

*Proof.* Suppose  $z = re^{i\alpha}$ , where  $-\pi < \alpha \leq \pi$ , then for  $|z| = r < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{P}_0(z)) &= -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( \log \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right) \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \left( \log \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1(r, c)}{\mu_2(r, c)}} \right) \right)^2 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{1-c^2}\sqrt{r}}{1-r} \right) \right)^2 \\ &=: \mathcal{G}(r, c). \end{aligned}$$

where  $c := \cos(\alpha/2)$  and

$$\mu_i(r, c) := \begin{cases} 1 + r + 2c\sqrt{r}, & i = 1, \\ 1 + r - 2c\sqrt{r}, & i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $c \in [-1, 1]$ , infact it is easy to check that  $\partial \mathcal{G}(r, c)/\partial c = 0$  if and only if  $c = 0$ , also  $\partial^2 \mathcal{G}(r, 0)/\partial c^2 < 0$ , which leads to

$$\max_{c \in [-1, 1]} \mathcal{G}(r, c) = \mathcal{G}(r, 0) = \mathcal{P}_0(-r) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1-r} \right) \right)^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, for each  $R \leq r < 1$ , equation (2.1) leads to  $\mathcal{G}(r, 0) \geq \mathcal{P}_0(r) = \mathcal{G}(r, 1)$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}(r, 0)$  is an increasing function, whereas  $\mathcal{G}(r, 1)$  is a decreasing function of  $r$ , this leads to the inequality  $\mathcal{G}(r, 1) < \mathcal{G}(r, 0)$ , for each  $r < 1$ . Hence the required bound is achieved. ■

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1 and [15] Theorem 2.1 & Corollary 2.2], we obtain the Growth and Covering Theorems for the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$ , then the following holds

I. (Growth Theorem) For  $|z| = r < 1$ , let

$$\max_{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}_0(z) = \mathcal{P}_0(-r) \text{ and } \min_{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}_0(z) = \mathcal{P}_0(r),$$

then for  $|z| = r < 1$  the following sharp inequality holds

$$r \exp \left( \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{P}_0(t)}{t} dt \right) \leq |f(z)| \leq r \exp \left( \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{P}_0(-t)}{t} dt \right).$$

II. (Covering Theorem) Suppose  $\min_{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}_0(z) = \mathcal{P}_0(r)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$ . Let  $f_0$  be given by (1.2), then  $f(z)$  is a rotation of  $f_0$  or  $\{w \in \mathbb{D} : |w| \leq -f_0(-1)\} \subset f(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $-f_0(-1) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} -f_0(-1)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** (See Fig. 3) If  $f(z)$  is of the form  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$ , then

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 2 \right) \right| > \frac{3\pi}{4}.$$

It can be verified that the equation of tangent corresponding to  $\Gamma : y^2 = 1 + 2(1-x)$  is given by  $y = \pm(x-2)$ . Infact these tangents intersect the parabola  $\Gamma$  at the points  $(1, \pm 1)$ . Therefore it can be observed that the convex region  $\Omega_{\mathcal{LP}}$  lies in the sector  $|\arg(\omega - 2)| > 3\pi/4$ . Hence this gives a sharp argument estimate for functions lying in the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Due to Lemma 2.1 for  $|z| = r < 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{LP}(r) \leq \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{LP}(z) \leq \mathcal{LP}(-r)$  and infact  $\max_{|z|=r} |\mathcal{LP}(z)| = |\mathcal{LP}(r)| = |\mathcal{P}_0(r)|$ .

**2.2. Radius Problems for the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$ .** Based on the definition of the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$  and pictorial representation of  $\mathcal{LP}(\partial\mathbb{D})$  (see Fig. 2), we have  $\max_{|z| \leq 1} \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{LP}(z)) = \mathcal{LP}(-1) = 3/2$ . This means  $\operatorname{Re} zf'(z)/f(z) < 3/2$ , thus  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$  may or may not be a univalent function. Therefore it is an interesting problem to establish the largest radius  $r_0 < 1$  such that each  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$  is starlike in  $|z| \leq r_0$ . In this section, we study some radius results for the class  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{LP}}$  along with the classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\psi)$  for some special choices of  $\phi(z)$  and  $\psi(z)$ , as mentioned in Table 1 (see Appendix) and equation (1.1), respectively. Here below we provide a lemma that yields a maximal disc that can be subscribed within the parabolic region  $\Omega_{\mathcal{LP}}$ .