5. Final remarks

By [17] Theorem 3.17], every automorphism of the poset $(\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(H), \subset)$ is induced by an invertible bounded linear or conjugate-linear operator. We explain why the same statement is not proved for the restrictions of adjacency preserving transformations on connected components of $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(H)$.

Let f be a bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(H)$ preserving the adjacency relation in both directions and let \mathcal{C} be a connected component of $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(H)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. Denote by \mathcal{C}_{\pm} the set of all $X \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty}(H)$ such that X is a subspace of finite codimension in a certain element of \mathcal{C} or Xcontains a certain element of \mathcal{C} as a subspace of finite codimension. Then f can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the poset $(\mathcal{C}_{\pm}, \subset)$ [17] Theorem 2.19]. This extension is denoted by the same symbol f.

Suppose that f(X) = X for a certain $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and consider the lattice of finite-dimensional subspaces of X. The map sending every finite-dimensional subspace $M \subset X$ to $f(M^{\perp} \cap X)^{\perp} \cap X$ is a lattice automorphism. By the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry, it is induced by a semilinear bijection $A: X \to X$, i.e. A is additive and there is an automorphism σ of the field of complex numbers such that $A(ax) = \sigma(a)A(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. It must be pointed out that A is not necessarily bounded; furthermore, the automorphism σ is not necessarily the identity or the conjugate map. Then

$$f(Y) = (A(Y^{\perp} \cap X))^{\perp} \cap X$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\pm}$ contained in X.

If A is an invertible bounded linear or conjugate-linear operator, then the restriction of f to the set of all $Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\pm}$ contained in X is induced by $(A^{-1})^*$ [17] Proposition 3.7].

Conversely, if this restriction is induced by a semilinear bijection $B: X \to X$, then B sends closed hyperplanes of X to closed hyperplanes which guarantees that B is a bounded linear or conjugate-linear operator (see [12] or [17] Lemma 3.12]). By [17] Proposition 3.7], A is a scalar multiple of $(B^{-1})^*$ which is impossible if A is unbounded.

So, the restriction cannot be induced by a semilinear bijection if A is unbounded. To assert that A is bounded we need to extend f on $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}(X)$. Only in this case, we can apply arguments used to prove [17] Theorem 3.17].

References

- [1] G. Chevalier, Wigner's theorem and its generalizations, Handbook of Quantum Logic and Quantum Structures, 429–475, Elsevier, 2007.
- [2] W.L. Chow, On the geometry of algebraic homogeneous spaces, Ann. of Math. 50(1949), 32–67.
- [3] J. Dieudonné, La géométrie des groupes classiques (2nd edition), Springer, 1963.
- [4] G.P. Gehér, P. Šemrl, Isometries of Grassmann spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 270 (2016), 1585– 1601.
- [5] G.P. Gehér, Wigner's theorem on Grassmann spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 273(2017), 2994–3001.
- [6] G.P. Gehér, Symmetries of projective spaces and spheres. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2020, no. 7, 2205–2240.
- [7] G.P. Gehér, M. Mori, The structure of maps on the space of all quantum pure states that preserve a fixed quantum angle, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2022, no. 16, 12003–12029.
- [8] G.P. Gehér, Wigner-type theorems for Hilbert Grassmannians by Mark Pankov (book review), Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 59(2022), 289–292.