - \tau < s < t$ 

• Now  $\{I(t) = i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) > m\}$  can be written as a subset of following events from lemma ??

$$\{I(t) = i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) > m\} \subset 
\{\bar{x}_i(t) \geq \bar{x}_i^*(t), n_i(t,\tau) > m\} 
\subset \{\bar{x}_i(t) \geq \mu_t(i) + \frac{\mu_t(i^*) - \mu_t(i)}{2}, n_i(t,\tau) > m\} 
\cup \{\bar{x}_i^*(t) \leq \mu_t(i) - \frac{\mu_t(i^*) - \mu_t(i)}{2}, n_i(t,\tau) > m\}$$
(4)

But

$$\{\bar{x}_i(t) \ge \mu_t(i) + \frac{\mu_t(i^*) - \mu_t(i)}{2}\} \subset \{\bar{x}_i(t) \ge \mu_t(i) + \frac{\Delta \mu_T(i)}{2}\}$$

and

$$\{\bar{x_i^*}(t) \leq \mu_t(i) - \frac{\mu_t(i^*) - \mu_t(i)}{2}\} \subset \{\bar{x_i^*}(t) \leq \mu_t(i) - \frac{\Delta \mu_T(i)}{2}\}$$

Prathamesh Mayekar

(recollect that  $\Delta \mu_i(T) = \min_{t \in \{1,...T\}} (\mu_{i*}(t) - \mu_i(t) : i \neq i^*)$ ). Hence,

$$\{I(t) = i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t, \tau) > m\} \subset \{\bar{x}_i(t) \geq \bar{x}_i^*(t), n_i(t, \tau) > m\}$$

$$\subset \{\bar{x}_i(t) \geq \mu_t(i) + \frac{\Delta \mu_T(i)}{2}, n_i(t, \tau) > m\}$$

$$\cup \{\bar{x}_i^*(t) \leq \mu_t(i) - \frac{\Delta \mu_T(i)}{2}, n_i(t, \tau) > m\} \quad (5)$$

• We know that  $\epsilon(t)$  is normal random variable. The sum of squared error  $(sse_N)$  for normal random variable is defined as follows,

$$sse_N := \sum_{t-\tau+1}^t (D(p_{I(s)}, s) - \bar{N}(1 - \frac{p_{I(s)}}{\bar{b}(t)}))^2$$
 (6)

• The mean square error mse is defined as follows,

$$mse := \sqrt{\frac{sse_N}{\tau - 2}}$$

• Now we interpret  $\frac{\Delta \mu_T(i)}{2}$  as the confidence interval for the normal distribution.

$$\frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)}{2} = p_i \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, min(t-2, \tau-2)} \times mse \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{min(t, \tau)} + \frac{(p_i - p_{I(s)}^-)^2}{\sum_{s=t-\tau+1}^t (p_i - p_{I(s)}^-)^2}}$$

(Recollect that  $p_I(t,\tau)$  is the vector of all possible prices played in last  $\tau$  periods. Hence

$$p_I(t,\tau) = (p_{I(t-\tau+1)},....p_{I(t)})$$

and  $\bar{p}_I(t)$  is the mean of all the prices in  $p_I(t)$ .) Since  $n_i(t,\tau) \ge m$ , we have

$$\frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)}{2} \leq p_i \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, min(t-2, \tau-2)} \times mse \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{min(t, \tau)} + \frac{1}{m}}$$
 (7)

• Since  $t \ge \tau$  we have

$$\therefore t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},\tau-2} \ge \frac{\Delta\mu_i(T)}{2 \times p_i \times mse \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}}$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} = P(t(RV)_{\tau-2} \ge t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},\tau-2})$$

$$\le P(t(RV)_{\tau-2} \ge \frac{\Delta\mu_i(T)}{2 \times p_i \times \sqrt{\frac{sse_N}{\tau-2}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}}) \quad (8)$$

Let us denote the right hand side as,

◆□▶◆□▶◆豆▶◆豆> 豆 めの○

$$\alpha_{max}(sse_N, m) = 2 \times P(t(RV)_{\tau-2} \ge \frac{\Delta\mu_i(T)}{2 \times p_i \times \sqrt{\frac{sse_N}{\tau-2}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}}) \quad (9)$$

where  $t(RV)_{\tau-2}$  is a random variable following t distribution with  $\tau-2$  degrees of freedom.

Prathamesh Mayekar

Now,

$$\begin{split} P\{\bar{x_i}(t) &\geq \mu_t(i) + \frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)}{2}, n_i(t,\tau) > m\} \\ &= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} P\{\{\bar{x_i}(t) \geq \mu_t(i) + \frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)}{2}, n_i(t,\tau) > m\} | \{\frac{sse_N}{\sigma^2} = x\}\} f_{\frac{sse_N}{\sigma^2}}^{sse_N}(x) dx \end{split}$$
 (By conditioning on the value of  $\frac{sse_N}{\sigma^2}$ ))

$$= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} P\{\bar{x}_i(t) \ge \mu_t(i) + \frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)}{2}, n_i(t,\tau) > m\} |\{\chi^2(\tau-2) = x\}\} f_{\chi^2(\tau-2)}(x)$$

(We know that when error term is  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\frac{sse_N}{\sigma^2}$  in that case has the

$$\chi^2(\tau-2)$$
distribution.(See Klimov [?]))

$$\leq \int_{x=0}^{\infty} P(t(RV)_{\tau-2}) \geq \frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)}{2 \times p_i \times \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 \times x}{\tau-2}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}}) \times f_{\chi^2(\tau-2)}(x) dx$$

(Since we interpret  $\Delta \mu_i(T)$  as the confidence interval *and* by using inequality

$$=E_{\chi^2(\tau-2)}((\frac{\alpha_{\max N}(\sigma^2\times\chi^2(\tau-2),m)}{2}))$$

Backup slides

# Theorem (Worst case bounds for Regression Based UCB algorithm when the error term is normal)

The Expected number of times a non optimal arm i is played, when the Regression Based UCB algorithm is applied on k bandit arms, fixed time horizon T with a sliding window of length  $\tau$  and level of significance  $\alpha = \frac{1}{-4}$  is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{split} E[\tilde{N_T}(i)] &\leq \tau - 1 + 2 \times \frac{T - \tau}{\tau^4} + \gamma_T \tau \\ &+ \min_{m \in \{1, \dots, \tau - 1\}} [(T - \tau) \times P\{\frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)^2}{\sigma^2 \times B(i, \tau)^2 \times (\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m})} \leq \chi^2(\tau - 2)\} \\ &+ (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1)m] \end{split}$$

Here  $\gamma_T$  are the number of breakpoints,  $B(i,\tau) = (2 \times p_i \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \min(t-2, \tau-2)} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau-2}})$  and  $\Delta \mu_i(T) = \min_{t \in \{1, \dots T\}} (\mu_{i*}(t) - \mu_i(t) : i \neq i^*)$ 

① Number of times a non-optimal arm i is played can be divided as follows:

$$\tilde{N_T}(i) = \sum_{t=1}^{2} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}} + \sum_{t=3}^{\tau} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}} + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}}$$

$$\tilde{N_T}(i) \le 1 + (\tau - 2) + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*\}}$$

(Since any two arms are played in the first two time periods) Recollect that I(t) represents the arm played at time t. Also  $i_t^*$  represents the optimal arm at time t.

Prathamesh Mayekar

Now,

$$\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*\}} = \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) \le m\}} + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) > m\}}$$

Here  $n_i(t, \tau)$  is the number of times the arm has been played in the last  $\tau$  periods, where for  $t > \tau$ 

$$n_i(t,\tau) = \sum_{s=(t-\tau+1)}^{t} \{I(s) = i\}$$

for  $t < \tau$ ,

$$n_i(t,\tau) = \sum_{s=1}^{t} \{I(s) = i\}$$

Prathamesh Mayekar January 18, 2018

$$\therefore \tilde{N}_{T}(i) = \tau - 1 + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_{t}^{*}, n_{i}(t,\tau) \leq m\}} + \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_{t}^{*}, n_{i}(t,\tau) > m\}} \quad (17)$$

2 Using lemma ?? the first term in the right hand side of inequality ?? can be bounded as follows

$$\therefore \sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau)\leq m)\}} \leq (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1)m \tag{18}$$

Prathamesh Mayekar

3 The second term in the right hand side of equation ?? can be bounded as follows.

$$\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau)>m\}} \le \gamma_T \tau + \sum_{t\in\mathbb{T}(\tau)} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau)>m\}}$$
(19)

 $(\mathbb{T}(\tau))$  is a set of indices such that  $t \in \{\tau + 1, ... T\}$  has  $\mu_s(j) = \mu_t(j)$   $\forall t - \tau \le s \le t$ 

• Now  $\{I(t) = i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t, \tau) > m\}$  can written as a subset of following events by using lemma ??.

$$\{I(t) = i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) > m\} \subset 
\{\bar{x}_i(t) + PF_i(t,\tau,p_I(t,\tau)) \ge \bar{x}_{i^*}(\tau,i^*) + PF_{i^*}(t,\tau,p_I(t,\tau)), n_i(t,\tau) > m\} 
\subset \{\bar{x}_i(t) \ge \mu_t(i) + PF_i(t,\tau,p_I(t,\tau))\} \cup \{\bar{x}_{i^*}(t) \le \mu_t(i) - PF_{i^*}(\tau,i^*)\} 
\cup \{\mu_t(i^*) - \mu_t(i) \le 2PF_i(\tau,i), n_i(t,\tau) > m\}$$
(20)

◆ロト ◆部 ▶ ◆ 差 ▶ ◆ 差 ▶ の Q ○ ○

• Padding function for arm  $i=PF_i(t, \tau, p_I(t, \tau))$ 

$$PF_{i}(t,\tau,p_{I}(t,\tau)) = p_{i} \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},min(t-2,\tau-2)} \times mse \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{min(t,\tau)} + \frac{(p_{i} - p_{I(s)}^{-})^{2}}{\sum_{s=t-\tau+1}^{t} (p_{i} - p_{I(s)}^{-})^{2}}}$$
(21)

(Recollect that  $p_I(t,\tau)$  is the vector of all possible prices played in last  $\tau$  periods. Hence

$$p_I(t,\tau) = (p_{I(t-\tau+1)},....p_{I(t)})$$

and  $\bar{p_I}(t)$  is the mean of all the prices in  $p_I(t)$ . ) Since  $n_i(t,\tau) \ge m$ 

$$\sum_{s=t-\tau+1}^{t} (p_i - p_{I(s)}^{-})^2 \ge m(p_i - p_{I(s)}^{-})^2$$

Prathamesh Mayekar January 18, 2018

$$\therefore PF_i(t,\tau,p_I(t,\tau)) \leq p_i \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},min(t-2,\tau-2)} \times mse \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{min(t,\tau)} + \frac{1}{m}}$$

when  $t > \tau$ 

$$PF_i(t,\tau,p_I(t,\tau)) \le p_i \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},\tau-2} \times mse \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}$$
 (22)

• We know that  $\epsilon(t)$  is normal random variable. The sum of squared error is defined as

$$sse_N := \sum_{t-\tau+1}^{t} (D(p_{I(s)}, s) - \bar{N}(1 - \frac{p_{I(s)}}{\bar{b}(t)}))^2$$
 (23)

We know that when error term is  $N(0,\sigma^2)$ , the sum of squared error in that case  $(sse_N)$  has the  $\sigma^2 \times \chi^2(\tau-2)$  distribution. See Klimov [?]. The mean square error mse is defined as

$$mse := \sqrt{\frac{sse_N}{\tau - 2}}$$

Now when  $t \in \mathbb{T}(\tau)$ 

$$\{\mu_{t}(i^{*}) - \mu_{t}(i) \leq 2PF_{i}(t,\tau,p_{I}(t,\tau)), n_{i}(t,\tau) > m\}$$

$$\subset \{\Delta\mu_{i}(T) \leq 2PF_{i}(t,\tau,p_{I}(t,\tau)), n_{i}(t,\tau) > m\}$$

$$\subset \{\Delta\mu_{i}(T) \leq 2 \times p_{i} \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},min(t-2,\tau-2)} \times mse \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}\}$$
(Because of equation ??)
$$\equiv \{\Delta\mu_{i}(T) \leq 2 \times p_{i} \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},min(t-2,\tau-2)} \times \sqrt{\frac{sse_{N}}{\tau-2}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}\}$$
(recollect that  $\Delta\mu_{i}(T) = min_{t \in \{1,...T\}}(\mu_{i*}(t) - \mu_{i}(t) : i \neq i^{*})$ )

Prathamesh Mayekar

As 
$$B(i,\tau) = (2 \times p_i \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \min(t-2, \tau-2)} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau-2}})$$

$$\{\Delta\mu_{i}(T) \leq 2 \times p_{i} \times t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \min(t-2, \tau-2)} \times \sqrt{\frac{sse_{N}}{\tau - 2}} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}}\}$$

$$\equiv \{\Delta\mu_{i}(T)^{2} \leq B(i, \tau)^{2} \times (\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}) \times sse_{N}\} \quad (25)$$

(Since everything is positive)

$$P\{\mu_{t}(i^{*}) - \mu_{t}(i) \leq 2c_{t}(\tau, i)\}$$

$$\leq P\{\frac{\Delta\mu_{i}(T)^{2}}{B(i, \tau)^{2} \times (\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m})} \leq sse_{N}\}$$

$$\leq P\{\frac{\Delta\mu_{i}(T)^{2}}{B(i, \tau)^{2} \times (\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m})} \leq \sigma^{2} \times \chi^{2}(\tau - 2)\}$$

(See Klimov [?] et al.)

(26)

0

$$P\{\bar{x}_{i}(t) \geq \mu_{t}(i) + PF_{i}(t, \tau, p_{I}(t, \tau)))\}$$

$$= P\{\bar{x}_{i}(t) \leq \mu_{t}(i) - PF_{i}(t, \tau, p_{I}(t, \tau))\} = \frac{1}{\tau^{4}} \quad (27)$$

(from the definition of confidence interval) Similarly,

$$P\{\bar{x_{i^*}}(t) \le \mu_t(i) - PF_{i^*}(t,\tau,p_I(t,\tau))\}$$

$$= P\{\mu_t(i) \ge \bar{x_{i^*}}(t) + PF_{i^*}(t,\tau,p_I(t,\tau))\} \le \frac{1}{\tau^4} \quad (28)$$

• From equation ?? we have

$$P\{I(t) = i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t, \tau) > m\} \le P\{\bar{x}_i(t) \ge \mu_t(i) + PF_i(t, \tau, p_I(t, \tau))\}$$

$$+ P\{\bar{x}_{i^*}(t) \le \mu_t(i) - PF_{i^*}(t, \tau, p_I(t, \tau))\}$$

$$+ P\{\mu_t(i^*) - \mu_t(i) \le 2PF_i(t, \tau, p_I(t, \tau), n_i(t, \tau) > m\}$$
 (29)

From equations ??,??,??, when  $t \in \mathbb{T}(\tau)$ 

$$P\{I(t) = i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t, \tau) > m\} \le \frac{2}{\tau^4} + P\{\frac{\Delta \mu_i(T)^2}{\sigma^2 \times B(i, \tau)^2 \times (\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m})} \le \chi^2(\tau - 2)\}$$
(30)

Prathamesh Mayekar

(31)

#### From equation ?? we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) > m\}}) \leq \gamma_T \tau + \mathbb{E}(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{T}(\tau)} 1_{\{I(t)=i \neq i_t^*, n_i(t,\tau) > m\}})$$

Now from equation ?? we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i\neq i_{t}^{*},n_{i}(t,\tau)>m\}}\right) \leq \gamma_{T}\tau + \sum_{t\in\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{2}{\tau^{4}} + P\left\{\frac{\Delta\mu_{i}(T)^{2}}{\sigma^{2} \times B(i,\tau)^{2} \times \left(\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}\right\} \leq \chi^{2}(\tau-2)\right\}\right)$$
(32)

4 From equation ??, ??, ?? we have

$$E[\tilde{N}_{T}(i)] \leq 1 + \max(\tau - k, 0) + (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1)m + \gamma_{T}\tau + 2 \times \frac{T - \tau}{\tau^{4}} + (T - \tau) \times P\left\{\frac{\Delta\mu_{i}(T)^{2}}{\sigma^{2} \times B(i, \tau)^{2} \times (\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m})} \leq \chi^{2}(\tau - 2)\right\}$$
(33)

(Since 
$$|\mathbb{T}(\tau)| \leq T - \tau$$
)

But this holds for every positive integer m. Note that the bound becomes trivial if  $m \ge \tau$ . Hence we have

$$E[\tilde{N}_{T}(i)] \leq \min_{m \in \{1, \dots, \tau - 1\}} (1 + \max(\tau - k, 0) + (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1)m + \gamma_{T}\tau + 2 \times \frac{T - \tau}{\tau^{4}} + (T - \tau) \times P\{\frac{\Delta \mu_{i}(T)^{2}}{\sigma^{2} \times B(i, \tau)^{2} \times (\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{m})} \leq \chi^{2}(\tau - 2)\})$$
(34)

Prathamesh Mayekar January 18, 2018

#### Lemma

For an arm  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and for a sliding window length  $\tau$ ,

$$\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} 1_{\{I(t)=i, n_i(t,\tau) \le m\}} \le (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{\tau}{\tau} \rfloor) m$$

$$\leq (\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil - 1)m \quad (35)$$

#### Proof.

$$\sum_{t=\tau+1}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{\{l(t)=i, n_i(t,\tau) \leq m\}} \leq \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{\tau}{\tau} \rfloor + 1}^{\lceil \frac{T}{\tau} \rceil} \sum_{t=(j-1)}^{j\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{l(t)=i, n_i(t,\tau) \leq m\}}$$

Now,  $\sum_{t=(j-1)}^{j\tau} 1_{\{I(t)=i,n_i(t,\tau) \leq m\}}$  is non-zero if for some  $t \in (j-1)\tau+1,...,(j)\tau+1$ , we have  $I(t)=i,n_i(t,\tau) \leq m$ . Let  $t_i$  be the maximum time this happens in  $j^{th}$  interval

$$\therefore t_j = \max(t \in (j-1)\tau + 1, ..., (j)\tau + 1 : I(t) = i, n_i(t,\tau) \le m)$$

$$\therefore \sum_{t=(j-1)}^{j\tau} {}^{1}_{\{I(t)=i,n_i(t,\tau)\leq m\}}$$

$$= \sum_{t=(j-1)\tau+1}^{J} 1_{\{I(t)=i, n_i(t,\tau) \le m\}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{t=(j-1)\tau+1}^{t_j} 1_{\{I(t)=i, n_i(t,\tau) \le m\}} \leq \sum_{t=(j-1)\tau+1}^{t_j} 1_{\{I(t)=i, n_i(t,\tau) \le m\}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=l_{l}-\tau+1}^{l_{j}} \mathbf{1}_{\{I(t)=i,n_{l}(t,\tau)\leq m\}} \leq \sum_{l=l_{l}-\tau+1}^{l_{j}} \mathbf{1}_{\{I(t)=i\}} = n_{l}(t,\tau) \leq m$$

401491451451

Prathamesh Mayekar January 18, 2018

#### Lemma

If

 $D \cap A^c \cap B^c \subset C$ 

than

 $D \subset A \cup B \cup C$ 

#### Proof.

$$D \cap A^{c} \cap B^{c} \subset C$$

$$\therefore D \cap (A \cup B)^{c} \subset C$$

$$\therefore (D \cap (A \cup B)^{c}) \cup (A \cup B) \subset C \cup A \cup B$$

$$\therefore (D \cup (A \cup B)) \cap ((A \cup B)^{c} \cup (A \cup B)) \subset C \cup A \cup B$$

$$\therefore D \cup (A \cup B) \subset C \cup A \cup B$$

But

$$D \subset D \cup (A \cup B)$$
$$\cdot D \subset C \cup A \cup B$$

# Computation of expected regret

- The computation of expected regret is done by averaging the number of times a each arm is played at each time period over 100 replications
- This value of fraction of times a each arm is played at each time period is multiplied by the regret associated with that arm at that time period
- Summing over the value of regret of all arms at that time period we get the instantaneous value of regret at that time period
- Summing over all such values upto that time period, we get the value of expected regret