Lec 5*: Sampling Distributions

1 Motivation

Last lecture, we discussed random variables, and the probability distributions that such random variables could follow.

Instructor: Travis Cao

- As we learned from last lecture,
 - A random variable assign a number to each possible outcome.
 - A discrete probability distribution describes the point probability at all possible values for a discrete random variable.
 - A continuous probability distribution describes the density (PDF) at all possible values for a continuous random variable.

Thus, random variables and their associated probability distributions are related to the **population**.

- However, in reality, what we get to work with is often the sample data, which means we need to
 relate statistics obtained from samples to the population (⇒ process of statistical inference).
- This is why we need to look at the distribution of sample statistics, i.e. sampling distributions

2 Difference Between Probability Distribution and Sampling Distribution

	Probability Distribution	Sampling Distribution		
Generated by	Random variable (e.g. X)	Sample statistic (e.g. \bar{X})		
Describes	Probability of a random variable equals to a certain value	Probability of a sample statistic equals to a certain value		
Helps us know about	How likely a number is drawn from the population	How likely the sample statistic is calculated as some number		

3 Examples of Sampling Distribution

3.1 Sampling distribution of the mean

- Statistic of interest: $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, obtained from simple random sampling
- How \bar{X} is distributed depends on the distribution of X_i :
 - If each X_i is normally distributed, then $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu_{\bar{X}}, \sigma_{\bar{X}}^2)$ with certainty
 - If each X_i is NOT normally distributed, we might be able to approximate \bar{X} using a normal distribution (i.e. $\bar{X} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(\mu_{\bar{X}}, \sigma_{\bar{X}}^2)$) based on central limit theorem.

^{*}Some exercise questions are taken from or slightly modified based on Dr. Gregory Pac's Econ 310 discussion handout.

Theorem 1 (Central limit theorem (CLT)). The mean of a random variable drawn from any population is approximately normal for a sufficiently large sample size.

In practice, we use $n \ge 30$ as the cutoff:

- * For non-normally distributed X_i , if $n \geq 30$, then CLT can be invoked, and $\bar{X} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(\mu_{\bar{X}}, \sigma_{\bar{X}}^2)$
- * For non-normally distributed X_i , if n < 30, then CLT cannot be invoked, so the distribution of \bar{X} is undetermined.
- To summarize, for random variable *X*, the sampling distribution of the mean is the following:

	X is normally distributed	X is NOT normally distributed		
Sample size is small ($n < 30$)	$ar{X} \sim N(\mu_{ar{X}}, \sigma_{ar{X}}^2)$??? (undetermined)		
Sample size is large ($n \ge 30$)	$\bar{X} \sim N(\mu_{\bar{X}}, \sigma_{\bar{X}}^2)$	$\bar{X} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(\mu_{\bar{X}}, \sigma_{\bar{X}}^2)$ by CLT		

- What are $\mu_{\bar{X}}$ and $\sigma_{\bar{X}}^2$?
 - $\mu_{\bar{X}}$ is the expected value of \bar{X} :

$$\mu_{\bar{X}} = E(\bar{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \times n \times \mu_{X} = \mu_{X}$$

- $\sigma_{\bar{X}}^2$ is the variance of \bar{X} , and it depends on the population size:
 - * If population size is infinitely large (in practice, if $N \ge 20n$),

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}}^2 = V(\bar{X}) = V\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n V(X_i) = \frac{1}{n^2} \times n \times \sigma_X^2 = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{n}$$

- * If population size is not infinitely large (in practice, if N<20n), then $\sigma_{\bar{X}}^2$ needs to be adjusted:
 - **Finite population correction factor**: an adjustment applied to the **standard error** of sample mean (i.e. $\sigma_{\bar{X}}$), where

Finite population correction factor =
$$\sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}}$$

· Thus, the standard error of sample mean is

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}}$$

which means that the variance of the sample mean is

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}}^2 = (\sigma_{\bar{X}})^2 = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{n} \cdot \frac{N-n}{N-1}$$

3.2 Sampling distribution of the proportion (from a binomial experiment)

- Say that we have a random variable $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ recording the number of successes in n trials where the probability of success in each trial is p.
- Turns out, under certain conditions, *X* can be well approximated by a normal distribution.

Conditions for normal approximation of a binomial random variable *X*:

- 1. $np \ge 5$, and
- 2. $n(1-p) \ge 5$

If the aforementioned conditions are satisfied, then

$$X \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$$

where, based on binomial distribution properties,

$$\mu_X = E(X) = np$$

$$\sigma_X^2 = V(X) = np(1-p)$$

Aside: A binomial X is a discrete random variable. However, the approximation approximates $X \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(np, np(1-p))$, which is a continuous distribution.

Thus, a **correction factor for continuity** is needed when calculating probability using the normal approximation.

Exercise. Accounting for the correction factor for continuity, how should the following probabilities be expressed for a binomial random variable *X*?

- 1. P(X = 3) = P(2.5 < X < 3.5)
- 2. P(X = 2) = P(1.5 < X < 2.5)
- 3. P(X = 2 or 3) = P(1.5 < X < 3.5)
- Why is this needed? ⇒ helps us approximate the sampling distribution of the proportion!
 - As long as a binomial distributed X can be approximated using a normal distribution (i.e. $np \ge 5$ and $n(1-p) \ge 5$), then the proportion of successes (\hat{p}) can be approximated using a normal distribution:

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(\mu_{\hat{p}}, \sigma_{\hat{p}}^2)$$

– What is $\mu_{\hat{p}}$ and $\sigma_{\hat{p}}^2$?

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\hat{p}} &= E(\hat{p}) = E\left(\frac{X}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n}E(X) = p \\ \sigma_{\hat{p}}^2 &= V(\hat{p}) = V\left(\frac{X}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 V(X) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} \end{split}$$

3.3 Sampling distribution of the difference between two means

- Statistic of interest: $\bar{X} \bar{Y}$, where $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$, and $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$, and X is independent of Y
- From subsection 3.1, assuming that the population sizes are sufficiently large, we know that

$$\bar{X} \sim N(\mu_X, \frac{\sigma_X^2}{n_X})$$
 $\bar{Y} \sim N(\mu_Y, \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n_Y})$

• Since the sum of two normal distributions is still a normal distribution, we have

$$\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \sim N(\mu_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}}, \sigma^2_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}})$$

where

$$\mu_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}} = E(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) = E(\bar{X}) - E(\bar{Y}) = \mu_X - \mu_Y$$

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}}^2 = V(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) = V(\bar{X}) + V(\bar{Y}) - 2\underbrace{Cov(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})}_{=0 \text{ by indep}} = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{n_X} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n_Y}$$

4 Exercises

- 1. Suppose we draw a simple random sample of four observations: $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$. Each X_i is distributed with mean 4 and standard deviation 2. The realized values for our sample turn out to be: $\{-1,0,5,3\}$.
 - (a) What is $E(\bar{X})$? Would your answer change if you were working with a different sample, such as: $\{4, -1, 2, 6\}$?

 \bar{X} is a random variable and its outcome will differ from sample to sample. The expected value of \bar{X} , however, is always the same and is equal to the expected value of each X_i . This is true regardless of the realization of \bar{X} in the particular sample we're working with. So, regardless of the sample we've drawn, the answer here is:

$$E(\bar{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{4}\left[X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4\right]\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(E(X_1) + E(X_2) + E(X_3) + E(X_4)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(\mu + \mu + \mu + \mu\right) = \mu$$

Notice that we're answering as if the sample had not yet been drawn (i.e. *ex ante*). One could also think about what the answer to the question would be *ex post*, taking into account the information we've gained after the sample is drawn. In that case, the expected value of the sample mean would be equal to it's realized value in the observed sample. This is much less useful, so unless a question directs you to do otherwise, when considering the expected value of a random variable you should always answer *ex ante*.

(b) What is $V(\bar{X})$? Would your answer change if you were working with a different sample?

Similarly, regardless of the sample we've drawn, the answer here is:

$$V(\bar{X}) = V\left(\frac{1}{4}\left[X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4\right]\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 V\left(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4\right)$$

(Simple random sample implies that each draw is independent of each other, so Cov terms = 0)

$$= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \left(V(X_1) + V(X_2) + V(X_3) + V(X_4)\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \left(2^2 + 2^2 + 2^2 + 2^2\right) = 1$$

As with the expected value, we are always interested in the variance ex ante rather than ex post. (Ex post, we have already observed a particular value for \bar{X} , so the variance at that point would be zero.)

(c) What is the distribution of \bar{X} ?

There is insufficient information to determine the distribution of the sample mean, as we aren't told whether X_i is normally distributed and the sample size (n = 4 < 30) is too small to invoke the central limit theorem.

(d) Now suppose n = 64. What is the distribution of \bar{X} ?

Now we have a large enough sample size ($n=64 \ge 30$) to invoke the central limit theorem. Since X_i has a mean of 4 and a standard deviation of 2, the sample mean is *approximately* normal with mean $\mu=4$ and variance $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}=\frac{2^2}{64}=\frac{1}{16}$. In short:

$$\bar{X} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N\left(4, \frac{1}{16}\right)$$

(e) Now suppose n = 64, and $X_i \sim N(4,4)$. What is the distribution of \bar{X} ?

We could invoke the central limit theorem, since the sample size is large ($n = 64 \ge 30$), but this would only give us an approximate distribution of the sample mean.

A better answer is to argue that since each underlying X_i is normally distributed, we know that the sample mean must also be normally distributed. Note that this is the exact distribution, NOT an approximation. So the sample mean is distributed:

$$\bar{X} \sim N\left(4, \frac{1}{16}\right)$$

- 2. The amount of time a bank teller spends with each customer has a population mean $\mu = 3.1$ minutes and a standard deviation of $\sigma = 0.4$ minutes.
 - (a) If a random sample of 50 customers is selected from a finite population of 500 customers, what is the probability that the average time per customer will be at least 3 minutes?

Since the population size is finite and $N = 500 < 20n = 20 \times 50 = 1000$, we should use the finite population correction factor. Using the correction factor and invoking the central limit theorem,

the sample mean is distributed:

$$\bar{X} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \cdot \frac{N-n}{N-1}\right) = N\left(3.1, \frac{0.4^2}{50} \cdot \frac{450}{499}\right) = N(3.1, 0.0537^2)$$

Standardizing this distribution and using a standard normal table, we can now obtain the desired probability:

$$P(\bar{X} \ge 3) = P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - 3.1}{0.0537} \ge \frac{3 - 3.1}{0.0537}\right) = P(Z \ge -1.86) = P(Z \le 1.86) = 0.9686$$

- (b) Now, suppose that we observe only 16 customers, and answer the same question. It's impossible to answer this question with the information provided, since we are unable to determine the sampling distribution of the mean.
- 3. Let *X* be the number of successes in a binomial experiment with n = 300 and p = 0.55, and let $\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n}$ be the proportion of successes.
 - (a) Is this a case where *X* is well approximated by a normal distribution? If so, exactly what normal distribution should we use?

Yes, since np = 165 > 5 and n(1-p) = 135 > 5, it is well approximated by a normal distribution with mean np = 165 and variance $np(1-p) = 74.25 = 8.62^2$. In short:

$$X \stackrel{a}{\sim} Y \sim N(165, 8.62^2)$$

(b) Using a normal approximation, what is the probability that X = 165? Use the correction factor for continuity.

Using the correction factor for continuity:

$$P(X = 165) \approx P(164.5 < Y < 165.5) = P\left(\frac{164.5 - 165}{8.62} < \frac{Y - 165}{8.62} < \frac{165.5 - 165}{8.62}\right)$$
$$= P(-0.06 < Z < 0.06) = 0.0478$$

(c) Is this a case where \hat{p} is well approximated by a normal distribution? If so, exactly what normal distribution should we use?

Yes, since np = 165 > 5 and n(1-p) = 135 > 5, it is well approximated by a normal distribution with mean p = 0.55 and variance $\frac{p(1-p)}{n} = 0.000825 = 0.0287^2$. In short:

$$\hat{p} \stackrel{a}{\sim} N(0.55, 0.0287^2)$$

(d) Find the approximate probability that \hat{p} is greater than 60%.

$$P(\hat{p} \ge 0.6) \approx P\left(\frac{\hat{p} - 0.55}{0.0287} \ge \frac{0.6 - 0.55}{0.0287}\right) = P(Z \ge 1.74) = 1 - P(Z < 1.74) = 0.0409$$

(e) We would like to repeat the same binomial experiment with p = 0.55, but with fewer trials. If we want to use the normal distribution to approximate \hat{p} , how many trials do we need?

6

second requires that $n \ge \frac{5}{1-p} = \frac{5}{0.45} = 11.11$. We cannot have 11.11 trials, so the lowest n that satisfies both conditions is n = 12.

TABLE **3** (Continued)

	0	Z								
	$P(-\infty < Z < Z)$	<u>z</u>)								
Z	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
8.0	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990