

Supplementary Material to “Comparison of Bayesian and Frequentist Multiplicity Correction For Testing Mutually Exclusive Hypotheses Under Data Dependence”

Sean Chang¹, James O. Berger¹

¹Department of Statistical Science, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, 27707, USA

(Dated: June 20, 2018)

Appendix

Normal Theory

Lemma 1.

$$\mathbf{X} \sim \text{multinorm} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \cdots & \rho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho & \rho & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

is equivalent to

$$X_i = \theta_i + \sqrt{\rho}Z + \sqrt{1-\rho}Z_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad (1)$$

where $Z, Z_1, \dots, Z_n \sim \text{iid } N(0, 1)$. Furthermore, if $\theta_j = 0 \quad \forall j$, then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\bar{x}}{\sqrt{\rho}} = z + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sqrt{1-\rho}} = z_i + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

Proof. It is straightforward to show that the expectation and covariance of (1) are as desired. (2) follows from the definitions and the central limit theorem. □

Corollary 1.

- When $\rho = 0$, $\Phi(c) = 1 + \frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{2n} + O(1/n^2)$, essentially calling for the Bonferroni correction.
- When $\rho \rightarrow 1$, $\Phi(c) \rightarrow 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, so the critical region is the same as that for a single test.

Proof. If $\rho = 0$, $\alpha = 1 - (\Phi(c) - \Phi(-c))^n = 1 - (2\Phi(c) - 1)^n$, from which it follow that

$$\Phi(c) = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha)^{1/n}}{2} = \frac{1 + 1 + \frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{n} + O(1/n^2)}{2}.$$

If $\rho \rightarrow 1$, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} P \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |X_j| > c \mid \theta_i = 0 \quad \forall i \right) \\ &= 1 - \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \mathbb{E}^{Z_1, \dots, Z_n} \left\{ P \left(|\sqrt{\rho}Z + \sqrt{1-\rho}Z_j| < c \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n \right) \right\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{E}^{Z_1, \dots, Z_n} \left\{ \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} P \left(|\sqrt{\rho}Z + \sqrt{1-\rho}Z_j| < c \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n \right) \right\} \\ &= 1 - (\Phi(c) - \Phi(-c)) \\ &= 2(1 - \Phi(c)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Fact 1 (Normal tail probability). Letting $\Phi(t)$ denote the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution,

$$\frac{t \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}}{t^2 + 1} \leq 1 - \Phi(t) \leq \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}}{t}$$

$$1 - \Phi(t) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}}{t} + O\left(\frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{t^3}\right)$$

The proof can be found in [1].

By expanding a, b in Theorem 3.1, one obtains the following explicit form for the posterior probabilities:

Corollary 2. The posterior of any non-null model M_i is:

$$P(M_i | \mathbf{x}) = \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{(1-\rho+\tau^2)(1+(n-1)\rho)-\tau^2\rho}{(1+(n-1)\rho)(1-\rho)}} \left(\frac{n r}{1-r} \right)^* \right. \right. \\ \left. \exp \left\{ \frac{-\tau^2}{2} \frac{1+(n-1)\rho}{[(1-\rho+\tau^2)(1+(n-1)\rho)-\tau^2\rho](1-\rho)} \left((x_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{(1-\rho)\bar{\mathbf{x}}}{1+(n-1)\rho} \right)^2 \right\} + \right. \\ \left. \sum_{k=1}^n \exp \left[\frac{-\tau^2}{2} \frac{1+(n-1)\rho}{[(1-\rho+\tau^2)(1+(n-1)\rho)-\tau^2\rho](1-\rho)} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left((x_i + x_k - 2\bar{\mathbf{x}})(x_i - x_k) + 2 \frac{\bar{\mathbf{x}}(x_i - x_k)(1-\rho)}{1+(n-1)\rho} \right) \right] \right]^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Alternatively, in terms of $z(\mathbf{x})$, with $z_i = z_i(\mathbf{x})$:

$$P(M_i | \mathbf{x}) = \left[\left(\sqrt{\frac{(1-\rho+\tau^2)(1+(n-1)\rho)-\tau^2\rho}{(1+(n-1)\rho)(1-\rho)}} \left(\frac{n r}{1-r} \right)^* \right. \right. \\ \exp \left[\frac{-\tau^2}{2} \frac{1+(n-1)\rho}{[(1-\rho+\tau^2)(1+(n-1)\rho)-\tau^2\rho](1-\rho)} \right. \\ \left. \left(\theta_i - \bar{\theta} + \sqrt{1-\rho}(z_i - \bar{z}) + \frac{1-\rho}{1+(n-1)\rho}(\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{\rho}z + \sqrt{1-\rho}\bar{z}) \right)^2 \right] \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \exp \left[\frac{-\tau^2}{2} \frac{1+(n-1)\rho}{[(1-\rho+\tau^2)(1+(n-1)\rho)-\tau^2\rho]} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{[\theta_i + \theta_k - 2\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{1-\rho}(z_i + z_k - 2\bar{z})][\theta_i - \theta_k + \sqrt{1-\rho}(z_i - z_k)]}{1-\rho} + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. 2 \frac{[\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{\rho}z + \sqrt{1-\rho}\bar{z}][\theta_i - \theta_k + \sqrt{1-\rho}(z_i - z_k)]}{1+(n-1)\rho} \right) \right] \left. \right]^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2. If $Z_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, are i.i.d. standard normal random variables, then

$$|Z_i| \leq n^{1/2-\epsilon} \quad \forall i \quad \text{holds almost surely.}$$

Proof. By Fact 1:

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\text{for all } i, |Z_i| \leq n^{1/2-\epsilon}) \\ &= \left(1 - P(|Z_1| \geq n^{1/2-\epsilon}) \right)^n \\ &= \left(1 - 2 \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}n^{1-2\epsilon}\}}{n^{1/2-\epsilon}} + O\left(\exp\left\{-\frac{n^{1-2\epsilon}}{2}\right\}\right) \right)^n \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\frac{2n^{1/2-\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}n^{1-2\epsilon}\}}{n} + o(n^{-2}) \right)^n \\ &= 1 - O\left(2n^{1/2+\epsilon} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}n^{1-2\epsilon}\}\right) \\ &= 1 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Adaptive Choice of τ^2

Lemma 3.

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \max_{\tau^2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1-\rho}{\tau^2} \right) \log \left(n \frac{p}{(1-p)(1-r)} \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho+\tau^2}{1-\rho}} \right) + o(1) \right] \\ &= (1-\rho) \left(2 \log n + \log \log n + 2 \log \frac{p}{(1-p)(1-r)} + \log 2 + o(1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Proof. Letting $x = \frac{1-\rho}{\tau^2}$ and $c' = \frac{p}{(1-p)(1-r)}$, the expression in square brackets in (5) can be written

$$f(x) = (1+x) \left(\log(n c') + 1/2 \log(1+1/x) \right).$$

Clearly

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{2} (2 \log(n c') + \log(1+1/x) - 1/x),$$

so that, $f'(x) = 0$ when $1/x = 2 \log n + \log \log n + 2 \log c' + \log 2 + o(1)$, or

$$\tau^2 = (1-\rho)(2 \log n + \log \log n + 2 \log c' + \log 2) + o(1).$$

□

Fact 2 (Weak law for triangular arrays (WLTA)). *For each n , let $X_{n,i}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ be independent. Let $\beta_n > 0$ with $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ and let $\bar{x}_{n,k} = X_{n,k} 1_{\{|X_{n,k}| \leq \beta_n\}}$. Suppose that as $n \rightarrow \infty$: $\sum_{k=1}^n P(|X_{n,k}| > \beta_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $1/\beta_n^2 \sum_{k=1}^n E \bar{X}_{n,k}^2 \rightarrow 0$. then*

$$\frac{(S_n - \alpha_n)}{\beta_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability}$$

$$\text{where } S_n = X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,n} \text{ and } \alpha_n = \sum_{k=1}^n E \bar{X}_{n,k}.$$

See [1] for the proof.

Theorem 3. *If $c_n \in (0, 1) \forall n$ and $1 - c_n = o(1)$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1 - c_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp \left\{ \frac{c_n}{2} z_i^2 \right\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2\Phi \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(1 - c_n)}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}}} \right) - 1$$

in probability.

Proof. Take $X_{n,i} = \exp \left\{ \frac{c_n}{2} z_i^2 \right\}$; $\beta_n = \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}}$ in Fact 2.

Checking the first assumption of the WLTA:

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_{n,i}| > \beta_n) &= P \left(|z_i| > \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}}} \right) \\ &= 2 \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2} \frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}} \right\}}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}}}} + O \left(\frac{(\frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}})^{-\frac{1}{c_n}}}{(\frac{1}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}})^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - c_n}^{\frac{1}{c_n}}}{n^{\frac{1}{c_n}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - c_n}}}} (1 + o(1)) \\ &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - c_n}^{\frac{1}{c_n}}}{n^{\frac{1}{c_n}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_{n,k}| > \beta_n) &= nP(|X_{n,k}| > \beta_n) \\
&< n^{1-\frac{1}{c_n}} (1-c_n)^{\frac{1}{2c_n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \\
&= n^{-\frac{1-c_n}{c_n}} (1-c_n)^{\frac{1}{2c_n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Checking the second assumption of the WLTA:

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \rightarrow 1$, without loss of generality, assume $c_n > 3/4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\beta_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n E \bar{X}_{n,k}^2 &= \frac{1-c_n}{n^2} n \int_{|z| < \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}}}} \exp\{c_n z^2\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2} z^2\right\} dz \\
&= \frac{1-c_n}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_{1 < |z| < \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}}}} \exp\left\{(c_n - \frac{1}{2})z^2\right\} dz + \int_{|z| < 1} \exp\left\{(c_n - \frac{1}{2})z^2\right\} dz \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1-c_n}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_{1 < |z| < \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}}}} z \exp\left\{(c_n - \frac{1}{2})z^2\right\} dz + d \right\} \\
&= \frac{1-c_n}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2(c_n - \frac{1}{2})} \exp\left\{(c_n - \frac{1}{2})(\frac{2}{c_n}) \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}}\right\} + d' \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{2c_n - 1} \right) \frac{1-c_n}{n} \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}} \right)^{2-\frac{1}{c_n}} + o(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2c_n - 1} \right)}_{\leq 2} n^{1-\frac{1}{c_n}} (1-c_n)^{\frac{1}{2c_n}} + o(1) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} n^{-\frac{1-c_n}{c_n}} (1-c_n)^{\frac{1}{2c_n}} + o(1) = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{1-c_n}}{n} \alpha_n &= \frac{1-c_n}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E \bar{X}_{n,i} \\
&= (1-c_n) \int_{|z| < \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}}}} e^{\frac{c_n z^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-z^2}{2}} dz = 2 \left(\Phi \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{c_n} \log \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}}}}{\sqrt{(1-c_n)^{-1}}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

the WLTA yields

$$\frac{S_n - \alpha_n}{\beta_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{c_n z_i^2} - \alpha_n}{\frac{n}{\sqrt{1-c_n}}} = \frac{\sqrt{1-c_n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{c_n z_i^2}}{n} - \frac{\sqrt{1-c_n}}{n} \alpha_n \rightarrow 0.$$

in probability, and the result follows. \square

Corollary 3. Letting $c_n = \frac{\hat{\tau}_n^2}{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1-c_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{\frac{c_n}{2} z_i^2\right\} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{\log n}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \rightarrow \infty, \\ 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\right) - 1 & \text{if } \frac{\log n}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(1-\rho)k}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{\log n}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

in probability.

Proof. By Theorem 3:

Case I: $\frac{\log n}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-c_n}}{n} \alpha_n \Rightarrow 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \log\left(n\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}{1-\rho}}\right)}\right) - 1 \rightarrow 1.$$

Case II: $\frac{\log n}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(1-\rho)k}$. Clearly

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-c_n}}{n} \alpha_n \Rightarrow 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \log\left(n\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}{1-\rho}}\right)}\right) - 1 \rightarrow 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\right) - 1.$$

Case III: $\frac{\log n}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \rightarrow 0$. Clearly

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-c_n}}{n} \alpha_n \Rightarrow 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\hat{\tau}_n^2} \log\left(n\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}{1-\rho}}\right)}\right) - 1 \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{1+\tau_n^2 a}} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{\frac{\tau_n^2}{2(1+\tau_n^2 a)} \left(\frac{x_i}{1-\rho} + bn\bar{\mathbf{x}}\right)^2\right\} \\ = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\tau_n^2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{\frac{\tau_n^2 z_i^2}{2(1-\rho+\tau_n^2)}\right\} (1+o(1)) \quad a.s. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Proof. Expanding the coefficients yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+\tau_n^2 a} &= \left(1 + \frac{\tau_n^2(1+(n-2)\rho)}{(1+(n-1)\rho)(1-\rho)}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\tau_n^2\left(1+\frac{-\rho}{1+(n-1)\rho}\right)} = \frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\tau_n^2} (1+O(1/n)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{x_i}{1-\rho} + bn\bar{\mathbf{x}}\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} \left(x_i + \frac{-\rho n\bar{\mathbf{x}}}{1+(n-1)\rho}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} \left(x_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\left(1 - \frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\rho n}\right)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} \left(\sqrt{1-\rho}z_i + \underbrace{\sqrt{\rho}z\left(\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\rho n}\right)}_{O(1/n)} + \underbrace{\sqrt{1-\rho}\bar{\mathbf{z}}}_{O(1/\sqrt{n})} \left(-1 + \frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\rho n}\right)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{z_i^2}{1-\rho} + O((\log n)/\sqrt{n}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tau_n^2 a}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \exp\left\{\frac{\tau_n^2}{2(1+\tau_n^2 a)} \left(\frac{x_i}{1-\rho} + bn\bar{\mathbf{x}}\right)^2\right\} \\ = \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\tau_n^2+o(1)}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \exp\left\{\frac{\tau_n^2}{2} \left[\frac{z_i^2}{1-\rho+\tau_n^2} + o(1)\right]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5. *Under the null model, suppose*

$$\max_j \left(\frac{x_j - \bar{x}}{\sqrt{1-\rho}} \right)^2 = 2 \log(n) + \log \log(n) + c.$$

Then

$$L_n(\tau^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\tau^2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp \left\{ \frac{\tau^2 z_i^2}{2(1-\rho+\tau^2)} \right\}$$

is maximized at

$$\hat{\tau}_n^2 = (1-\rho)k(c)(\log n)(1+o(1)),$$

where

$$k(c) = (1 + 2/\sqrt{\pi} \exp\{-c/2\})^{-1}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\max |z_i| = |z_1|$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\hat{\tau}_n^2) &= \left(\underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}} 1/n \exp \left\{ \frac{\hat{\tau}_n^2 z_1^2}{2(1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2)} \right\}}_I + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}} 1/n \sum_{i=2}^n \exp \left\{ \frac{\hat{\tau}_n^2 z_i^2}{2(1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2)} \right\}}_{II} \right) (1+o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

First, note that $L_n(\hat{\tau}_n^2) \rightarrow 0$ when $\log n/\hat{\tau}_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\tau}_n^2}} n^{\frac{-(1-\rho)}{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}} \sqrt{\log n} n^{\frac{(\hat{\tau}_n^2)}{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}} e^{\frac{c\hat{\tau}_n^2}{2(1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2)}} (1+o(1)) \\ &= n^{\frac{-(1-\rho)}{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}} \sqrt{\log n/\hat{\tau}_n^2} e^{c/2} (1+o(1)) = o(1), \\ II &\rightarrow 0 \text{ by Corollary 3.} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can show that $L_n(\hat{\tau}_n^2) \rightarrow 1$ when $\log n/\hat{\tau}_n^2 \rightarrow 0$, since

$$\begin{aligned} I &= n^{\frac{-(1-\rho)}{1-\rho+\hat{\tau}_n^2}} \sqrt{\log n/\hat{\tau}_n^2} e^{c/2} (1+o(1)) = o(1) \\ II &\rightarrow 1 \text{ by Corollary 3.} \end{aligned}$$

For the case in which $\log n/\hat{\tau}_n^2 \rightarrow k$, using Corollary 3, it follows that

$$L_n(\hat{\tau}_n^2) = [ve^{(\frac{c}{2}-v^2)} + 2\Phi(\sqrt{2}v) - 1](1+o(1)),$$

where $v = \sqrt{(1-\rho)/k}$. Differentiating $f(v) = [ve^{(\frac{c}{2}-v^2)} + 2\Phi(\sqrt{2}v)]$ and setting the derivative to 0, yields the solution $\hat{v} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-c/2}}$, which translates into $k(c)$ as in the statement of the lemma. It is straightforward to show that this extrema of $f(v)$ is the maximum, and

$$f(\hat{v}) > \max\left\{ \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} f(v), \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} f(v) \right\} = 1.$$

As this maximum thus exceeds the maximum over the domains $\log n/\hat{\tau}_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $\log n/\hat{\tau}_n^2 \rightarrow 0$, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 6. *For the $k(c)$ defined above,*

$$\log(k(c)/2) + 2/k(c) - 1 > 0 \forall c > 0$$

Proof. Note that $x = k/2 < 1$, so that we want to show that $f(x) = \log(x) + 1/x - 1 > 0$ over this region. Since $f'(x) = 1/x - 1/x^2 < 0$ over this region, $f(x)$ is minimized at $x = 1$, proving the result. \square

[1] Durrett, R. (2010), *Probability: theory and examples*, vol. 3, Cambridge university press.