

# Tensor anisotropy as a tracer of cosmic voids

Sebastian Bustamante <sup>★1</sup>, Jaime E. Forero-Romero <sup>†2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto de Física - FCEN, Universidad de Antioquia, Calle 67 No. 53-108, Medellín, Colombia*

<sup>2</sup>*Departamento de Física, Universidad de los Andes, Cra. 1 No. 18A-10, Edificio Ip, Bogotá, Colombia*

18 February 2015

## ABSTRACT

We present a new method to find voids in cosmological simulations based on algorithms that use the tidal and the velocity shear tensors to locally define the cosmic web. We use the fractional anisotropy (FA) computed from the eigenvalues of each web scheme as void tracer and find the voids using a watershed transform based on the local minima of the FA. This void identification technique does not make any assumption on the shape or structure of the voids. We test the method on the Bolshoi simulation and report on the abundance and radial averaged profiles for the density, velocity and fractional anisotropy. We find that voids in the velocity shear web are smaller than voids in the tidal web, with a particular overabundance of very small voids in the inner region of filaments/sheets. We classify voids as overcompensated/subcompensated depending on the presence/absence of an overdense matter ridge in their density profile, finding that close to 65% and 35% of the total population are classified into each category, respectively. We find evidence for the existence of universal profiles once the radial coordinate is normalized to the effective radius of each void from the radially averaged profiles for density, velocity and fractional anisotropy.

**Key words:** Cosmology: theory - large-scale structure of Universe - Methods: data analysis - numerical - N-body simulations

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Cosmic voids are one of the most striking features of the Universe on its large scales since they were found in the first galaxy surveys (Chincarini & Rood 1975; Gregory & Thompson 1978; Einasto et al. 1980a,b; Kirshner et al. 1981; Zel'dovich et al. 1982; Kirshner et al. 1987). However, due to the large volume extension of void regions ( $\sim 5 - 10 \text{ Mpc} h^{-1}$ ), statistically meaningful catalogues of voids (Pan et al. 2012; Sutter et al. 2012; Nadathur & Hotchkiss 2014) have only become available through modern galaxy surveys such as the two-degree field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dF) (Colless et al. 2001, 2003) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) (York et al. 2000; Abazajian et al. 2003). These observational breakthroughs generated a great interest in the last decade to study voids (Hoyle & Vogele 2004; Croton et al. 2004; Rojas et al. 2005; Ceccarelli et al. 2006; Patiri et al. 2006; Tikhonov 2006; Patiri et al. 2006; Tikhonov 2007; von Benda-Beckmann & Müller 2008; Foster & Nelson 2009; Ceccarelli et al. 2013; Sutter et al. 2014).

On the theoretical side the basic framework that ex-

plains the origin of voids was established in the seminal work of Zel'dovich (1970) and refined in the following decades. The first detailed theoretical models describing formation, dynamics and properties of voids (Hoffman & Shaham 1982; Icke 1984; Bertschinger 1985; Blumenthal et al. 1992) were complemented and extended by numerical studies (Martel & Wasserman 1990; Regos & Geller 1991; van de Weygaert & van Kampen 1993; Dubinski et al. 1993; Bond et al. 1996). Currently, the most popular approach to study voids relies on N-body simulations. For an extensive compilation of previous numerical works we refer the reader to Colberg et al. (2008).

The relevance of voids to cosmological studies can be summarized in three aspects (Platen et al. 2007). Firstly, voids are a key ingredient of the Cosmic Web. They dominate the volume distribution at large scales and additionally, compensating overdense structures in the total matter budget. Secondly, voids provide a valuable resource to estimate cosmological parameters as their structure and dynamics are sensitive to them. Finally, they are a largely pristine environment to test galaxy evolution.

Although visual recognition of voids in galaxy surveys and simulations is possible in most cases, we need a clear algorithmic identification procedure to make statistical studies. Nevertheless, the community has not reached yet an

★ sebastian.bustamante@udea.edu.co

† je.forero@uniandes.edu.co

unambiguous definition of cosmic voids, with many different void finding techniques in the literature (for a detailed comparison of different schemes, see the publication on the results of the Void Finder Comparison Project Colberg et al. (2008)). In spite of the diversity of existing schemes, they can be roughly classified into two types. First, geometric schemes based on point distributions (either real or redshift space) of galaxies in surveys or dark matter halos in simulations (Kauffmann & Fairall 1991; Müller et al. 2000; Gottlöber et al. 2003; Hoyle & Vogeley 2004; Brunino et al. 2007; Foster & Nelson 2009; Micheletti & et al. 2014; Sutter et al. 2014). Second, schemes based on the smooth and continuous matter density field either from simulations or from reconstruction procedures on surveys (Plionis & Basilakos 2002; Colberg et al. 2005; Shandarin et al. 2006; Platen et al. 2007; Neyrinck 2008; Muñoz-Cuartas et al. 2011; Neyrinck et al. 2013; Ricciardelli et al. 2013). Our work is based on the second kind of schemes.

Here we introduce a new algorithm to define voids over the continuous matter density or velocity distribution defined on a fixed and homogeneous spatial grid. The algorithm uses the results from two tensorial schemes used to classify the cosmic web. The first (the T-Web) is based on the Hessian of the gravitational potential or tidal tensor (Hahn et al. 2007; Forero-Romero et al. 2009). The second (the V-web) is based on the velocity shear tensor (Hoffman et al. 2012). Our procedure allows for a description of the internal structure of voids that goes beyond a simple definition of a void as an underdense region in the large-scale matter distribution. The tidal and the shear tensors encode more information than the density field as they trace the collapsing or expanding nature of the matter field, which defines the dynamics of the Cosmic Web.

The tracer that we use to define the voids is the fractional anisotropy (FA) computed from the set of eigenvalues of the tensor under consideration. The FA was initially introduced by Bassler (1995) to quantify the anisotropy degree of the diffusivity of water molecules through cerebral tissue in nuclear magnetic resonance imaging and Libeskind et al. (2013) introduced this concept in the context of Cosmic Web classification schemes.

In the next sections (§2 and §3) we establish the FA as a void tracer and then proceed to identify individual voids as basins of FA local minima. At this point we implement a *watershed transform algorithm* (Beucher & Lantuejoul 1979; Beucher & Meyer 1993) which has been used to define voids as catching basins of local minima of the density field (Platen et al. 2007; Neyrinck 2008). Finally we find and characterize voids in a N-body (§4 and §5) using density, velocity and fractional anisotropy profiles. We use these results to comment on the qualities of our algorithm (§6).

## 2 ALGORITHMS TO FIND THE COSMIC WEB

Our new method to find voids is based on two existing cosmic web classification schemes that work on cosmological N-body simulations. Both schemes depend on the construction of tensors based on the hessian of the potential (T-Web scheme) and the shear of the velocity (V-Web scheme). These algorithms have been used to develop other kind of

studies such as the alignment of the shape, spin and peculiar velocity of dark matter halos with the cosmic web (Libeskind et al. 2013; Forero-Romero et al. 2014). Here we summarize the most relevant aspects of each scheme, we refer the reader to the papers of Forero-Romero et al. (2009) (T-Web) and Hoffman et al. (2012) (V-Web) for detailed descriptions.

### 2.1 The tidal web (T-Web)

This scheme was initially proposed by Hahn et al. (2007) to find the Cosmic Web based on the tidal tensor. The tidal tensor allows a classification in terms of the orbital dynamics of the matter field. This approach extends to second-order the equations of motion around local minima of the gravitational potential. The second-order term corresponds to the tidal tensor, which is defined as the Hessian matrix of the normalized gravitational potential.

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta}, \quad (1)$$

where the physical gravitational potential has been rescaled by a factor of  $4\pi G\bar{\rho}$  in such a way that  $\phi$  satisfies the following Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \delta, \quad (2)$$

with  $\bar{\rho}$  the average density in the Universe,  $G$  the gravitational constant and  $\delta$  the dimensionless matter overdensity.

Since the tidal tensor can be represented by a real and symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrix, it is always possible to diagonalize it and obtain three real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3$  with its corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{u}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_3$ . The eigenvalues are indicators of the local orbital stability in each direction  $\mathbf{u}_i$ . The sign of the eigenvalues can be used to classify the Cosmic Web. The number of positive (stable) or negative (unstable) eigenvalues allows to label a location into one of the next four types of environment: voids (3 negative eigenvalues), sheets (2), filaments (1) and knots (0).


A modification to this scheme was introduced by Forero-Romero et al. (2009) by means of a relaxation of the stability criterion. The relative strength of each eigenvalue is no longer defined by the sign, but instead by a threshold value  $\lambda_{\text{th}}$  that can be tuned in such a way that the visual impression of the web-like matter distribution is reproduced.

### 2.2 The velocity web (V-Web)

The V-web scheme for environment finding introduced by Hoffman et al. (2012) is based on the local velocity shear tensor calculated from the smoothed dark matter velocity field in the simulation. This tensor is given by the following expression

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2H_0} \left( \frac{\partial v_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta} + \frac{\partial v_\beta}{\partial x_\alpha} \right), \quad (3)$$

where  $v_\alpha$  and  $x_\alpha$  represent the  $\alpha$  component of the comoving velocity and position, respectively. Like the tidal tensor,  $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$  can be represented by a  $3 \times 3$  symmetric matrix with real values, making it possible to find three real eigenvalues and its corresponding eigenvectors.



**Figure 1.** Visual impression of different quantities/algorithm results mentioned in this paper. Fractional Anisotropy field (left); cosmic web classification (middle) where voids are white, sheets are light gray, filaments are dark gray and knots are black; and colored individual voids. Top/bottom corresponds to the T-Web/V-Web.

In this case we also use the relative strength of the three eigenvalues with respect to a threshold value  $\lambda_{th}$  to classify the cosmic web in the four web types already mentioned.

Usually, the threshold is a free parameter that is tuned to reproduce the visual appearance of the cosmic web. In this paper we take a different approach. We find the optimal value of the threshold based on the maximization of the fractional anisotropy field in the locations labeled as filaments and walls. This is described in detail in the next Section.

### 3 A NEW VOID FINDING TECHNIQUE

#### 3.1 The fractional anisotropy

The fractional anisotropy (FA), as developed by Bassler (1995), was conceived to quantify the anisotropy of the diffusivity of water molecules through cerebral tissue in nuclear magnetic resonance imaging. Here we present the FA, much in the same way as Libeskind et al. (2013), to use it as a tracer of cosmic voids.

The FA is defined as follows.


$$FA = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}}, \quad (4)$$

where the eigenvalues can be taken from either the T-Web or the V-Web (FA-T-Web and FA-V-Web respectively). Such as it is defined,  $FA = 0$  corresponds to an isotropic distribution ( $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ ) and  $FA = 1$  to a highly anisotropic distribution.

In the left and middle panels of Figure 1 we show the FA field and web classification for both web schemes over a slice of an N-body simulation (described in Section 4). Comparing these two panels we see that voids and knots (white and black in the middle panel of Figure 1) display low FA values at their centres, becoming gradually more anisotropic at outer regions. On the other hand the filamentary structure (grey in the middle panel of Figure 1) is traced by high FA values. This characteristic is the key to use the FA as a tracer of cosmic voids.

#### 3.2 Fractional anisotropy as a void tracer

Voids are regions where  $\lambda_3 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_{th}$ . This implies that a void is completely fixed by the relative strength of the  $\lambda_1$  eigenvalue with respect to the threshold. As we increase/decrease the threshold value  $\lambda_{th}$ , voids increase/decrease progressively through contours of increas-



**Figure 2.** Overdensity (left) and Fractional Anisotropy (right) with respect to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  for each web scheme (T-Web, continuous lines. V-Web, dashed lines) calculated over all cells of the grid. Thick central lines correspond are the median and filled regions include 50% of all values. This information is necessary to define void boundaries in the watershed algorithm. The vertical lines in the right hand plot show the equivalent threshold values for the T-Web and V-web in order to have voids composed by cells with Fractional Anisotropy equal or less than 0.95.

ing/decreasing  $\lambda_1$ . Voids are thus characterized by low values of both FA and  $\lambda_1$ .

In Fig. 2 we show that these two values are indeed closely correlated. The left panel shows the correlation between  $\lambda_1$  and  $\delta$  for all the grid cells in the simulation while the right panel shows the correlation between  $\lambda_1$  and the FA. This shows that the overdensity has a large scatter at fixed  $\lambda_1$ .

From Figs. 1 and 2 we conclude that the FA is a good tracer of voids as it is almost perfectly correlated with low values of  $\lambda_1$ . We propose that voids should be composed completely by regions of  $FA < 0.95$ . If we increase the values of  $\lambda_1$  from its minimum until it we reach  $FA = 0.95$  in 2 we find that this corresponds to critical values of  $\lambda_1^T = 0.265$  and  $\lambda_1^V = 0.175$  for the T-Web and V-Web, respectively. This means that setting  $\lambda_{th}$  to either  $\lambda_1^T/\lambda_1^V$  automatically produces voids with all the cells  $FA < 0.95$ . The middle panels in Figure 1 show the web classification for this choice of  $\lambda_{th}$ , demonstrating that this FA level is a sensitive choice to define voids.

### 3.3 Defining voids with a watershed algorithm

The previous Section shows that FA is a good void tracer, but how should we actually define the boundary of individual voids? For this purpose, we use the *watershed transform algorithm* (Beucher & Lantuejoul 1979; Beucher & Meyer 1993) to identify a void as the basin of FA local minima with boundaries of  $FA = 0.95$ . The advantage of this definition is that it does not require any assumption on the shape and/or morphology of the tentative voids.

However, there are two main differences in our approach with respect to other watershed implementations. First, the watershed technique commonly uses the density field instead of the FA field as we do here (Platen et al. 2007; Neyrinck 2008). Second, we estimate all relevant quantities on a Cartesian mesh of fixed cell size, while other works use an adap-


tive Delaunay tessellation (Schaap & van de Weygaert 2000). However, from the analysis of our results the choice of a fixed Cartesian mesh does not induce wrong results, at least with the mass resolution we have in the N-body simulation.

The watershed algorithm also needs a threshold value to reduce spurious features and prevent void hierarchization. If the density field is used, a typical threshold is  $\delta = -0.8$  (Platen et al. 2007), which means that any ridge between two voids with overdensity below that value is removed to merge the respective voids. In our case we have to find a corresponding FA value to define this threshold.

In Figure 2 we find the correlations of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  with the matter overdensity (left panel) and the FA (right panel). We can use this information to find the equivalent FA threshold. From the left panel we see that an underdensity of  $\delta = -0.8$  corresponds to  $\lambda_1 = 0.0$ , regardless of the web-finding scheme. From the second panel we have a very tight correlation of  $\lambda_1$  with the FA, indicating that in turn  $\lambda_1 = 0.0$  corresponds to a  $FA = 0.65$  which is the value that we choose to remove ridges. The right column in Figure 1 shows all the individual voids that have been identified using the watershed algorithm on the FA field.

## 4 NUMERICAL SIMULATION

We use the Bolshoi simulation to test our void finding method. This simulation follows the non-linear evolution of a dark matter density field on a cubic volume of size  $250h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  sampled with  $2048^3$  particles. The cosmological parameters in the simulation are  $\Omega_m = 0.27$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$ ,  $h = 0.70$ ,  $n = 0.95$  and  $\sigma_8 = 0.82$  for the matter density, cosmological constant, dimensionless Hubble parameter, spectral index of primordial density perturbations and normalization for the power spectrum, respectively. These values are consistent with the ninth year of data of the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) (Hinshaw et al.



**Figure 3.** Void size distribution (left) and fraction of overcompensated/subcompensated voids. The continuous (dashed) curves correspond to the T-Web (V-Web).

2013). For more detailed technical information about the simulation, see Klypin et al. (2011).

We use data for the cosmic web identification that is publicly available through the MultiDark database <http://www.multidark.org/MultiDark/> which is described in Riebe et al. (2013). Here we briefly describe the process to obtain the data. For details see Forero-Romero et al. (2009) (T-Web) and Hoffman et al. (2012); Forero-Romero et al. (2014) (V-Web). This data is based on a *cloud-in-cell* (CIC) interpolation of the density and velocity fields of the simulation onto a grid of  $256^3$  cells, corresponding to a spatial resolution of  $0.98h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  per cell side. These fields are smoothed with a gaussian filter with a width of  $\sigma = 0.98h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ . The tidal and shear tensors and corresponding eigenvalues are computed through finite-differences over the potential and velocity fields.

## 5 RESULTS

We limit our results to voids with effective radius larger than the smoothing length of the density field, i.e.  $\sim 1h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ . Below that scale numerical resolution effects become important. With that choice we find a volume filling fraction 54.88% and 47.06% for the FA-Vweb.

In the following subsections we describe the results for the size distribution and different radial profiles for all our samples.

### 5.1 The void size distribution

Void shapes exhibit a wide range of geometries. To define their size we use its equivalent spherical radius or effective radius, defined as  $r_{\text{eff}} = [3/(4\pi)V]^{1/3}$ , with  $V$  the total volume of the void computed from the individual grid cells assigned to the void. In Figure 3 we show the void size distributions for the T-Web and the V-Web.

We see that the void distribution for the T-Web is

broadly consistent with the expectations from a two-barrier problem (Sheth & van de Weygaert 2004). The formation of large voids is limited by the *void-in-void* mechanism (first barrier), where large voids are constituted hierarchically of smaller ones. In turn, the formation of small voids is damped by the *void-in-cloud* mechanism (second barrier), where nearby collapsing structures limit the abundance of small embedded voids.

We also find that the V-Web scheme produces an overabundance of small voids compared to T-Web. A large number of these small voids are embedded in overdense regions. They are visible in the middle panel of Figure 1 as tiny white bubbles located inside sheets. The existence of these small voids can be explained by dynamics of shell crossing in collapsing sheets as discussed in Hoffman et al. (2012). The main argument is that as matter collides into a pancake-like fashion crossing sheets encounter each other at the symmetry plane. This effectively gives a positive divergence in the velocity field, resulting in a void identification by the V-Web algorithm.

Comparing the abundance of large voids in the two web schemes, we find that the V-Web is limited to have voids on the scale of  $\sim 10h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ , while the T-Web scheme includes voids as large as  $\sim 15h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ . Large voids in the T-Web scheme have a velocity structure that induces a split by the watershed algorithm in the V-Web. This increased granularity in the velocity structure of voids is evident in the left and right panels of Figure 1.

### 5.2 Subcompensated and overcompensated voids

We find that voids are distributed in two different families differentiated by the presence/absence of an overdense matter ridge in their density profiles. To discriminate each void in one of the families we use the compensation index  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is defined as the mass of a void enclosed in a spherical volume of radius  $R$  and normalized by the mass of the same volume





**Figure 4.** Spherically averaged radial density profile for voids. The sample is split into subcompensated and overcompensated voids (right and left) for the web schemes T-Web and V-Web (top and bottom).

assuming it is filled by matter with the mean background density.


$$C = \frac{M_v}{\bar{M}} = \frac{3}{2R^3} \int_0^R [\delta(r) + 1] r^2 dr \quad (5)$$

We choose an integration radius of  $R = 4r_{\text{eff}}$ , that is large enough to enclose the compensation ridge for a typical void in case there is one. This leads us to voids with  $C > 1$  having more mass than expected, constituting the family of overcompensated voids. These voids generally exhibit a compensation ridge associated to dense nearby structures. In the same fashion, voids with  $C < 1$  constitute the family of subcompensated voids.

In Figure 4 we show the density and velocity profiles of voids splitted in these two families. In the left column it becomes clear the difference between sub- and overcompensated voids.

bin [ $h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ ]	Tweb		Vweb	
	$C < 1$	$C > 1$	$C < 1$	$C > 1$
$< 2.0$	997	495	13480	711
$2.0 - 3.2$	791	471	2581	583
$3.2 - 4.4$	941	469	2168	656
$4.4 - 5.6$	869	467	1934	724
$5.6 - 6.8$	769	395	1287	584
$6.8 - 8.3$	665	362	700	315
$8.3 - 12.0$	587	333	123	61
$> 12.0$	29	23	0	0
<b>total</b>	5648	3015	22273	3634

Table 1: Number of voids for each bin of effective radius and for subcompensated and overcompensated samples.



**Figure 5.** Spherically averaged radial velocity profile for voids. The sample is split into subcompensated and overcompensated voids (right and left) for the web schemes T-Web and V-Web (top and bottom).

In Table 1 we also show the number of voids found in each of the bins of effective radius used for the stacked radial profiles.

### 5.3 Density profiles


We calculate the contrast density, radial-projected velocity and FA profiles. For this purpose we catalogue all the voids in several radial bins in order to capture possible size effects; moreover we show profiles for both, subcompensated and overcompensated voids. Then, for each void, we take the distance of each member cell to the void centre along with the properties of interest. Normalizing these distances with the effective radius, we stack all the voids of a radial bin in order to compute the radial profiles.

Figure 4 shows the results of stacked density profiles for different void sizes. We normalize the radial coordinate with the effective radius to check for possible universal features

among voids. We calculate the profile out to a radius  $8 r_{\text{eff}}$  to capture the the point where the overdensity reaches the mean value.

A first interesting result is the overdensity value at the void’s center. We find that larger voids have a lower overdensity value. The largest voids ( $8.3 - 12 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ ) have an underdensity  $\approx -0.95$  while smaller voids ( $2 - 3.2 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ ) fall around  $\delta \approx -0.8$  at their centers. This holds for both web schemes.

These values are consistent with most of the void finding schemes based on smooth and continuous fields from simulation or reconstruction procedures on surveys (Plionis & Basilakos 2002; Colberg et al. 2005; Shandarin et al. 2006; Platen et al. 2007; Neyrinck 2008; Muñoz-Cuartas et al. 2011; Neyrinck et al. 2013; Ricciardelli et al. 2013), unlike geometrical approaches based on point distributions, where central density values are generally higher (Colberg et al. 2008).



**Figure 6.** Spherically averaged Fractional Anisotropy profile for voids. The sample is split into subcompensated and overcompensated voids (right and left) for the web schemes T-Web and V-Web (top and bottom).

A second feature about these profiles is their steepness at inner regions. In subcompensated voids, larger voids are steeper. Smaller voids exhibit moderate slopes, reaching the mean density at larger radii than larger voids. This suggests that smaller subcompensated voids are embedded into low density structures like voids or walls, while large subcompensated voids are surrounded by dense structures, reaching the mean density at lower effective radii than smaller voids. In overcompensated voids larger voids reach first both the compensation ridge and then the mean density value.

Regarding overcompensated voids, a final result is related to the height of the compensation ridge: the larger the void size, the lower the ridge height. This implies that overcompensated smaller voids are embedded in very high density regions, unlike their subcompensated counterpart, thus indicating two possibly different processes for small voids formation. Larger voids exhibit lower ridges as outer radial layers also includes all sort of structures, thus being

the difference between large overcompensated and subcompensated voids less conclusive.

All the previous results hold for both finding schemes. This suggests an universal behaviour for the radial density profile in two families of subcompensated and overcompensated voids. This goes in the same direction of recent results about the internal (Colberg et al. 2005; Ricciardelli et al. 2013) and external structure of voids (Lavaux & Wandelt 2012; Hamaus et al. 2014). Our results extend the findings of Hamaus et al. (2014) into the range of voids with size  $r_{\text{eff}} < 10h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ .

#### 5.4 Velocity profiles

In Figure 5 we present the radial velocity profiles. Positive values correspond to outflows with respect to the center.

We find that subcompensated voids have outflowing velocity profiles all the way up to the effective radius where the



average radial density reaches the average value. For voids with sizes  $r_{\text{eff}} < 8h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  the outflow is always positive, consistent with the fact that their density profiles do not reach the level  $\delta = 0$  in the range of explored radii. This behaviour indicates that matter is being pulled out of the void into external higher density features.

On the other hand, overcompensated voids initially exhibit an outward profile, (as expected from a low density region) and approximately at the radius of the compensation ridge, the velocity reaches a peak, decreases and becomes negative, showing the infalling flow of matter further than the compensation ridge. This shows that the high density structures associated to the compensation ridge dominate the matter flow both from inside and outside the void.

As in the density these results also consistent with a Universal velocity profile for voids (Hamaus et al. 2014).

### 5.5 Fractional Anisotropy profiles

Figure 6 shows the results for the FA profiles. We find that the FA clearly magnifies the difference between the internal profile  $r_{\text{eff}} < 1$  and the external profile  $r_{\text{eff}} > 1$ . Subcompensated voids in the T-Web reach an asymptotic background value of  $F=0.8$  almost right at  $r_{\text{eff}} = 2$ . For the V-Web the results are similar, albeit rather slowly with the effective radius. Overcompensated voids reach a maximum FA at the same effective radius  $r_{\text{eff}} = 1$  and decline to reach an asymptotic value of  $F=0.85$  at larger radii.

The central FA values also show a magnified trend with the void size. Larger voids have lower FA values, more clearly than the same trend observed with the central density value. The voids in the V-Web scheme span a larger range of central FA values than in the T-Web scheme.

The difference between the radius where the density ridge is reached ( $r_{\text{eff}} = 3$ ) and the radius of the FA ridge ( $r_{\text{eff}} = 1$ ) justifies in a more quantitative way the qualitative argument we present in sub-section 3.3 to define void boundaries in our method. Namely that as we increase the void boundary, we reach first middle density walls, before reaching high density structures associated with high anisotropy, making the FA ridge a natural voids boundary instead of the density ridge.

We recognize that our choice produces smaller voids as compared with other voids finding methods, however this has the advantage of voiding the contamination from external structures.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS

We propose in this paper that the anisotropy of the eigenvalues from tensors constructed to describe the cosmic web is a good tracer of cosmic voids. Based on this idea we go on to implement a watershed algorithm on the fractional anisotropy to find voids in a N-body cosmological simulations. We perform this tests on the results of two different tensorial schemes, the T-Web and the V-web.

The first quantity we take a look at is the void size distribution characterized by an effective radius. We find that for T-Web voids the shape of this function corresponds to standard expectations. However, for the V-Web we find an overabundance of the smallest voids due to artifacts

in the web finding scheme in sheets and small fragmented voids inside the largest voids already identified in the T-Web, perhaps due to the complex velocity structure in large voids compared to a simpler density/gravitational potential anatomy.

A second step in the characterization of the voids found by our method was the separation into subcompensated and overcompensated samples. In the T-Web 60% of the voids are overcompensated, meaning that they are located in denser regions with a clear delimiting ridge. For the V-web the overcompensated fraction increases from 60% to 80% as the void size decreases, supporting the picture of smaller spurious voids located in matter sheets.

Finally we proceed with an ever more detailed void characterization through the radially averaged profiles of density, radial velocity and fractional anisotropy. In this case we studied separately the subcompensated and overcompensated voids, split this time in samples with different effective radii.

In the density profiles the most interesting feature is that the profile has a similar shape for all the different void sizes once the radial coordinate is expressed in units of the effective radius. In these profiles it is evident the presence of an overdense ridge around  $2 < r/r_{\text{eff}} < 3$  for the overcompensated voids. Subcompensated voids do not show such a ridge keeping its density lower than the average value up to  $r/r_{\text{eff}} \sim 8$ .

In other studies where voids are found using considerations on the density fields the ridge is, almost by definition, located around  $r/r_{\text{eff}} \sim 1$  and the subcompensated voids reach average density around  $r/r_{\text{eff}} \sim 3$ . This indicates that voids defined by FA boundaries are close smaller than voids found by density only considerations close by a factor of 2.

The velocity profiles show the expected correlation with the features already observed in the density, most notably the radial velocity goes to zero close to flat regions in the density profile and is positive around regions of increasing density with radius.

The Fractional Anisotropy profiles also show remarkable similarities, (inside each class of subcompensated and overcompensated voids) and a close correlation with the behaviour in the density and velocity profiles. From these profiles it becomes clear that the limit of overcompensated void coincides with a ridge in of FA values close to 0.9. This value is close to the limit  $FA=0.95$  we impose in the watershed algorithm, indicating that these voids are very close to spherical. For the subcompensated voids the FA quickly increases to FA values of  $\sim 0.7 - 0.8$  at  $r/r_{\text{eff}} \sim 1$  to find a plateau, which is quite far from the limiting  $FA=0.95$  suggesting that these voids are far from spherical.

Put together, the results for the radially averaged profiles support the evidence for a universal density profile. This extends the work done by Hamaus et al. (2014) to smaller void sizes.

The method we present here finds voids with reasonable properties compared to different results published in the literature. This gives support to a new tracer, the Fractional Anisotropy, to be used in the study of cosmic voids. Furthermore, the complementary physical picture in the two different web finding algorithms opens the possibilities to make a joint analysis of the tidal and dynamical structure of voids.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES**

- Abazajian K., et al. (the SDSS Collaboration) 2003, *AJ*, 126, 2081
- Basser P., 1995, *NMR in Biomedical Imaging*, 8, 333
- Bertschinger E., 1985, *ApJS*, 58, 1
- Beucher S., Lantuejoul C., 1979, in *Proceedings International Workshop on Image Processing*, CCETT/IRISA, Rennes, France
- Beucher S., Meyer F., 1993, *Mathematical Morphology in Image Processing*. Marcel Dekker, New York
- Blumenthal G. R., da Costa L. N., Goldwirth D. S., Lecar M., Piran T., 1992, *ApJ*, 388, 234
- Bond J. R., Kofman L., Pogosyan D., 1996, *Nature*, 380, 603
- Brunino R., Trujillo I., Pearce F. R., Thomas P. A., 2007, *MNRAS*, 375, 184
- Ceccarelli L., Padilla N. D., Valotto C., Lambas D. G., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, 1440
- Ceccarelli L., Paz D., Lares M., Padilla N., Lambas D. G., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 1435
- Chincarini G., Rood H. J., 1975, *Nature*, 257, 294
- Colberg J. M., Pearce F., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 933
- Colberg J. M., Sheth R. K., Diaferio A., Gao L., Yoshida N., 2005, *MNRAS*, 360, 216
- Colless M., et al. (the 2dFGRS Team), 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 1039
- Colless M., et al. (the 2dFGRS Team), 2003, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 7226
- Croton D. J., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 352, 828
- Dubinski J., da Costa L. N., Goldwirth D. S., Lecar M., Piran T., 1993, *ApJ*, 410, 458
- Einasto J., Joeveer M., Saar E., 1980a, *MNRAS*, 193, 353
- Einasto J., Joeveer M., Saar E., 1980b, *Nature*, 283, 47
- Forero-Romero J. E., Contreras S., Padilla N., 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 1090
- Forero-Romero J. E., Hoffman Y., Gottlöber S., Klypin A., Yepes G., 2009, *MNRAS*, 396, 1815
- Foster C., Nelson L. A., 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 1252
- Gottlöber S., Lokas E. L., Klypin A., Hoffman Y., 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 715
- Gregory S. A., Thompson L. A., 1978, *ApJ*, 222, 784
- Hahn O., Porciani C., Carollo C. M., Dekel A., 2007, *MNRAS*, 375, 489
- Hamaus N., Sutter P. M., Wandelt B. D., 2014, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Hinshaw G. et al., 2013, *ApJS*, 208, 19
- Hoffman Y., Metuki O., Yepes G., Gottlöber S., Forero-Romero J. E., Libeskind N. I., Knebe A., 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 2049
- Hoffman Y., Shaham J., 1982, *ApJL*, 262, L23
- Hoyle F., Vogeley M. S., 2004, *ApJ*, 607, 751
- Icke V., 1984, *MNRAS*, 206, 1P
- Kauffmann G., Fairall A. P., 1991, *MNRAS*, 248, 313
- Kirshner R. P., Oemler Jr. A., Schechter P. L., Shectman S. A., 1981, *ApJL*, 248, L57
- Kirshner R. P., Oemler Jr. A., Schechter P. L., Shectman S. A., 1987, *ApJ*, 314, 493
- Klypin A. A., Trujillo-Gomez S., Primack J., 2011, *ApJ*, 740, 102
- Lavaux G., Wandelt B. D., 2012, *ApJ*, 754, 109
- Libeskind N. I., Hoffman Y., Forero-Romero J., Gottlöber S., Knebe A., Steinmetz M., Klypin A., 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 2489
- Martel H., Wasserman I., 1990, *ApJ*, 348, 1
- Micheletti D., et al. 2014, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Muñoz-Cuartas J. C., Müller V., Forero-Romero J. E., 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 1303
- Müller V., Arbabi-Bidgoli S., Einasto J., Tucker D., 2000, *MNRAS*, 318, 280
- Nadathur S., Hotchkiss S., 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 1248
- Neyrinck M. C., 2008, *MNRAS*, 386, 2101
- Neyrinck M. C., Falck B. L., Szalay A. S., 2013, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Pan D. C., Vogeley M. S., Hoyle F., Choi Y.-Y., Park C., 2012, *MNRAS*, 421, 926
- Patiri S. G., Betancort-Rijo J., Prada F., 2006, *MNRAS*, 368, 1132
- Patiri S. G., Prada F., Holtzman J., Klypin A., Betancort-Rijo J., 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 1710
- Platen E., van de Weygaert R., Jones B. J. T., 2007, *MNRAS*, 380, 551
- Plionis M., Basilakos S., 2002, *MNRAS*, 330, 399
- Regos E., Geller M. J., 1991, *ApJ*, 377, 14
- Ricciardelli E., Quilis V., Planelles S., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 1192
- Riebe K. et al., 2013, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 334, 691
- Rojas R. R., Vogeley M. S., Hoyle F., Brinkmann J., 2005, *ApJ*, 624, 571
- Schaap W. E., van de Weygaert R., 2000, *A&A*, 363, L29
- Shandarin S., Feldman H. A., Heitmann K., Habib S., 2006, *MNRAS*, 367, 1629
- Sheth R. K., van de Weygaert R., 2004, *MNRAS*, 350, 517
- Sutter P. M. et al., 2014, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Sutter P. M., Lavaux G., Wandelt B. D., Weinberg D. H., 2012, *ApJ*, 761, 44
- Sutter P. M., Lavaux G., Wandelt B. D., Weinberg D. H., Warren M. S., 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 3177
- Tikhonov A. V., 2006, *Astronomy Letters*, 32, 727
- Tikhonov A. V., 2007, *Astronomy Letters*, 33, 499
- van de Weygaert R., van Kampen E., 1993, *MNRAS*, 263, 481
- von Benda-Beckmann A. M., Müller V., 2008, *MNRAS*, 384, 1189
- York D. G., et al. (the SDSS Collaboration), 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579
- Zeldovich I. B., Einasto J., Shandarin S. F., 1982, *Nature*, 300, 407
- Zel'dovich Y. B., 1970, *A&A*, 5, 84