Lake Okeechobee System Operating Manual

Iteration 1 Modeling - Estuary Nutrient Loading Models

Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation

Conservancy of Southwest Florida

DRAFT - April 05, 2021





Iteration 1 - Model runs


Alternative	Category	P Index	Model Index
LCMECB	LOSOM Existing Condition Baseline 2019		
LCM25B	LOSOM No Action 2025 (FWO)		
ABNE	Algal Bloom Risk for Northern Estuaries	6329	1C-1_8086
ECRE	Ecology - Caloosahatchee Estuary	7023	2C_2193
ELOK	Ecology - Lake Okeechobee	601	LORS08flex_2827
ESFL	Ecology - South Florida	18729 (mode 2)	4BC-2_6132
ESLE	Ecology - St. Lucie Estuary	22448	4C-1_3307
WAS	Water Supply	15122	4BC-1_5423
REC	Recreation	20814	4C-1_687
NAV	Navigation	15617	4BC-1_7802
WRDC 1 a	Alternative to address WRDA2020 requirements for Caloosahatchee		
WRDS ²	Alternative to address WRDA2020 requirements for St Luice		
SPAS	Stakeholder Plan - Audubon		
SPEF	Stakeholder Plan - Everglades Foundation		
SPLC	Stakeholder Plan - Lakeside Communities		

¹Prohibiting high volume releases S77,S78,S79; ^a Does not satisfy Dam Saftey criteria.


² Prohibiting high volume releases S308,S80

Quick 101

 How to read box-and-whisker plots or boxplots



• Duration curves are based on cumulative distribution functions.



- *Example:* What is the data value 50% (or 0.5) of the time?
 - Follow the red dashed lines and you get a value of -0.04.

More reading on empirical CDF at this link.

The Models

Caloosahatchee River Estuary (S-79) - Model Presentation - FDEP (2021a) $TPLoad_{S79} = 127156 + 0.20Q_{C43Basin} + 0.08Q_{S77} - 7689MeanLakeStage$ $TNLoad_{S79} = 27561 + 1.53Q_{C43Basin} + 1.58Q_{S77} + 20813MeanLakeStage$

• Model as .RData file

St Lucie River Estuary (S-80) - Model Presentation - FDEP (2021b)

$$ln(TPLoad_{S80}) = -2.49 - (2.85x10^{-7} imes Q_{C44Basin}) - (5.29x10^{-8} imes Q_{S308}) + (1.22 imes ln(Q_{S80})) \ - (0.13 imes MeanStage) \ ln(TNLoad_{S80}) = 1.76 imes 10^{-2} + (6.60 imes 10^{-8} Q_{C44Basin}) + (1.99 imes 10^{-7} Q_{S308}) + (1.06 imes 10^{-2} ln(Q_{S80})) \ - (1.70x10^{-2} MeanStage)$$

• Model as .RData file

Critical Loads

- The concept of critical loads was proposed as a way to evaluate the modeled loads across alternatives.
 - Janicki (2003)





Figure 4-1. The estimated relationship between mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration in the tidal Caloosahatchee River and annual TN load from S-79.

• Janicki Environmental (2003) Development of Critical Loads for the C-43 Basin, Caloosahatchee River. Prepared for: Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, FL.

Critical Loads

• However, when attempting to verify this relationship for purposes of this evaluation the relationship (based on available data) were not congruent with prior efforts (Janicki 2003) or were not consistent with temporal resolution of the models (Doering et al. 2006).




S-79 TP and TN annual loads compared to spatially averaged annual geometric mean Chlorophyll-a concentration for each segment.

• The effects of season, color (CDOM), biology, and hydrodynamics affect the chlorophyll-load relationship across the estuary.

Caloosahatchee River Estuary


Total Phosphorus Load Duration Curve



Cumulative distribution/load duration curve comparison of S-79 TP loads for each alternative relative to FWO and ECB.


• Relative to FWO - REC, WRDS and ESLE results in generally higher loads

Total Phosphorus Load



Boxplot representing annual TP loads during the simulation period across alternatives. Black-dashed line represents the FWO median and green dashed line and point in boxplot indicates period of simulation mean.


Total Nitrogen Load Duration Curve



Cumulative distribution/load duration curve comparison of S-79 TN loads for each alternative relative to FWO and ECB.


• Relative to FWO - REC, WRDS and ESLE results in generally higher loads

Total Nitrogen Load



Boxplot representing annual TN loads during the simulation period across alternatives. Black-dashed line represents the FWO median and green dashed line and point in boxplot indicates period of simulation mean.


S-79 Load Summary



Percent difference of average load relative to the FWO (LSM25B) alternative over the entire simulation period for total phosphorus (left) and total nitrogen (right) loads.


- ABNE, ECRE, ESFL and WRDC provide load benefit (negative % difference).
- ESLE, WRDC, and REC provide load impact (positive % difference).
- Remaining alternatives result in minor changes (±) to loading.

S-79 FWM Concentration



Boxplot representing annual TP (top) and TN (bottom) flow-weighted mean concentration during the simulation period across alternatives. Black-dashed line represents the FWO median and green dashed line and point in boxplot indicates period of simulation mean.


S-79 FWM Summary



Percent difference of average flowweighted mean relative to the FWO (LSM25B) alternative over the entire simulation period for total phosphorus (left) and total nitrogen (right) loads.


St Lucie River Estuary

Total Phosphorus Load Duration Curve




Cumulative distribution/load duration curve comparison of S-80 TP loads for each alternative relative to FWO and ECB.

Total Nitrogen Load Duration Curve




Cumulative distribution/load duration curve comparison of S-80 TN loads for each alternative relative to FWO and ECB.

Total Nitrogen Load




Boxplot representing annual TN loads during the simulation period across alternatives. Black-dashed line represents the FWO median and green dashed line and point in boxplot indicates period of simulation mean.

S-80 Load Summary



Percent difference of average load relative to the FWO (LSM25B) alternative over the entire simulation period for total phosphorus (left) and total nitrogen (right) loads.


S-80 FWM Concentration



Boxplot representing annual TP (top) and TN (bottom) flow-weighted mean concentration during the simulation period across alternatives. Black-dashed line represents the FWO median and green dashed line and point in boxplot indicates period of simulation mean.

FWMC during years with <80 ac-ft WY⁻¹ were excluded. See original presentation - link

S-80 FWM Summary



Percent difference of average flowweighted mean relative to the FWO (LSM25B) alternative over the entire simulation period for total phosphorus (left) and total nitrogen (right) loads.

Acknowledgments



South Florida Water Management District (DBHYDRO)



US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE LOSOM)

• Interagency Modeling Center





HTML Slide deck | PDF Slide deck | RMarkdown Source © Julian (2021)



References

- Doering et al (2006) Chlorophyll-a and its use as an indicator of eutrophication in the Caloosahatchee Estuary, Florida. Florida Scientist 69:51–72
- FDEP (2021a) Lake Okeechobee System Operating Manual (WQ Subteam) DRAFT Caloosahatchee River Estuary Nutrient Loading Model. Presented January 13, 2021.
- FDEP (2021b) Lake Okeechobee System Operating Manual (WQ Subteam) DRAFT St Lucie Estuary Nutrient Loading Model. Presented January 13, 2021.
- Janicki Environmental (2003) Development of Critical Loads for the C-43 Basin, Caloosahatchee River. Prepared for: Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, FL.