ECS 170: Homework 2

January 19, 2017

Your answers should be succinct - our solutions for each problem are no more than a couple sentences.

Your submission should be a PDF. We make no guarantees we will grade submissions in other formats.

- 1. (R&N 3.18) Describe a search space in which iterative deepening search performs much worse than depth-first search. You do not need to give a specific example of such a space just a short description is what we want.
- 2. (R&N 3.28) Show that if a heuristic h never overestimates by more than some constant c, A^* using h returns a solution whose cost exceeds that of the optimal solution by no more than c.
- 3. For a graph G = (V, E) where V is the set of nodes and E is the set of edges, a vertex cover is some set S ⊂ V such that for all nodes n ∈ V either n ∈ S or there is an edge in E between n and some node s ∈ S. Let the k-vertex set cover problem be to find a vertex cover S for G such that |S| ≤ k. This can be modeled as a graph search problem as follows: the states describe which nodes are in the partially constructed set cover; the actions are adding a node (not currently in the partial set cover) to the set cover; and the goal test checks if the set S is a vertex cover of size no more than k.
 - (a) The number of nodes that are not currently "covered" (i.e. not in the set or having an edge to a node in the set) is not an admissible heuristic. Why?
 - (b) k |S| (i.e. the maximum number of nodes we can still add to the set) is not an admissible heuristic. Why?
 - (c) Construct a non-trivial admissible heuristic for this problem description. Why is it admissible?
- 4. Given a set of admissible heuristics $h_1, h_2, ...h_n$ one can define a new heuristic h_{max} such that for any node n:

$$h_{max}(n) = \max_{i} h_i(n)$$

- (a) Show that h_{max} is an admissible heuristic.
- (b) Show that h_{max} dominates all other h_i .
- (c) What are the practical benefits of knowing that a heuristic h_1 dominates another heuristic h_2 (assume we know both are admissible)?
- 5. Recall the "number of misplaced tiles" heuristic for the 8-puzzle problem. Ian showed this heuristic is admissible in class. Consider a modified 9-puzzle problem where the blank space is replaced with a 9 tile and now the legal moves are swapping the location of pairs of adjacent tiles.
 - (a) Show this heuristic is no longer admissible.
 - (b) Give a nontrivial admissible heuristic for this problem. You will likely need to relax the game rules (you may also give a lower bound on the cost of the relaxed version).
- 6. (R&N 3.30) The traveling salesperson problem (TSP) looks for a tour of all the nodes in a connected graph such that the tour starts and ends at the same node and each node is visited exactly once (except start/end node). A minimum-spanning-tree (MST) of a connected graph is a subset of the edges which connect all the nodes with the minimum possible total edge costs. TSP can be posed as a search problem (from a given start node) and can be solved using MST as a heuristic, which estimates the cost of completing a tour, given that a partial tour has already been constructed.
 - (a) Show this MST heuristic is admissible (Think about what condition is being relaxed by MST than TSP).
 - (b) Show that the MST heuristic dominates straight-line distance (assume these nodes are the cities in a geographical region).
- 7. Suppose you have already computed an optimal path P using A^* on a graph G with edge weights $w_{ij} \geq 0$ (where i and j are nodes in G). You learn that the weights of the edges have changed to $\hat{w}_{ij} = w_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} >= 0$
 - (a) If $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon > 0$ for all i, j, can we guarantee P will still be an optimal path? Explain.
 - (b) If $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon < 0$ for all i, j, can we guarantee P will still be an optimal path? Explain.
 - (c) If $\epsilon_{ij} = w_{ij}$ for all i, j, can we guarantee P will still be an optimal path? Explain.