

Subject: RE: [sacm] Proposed SACM Charter

Date: Thursday, August 2, 2012 2:47:57 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Waltermire, David A.

To: Adam Montville, kathleen.moriarty@emc.com

CC: shanna@juniper.net, Kent_Landfield@McAfee.com, sacm@ietf.org

+1. Couldn't agree more. This helps to draw together how efforts in other working groups relate in the context of SACM use cases. In my view this document is important in organizing thinking relative to potential work for SACM based on the other efforts in the IETF and other standards organizations. In the long run, I would hope that we could adopt the draft into the working group and use it to capture ongoing WG consensus relative to existing and emerging use cases.

Dave

From: sacm-bounces@ietf.org [sacm-bounces@ietf.org] On Behalf Of Adam Montville [amontville@tripwire.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2012 11:32 PM
To: kathleen.moriarty@emc.com
Cc: shanna@juniper.net; Kent_Landfield@McAfee.com; sacm@ietf.org
Subject: Re: [sacm] Proposed SACM Charter

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 2, 2012, at 2:18 PM, "kathleen.moriarty@emc.com" <kathleen.moriarty@emc.com> wrote:

Where we think another WG is addressing a use case, maybe it would be good to call that out. I think it would make the most sense to have that in the use case document. Any thoughts?

Excellent suggestion.

sacm mailing list
sacm@ietf.org
<https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/sacm>