Java with Generators

Tony Young, Student ID: 5383914, University of Auckland

Abstract—This report details the implementation of generators in Java – a specialized case of continuations that allow the execution of code to be paused within a method body at specific yield points. It introduces linearization as the primary technique for achieving this, with additional supplementary algorithms to transform code to adhere to the semantics of Java.

I. Introduction

Generators are a language feature found in various modern languages. They enable sequential code to be paused at specific *yield* points, making it useful for tasks such as iterating through a binary tree or creating lazy, infinite lists (à la Haskell) without having to explicitly keep track of where execution is.

This implementation of generators extends Java syntax in two ways:

- An extension to the Java method syntax. Before the method name, we allow an optional * token that signifies the method is a generator that will yield values of the type specified for the method.
- The introduction of a yield statement. It is allowed only in starred generator methods and takes an expression that will be returned a subsequent execution of the generator.

For simplicity's sake, generators in this implementation are unidirectional and only yield values out (such as in C#), unlike the generators in Python or ECMAScript Harmony can have values "sent" to them.

II. TRANSFORMATION

The code is transformed in a multi-pass fashion – the bulk of transformation is done during the linearization process (section II-C), but some pre- and post-processing passes are employed to make linearization simpler.

A. Loop Desugaring

The first phase of generator transformation is loop desugaring. In this phase, we transform all loops into a *canonical form*, which is proposed to be the following:

```
for (;;) { /* loop body */ }
```

The canonical loop form represents an infinite loop – however, the loop body may contain break and continue statements to mimic sugared loop forms.

As such, automated conversion can be employed from other forms of loops (such as while, for and do). For a full list of conversions from canonical loop forms, refer to appendix A.

In the special case of a non-canonical for loop, we may need to move an outer label into the inner canonical for loop. This is facilitated by remembering the position of labels before entering their statement nodes as well as which statement node

```
function ScopeMangle(node) id \leftarrow 0 for all b \in \text{node's blocks do} for all d \in b's declarations do Prepend(id, d's variable name) end for for all e \in b's expressions do for all n \in e's referenced names do Prepend(n's block's id, n) end for end for id \leftarrow id + 1 end for end function
```

Figure 1. Scope mangling algorithm.

was entered then, during processing of the statement node, we can indicate that we have moved the label into a loop and that the label needs to be removed.

B. Scope Mangling

Java employs block-level scoping for variables – for example, variables declared inside an if block will not be visible upon exit of the if block. Due to linearization (section II-C), we cannot preserve blocks and, consequently, we cannot use variable names in the form they are suggested by the code, for example:

```
int i = 0;
{
    int i = 1;
    {
        int i = 2;
    }
}
```

i should be 0 on the exit of the block but, if names are not mangled prior to linearization, the value will be 2 (or, worse yet, an error – we've attempted to redeclare i).

As such, we mangle variable names in deeper scopes to ensure they don't conflict with variable names in higher scopes. Figure 1 details a basic algorithm for name mangling.

In practice, the block ID is not a simple monotonically increasing number. For the implementation provided, the blocks are first numbered off by their offset to the start of the parent. The variables are then mangled using successive prepending of block numbers from the block the variable is declared in to the root of the method – for example, in the example given earlier, the three variables will be mangled to i, s0\$i and s0\$s0\$i (root scope, scope 0, and scope 0 inside scope 0).

1

```
function MARKLINEARIZATION(node)
    if node \in yields \cup breaks \cup continues \cup returns then
       MARK(node)
       return True
    end if
   \textbf{for all } n \in node \text{'s children } \textbf{do}
       if MarkLinearization(n) then
           MARK(n)
       end if
    end for
    if nodes were marked then
       return True
    else
       return False
    end if
end function
```

Figure 2. Linearization marking algorithm.

C. Linearization

The linearization (pertaining to loop linearization) pass processes the control flow graph and transforms it into a state machine. This is required because we can then create states that represents the pausing of the state machine, at given yield points. Additionally, linearized control structures enable us to perform arbitrary jumps into the code – such as jumps into code after the yield point to simulate resumes.

Before linearization, we employ a node marking scheme to avoid excessive linearization. The algorithm is detailed in figure 2 details which nodes are selected to be linearized. In particular, any node containing a yield, break or continue must be linearized, as well as all of their ancestors.

Once we have the nodes for linearization, we apply the straightforward linearization transforms to control flow as described in appendix B. States are in the form of case statements, which denote a state number that can be jumped to by setting the appropriate state number and breaking from the case.

For yield statements, we create a state for resuming execution when we resume the state machine. In the previous state, we create a deferred jump to the resume state, set the yielded value as the current value emitted by the state machine and then return true from the state machine to signify that the current value has changed.

We keep track of two things during linearization: labels and loops. A loop can be considered an implicit label that is given a name using the enumeration order. A label refers to a statement that can be jumped to, but only from a child statement of the labeled statement. With loop and label state, the following conditions apply to the linearization transformer:

When the transformer encounters a label statement, we push the label onto the stack of labels with its name, as well as onto the map of labels indexed by string. The label will also have the start state associated with it, i.e. the point we will jump to if we continue to it. After we process the label's child statement, we know the state at which the statement ends so we set that as

- the point that we jump to if we attempt to break to it. During transformation of child break and continue statements, we verify that we only ever attempt to break or continue to labels found in parent node.
- When the transformer encounters a canonical loop, we repeat the same procedure we did with the labels with the exception that we do not add them to the map of labels, nor do we name the loop labels. The transformer will then transform any label-less break and continue statements it finds into labeled ones, using special labels prefixed with .loop, followed by the loop number as dictated by enumeration order.

After all the code has been linearized, we create a trap state that always deferred-jumps to itself and returns false. This ensures we don't execute random code in the state machine.

1) Labeled Jump Resolution: The initial linearization pass should have already verified that we aren't performing jumps to non-ancestral blocks. As such, we just look up labels in the linearization context and generate jumps to them for break statements. We do the same for continue statements, except we use the continue points instead of the break points.

At this point, we expect no more breaks or continues that haven't been dereferenced.

D. Wrapping

Linearization will have created a full state machine, which must then be wrapped in a switch statement wrapped in an infinite loop to facilitate jumping between states. This implementation supplies a runtime class, genja.rt.Generator, that wraps the generator to conform to Java's iterator interface. This class adopts slightly different semantics from standard iterators, due to the fact that generators are slightly different to Java iterators:

- A hasNext () call on a genja.rt.Generator will resume the underlying state machine and set the last state machine success result if and only if the generator's stale flag is set to true. If the stale flag is not set, we simply return the last state machine success result.
- A next() call on a genja.rt.Generator will make a call to hasNext() if and only if the generator's stale flag is set to true. Otherwise, we return the current value yielded from the state machine and set the stale flag to true.

III. KNOWN ISSUES

Due to the time constraints of this assignment, the following features have not been implemented.

- try-catch-finally. This involves catching exceptions at every state that is surrounded by a try block – possibly multiple try blocks. Additional machine states are generated for catches, and an unconditional jump needs to be generated to finally states.
- Duplicate state elimination. The linearization pass generates states at each point where execution could jump to or be resumed at. These are often duplicated and can be eliminated after linearization.

- Unreachable jump elimination. Occasionally, the linearization pass generates unconditional jumps directly after unconditional jumps. These, of course, have no effect and can be removed.
- Selective loop desugaring. Currently, all loops are desugared. This could be eliminated with an additional annotation pass before desugaring, and making the desugaring pass aware of annotations.
- Selective loop linearization. Currently, all loops (except for infinite ones) are linearized completely. This is because the node annotator chooses to mark break and continue as statements that require a linearized block

 as these statements can jump into linearized code from a non-linearized block.

IV. CONCLUSION

Through a multi-pass compilation process, we can effectively generate state machines in Java via linearization of control flow. These can then be in turn transformed into generators and made compatible with Java's iterators to facilitate various interesting constructs, such as infinite lazy lists and abandonable loop processing from yield points.

APPENDIX

A. Canonical Loop Forms

Here are the canonical loop forms for various types of loops.

```
1) while:
label: while (pred) {
    body;
 becomes:
label: for (;;) {
    if (!pred) break; body;
 2) do:
label: do {
    body;
} while (pred)
 becomes:
label: for (;;) {
    body; if (!pred) break;
 3) for (non-canonical loop):
label: for (init; pred; update) {
    body;
 becomes:
    init;
    label: for (;;) {
        if (!pred) break; body;
        update;
```

B. Linearizations

1) if:

Here are linearized forms for various control structures, as well as yield. The case numbers only intend to denote flow.

```
preamble;
if (pred) {
    consequent;
} else {
    alternate;
postamble;
 becomes:
case 0:
    preamble;
    if (pred) {
         state = 1;
         break;
     } else {
         state = 2;
         break;
case 1:
    consequent;
    state = 3;
    break;
case 2:
    alternate;
case 3:
    postamble;
 2) for (canonical loop):
preamble;
for (;;)
    body;
postamble;
 becomes:
case 0:
    preamble;
case 1:
    body;
case 2:
    state = 1;
    break;
case 3:
    postamble;
 3) switch (with default):
preamble;
switch (x) {
    case A:
         bodyA;
        break;
    case B:
         bodyB;
    case C:
```

```
case D:
                                                   case B:
                                                       bodyB;
        bodyD;
        break;
                                                   case C:
    default:
                                                   case D:
       bodyDefault;
                                                       bodyD;
                                                       break;
postamble;
                                               postamble;
 becomes:
                                                 becomes:
case 0:
                                               case 0:
   preamble;
                                                   preamble;
    switchJump = false;
                                                   switchJump = false;
    switch (x) {
                                                   switch (x) {
        case A:
                                                       case A:
            switchJump = true;
                                                            switchJump = true;
            state = 1;
            break;
                                                            state = 1;
                                                           break;
        case B:
                                                       case B:
            switchJump = true;
                                                            switchJump = true;
            state = 2;
                                                            state = 2;
            break;
                                                           break;
        case C:
                                                       case C:
        case D:
                                                            switchJump = true;
            switchJump = true;
                                                            state = 3;
            state = 3;
                                                           break;
            break;
                                                       case D:
        default:
                                                            switchJump = true;
            switchJump = true;
                                                            state = 4;
            state = 4;
                                                           break;
            break;
                                                   if (!switchJump) {
    if (!switchJump) {
                                                       state = 4;
        state = 5;
                                                       break;
        break;
                                                   }
    }
                                               case 1:
case 1:
                                                  bodyA;
   bodyA;
                                                   state = 6;
    state = 6;
                                                   break;
    break;
                                               case 2:
case 2:
                                                   bodyB;
    bodyB;
                                               case 3:
case 3:
                                               case 4:
case 4:
                                                  bodyD;
    bodyD;
                                                   state = 5;
    state = 6;
                                                  break;
   break;
                                               case 5:
case 5:
                                                   postamble;
   bodyDefault;
                                                5) yield:
case 6:
    postamble;
                                               preamble;
                                               yield x;
 4) switch (without default):
                                               postamble;
preamble;
                                                becomes:
switch (x) {
    case A:
                                               case 0:
        bodyA;
                                                  preamble;
        break;
                                               case 1:
                                                  state = 2;
```

```
current = x;
return true;
case 2:
    postamble;
```