

1 **POMERANTZ LLP**

2 Jennifer Pafiti (SBN 282790)
3 1100 Glendon Avenue, 15th Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90024
Telephone: (310) 405-7190
jpfafiti@pomlaw.com

5 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

6 [Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

7

8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 OAKLAND DIVISION

12 IN RE FASTLY, INC. SECURITIES
13 LITIGATION

14 Case No. 4:24-cv-03170-JST

15 CLASS ACTION

16 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
17 All Actions

18 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
19 VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL
20 SECURITIES LAWS

21 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

22 {00637015;12 }

23 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

24

25

26

27

28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	NATURE OF THE ACTION	1
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	8
4	PARTIES	9
5	FORMER EMPLOYEES WHO SUBSTANTIATE THE ALLEGATIONS.....	10
6	SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS	12
	Background	12
7	Fastly Experiences a Significant Customer Pullback Beginning in 2023.....	15
	The Company Struggles to Acquire New Customers	20
8	DEFENDANTS' FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS	22
9	Defendants Mislead Investors During Q4 2023 About Macroeconomic Impacts on the Company's Business	23
10	The Previously Downplayed Risks Begin to Materialize but Defendants Continue to Mislead Investors	24
11	Defendants Fail to Disclose Information Required to be Disclosed Under Regulation S-K	29
12	The Truth Begins to Emerge.....	30
13	Defendants Continue to Mislead Investors About its Existing Customers' Growth	33
14	The Full Truth Emerges	36
15	SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS.....	39
16	LOSS CAUSATION.....	44
17	NO SAFE HARBOR	45
18	APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE	45
19	(FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE).....	45
20	PLAINTIFFS' CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS.....	47
21	COUNT I	49
22	COUNT II	51
23	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	52
24	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY	52
25		
26		
27		
28	{00637015;12 }	i

1 Lead Plaintiff Olger Guri (“Lead Plaintiff”) and named plaintiff Ken Kula (collectively,
 2 “Plaintiffs”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by Plaintiffs’ undersigned
 3 attorneys, allege the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs’ own
 4 acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation
 5 conducted by Plaintiffs’ attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of Fastly, Inc.’s
 6 (“Fastly” or the “Company”) public documents, conference calls, statements, and announcements
 7 made by Fastly, Fastly’s Chief Executive Officer, Todd Nightingale, and Fastly’s Chief Financial
 8 Officer, Ronald Kisling (collectively, “Defendants”); United States Securities and Exchange
 9 Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases published by and regarding Fastly; analyst
 10 reports and advisories about the Company; interviews with former employees; and information
 11 readily obtainable on the Internet.

12 NATURE OF THE ACTION

13 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of all persons
 14 and entities other than Defendants that purchased or otherwise acquired Fastly securities between
 15 November 15, 2023 and August 7, 2024, both dates inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to
 16 recover damages caused by Defendants’ violations of the federal securities laws and to pursue
 17 remedies under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange
 18 Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, against the Company and certain of its executives.

19 2. Fastly operates an edge cloud platform for processing, serving, and securing
 20 customer’s applications. The edge cloud is a category of Infrastructure-as-a-Service (“IaaS”) that
 21 enables developers to build, secure, and deliver digital experiences. Fastly’s platform includes a
 22 Content Delivery Network (“CDN”), or a geographically distributed network of proxy servers and
 23 their data centers. Content owners, such as media companies and e-commerce vendors, pay CDN
 24 operators to deliver their content to their end users. In other words, Fastly’s customers are
 25 delivering web experiences, whether in the form of applications, websites, or streaming services.
 26 Certain companies have adopted a “Multi-CDN” framework which combines multiple CDNs from
 27 various providers into one large global network.

1 3. The bulk of the Company’s revenue is derived from its existing customers’ use of
 2 Fastly’s platform, although the Company generates some revenue from additional products and
 3 other services, such as account management and customer support.

4 4. Fastly charges customers based on their use of the Company’s platform and
 5 typically enters into contracts that include a monthly minimum billing commitment in exchange
 6 for more favorable pricing terms.

7 5. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2023, roughly 95% of the Company’s
 8 revenue was derived from customers’ use of its platform and new customers contributed less than
 9 10% of that revenue.

10 6. The majority of the Company’s revenue is generated by its enterprise customers,
 11 which, as explained more fully below, were previously defined as those customers with revenue
 12 in excess of \$100,000 over the trailing 12-month period, but are currently defined as those
 13 customers with annualized current quarter revenue in excess of \$100,000, which is calculated by
 14 taking the revenue recognized in the current quarter and multiplying it by four.

15 7. The Company’s ten largest customers, which one confidential witness referred to
 16 as “big whales,” include large streaming accounts and other accounts in the media space such as
 17 TikTok, Amazon Video, Apple, Twitter (X), Netflix, Paramount, and Disney. These customers
 18 account for a significant portion of the Company’s revenue, generating 37% of the Company’s
 19 revenue in FY 2023.

20 8. Thus, a decline in revenue from the Company’s existing enterprise customers,
 21 especially its largest customers, or a decline in retention of such customers, could create volatility
 22 in the Company’s revenue and materially impact Fastly’s business.

23 9. Against that backdrop, beginning in November 2023, Defendants began to mislead
 24 investors about how macroeconomic trends and uncertainties (*i.e.*, the impact of rising interest
 25 rates, banking instability, and recession fears) were affecting the Company’s business.

26 10. During a November 15, 2023 conference, in response to a question about whether
 27 “macro trends” were getting better, Nightingale replied: “The biggest pressure that people saw in

1 this area was like in small to medium SMB customers, maybe some of the mid market. We don't
 2 have a lot of exposure there. . . . [s]o I didn't see too many headwinds. The only thing we saw was
 3 a little bit of elongated deal flow." When pressed, Nightingale responded, "*My competitors are*
 4 *seeing these effects, some slowing in growth, and [] we're not seeing that*" (emphasis added).

5 11. However, according to one confidential witness, such statements were "BS," and
 6 Nightingale was "probably just trying to keep the share price afloat." That same confidential
 7 witness explained that 30 to 40% of the Company's sales team was dedicated to the small and
 8 medium-sized business ("SMB") segment of the market. Another confidential witness, who
 9 worked with mid market enterprise customers, described how beginning in March and April of
 10 2023, customers began requesting more aggressive discounts. There was a direct correlation
 11 between when customers began asking for these discounts and when interest rates began rising
 12 significantly.

13 12. In addition, one confidential witness explained that, contrary to Nightingale's
 14 November 2023 public statements, macro forces, such as rising interest rates, were "definitely in
 15 the business cycle" and in fact, negatively affecting the Company's revenues overall throughout
 16 2023, including revenues from Fastly's largest customers, who were increasingly more price-
 17 conscious.

18 13. Adding to the pressures on Fastly was the fact that Fastly's competitors (*i.e.*,
 19 Cloudflare, Akamai, and Imperva) in the CDN market had caught up to the Company in
 20 technological prowess. Thus, Fastly had far less leverage in negotiating prices with its customers.

21 14. The previously downplayed risks of macro effects on the Company's business
 22 began to materialize when the Company announced its Q4 and FY 2023 financial results on
 23 February 14, 2024. The Company reported revenues of \$506 million for FY 2023 and \$137.8
 24 million for Q4 2023. The reported Q4 2023 revenue was on the lower side of their previous
 25 guidance and, according to Zacks Investment Research, missed analysts' consensus estimates by
 26 1.1%. In response to this news, Fastly's stock price fell significantly, from \$23.54 to close at
 27 \$16.34 on February 15, 2024, a decline of 30.59 %.

1 15. However, Defendants continued to mislead investors by making misleading
2 statements about the Company’s customer retention rates and its existing customers’ continued
3 expansion of their use of Fastly’s services. During the February 14, 2024 earnings call with
4 investors and analysts, Nightingale stated that the Company’s “customer retention efforts were
5 stable in the fourth quarter.” When pressed by analysts on whether there were fundamental changes
6 to the business in light of macroeconomic trends and uncertainties affecting the Company,
7 Nightingale stated unequivocally in the conference call, “No, I think it was pretty much as we saw
8 last quarter. A handful of deals may be taking a little longer than we thought, a little bit of deals
9 elongation.”

10 16. However, according to various confidential witnesses, in 2023, the appetite for
11 Fastly’s services was declining. These confidential witnesses describe how numerous enterprise
12 customers were canceling their contracts in 2023 and it was very difficult to land new clients. For
13 example, Indeed and USAA did not renew their contracts with Fastly in 2023. In or around March
14 and April of 2023, some enterprise customers began requesting steeper discounts when negotiating
15 contract renewals with Fastly. The revenue decline became apparent to one confidential witness
16 in November 2023, when they began to struggle to meet sales quotas. By the end of 2023, only 30
17 percent of salespeople met their revenue targets. Customers continued to experience a stagnation
18 in growth in 2024.

19 17. Both the macro impacts on the Company’s business and the declining appetite for
20 Fastly’s services was well known throughout the Company and the Company’s C-Suite because
21 the Company regularly held quarterly “all hands” meetings at the Company’s San Francisco office,
22 which discussed the overall state of the business, including, but not limited to, the effect of macro
23 forces on the Company’s business in 2023. All hands meetings typically were held a week after
24 the Company’s earnings calls and would provide a recap of what was discussed during the earnings
25 call. They would include slide deck presentations and were attended by the Company’s C-Suite
26 and all employees, who either attended in-person or could tune into the meetings live via Vimeo.
27 Recordings of the meetings were distributed to all employees by email after the meeting.

1 18. By November 2023, during these all hands meetings, Nightingale was discussing
 2 how the Company's largest accounts were not bringing in as much traffic to Fastly. Specifically,
 3 during the November 2023 all hands meeting, which occurred shortly after Fastly's November 1,
 4 2023 Q3 2023 earnings call, Nightingale spoke about "decreasing revenue from [the Company's]
 5 big customers" and discussed how Fastly's large media accounts had "throttled down" their usage,
 6 warning that a specific streaming company was "***throttling things back, which is why revenue is***
 7 ***down***" (emphasis added). One confidential witness corroborated seeing this trend with their
 8 customers, stating that "New business really started falling off a cliff in Q4 [2023]."

9 19. The decline in traffic was also known to Defendants because the Company's top
 10 executives – including, occasionally, Nightingale – attended weekly meetings throughout the
 11 relevant period, during which the Company's customers were rated based on how much traffic
 12 they were transmitting through Fastly's platform. If a major enterprise customer continued to be
 13 rated poorly, a "tiger team" was assembled to focus on improving that customer's performance.

14 20. Notwithstanding the discussion behind the scenes about the Company's largest
 15 customers "throttling things back" in November 2023, during the February 14, 2024 earnings call,
 16 Nightingale also misleadingly attributed the Company coming in at the lower end of its guidance
 17 range to "weaker than anticipated international traffic, offset by seasonally strong live streaming
 18 and gaming activity" while omitting the critical information that a substantial contributor to the
 19 revenue decline was several of the Company's largest customers simultaneously experiencing a
 20 decline in traffic.

21 21. Defendants continued to make similarly misleading statements in its annual report
 22 filed on February 22, 2024, touting that the Company's existing enterprise customers were
 23 increasing their spend on Fastly's platform and continuing to drive the Company's revenue growth.
 24 Further, Defendants claimed that any chance of its existing customers decreasing their use of
 25 Fastly's platform was a ***hypothetical risk***, despite the fact that the risk had already materialized.

26 22. Additional negative information corrective of Defendants' prior misrepresentations
 27 emerged on May 1, 2024, when Fastly hosted an earnings call to discuss the Company's Q1 2024

1 financial results. During that call, Defendants decreased the company’s FY 2024 revenue
 2 projections from a range of \$580 million to \$590 million to a range of \$555 million to \$565 million,
 3 blaming the decline in revenue on some of the Company’s largest customers.

4 23. Specifically, Defendants disclosed that the “biggest factor” contributing to a
 5 revenue decline was “a reduction of revenue from a small number of [the Company’s] largest
 6 customers,” explaining that there was “a slight uptick from the typical level of rerates with [the
 7 Company’s] largest customers,” which was not accompanied by “the commensurate traffic
 8 expansion usually associated with this motion.”

9 24. When pressed for further detail on the timing of that pricing pressure from some of
 10 the Company’s largest accounts, Kisling clarified “[A] lot of that arose really at the end of March
 11 and in early April” with “negotiations result[ing] in bigger discounts than we thought that did not
 12 come with the typical increase in traffic that we’ve seen historically.”

13 25. Analysts reacted negatively to the volatility with some of the Company’s largest
 14 customers. For example, on May 2, 2024, BofA downgraded Fastly stock from “Buy” to
 15 “Underperform,” citing the “[d]ecelerating growth in Fastly’s largest customers” as a basis to
 16 question “a rebound in 2024.” Similarly, D.A. Davidson downgraded Fastly’s stock from “Buy”
 17 to “NEUTRAL,” expressing concern about the Company’s apparent expectation to “recover[]”
 18 traffic with large customers,” and stating “[w]e cannot get comfortable with that premise given
 19 what’s transpired over the last two quarters.”

20 26. Following these developments, Fastly’s stock price fell \$4.14 per share, or 32.02%,
 21 to close at \$8.79 per share on May 2, 2024.

22 27. Nevertheless, Defendants continued to withhold the full truth from investors about
 23 the Company’s revenue prospects from its existing enterprise customers, including its largest
 24 customers.

25 28. During the May 2, 2024 earnings call, Nightingale portrayed the revenue decline
 26 from the Company’s top customers as stabilizing, stating: “Right now, we have already launched
 27

1 a new engagement model. We're driving a far higher touch across all those major accounts. We've
 2 been running it for a couple of weeks now, and we're – I'm pretty happy with the progress so far."

3 29. In reality, Defendants had not made any progress with its largest customers. To the
 4 contrary, according to one confidential witness who was tasked with developing a product for two
 5 of the Company's largest customers, Apple and Amazon, Apple and Amazon had been requesting
 6 the product since 2023 and the Company promised to deliver it by the end of 2023, but the
 7 Company had not even begun working on it until May 2024. Nightingale told that confidential
 8 witness to do whatever was needed to get the project done.

9 30. Defendants also continued to mislead investors about the Company continuing to
 10 drive growth through existing customers. In the Company's Q1 2024 Quarterly Report filed on
 11 Form 10-Q on May 1, 2024, Defendants repeated that the Company is "focused on . . . expanding
 12 [its] relationship with existing customers" and "emphasize[s] retaining [its] customers and
 13 expanding their usage of [Fastly's] platform and adoption of [the Company's] other products."

14 31. However, by that time, Defendants had shifted the Company's focus away from
 15 driving revenue growth through existing customers, implementing a new sales strategy using a
 16 new compensation model in 2024 that prioritized revenue generated from new customer
 17 acquisitions over existing customers, thereby incentivizing the sales team to focus their efforts on
 18 new customer growth. Historically, new customers only accounted for less than 10% of the
 19 Company's revenue derived from the use of its platform.

20 32. The Company's Q1 2024 Quarterly Report also discussed the risk of existing
 21 customers, especially the Company's largest customers, decreasing their use of the Company's
 22 platform as hypothetical risks when, in reality, the risk had already materialized, as existing
 23 customers' use of Fastly's platform had been stagnating for at least a quarter.

24 33. The full truth came to light on August 7, 2024, when the Company hosted an
 25 earnings call to discuss its Q2 2024 financial results and disclosed that revenue from the
 26 Company's largest customers was continuing to decline and the Company had shifted its focus
 27 towards new customer acquisitions in order to diversify and mitigate the Company's dependence

1 on its largest customers. Fastly's top 10 customers' revenue share had fallen from 40% to 34% in
 2 two quarters.

3 34. Analysts reacted negatively. For instance, on August 7, 2024, Piper Sandler noted
 4 there was now “likely *lack of management credibility* for at least the next few quarters” (emphasis
 5 added). In an August 8, 2024 report, Craig-Hallum Capital noted that “[t]he team did make it clear
 6 that the decline was not in the whole top 10, but a ‘small handful’ of the top 10 in the media
 7 vertical. This would seem to imply declines of major magnitude at those customers, something on
 8 the order of *halving* revenue at those three or four customers.” (emphasis added). It added, “[*t*here
 9 *will be questions around management’s visibility after this surprise*” and “FSLY is *bleeding*
 10 *badly when it comes to large customers and is providing very little visibility. . . . [I*nvestors are
 11 *going to need more information*” (emphasis added).

12 35. Following these developments, Fastly's stock price fell \$0.98 per share, or 14.33%,
 13 to close at \$5.86 per share on August 8, 2024.

14 36. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline
 15 in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered
 16 significant losses and damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17 37. The claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange
 18 Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17
 19 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).

20 38. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28
 21 U.S.C. § 1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act.

22 39. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act
 23 (15 U.S.C. § 78aa) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Fastly is headquartered in this Judicial District,
 24 Defendants conduct business in this Judicial District, and a significant portion of Defendants'
 25 activities took place within this Judicial District.
 26

1 40. In connection with the acts alleged in this complaint, Defendants, directly or
2 indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including, but not limited
3 to, the mails, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of the national securities
4 markets.

PARTIES

6 41. Lead Plaintiff acquired Fastly securities at artificially inflated prices during the
7 Class Period and was damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures.

8 42. Named Plaintiff Ken Kula acquired Fastly securities at artificially inflated prices
9 during the Class Period and was damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures.

10 43. Defendant Fastly is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices located
11 at 475 Brannan Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94107. The Company's common stock
12 trades in an efficient market on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the ticker symbol
13 "FSLY."

14 44. Defendant Nightingale has served as Fastly's Chief Executive Officer at all relevant
15 times.

16 45. Defendant Kisling has served as Fastly's Chief Financial Officer at all relevant
17 times.

18 46. Defendants Nightingale and Kisling are collectively referred to herein as the
19 “Individual Defendants.”

20 47. The Individual Defendants possessed the power and authority to control the
21 contents of Fastly's SEC filings, press releases, and other market communications. The Individual
22 Defendants were provided with copies of Fastly's SEC filings and press releases alleged herein to
23 be misleading prior to or shortly after their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent
24 their issuance or to cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions with Fastly, and their
25 access to material information available to them but not to the public, the Individual Defendants
26 knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to and were being concealed
27 from the public, and that the positive representations being made were then materially false and

1 misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements and omissions pleaded
 2 herein.

3 48. Fastly and the Individual Defendants are collectively referred to herein as
 4 “Defendants.”

5 **FORMER EMPLOYEES WHO SUBSTANTIATE THE ALLEGATIONS**

6 49. CW1 worked for Fastly remotely out of Dallas as an Enterprise Sales Director from
 7 December 2021 through September 2024. CW1 reported to a Director of Sales, who reported to a
 8 Senior Vice President of Sales, who reported to the Chief Revenue Officer. CW1 worked with
 9 both new and existing customers and had a portfolio of about twenty companies, which included
 10 several Fortune 500 companies. CW1 was responsible for selling Fastly’s security services and
 11 managing existing customers. By the end of CW1’s employment at Fastly, CW1’s job was heavily
 12 focused on acquiring new customers.

13 50. CW2 worked for Fastly both remotely and out of the Culver City office as a Senior
 14 Account Executive for the West Coast from September 2022 until August 2024. CW2 reported to
 15 Robbie Leukam, a current Senior Sales Leader for the West Coast, who reported to TJ Michie, a
 16 Senior Vice President of Sales. CW2 managed around fifty mid-market enterprise customers and
 17 was involved in contract renewals, as well as “cross-selling,” *i.e.*, working with existing customers
 18 to see if they could benefit from additional services offered by Fastly. CW2 worked with both new
 19 and existing mid-market enterprise customers.

20 51. CW3 worked for Fastly remotely from New Hampshire as an Enterprise Account
 21 Manager from September 2021 until April 2024 and reported to the Director of Account
 22 Management. CW3 was part of the Northeast enterprise account team, which focused on managing
 23 clients that brought in more than \$100 million/year in revenue. These clients included some of the
 24 biggest clients at Fastly. CW3’s job responsibilities included engaging in contract negotiations
 25 with customers and trying to upsell those customers by getting them to agree to use more of Fastly’
 26 services. CW3 was given quotas to meet on a “half-year” basis.

1 52. CW4 worked remotely for Fastly as a Senior Enterprise Account Executive for the
 2 West Coast sales team from July 2023 through April 2024 and reported to the West Coast
 3 Enterprise Sales Director. CW4 focused on customer growth and acquisition and managed a
 4 portfolio of about ten enterprise customers. CW4's duties included reaching out to potential new
 5 business, going to sales events, and meeting with current customers looking for expansion
 6 opportunities. CW4 was tasked with attempting to bring on about one hundred and fifty businesses
 7 as customers.

8 53. CW5 worked for Fastly as a Technical Program Manager from March 2021 until
 9 August 2024, and reported to the VP of Product Operations, Chris Domergue, who reported to the
 10 Chief Product Officer, currently Kip Compton. CW5 worked remotely but went into the San
 11 Francisco office about once a month. CW5 formed teams to develop new products at Fastly and
 12 CW5's responsibilities included assigning engineers to new roles, maintaining deadlines, and
 13 overseeing progress. CW5 interacted with many different departments, including the engineering,
 14 marketing, and sales teams. Following the release of the Q1 2024 results in May 2024, CW5 was
 15 tasked with developing a product for two of Fastly's biggest accounts, Apple and Amazon. The
 16 product consisted of a "staging environment" that would allow those companies to test out new
 17 web features before they go live on their platforms and functions much like a dress rehearsal where
 18 web engineers can evaluate how well a new product or tool works on their website before it is
 19 implemented.

20 54. CW6 worked for Fastly remotely out of California as a Website Producer from
 21 January 2021 through August 2024 and reported to a Web Production Manager, Chris LeCompte,
 22 who is no longer employed by Fastly. CW6's job responsibilities included managing Fastly's
 23 marketing website, overseeing content updates, and making the website more accessible for the
 24 public. CW6 was in charge of search engine optimization to improve page rankings and resolving
 25 any bugs on the website's backend.

26 55. CW7 worked for Fastly, both remotely and based out of Fastly's headquarters in
 27 San Francisco, as a Senior Program Manager from February 2016 through September 2023. CW7's
 28 {00637015;12 }

1 immediate boss was a Senior Manager, Jordana Pilmanis, who is no longer employed by Fastly.
 2 CW7 was part of the Client Services team, also known as the Customer Ops team, and CW7's
 3 daily responsibilities included setting up meetings for new customers switching over to Fastly's
 4 CDN. CW7 worked with large enterprise clients to ensure everything ran smoothly as they
 5 integrated Fastly's services to their operations and stepped in to resolve any issues the enterprise
 6 customer had. CW7 also sent executive management weekly, and sometimes daily, end-of-day
 7 reports to an email address for the C-Suite. During CW7's final year with Fastly, CW7 managed
 8 large live events for streaming companies, which included high-traffic events like the premiere of
 9 a hit TV show or popular live sports broadcasts. For example, CW7 oversaw Fastly's contract with
 10 CBS for hosting the Super Bowl, ensuring the CDN could handle the massive influx of viewers
 11 for such a major event.

12 SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

13 Background

14 56. Fastly operates an edge cloud platform for processing, serving, and securing its
 15 customer's applications in the U.S., the Asia Pacific, Europe, and other international locations.
 16 The edge cloud is a category of IaaS that enables developers to build, secure, and deliver digital
 17 experiences. Organizations seeking to improve their user experience (*i.e.*, by faster loading
 18 websites) can benefit from processing at the edge.

19 57. Fastly's customers include organizations across many different industries.
 20 According to CW1, the majority of the Company's top ten customers are in the media space (*i.e.*,
 21 TikTok, Apple, Twitter (X), Paramount, and Amazon Video), known at Fastly as the "media
 22 accounts."

23 58. The Company generates substantially all of its revenue from charging customers
 24 based on their usage of Fastly's platform, measured in gigabytes.

25 59. Typically, the Company enters into one-year contracts with customers, which
 26 include a minimum monthly billing commitment in exchange for more favorable pricing terms,
 27

1 although terms may vary by contract. Most of the Company's contracts are non-cancelable over
 2 the contractual term.

3 60. However, the Company also generates some revenue from additional products and
 4 professional and other services, such as implementation, account management, and customer
 5 support. The Company charges a flat one-time fee or recurring monthly fee for the additional
 6 products and services.

7 61. According to CW2, negotiations for existing customer contracts typically begin
 8 three months before the contract is set to expire, particularly with larger enterprise customers.

9 62. The bulk of the Company's revenue is derived from existing customers' usage of
 10 Fastly's platform.

11 63. For the years ended December 31, 2023 and 2022, approximately 95% and 94% of
 12 the Company's revenue, respectively, was driven by usage of its platform. New customers
 13 contributed less than 10% of that revenue.

14 64. Historically, the Company's revenue has been driven primarily by its enterprise
 15 customers who leverage the platform substantially from a usage standpoint.

16 65. The Company previously defined enterprise customers as those customers with
 17 revenue in excess of \$100,000 over the trailing 12-month period. However, in 2023, the Company
 18 updated its methodology and redefined enterprise customers as those customers with annualized
 19 current quarter revenue in excess of \$100,000, which is calculated by taking the revenue
 20 recognized in the current quarter and multiplying it by four.

21 66. Under the prior methodology, the comparative revenue generated from enterprise
 22 customers versus non-enterprise customers for FY 2021, 2022, and 2023 was as follows:

	2023	2022	2021
Enterprise customers	\$458,472,000	\$386,853,000	\$313,360,000
Non-enterprise customers	\$47,516,000	\$45,872,000	\$40,970,000
Total Revenue	\$505,988,000	\$432,725,000	\$354,330,000

1 67. Under the new methodology, the comparative revenue generated from enterprise
 2 customers versus non-enterprise customers for FY 2021, 2022, and 2023 was as follows:

	2023	2022	2021
Enterprise customers	\$464,452,000	\$393,152,000	\$315,918,000
Non-enterprise customers	\$41,536,000	\$39,573,000	\$38,412,000
Total Revenue	\$505,988,000	\$432,725,000	\$354,330,000

6 68. The Company's ten largest customers account for a significant portion of its
 7 revenue. In FY 2022, the ten largest customers generated an aggregate of 35% of the Company's
 8 revenue and in FY 2023, they generated an aggregate of 37% of the Company's revenue.

9 69. Thus, changes in usage by these customers can create volatility in the Company's
 10 revenue.

11 70. The Company measures revenue growth from existing customers – either through
 12 increased usage of Fastly's platform or purchase of additional products or services – using three
 13 key metrics: Dollar-Based Net Expansion Rate ("DBNER"), Net Retention Rate ("NRR") and
 14 Last-Twelve Months Net Retention Rate ("LTM NRR").

15 71. DBNER measures the Company's expansion of business with existing customers
 16 and provides insight into how effectively the Company is retaining and expanding its customer
 17 base over a specific period. DBNER increases when customers increase their usage of Fastly's
 18 platform or purchase additional products. It declines when customers reduce their usage of Fastly's
 19 platform, obtain lower pricing on their existing usage, or curtail their purchases of additional
 20 products. DBNER measures expansion only among continuing customers and does not indicate
 21 any decrease in revenue attributable to former customers.

22 72. DBNER is calculated by dividing revenue for a given period from customers who
 23 remained customers as of the last day of the given period (the current period) by revenue from the
 24 same customers for the same period measured one year prior (the base period). The revenue
 25 included in the current period excludes customers that churned (*i.e.*, terminated services) after the
 26
 27

1 end of the base period and new customers that entered into customer agreements after the end of
 2 the base period.

3 73. NRR captures the ability of the Company to retain revenue from existing customers
 4 over a specific period by measuring the change in revenue generated by existing customers from
 5 one period to another. Fastly calculates NRR by dividing revenue derived from existing customers
 6 in the last month of the period by revenue from those same customers during the last month of the
 7 same period one year prior.

8 74. NRR is a metric that tracks growth from existing customers without factoring in
 9 new customer acquisition. A low NRR could indicate customer churn or dissatisfaction. A NRR
 10 greater than 100% is a growth indicator.

11 75. Beginning in Q1 2024, the Company discontinued disclosing quarterly NRR and
 12 DBNER. The Company had reported DBNER as a key metric since its first quarterly report, its
 13 Q2 2019 quarterly report filed with the SEC on Form 10-Q on August 9, 2019. The Company had
 14 reported quarterly NRR as a key metric since its Q2 2020 quarterly report filed with the SEC on
 15 Form 10-Q on August 7, 2020. The Company still discloses LTM NRR, which it described as
 16 “intended to be supplemental to our NRR” when it first began disclosing LTM NRR in the
 17 Company’s Q3 2020 Quarterly Report filed on November 6, 2020.

Fastly Experiences a Significant Customer Pullback Beginning in 2023

19 76. During the Class Period, Nightingale denied that macro forces were having an
 20 impact on the Company’s business, claiming that factors that had negatively impacted its
 21 competitors did not apply to Fastly because Fastly was not exposed to the type of customer where
 22 the market weakness was being felt (the SMB customer market).

23 77. However, in reality, the Company did face exposure from SMB customers who,
 24 according to CW2, specifically cited economic reasons and noted a correlation with rising interest
 25 rates as a reason for customers’ requests for better pricing. Starting in March and April 2023,
 26 CW2’s mid-market enterprise customers began requesting more aggressive discounts when
 27 renewing their contracts with Fastly and threatened to terminate services with Fastly. According

1 to CW2, customers were requesting these deals due to economic concerns and there was a direct
 2 correlation between when customers began asking for discounts and when interest rates began
 3 significantly rising. On average, customers were asking for a ten percent cut to their rates. Rather
 4 than agreeing to a discounted rate, Fastly often compromised by not increasing rates in the renewal
 5 contracts for these usage-based customers.

6 78. According to CW2, when considering whether to agree to discounted rates, a major
 7 component Fastly considers is how much traffic the customer is driving towards Fastly. CW2 had
 8 to get approval from a VP of Sales for discounts that went past a certain threshold. The Company
 9 had a system in place that once a contract would go above a certain percentage of a discount, it
 10 required executive approval.

11 79. CW1 similarly described how macro forces, such as rising interest rates, were
 12 “definitely in the business cycle” and in fact, negatively affecting the Company’s revenues overall
 13 throughout 2023, including revenues from Fastly’s largest customers, who were increasingly more
 14 price-conscious. CW1 referred to Nightingale’s macro statements as “BS,” and stated Nightingale
 15 was “probably just trying to keep the share price afloat.”

16 80. Moreover, according to CW1, macro forces were negatively impacting the
 17 Company’s business as a whole, as even the largest customers were becoming increasingly price
 18 conscious and demanding better pricing. Adding to the pressures on Fastly was the fact that
 19 Fastly’s competitors (*i.e.*, Cloudflare, Akamai, and Imperva) in the CDN market had caught up to
 20 the Company in technological prowess. Thus, Fastly had far less leverage in negotiating prices
 21 with its customers. CW1 confirmed that these macro concerns were discussed at the Company’s
 22 all hands meetings throughout 2023.

23 81. Defendants also touted that the Company’s revenue growth was being driven by
 24 existing enterprise customers even as Defendants knew that revenue from existing enterprise
 25 customers, including the Company’s largest enterprise customers, was on the decline.

26 82. Beginning in 2023 and throughout 2024, existing enterprise customers were losing
 27 their appetite for Fastly’s services.

1 83. During 2023, several of CW1's enterprise customers cancelled their contracts. For
 2 example, Indeed cancelled its \$500,000/year security contract with Fastly in April 2023 because
 3 Fastly did not have the capability to fulfill their requirements for a bot solution. USAA's two-year
 4 \$400,000 deal ended in November 2023 because the Company never implemented Fastly's
 5 software and CW1 could not convince the Company to deploy it.

6 84. In both instances, CW1 knew more than six months before the contracts were set
 7 to renew that it would be difficult to keep those customers.

8 85. CW1 also shared concerns about losing the \$500,000/year contract if Fastly could
 9 not meet the customer's requirements all the way up to the founder of the Company, Artur
 10 Bergman.

11 86. CW4 worked on contract renewals for roughly ten existing customers between July
 12 2023 through April 2024, and during that time period, only two of those customers were expanding
 13 their business with Fastly, while the majority of CW4's customers were stagnant in how they used
 14 Fastly year over year.

15 87. CW3, whose team handled clients responsible for at least \$100 million of revenues,
 16 began to notice that revenues were declining for CW3's largest customers in November 2023
 17 because CW3 began struggling to meet the quotas that the Company set for CW3. By the end of
 18 2023, CW3 was about 40% short of meeting the quota set for CW3. CW3's colleagues were facing
 19 similar struggles with both large and small accounts. CW3 explained customers were no longer
 20 expanding their contracts with Fastly due to "tightening budgets" on their end, a general downturn
 21 in the tech sector as a whole, and sometimes being offered a better deal from competitors.

22 88. According to CW1, the Company's C-Suite "absolutely" knew by November 2023
 23 that revenue for its larger customers was expected to decline because this issue was specifically
 24 being discussed in all hands meetings being held during Q4 2023 at these meetings. Nightingale
 25 discussed how large accounts were not bringing in as much traffic to Fastly, and the revenue
 26 derived from the large accounts was decreasing. He explained measures the Company was taking

1 to address the decrease in traffic, such as assigning more “customer success” employees to the big
 2 accounts and using analytics to identify which accounts were slowing down the most.

3 89. CW6 corroborated CW1’s account, describing that during all hands meetings
 4 during the last two quarters of 2023, including the November 2023 all hands meeting that occurred
 5 shortly after Fastly’s November 1, 2023 Q3 2023 earnings call, Nightingale discussed how several
 6 of the Company’s large media accounts were not bringing in as much traffic to Fastly. During that
 7 all hands meeting, Nightingale spoke about “decreasing revenue from [the Company’s] big
 8 customers” and how Fastly’s large media accounts had had “throttled down” their usage
 9 simultaneously, resulting in a decrease in revenue. Nightingale warned that a specific streaming
 10 company was ***“throttling things back, which is why revenue is down”*** (emphasis added).

11 90. CW6 explained, during all hands meetings, Nightingale also discussed how the
 12 Company was trying to lessen its reliance on these big accounts by acquiring several other large
 13 enterprise accounts because he was concerned about Fastly’s revenue model relying on just a
 14 handful of big streaming accounts (*i.e.*, Netflix and Disney), which CW6 described as “big whales”
 15 and which were known to fluctuate in how much they used Fastly’s services.

16 91. According to CW6, the Company’s C-Suite and all employees attended the all
 17 hands meetings either in-person in the San Francisco office or remotely via Vimeo. The meetings
 18 included slide deck presentations and recordings of the meetings were distributed to all employees
 19 by email. The meetings were held regularly every quarter and typically occurred a week after the
 20 Company’s earnings call. The meetings would include slide deck presentations and there would
 21 be a recap of what was discussed during the earnings call.

22 92. In addition, the Company also regularly tracked its customers’ usage of Fastly’s
 23 platform and their traffic flow.

24 93. According to CW1, employees at Fastly can track how much traffic a customer is
 25 using through an internal tool known as the “Fastly App” and anyone managing those accounts
 26 would be able to see traffic flow. The app is mostly used by the customer success and engineering
 27 teams to analyze performance.

1 94. CW7 explained the Company also held weekly Customer Ops meetings, since at
 2 least as far back as 2022, to address customer issues and track bandwidth usage (*i.e.*, how much
 3 traffic was being transmitted through Fastly’s platform) brought in by top clients, which took place
 4 every Thursday, typically at either 10 or 11 a.m. PT.

5 95. These meetings were attended by around fifty to seventy-five people via Zoom and
 6 were recorded and uploaded to the Company’s intranet for individuals unable to attend the meeting
 7 live.

8 96. These meetings were cross-functional and included Account Managers, Technical
 9 Account Managers, Engineers, Customer Operations, and Sales team members. . During the
 10 meetings, account managers would present the amount of bandwidth (also referred to as the
 11 “throughput”) that each customer was using and Fastly used a red/yellow/green system to indicate
 12 how much bandwidth its top customers were using, with red representing a customer who was not
 13 using as much bandwidth as expected or desired.

14 97. A slide deck, compiled by Fastly’s Vice President of Customer Support, Kami
 15 Richey, was always used at the meetings, showing each client’s name and their color status. Every
 16 week, the Vice President of Customer Support gathered throughput data from the Account
 17 Managers, who monitored those numbers daily, and put that information in the slide deck.

18 98. During the meetings, Account Managers or Technical Account Managers typically
 19 provided the overview, with Account Managers talking about their top customers. If a major
 20 customer had opened a support ticket, that would also be a key topic of discussion and an
 21 engineering employee would provide a summary of the issue and the steps being taken to resolve
 22 it. A support person also would present on the top support tickets and big customer tickets. The
 23 meetings would also include discussion about any type of security incident that would affect
 24 everyone.

25 99. Fastly’s Chief Customer Officer, Kim Ogletree, and the Vice President of Global
 26 Customer Success, Windy Mesquita, regularly attended these meetings. Nightingale would also
 27 occasionally join the meetings.

1 100. If a major enterprise customer remained in “red” status week after week, the Chief
 2 Customer Officer, Vice President of Global Customer Success, and Vice President of Customer
 3 Support would assemble a “tiger team” to focus on improving the customer’s performance.

4 101. CW7 recalled that by March 2023, Ticketmaster was one larger enterprise customer
 5 that consistently had issues with Fastly’s services, was labeled “red” status in terms of throughput
 6 usage, and frequently threatened to cancel its contract with Fastly. These issues dated as far back
 7 as November 2022, with CW7 describing an incident involving Taylor Swift “Eras Tour” tickets,
 8 and Ticketmaster’s system crashing due to several backend technical failures. CW7 explained
 9 Ticketmaster blamed Fastly for the issue.

10 102. In addition, according to CW1, it was well known throughout the Company,
 11 beginning in some point during 2023, that the Company was at high risk of eventually losing
 12 TikTok as a customer because TikTok had begun “building out their own network,” which would
 13 result in TikTok transitioning off of Fastly at some point.

14 103. According to CW1, after the Q1 2024 results were released, the Company’s leaders
 15 set up a “war room” and “tiger team,” headed by the Chief Customer Officer, Kim Ogletree, to
 16 address the substantial drop in traffic from the Company’s bigger customers. The tiger team was
 17 made up of employees involved with “customer success.”

18 104. In subsequent all hands meetings, CW6 recalled Nightingale discussing how
 19 several of the Company’s top ten accounts were simultaneously reducing usage.

The Company Struggles to Acquire New Customers

21 105. As revenue from existing customers, including the Company’s largest customers,
 22 declined, the Company shifted its sales teams’ focus towards acquiring new business, despite the
 23 fact that the vast majority of the Company’s revenue was historically derived from existing
 24 customers and Defendants continued to publicly emphasize that the Company was driving revenue
 25 growth from existing customers.

26 106. Behind the scenes, according to CW6, Nightingale and the new Chief Revenue
 27 Officer put together teams to try to obtain business from several bigger companies in 2024.

1 107. Further, according to CW1, CW2, CW3, and CW4, Fastly reconfigured its sales
 2 compensation plan in 2024 in a manner that prioritized revenue secured from new accounts (also
 3 referred to as new “logos”) over revenue generated from existing customers, thereby discouraging
 4 sales team members from continuing to attempt to drive growth from existing clients.

5 108. According to CW4, prior to 2024, the sales compensation model weighed all
 6 additional revenue a sales employee secured equally, regardless of whether it was generated from
 7 a new or existing customer. Under the new model implemented in 2024, sales employees received
 8 fifty percent more pay on revenue acquired from new customers, while the pay-out for revenue
 9 gained from cross-sells, upsells, and renewals was substantially lowered. CW2 explained revenue
 10 secured from renewals, cross-sells, and upsells was no longer a significant factor because the
 11 Company was only counting new logos. CW1 corroborated that “the entire focus of the
 12 organization shifted to new business” and “[i]t was almost punitive to continue to work with your
 13 existing clients.”

14 109. CW4’s boss explained to CW4 and CW4’s colleagues that the basis for the new
 15 compensation plan was that Fastly needed to acquire new customers in order to succeed.

16 110. However, according to CW1, new business “dried up” and started “falling off a
 17 cliff” during Q4 2023. No new contracts were being signed. CW1 explained potential customers
 18 were not interested in CW1’s proposals because Fastly was offering only a slightly better deal than
 19 their current provider, but it would require a lot of resources and energy to implement Fastly’s
 20 software.

21 111. CW1 stated that the Company fell far short of the unrealistic sales goals it had set
 22 for new customer acquisitions for 2023. The Company’s 2023 target was set at around a lofty one
 23 hundred and seventy new logos. However, CW1 only managed to bring on two new enterprise
 24 customers throughout all of 2023 and CW1 ranked as number two across the entire enterprise team.
 25 The number one person on the enterprise team was only able to bring on three new customers.
 26 Several colleagues were unable to bring on even one new customer that year.

1 112. In 2023, only 30% of the Company's salespeople were able to meet their targets, a
2 fact that was conveyed to CW1 and others by TJ Michie, the Senior VP of Sales for Fastly.

3 113. CW4 and the entire West Coast sales team, which was made up of six other sales
4 executives and account managers, were unable to acquire any new business during CW4's tenure
5 with the Company (July 2023-April 2024). Each week CW4 and CW4's boss had one-on-one
6 meetings, and the West Coast sales team had weekly meetings during which the primary topic
7 discussed was the lack of new customers that the team was bringing in. While CW4's boss was
8 concerned about the lack of new business in the latter half of 2023, that concern escalated during
9 2024. Acquiring new customers was the main topic of conversation starting in 2024 until CW4 left
10 Fastly in April 2024.

11 114. CW3 stated the Company began setting unrealistic quotas for the enterprise account
12 managers in the first two months of 2024 and the new sales compensation plan made it even more
13 challenging to meet the targets set by the Company.

14 115. According to CW2, sales teams struggled to meet the 2024 quota numbers and
15 Fastly starting using performance improvement plans around April 2024. After CW3 left Fastly in
16 April 2024, CW3 similarly heard that Fastly had begun instituting performance improvement plans
17 in an effort to boost revenues and “increase quota attainment” and if employees failed to show
18 signs of improvement, they were “on the chopping block.”

19 116. Notwithstanding the lack of monetary incentive to drive revenue through existing
20 customers, CW2 continued to have renewal meetings with existing customers in 2024, describing
21 it as follows: “we want[ed] to do the right thing and take care of them, but would not get
22 compensated for it.” However, CW2’s customers did not have the appetite for more of Fastly’s
23 software and experienced a stagnation in growth that continued until CW2 left Fastly in August
24 2024.

DEFENDANTS' FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS

1 **Defendants Mislead Investors During Q4 2023 About Macroeconomic Impacts on the**
 2 **Company's Business**

3 117. During a November 15, 2023 conference call involving Nightingale, Kisling, and
 4 Rishi Jaluria from RBC Capital Markets, Defendants made misleading statements regarding macro
 5 impacts on the Company's business.

6 118. Specifically, when asked whether macro trends were getting better, Nightingale
 7 replied: "The biggest pressure that people saw in this area was like in small to medium SMB
 8 customers, maybe some of the mid market. We don't have a lot of exposure there. I wish [we] did
 9 have more exposure, and I am working to make our products simpler so we can. But I didn't have
 10 a lot of exposure. Financial services customers and telco customers, again, if I would had more
 11 exposure there, I probably would have seen more."

12 119. In a follow-up question, Jaluria referenced how "[O]n the last earnings call, you did
 13 talk about some budget tightening that you had started to see."

14 120. Nightingale responded that "[F]or the last three or four quarters, I've gotten this
 15 question in each earnings call about what are we seeing from the macro. ***My competitors are seeing***
 16 ***these effects, some slowing in growth, and // we're not seeing that***" (emphasis added).

17 121. These statements were materially false or misleading because (i) numerous existing
 18 enterprise customers were cancelling their contracts with the Company in 2023 or requesting more
 19 aggressive discounts when renewing their contracts; (ii) according to CW1 and CW2, customers
 20 were requesting more aggressive discounts in March and April 2023 due to economic concerns
 21 (*i.e.*, rising interest rates); (iii) the negative effect of macro forces such as rising interest rates had
 22 been generally discussed among Company executives at all hands meetings throughout 2023; and
 23 (iv) Fastly was exposed to these macro pressures because Fastly's competitors in the CDN market
 24 had improved their services such that they were at least on par with Fastly, if not superior, and, as
 25 a result, Fastly had far less leverage in negotiating prices with its customers and was experiencing
 26 increased pricing pressure. Thus, contrary to Nightingale's statement, macro forces were, in fact,
 27 negatively affecting the Company and slowing its growth.

1 **The Previously Downplayed Risks Begin to Materialize but Defendants Continue to**
 2 **Mislead Investors**

3 122. During after-market hours on February 14, 2024, the Company issued a press
 4 release announcing the Company’s Q4 and FY 2023 financial results and an investor supplement
 5 discussing the Company’s Q4 and FY 2023 financial results. The Company reported revenues of
 6 \$506 million for FY 2023 and \$137.8 million for Q4 2024. The reported Q4 2023 revenue was on
 7 the lower side of their previous guidance and, according to Zacks Investment Research, missed
 8 analysts’ consensus estimates by 1.1%. In response to this news, the Company’s stock price fell
 9 from \$23.54 to close at \$16.34 on February 15, 2024, a decline of 30.59 %.

10 123. The press release also provided FY 2024 guidance in a range of \$580 million to
 11 \$590 million.

12 124. On that same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts
 13 to discuss the Company’s Q4 and FY 2023 financial results (the “Q4 2023 Earnings Call”), during
 14 which Nightingale and Kisling made materially false and misleading statements.

15 125. First, Nightingale stated that the Company’s “customer retention efforts were stable
 16 in the fourth quarter.”

17 126. Second, Kisling indicated that the projected revenue range of \$580 to \$590 million
 18 was based on, *inter alia*, the Company’s “expectation for . . . revenue growth through the year
 19 driven by . . . continued expansion of existing customers.”

20 127. The statements quoted in ¶¶ 125-126 were materially false or misleading because
 21 Nightingale and Kisling suggested that the Company’s revenue from existing customers was, at
 22 least, stable, and would likely grow in 2024, despite the fact that (i) the Company’s revenue from
 23 its larger enterprise customers had been declining because they were not bringing in as much traffic
 24 to Fastly, which Defendants knew by, at latest, November 2023 given that the Company was
 25 hosting all hands meetings by that time during which Nightingale discussed the decline, and (ii) the
 26 Company’s revenue from some of its largest customers was likely going to continue to decline
 27 because some of their contracts were up for renewal and by that time, those customers were already

1 demanding more favorable pricing; (iii) numerous existing enterprise customers were cancelling
 2 their contracts with the Company in 2023 or requesting more aggressive discounts when renewing
 3 their contracts; and (iv) the Company had shifted its sales strategy using a new compensation plan,
 4 beginning in 2024, to prioritize new customer acquisitions over generating revenue from existing
 5 customers, thereby discouraging sales team members from continuing to dedicate resources
 6 towards driving revenue from existing customers.

7 128. The fact that the Company's existing customers were decreasing their use of
 8 Fastly's platform was material because the Company derived the vast majority of its revenue from
 9 such customers. For example, in FY 2023, 95% of the Company's revenue was derived from the
 10 usage of its platform and new customers only contributed less than 10% of that revenue.

11 129. Further, the fact that the Company's largest customers, in particular, were
 12 decreasing their use of Fastly's platform also was material because the ten largest customers
 13 accounted for a substantial portion of the Company's revenue. In FY 2023, they generated an
 14 aggregate of 37% of the Company's revenue.

15 130. During the Q4 2023 Earnings Call, Nightingale also attributed the Company's
 16 revenue coming in at the lower end of the Q4 2023 guidance range to "weaker than anticipated
 17 international traffic, offset by seasonally strong live streaming and gaming activity."

18 131. This statement was materially false or misleading insofar as it omitted the critical
 19 information that the decline in traffic involved several of the Company's largest customers, which
 20 accounted for a significant portion of the Company's revenue, and Defendants knew about the
 21 traffic decline by, at latest, November 2023 given that the Company was hosting all hands meetings
 22 by that time during which Nightingale discussed the decline.

23 132. In addition, when pressed by analysts during the Q4 2023 Earnings Call on whether
 24 there were fundamental changes to the business in light of macro impacts on the Company,
 25 Nightingale stated: "No. I think it was pretty much as we saw last quarter. A handful of deals may
 26 be taking a little longer than we thought, a little bit of deal elongation."

1 133. This statement was materially false or misleading because (i) the Company's
 2 revenue from its larger enterprise customers had been declining because they were not bringing in
 3 as much traffic to Fastly, which Defendants knew by, at latest, November 2023 given that the
 4 Company was hosting all hands meetings by that time during which Nightingale discussed the
 5 decline; (ii) numerous existing enterprise customers were cancelling their contracts with the
 6 Company in 2023 or requesting more aggressive discounts when renewing their contracts; (iii)
 7 according to CW1 and CW2, customers were requesting more aggressive discounts in March and
 8 April 2023 due to economic concerns (*i.e.*, rising interest rates); (iv) the negative effect of macro
 9 forces such as rising interest rates had been generally discussed among Company executives at all
 10 hands meetings throughout 2023; and (v) Fastly was exposed to these macro pressures because
 11 Fastly's competitors in the CDN market had improved their services such that they were at least
 12 on par with Fastly, if not superior, and, as a result, Fastly had far less leverage in negotiating prices
 13 with its customers and was experiencing increased pricing pressure.

14 134. In a February 15, 2024 report titled "Mixed Quarter And Outlook Driven By
 15 International Weakness. Lowering to HOLD," Jeff Van Rhee of Craig-Hallum Capital Group LLC
 16 noted the Company's lack of transparency: "Explanations on the call around the weak Q4 and
 17 weak Q1 revenue guide were particularly vague, other than generally calling out international" and
 18 "we are unclear on the drivers of Q4 and Q1 weakness."

19 135. Defendants made similar misleading statements and risk disclosures about the
 20 growth of the Company's existing customers in the Company's annual report reporting the
 21 Company's financial and operating results for the quarter and year ended December 31, 2023,
 22 which the Company filed with the SEC on Form 10-K on February 22, 2024 (the "2023 10-K").

23 136. Nightingale and Kisling signed the 2023 10-K and it included as exhibits signed
 24 certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, wherein Nightingale and Kisling
 25 certified that the 2023 10-K "does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to
 26 state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under
 27 which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this

1 report;” and that “the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report,
 2 fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows
 3 of the [Company] as of, and for, the periods presented in this report[.]”

4 137. First, the 2023 10-K stated: “Our enterprise customers continue to leverage our
 5 platform, increasing their spend on our platform and driving our revenue growth year over year.”

6 138. This statement was materially false or misleading because (i) the Company’s
 7 revenue from its larger enterprise customers had been declining because they were not bringing in
 8 as much traffic to Fastly, which Defendants knew by, at latest, November 2023 given that the
 9 Company was hosting all hands meetings by that time during which Nightingale discussed the
 10 decline; (ii) the Company’s revenue from its some of its largest customers was likely going to
 11 continue to decline because some of their contracts were up for renewal and by that time, those
 12 customers were already demanding more favorable pricing terms; (iii) numerous existing
 13 enterprise customers were cancelling their contracts with the Company in 2023 or requesting more
 14 aggressive discounts when renewing their contracts; and (iv) the Company had shifted its sales
 15 strategy using a new compensation plan, beginning in 2024, to prioritize new customer acquisitions
 16 over generating revenue from existing customers, thereby discouraging sales team members from
 17 continuing to dedicate resources towards driving revenue from existing customers.

18 139. Second, under the heading, “If we are unable . . . to have existing enterprise
 19 customers continue and increase their use of our platform, our business will likely be harmed,” the
 20 2023 10-K warned investors, in relevant part (emphasis added):

21 [O]ur ability to grow and generate incremental revenue depends on our
 22 ability to maintain and grow our relationships with our existing enterprise
 23 customers so that they continue and increase their usage of our platform. If these
 24 customers do not maintain and increase their usage of our platform, our revenue
 25 may decline and our results of operations will likely be harmed.

26 For some of our products, we charge our customers based on the usage of
 27 our platform. Most of our customers, including some of our largest enterprise
 28 customers, do not have long-term contractual financial commitments to us. In
 addition, most of our current customer contracts are only one year in duration and
 these customers may not use our platform in a subsequent year. In order for us to
 maintain or improve our results of operations, it is important that our customers, in

1 particular, our enterprise customers, use our platform in excess of their commitment
 2 levels, if any, and continue to use our platform on the same or more favorable terms.

3 ...

4 **Our future success also depends in part on our ability to expand our**
 5 **existing customer relationships, in particular, with enterprise customers, by**
 6 **increasing their usage of our platform, selling them additional products and**
 7 **upgrading their existing products. . . . If our efforts to increase usage of our**
 8 **platform by, or sell new and additional products to, our enterprise customers**
 9 **are not successful, our business would be harmed.** In addition, even if our largest
 10 customers increase their usage of our platform, we cannot guarantee that they will
 11 maintain those usage levels for any meaningful period of time.

12 140. This statement was materially false or misleading because the largest customers'
 13 use of Fastly's platform had been declining since 2023, which Defendants knew by, at latest,
 14 November 2023 given that the Company was hosting all hands meetings by that time during which
 15 Nightingale discussed the decline. Defendants' disclosures were, therefore, inadequate.

16 141. Third, under the heading, "We receive a substantial portion of our revenues from a
 17 limited number of customers . . . , and the loss of, or a significant reduction in usage by, one or more
 18 of our major customers would result in lower revenues and could harm our business," the 2023
 19 10-K also warned investors, in relevant part:

20 It is likely that we will continue to be dependent upon a limited number of
 21 customers for a significant portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. . . .
 22 The loss of one or more key customers or a reduction in usage by any major
 23 customers would reduce our revenues. If we fail to maintain existing customers . . .
 24 , our business would be harmed.

25 142. This statement was materially false or misleading because Defendants described
 26 the risk of loss of its key customers, or a reduction in their usage of Fastly's platform, in
 27 hypothetical terms when that risk had already materialized, as (i) the Company's revenue from its
 28 larger enterprise customers had already been declining since 2023, which Defendants knew by, at
 29 latest, November 2023 given that the Company was hosting all hands meetings by that time during
 30 which Nightingale discussed the decline; and (ii) the Company's revenue from some of its largest
 31 customers was likely going to continue to decline because some of their contracts were up for
 32 renewal and by that time, those customers were already demanding more favorable pricing terms.

1 143. Fourth, under the Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition
 2 and Results of Operations Section of the 2023 10-K, it states: “[w]e emphasize retaining our
 3 customers and expanding their usage of our platform and adoption of our other products.”

4 144. This statement was materially false or misleading because, the Company had
 5 shifted its sales strategy using a new compensation plan, beginning in 2024, to prioritize new
 6 customer acquisitions over generating revenue from existing customers, thereby discouraging
 7 sales team members from continuing to dedicate resources towards driving revenue from existing
 8 customers.

9 145. Fifth, under the Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and
 10 Results of Operations Section of the 2023 10-K, it states: “[o]ur enterprise customers continue to
 11 leverage our platform, increasing their spend on our platform and driving our revenue growth year
 12 over year.”

13 146. This statement was materially false and misleading because (i) the Company’s
 14 revenue from its larger enterprise customers had been declining since 2023, which Defendants
 15 knew by, at latest, November 2023 given that the Company was hosting all hands meetings by that
 16 time during which Nightingale discussed the decline; (ii) the Company’s revenue from some of its
 17 largest customers was likely going to continue to decline because some of their contracts were up
 18 for renewal and by that time, those customers were already demanding more favorable pricing
 19 terms; and (iii) numerous existing enterprise customers were cancelling their contracts with the
 20 Company in 2023 or requesting more aggressive discounts when renewing their contracts.

Defendants Fail to Disclose Information Required to be Disclosed Under Regulation S-K

21 147. Item 303 of SEC Regulation S-K, 17 C.F.R. § 229.303(b)(2)(ii), requires
 22 Defendants to “[d]escribe any known trends or uncertainties that have had or that are reasonably
 23 likely to have a material favorable or unfavorable impact on net sales or revenues or income from
 24 continuing operations.”

25 148. Under the Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and
 26 Results of Operations Section of the 2023 10-K, Defendants stated: “[o]ur enterprise customers
 27 {00637015;12 }

1 continue to leverage our platform, increasing their spend on our platform and driving our revenue
2 growth year over year.”

3 149. As set forth above, this statement was materially false or misleading and, in
4 violation of Item 303, Defendants failed to accurately describe the trends or uncertainties related
5 to the Company's revenue derived from existing customers.

6 150. Whereas Defendants claimed that the Company's existing enterprise customers
7 were continuing to increase their usage of Fastly's platform and drive revenue growth, in reality,
8 as described above, there was a trending material decline in such customers' use of Fastly's
9 platform and the Company's revenue derived from them.

The Truth Begins to Emerge

11 151. On May 1, 2024, Fastly issued a press release announcing the Company's Q1 2024
12 financial results.

13 152. On that same day, Fastly hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts (the
14 “Q1 2024 Earnings Call”), during which Defendants disclosed that revenue from some of its
15 largest customers had declined due to pricing pressure and a decrease in traffic from those
16 customers and, as a result, the Company’s “revenue projection has pulled back,” decreasing from
17 the \$580 million to \$590 million originally forecasted for FY 2024 to \$555 million to \$565 million.

18 153. During the scripted portion of the Q1 2024 Earnings Call, Nightingale stated, in
19 relevant part (emphasis added):

There are a few factors that contributed to a challenging short-term environment. **The biggest factor is a reduction of revenue from a small number of our largest customers. The first-quarter revenue from our top 10 customers dropped from 40% to 38%.**

Many of the top 10 accounts run a multi-vendor strategy. And we did see significant volatility here. And there are a few reasons for that. Firstly, historically, Fastly has gradually won greater traffic share in our largest accounts. But with the timing of rate and volume changes, we saw increased volatility this quarter. To be clear, we have not been removed from any of our largest customers and we remain in a strong strategic position, each of them long term.

Secondly, in some accounts, we did see an addition of CDN vendors or reversal of the vendor consolidation we saw last year. And thirdly, **we are seeing a slight uptick from the typical level of rerates with our largest customers, but we have**

1 **not yet seen the commensurate traffic expansion usually associated with this**
 2 **motion.**

3 154. Similarly, Defendant Kisling stated: “**we are facing a challenging environment**
 4 **of revenue declines in our largest customers**” (emphasis added).

5 155. During the Q&A portion of the call, Nightingale told investors (emphasis added):
 6 [W]hat we saw in our top-10, 15 largest accounts, **we saw some or slightly more**
 7 **kind of pricing pressure than we're used to.** And that's got an outsized impact
 8 for us, and we are trying to make sure that we're doing the right adjustments to our
 9 projection based on that.

10 Usually, with these kinds of pricing changes, we see a commensurate volume
 11 increase as well, and we still hope to see that, especially in some of these key
 12 accounts.

13 156. Kisling added, “we saw more modest rerates historically. I think that continues
 14 across kind of the broad market, but we have seen a change in the largest accounts.”

15 157. Nightingale also stated: “**The weakness and the shift in the projection from last**
 16 **quarter [to] this quarter is really in those large accounts,**” and “[W]e see a little more pricing
 17 pressure up there[] [a]nd that has – for the large accounts for us and have a large impact”
 18 (emphasis added).

19 158. In addition, Nightingale stated: “I think it's fair to say that we've seen some more
 20 rerates in our largest 10 or 15 accounts in this past quarter.”

21 159. Rudy Kessinger, an analyst from D.A. Davidson, pushed for further detail, asking:
 22 When exactly in the quarter did these customers start repricing contracts and adding
 23 other CDNs and moving share, et cetera? And with these repricings, I guess, you
 24 guys just have no control over it whatsoever. And they just asked for repricing, you
 25 guys do it within a couple of days. I mean, I just don't understand how, you know,
 26 three months ago, you told us you didn't have any barge repricing expected. And
 27 now it turns out you did within a six-week time frame.

28 160. Kisling responded (emphasis added):

29 [A] lot of that arose really at the end of March and in early April, when we
 30 saw, one, the motion of some of our customers, kind of reversing their trend to
 31 consolidating on CDNs, which affected our traffic projections for the year. **And**
 32 **then as we engage in some of the rerates that we knew were coming, those**
 33 **negotiations resulted in bigger discounts than we thought that did not come**
 34 **with the typical increase in traffic that we've seen historically. And so those**

were the big drivers. I would say timeframe really was late March, early April, when we saw these different dynamics.

161. Nightingale responded to a follow-up question:

[A] small number of accounts where we had – where our projection, our revenue projection has pulled back. And those are large accounts that have very significant swing and they need to be handled and managed and optimized very specifically. It's why we're changing our motion there and look I believe we have an opportunity to move back to growth in those accounts. But our projection reflects where we currently are.

162. In response to a question about the volatility with some of the Company's larger customers, Nightingale stated:

[W]e had a couple of accounts in that very large set that negotiated rerates in the past quarter. Usually, a rerate like that would come up – would come with like a commensurate volume increase. And we tried to model that very carefully. But our projections there was a little bit aggressive, I believe.

163. Then, on May 2, 2024, during pre-market hours, BofA downgraded Fastly stock from a “Buy” rating to an “Underperform” rating and cut its price target on the stock from \$18 per share to a mere \$8 per share, noting that “[d]ecelerating growth in Fastly’s largest customers, share loss in delivery, and limited visibility in 2H cause us to question a rebound in 2024,” and that “[w]hile we continue to like Fastly’s positioning in the edge compute market, we see it as a 2025 opportunity instead of a near-term growth driver.”

164. Other analysts followed suit. On May 2, 2024, in a report titled “Expectations Were Low But Wow; D/G to NEUTRAL - \$8.50 PT,” Rudy Kessinger, CFA, of D.A. Davidson, downgraded Fastly’s stock from “Buy” to “NEUTRAL,” stating:

The revised guide implies acceleration . . . seemingly expected to come from recovering traffic with large customers. We cannot get comfortable with that premise given what's transpired over the last two quarters. We are thus stepping to the sidelines until we can get more comfort around the concentration risk and see more durable growth from non-top 10 customers.

165. On that same day, in a report titled “Fastly Earnings: Atrocious Outlook on Weakness with Major Customers Creates Grave Concerns,” Matthew Dolgin, of Morningstar,

1 announced that Morningstar was cutting its fair value estimate in half, from \$20 to \$10. Discussing
 2 the drop in revenue guidance, Dolgin stated:

3 More troublesome is that this drop does not seem to be due to short-term
 4 vacillations. Management advised that the change in its outlook was due to a
 5 decline in revenue from Fastly's biggest customers. Fastly's top 10 customers make
 6 up nearly 40% of revenue, and more than 90% comes from enterprise customers
 7 spending more than \$25,000 quarterly. Major enterprises use multiple providers for
 their content delivery network needs. The biggest enterprises are now paying Fastly
 lower prices without giving Fastly more traffic, and it appears the reduced traffic is
 not the result of less demand but rather a shift to other providers.

8 166. Following these developments, Fastly's stock price fell \$4.14 per share, or 32.02%,
 9 to close at \$8.79 per share on May 2, 2024.

10 **Defendants Continue to Mislead Investors About its Existing Customers' Growth**

11 167. Even while Defendants pulled back the Company's FY 2024 revenue projections
 12 for being "a little bit aggressive," Defendants continued to mislead investors about the Company's
 13 revenue prospects from its existing enterprise customers, including its largest customers.

14 168. During the Q1 2024 Earnings Call, Nightingale stated (emphasis added):

15 The top 15 or 20 accounts at Fastly, they carry a lot of our revenue and the way that
 16 we engage with them, the way that we drive platforms like product line penetration,
 17 the way we drive price control and discount control, the way that we optimize their
 18 performance and driving more significant and complete technology solution, I
 believe we have an opportunity in all of those categories to improve the way that
 we engage and dedicate more resources and more focus to it. And that's exactly
 what we're doing.

19 **Right now, we have already launched a new engagement model. We're driving**
a far higher touch across all those major accounts. We've been running it for
a couple of weeks now, and we're – I'm pretty happy with the progress so far.
 20 But just looking at the – where our projection is for those accounts and how much
 21 it's changed in just one quarter, like you said, some plus February, I think decisive
 22 change in our engagement model was warranted. And that's exactly the transition
 23 that we're undergoing right now.

24 169. This statement was materially false or misleading because it suggested that the
 25 Company had begun making progress with driving additional revenue from these major accounts
 26 when no such progress had been made. Fastly's customers were bringing their business to
 27 competitors, reversing the consolidation trend (*i.e.*, working with more vendors).

1 170. Further, according to CW5, two of Fastly's largest accounts, Apple and Amazon,
 2 had been requesting a "staging environment" to test out new web features before they go live on
 3 their platforms since 2023, and while Fastly originally told these customers it would have the
 4 product completed by the end of 2023, Fastly did not begin working on it until May 2024.
 5 According to CW5, two other program managers had previously tried to get the staging
 6 environment launched in 2023 but were unable to secure the necessary resources to do so.
 7 However, when CW5 was tasked with this project following the release of the Company's Q1
 8 2024 results, it was backed by the Chief Product Officer and Nightingale. CW5 was instructed to
 9 have the product ready by the end of June 2024, and Nightingale told CW5 to do whatever was
 10 needed to get it done. CW5 was under the impression that Apple and Amazon would leave Fastly
 11 if Fastly was unable to deliver this feature, but described the June 2024 deadline as unrealistic and
 12 was ultimately unable to meet it.

13 171. Defendants also made materially false or misleading statements in the Company's
 14 Q1 2024 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 1, 2024 (the "Q1 2024 10-
 15 Q").

16 172. Nightingale and Kisling signed the Q1 2024 10-Q and it included as exhibits signed
 17 certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, wherein the Individual Defendants
 18 certified that the Q1 2024 10-Q "does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit
 19 to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under
 20 which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this
 21 report;" and that "the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report,
 22 fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows
 23 of the [Company] as of, and for, the periods presented in this report."

24 173. Under the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and
 25 Results of Operations section, the Company stated "[w]e focus our direct selling efforts on
 26 expanding our customer's use of our platform," "[w]e are focused on . . . expanding our
 27 relationship with existing customers," "[w]e emphasize retaining our customers and expanding

1 their usage of our platform and adoption of our other products,” and “[u]tilizing our direct sales
 2 force, we have multiple selling points within organizations to . . . increase usage from our existing
 3 customers.”

4 174. These statements were materially false and misleading because they suggested the
 5 Company was focused on driving revenue from existing customers when at that time, the Company
 6 had already shifted its sales strategy using a new sales compensation plan that prioritized new
 7 customer acquisitions over generating revenue from existing customers, thereby discouraging
 8 sales team members from continuing to dedicate resources towards driving revenue from existing
 9 customers.

10 175. The Q1 2024 10-Q also contained the same misleading risk disclosures as the 2023
 11 10-K.

12 176. Under the heading, “If we are unable . . . to have existing enterprise customers
 13 continue and increase their use of our platform, our business will likely be harmed,” the Q1 2024
 14 10-Q warned investors, in relevant part (emphasis added):

15 [O]ur ability to grow and generate incremental revenue depends on our
 16 ability to maintain and grow our relationships with our existing enterprise
 17 customers so that they continue and increase their usage of our platform. If these
 18 customers do not maintain and increase their usage of our platform, our revenue
 19 may decline and our results of operations will likely be harmed.

20 For some of our products, we charge our customers based on the usage of
 21 our platform. Most of our customers, including some of our largest enterprise
 22 customers, do not have long-term contractual financial commitments to us. In
 23 addition, most of our current customer contracts are only one year in duration and
 24 these customers may not use our platform in a subsequent year. In order for us to
 25 maintain or improve our results of operations, it is important that our customers, in
 26 particular, our enterprise customers, use our platform in excess of their commitment
 27 levels, if any, and continue to use our platform on the same or more favorable terms.

28 ...

29 **Our future success also depends in part on our ability to expand our existing
 30 customer relationships, in particular, with enterprise customers, by increasing
 31 their usage of our platform, selling them additional products and upgrading
 32 their existing products. . . . If our efforts to increase usage of our platform by,
 33 or sell new and additional products to, our enterprise customers are not
 34 successful, our business would be harmed.** In addition, even if our largest
 35 customers increase their usage of our platform, we cannot guarantee that they will
 36 maintain those usage levels for any meaningful period of time.

1 177. This statement was materially false or misleading because Defendants described
2 the risk of existing customer growth stagnating or declining due to decreased usage as hypothetical
3 or possible when the risk had already materialized, as existing customers' growth had already been
4 stagnating for at least a quarter, and the Company had already shifted its sales strategy using a new
5 sales compensation model in 2024 that deterred the sales team from focusing on driving existing
6 customer growth, given that their compensation plan weighed revenue from new customer
7 acquisition more heavily than revenue from existing customers.

8 178. Under the heading, disclosure “We receive a substantial portion of our revenues
9 from a limited number of customers ..., and the loss of, or a significant reduction in usage by, one
10 or more of our major customers would result in lower revenues and could harm our business,” the
11 Q1 2024 10-Q also warned investors, in relevant part:

12 It is likely that we will continue to be dependent upon a limited number of
13 customers for a significant portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. . . .
14 The loss of one or more key customers or a reduction in usage by any major
customers would reduce our revenues. If we fail to maintain existing customers
. . . , our business would be harmed.

15 179. This statement was materially false or misleading because Defendants described
16 the risk of loss of its key customers, or a reduction in their usage of Fastly's platform, in
17 hypothetical terms when that risk had already materialized, as (i) the Company's revenue from its
18 larger enterprise customers was continuing to decline, (ii) the Company's new engagement model
19 for driving growth among these customers was not making progress in driving growth, and (iii) at
20 least some of the Company's largest customers were not likely to contribute to any revenue growth
21 because the Company failed to deliver products to them within the time period requested and the
22 Company had only just begun working on the product in May 2024, despite telling the customers
23 that the product would be ready by the end of 2023.

The Full Truth Emerges

25 180. On August 7, 2024, Fastly issued a press release announcing the Company's Q2
26 2024 results, disclosing "we are experiencing demand challenges with some of our largest
27 customers."

1 181. On that same day, Fastly hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to
 2 discuss the Company's Q2 2024 financial results (the "Q2 2024 Earnings Call").

3 182. During the Q2 2024 Earnings Call, Defendants disclosed that the Company was
 4 continuing to see a drop in revenue from its largest customers and was shifting its focus towards
 5 acquiring new business.

6 183. Specifically, during the scripted portion of the Q2 2024 Earnings Call, Nightingale
 7 discussed the Company's challenges "with a small set of [its] largest customers" and how
 8 "projected growth in those accounts ha[d] declined," disclosing that revenue from the Company's
 9 largest ten customers had declined to 34% of the Company's revenue, a 4% drop from the previous
 10 quarter.

11 184. Similarly, during the scripted portion of the Q2 2024 Earnings Call, Kisling stated:

12 In the second quarter, we continued to see sequential declines in revenue from some
 13 of our largest customers that partially offset growth in revenue from other areas,
 14 particularly social media, development platforms and gaming. The sequential
 15 declines in revenue from our largest customers were driven by further impacts from
 16 the reversal in the consolidation of network services vendors last year that we
 17 discussed in Q1 and also a continuation of lower follow-on traffic than we have
 18 historically seen following typical customer rerate.

19 As a result, network services revenue per gigabit declined more year-over-year than
 20 the historical trend line we typically experience. We anticipate this dynamic will
 21 continue throughout Q3 and then begin to moderate in the fourth quarter.

22 Our Top 10 customers comprised 34% of our total revenues in the second quarter
 23 of 2024 compared to 38% in Q1 2024, reflecting the impact of the revenue declines
 24 from some of our largest customers. Also, no customer accounted for more than
 25 10% of revenue in the second quarter.

26 185. In response to a question about the declines from the largest customers, Nightingale
 27 clarified:

28 [T]here is definitely softness in the traffic of those large accounts, primarily media,
 29 large media accounts. And there's certainly a push to profitability from within those
 30 teams and we're seeing that and trying to react to their needs and their business
 31 priorities of those customers. We've really transformed our customer success
 32 motion for these large multi-vendor customers.

33 We're focusing on delivering the kind of differentiation and the kind of service that
 34 they're looking for in a very bespoke way. So we have consumed obviously some
 35 headwinds here in terms of the revenue projection for the back half which you see
 36 in our outlook. But yes, we believe we've stabilized those accounts at this point.

1 186. However, Kisling discussed how the Company’s “increased engagement model”
 2 with those accounts, which entailed “senior level engagement regularly with these customers,”
 3 would provide “much better visibility into their own internal dynamics around their traffic
 4 expectations and traffic allocations,” which would “translate[] into a much more reliable view of
 5 how their business will play out over the remainder of the year.”

6 187. Nightingale also described the Company’s shifting focus towards acquiring new
 7 business, stating “we haven’t lost focus on driving customer acquisition and growth in the other
 8 2/3 of our business to effectively outgrow this concentration risk over time” and “[t]he success in
 9 this transformation will continue to drive top line revenue growth and become the foundation of
 10 our business.”

11 188. Nightingale stated that “[t]his is truly a moment of transition for Fastly,” focused
 12 on “mitigat[ing] [the Company’s] dependence on large multi-vendor customers,” including by
 13 “expanding [the Company’s] portfolio,” in order to “drive more stability and higher growth for
 14 Fastly in the years to come.”

15 189. Similarly, Kisling stated: “As we continue to transform our business towards a
 16 bifurcated customer strategy, we will continue to focus our customer acquisition strategy and direct
 17 more development and go-to-market investment towards the broader market opportunity outside
 18 our Top 10 customers.”

19 190. Nightingale concluded by discussing the Company’s “outlook” and “path forward,”
 20 stating:

21 The large customer headwinds we’ve seen have continued to impact our business.
 22 . . . [A]s I discussed previously, this is a moment of transition and we take this very
 seriously.

23 We must continue to acquire new customers and grow accounts outside our large
 24 media cohort. This will diversify and strengthen our business and this is exactly the
 path that we’re on.

25 191. The Company, again, decreased its revenue projection for FY 2024 from the \$555
 26 million to \$565 million forecasted during the Q1 2024 Earnings Call to a range between \$530
 27 million and \$540 million, which, Kisling stated, “reflects continued weakness with some of [the

1 Company's] largest customers, offset by growth outside [its] largest existing customers and newer
 2 enterprise customers."

3 192. Following these developments, Fastly's stock price fell \$0.98 per share, or 14.33%,
 4 to close at \$5.86 per share on August 8, 2024.

5 193. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline
 6 in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiffs and other Class members have suffered
 7 significant losses and damages.

SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

9 194. During the Class Period, Defendants emphasized the importance of the Company's
 10 existing enterprise customers, including its largest customers, for driving the Company's revenue
 11 growth, even while Defendants knew or recklessly disregarded the fact that the revenue derived
 12 from such customers was on the decline and would likely continue to decline given that: (i) many
 13 enterprise customers were canceling contracts or demanding more favorable pricing, (ii) the
 14 Company's largest customers were already decreasing their use of the platform and some of them
 15 were in the process of renegotiating their contracts and seeking more favorable terms, (iii) the
 16 Company was shifting its focus towards acquiring new business versus generating revenue from
 17 existing customers, and iv) the Company's new engagement model with its largest customers was
 18 not driving progress as Defendants suggested.

19 195. At the time when Defendants touted revenue growth from its existing enterprise
 20 customers, Defendants knew, or should have known, that the revenue from such customers was on
 21 the decline.

22 196. Nightingale conceded during a November 15, 2023 RBC Capital Markets 2023
 23 Technology, Internet, Media and Telecommunications Conference, "I track – just to give you it a
 24 hundred percent verbatim, I'm always tracking top 10, top 20 deals for any given quarter."

25 197. Based on the information provided by CW1, CW2, CW3, and CW4, at best,
 26 customers were stagnant in their use of Fastly's platform at that time, whereas many other
 27

1 enterprise customers were canceling contracts and requesting more aggressive discounts during
 2 contract renewals, threatening to leave Fastly altogether.

3 198. This trend pervaded Company sales teams across the country and was known by
 4 Defendants. CW1 described sharing concerns about a contract that was cancelled in April 2023 all
 5 the way up to the founder of the Company.

6 199. Further, the Company held all hands meetings attended by the Individual
 7 Defendants during 2023, during which, according to CW1 and CW6, Nightingale discussed how
 8 the Company's largest accounts had "throttled down" their usage and were not bringing in as much
 9 traffic, which resulted in a decrease in revenue from these customers. These all hands meetings
 10 also included discussion on the overall state of the Company's business, including, but not limited
 11 to, the effect of macro forces on the Company's business.

12 200. In addition, according to CW1, the Company tracked its customers' traffic using
 13 the "Fastly App."

14 201. According to CW7, the Company also held weekly Customer Ops meetings every
 15 Thursday during the relevant period to discuss any customer issues and their bandwidth usage (*i.e.*,
 16 how much traffic they were transmitting through Fastly's platform). Top Company executives,
 17 including the Chief Customer Officer, Vice President of Global Customer Success, and Vice
 18 President of Customer support regularly attended and participated in these meetings, and
 19 Nightingale occasionally attended as well. During the Customer Ops meetings, each customer was
 20 rated using a red/yellow/green system to indicate how much the customer was using Fastly's
 21 platform, with red indicating a customer who was not using as much bandwidth as expected or
 22 desired. If a major enterprise customer continued to be rated "red," the Chief Customer Officer,
 23 Vice President of Global Customer Success, and Vice President of Customer Support would
 24 assemble a "tiger team" to focus on improving the customer's performance.

25 202. Defendants, thus, knew by, at latest, November 2023, that the Company's revenue
 26 from its largest customers was declining and would continue to decline.

1 203. Further, following the release of the Company’s Q1 2024 results, the Company also
 2 set up a “war room” and “tiger team” to address the substantial drop in traffic from the Company’s
 3 biggest customers.

4 204. While Defendants touted the growth from these customers, behind the scenes, they
 5 knew that the revenue derived from its largest customers, which contributed a significant portion
 6 of the Company’s revenue, would continue to decline.

7 205. Some of the Company’s largest customers’ contracts were up for renewal in March
 8 and April 2024. According to CW2, contract negotiations typically begin roughly three months
 9 before the contract is set to expire. Thus, given Defendants’ disclosures that some of its largest
 10 customers had their contracts repriced in late March, early April 2024, these large customers were
 11 already negotiating their renewals and demanding more favorable pricing terms when Defendants
 12 made their false and misleading rosy statements about their revenue prospects in February 2024.
 13 Defendants knew, or should have known, by early January 2024 at the latest (three months before
 14 early April 2024) that there was a significant risk that the Company’s revenue generated from these
 15 large customers, whose use of the platform Defendants knew was already declining, would
 16 continue to decline insofar as they were demanding more favorable pricing terms.

17 206. Defendants also falsely or misleadingly implied that their new engagement model
 18 with the Company’s largest customers was making progress, when in reality, that was not the case.
 19 Specifically, according to CW5, two of Fastly’s largest accounts, Apple and Amazon, had been
 20 requesting a staging environment to test out new web features before they go live on their platforms
 21 since 2023, and while Fastly originally told these customers it would have the product ready by
 22 the end of 2023, no one at Fastly actually began working on it until May 2024. CW5 was instructed
 23 to have the product ready by the end of June 2024, but CW5 described that deadline as unrealistic
 24 and was unable to meet it.

25 207. Nightingale knew about the product that CW5 was tasked with developing because,
 26 according to CW5, the only reason CW5 managed to get traction on the project was because she
 27

1 had the backing of the Chief Product Officer and the CEO, Nightingale. Nightingale told CW5 to
 2 do whatever was needed to get it done.

3 208. In addition, Defendants knew, or should have known, that the revenue derived from
 4 its other existing enterprise customers would continue to decline because, despite touting its
 5 continued focus on expanding its existing customers' use, the Company began shifting its focus
 6 towards acquiring new business.

7 209. Unbeknownst to investors, Defendants reconfigured the sales strategy under a new
 8 sales compensation model in 2024 to prioritize revenue derived from new accounts over revenue
 9 derived from existing customers, thereby discouraging sales team members from continuing to
 10 dedicate resources towards driving revenue from existing customers.

11 210. As CW2 explained, sales team members lacked a financial incentive to continue to
 12 push for growth from existing customers, and only worked with them because "we want[ed] to do
 13 the right thing and take care of them."

14 211. Meanwhile, Defendants took steps to ensure that the declining revenue from its
 15 existing customers would remain undetected. Beginning in Q1, 2024, the Company discontinued
 16 disclosing metrics that measure revenue growth from existing customers, DBNER and quarterly
 17 NRR.

18 212. The Company had reported DBNER as a key metric since its first quarterly report,
 19 its Q2 2019 quarterly report. The Company had reported quarterly NRR as a key metric since its
 20 Q2 2020 quarterly report. The only remaining key metric which the Company discloses that
 21 measures growth from existing customers, LTM NRR, was "intended to be supplemental to [the
 22 Company's] NRR," according to the Company's Q3 2020 quarterly report, when the Company
 23 first reported LTM NRR.

24 213. Defendants claimed the Company ceased reporting these metrics because,
 25 according to the Company's Q1 2024 10-Q, they "were used infrequently by investors." However,
 26 both Defendants and analysts regularly discussed these metrics.

1 214. For example, during the August 12, 2019 KeyBanc Capital Markets Technology
 2 Leadership Forum, Adriel Lares, then-CFO of Fastly, discussed the growth of the Company's
 3 enterprise customers, stating:

4 And the idea is, how much can we continue with them to grow, which is why we
 5 report the DBNER. The DBNER also takes into account any rerates they may
 6 negotiate on a yearly basis. So hopefully, it's a fairly truthful metric, because if
 7 there's a rerate an the DBNER is 132%, for example, that must mean their traffic
 8 to us is growing quite quickly.

9 215. During the Company's Q2 2021 Earnings Call, Rishi N. Jaluria of D.A. Davidson
 10 asked:

11 If I look at NRR in the quarter itself, it's the first time I've ever seen this number
 12 drop below 100%. And that's kind of a little bit of a scary number to see. Can you
 13 maybe walk me through why was NRR so low this quarter, at 93%? What is that –
 14 when you have customers that are shrinking their footprint, is it bringing more to
 15 competitors? Is it bringing more in-house? Maybe walk us through that number and
 16 how we should be thinking about that metric going forward.

17 216. Further, the decision to discontinue reporting DBNER and quarterly NRR was
 18 suspiciously timed when those metrics would have revealed the trend that existing customer
 19 growth was declining, in contradiction to Defendants' statements about existing customers
 20 continued to drive revenue growth.

21 217. While the Company's 2023 10-K, the Company's last disclosure of DBNER,
 22 showed a decrease in DBNER from 122.7% in FY 2022 to 119% in FY 2023 and showed a drop
 23 in NRR from 110.7% in FY 2022 to 110.1% in FY 2023, the quarterly data that the Company
 24 disclosed for 2022-2023 showed fluctuations in DBNER and NRR and did not yet reveal a trend.

25 218. With the market price of Fastly stock artificially inflated based on Defendants' false
 26 and misleading statements and omissions, Defendants Nightingale and Kisling sold a substantial
 27 amount of Fastly stock during the Class Period. Specifically, Defendant Nightingale sold 410,228
 28 of his shares of Fastly stock for proceeds of roughly \$5,968,797, while Defendant Kisling sold
 149,568 of his shares of Fastly stock for proceeds of roughly \$1,969,417.

LOSS CAUSATION

219. As a result of Defendants' materially false or misleading statements and omissions of material fact, Fastly securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period. Relying on the integrity of the market price for Fastly securities and public information related to Fastly, Plaintiffs and other Class members purchased or otherwise acquired Fastly securities at prices that incorporated and reflected Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions of material fact alleged herein.

220. Defendants' materially false and misleading statements and omissions of material fact had their intended effect, directly and proximately causing Fastly securities to trade at a price higher than it would have had the facts, risks, and conditions concealed by Defendants' fraud become known sooner than they did.

221. Absent Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions of material fact, Plaintiffs and other Class members would not have purchased or otherwise acquired their Fastly securities at the artificially inflated prices at which they traded.

222. Plaintiffs and other Class members were damaged when the material facts and foreseeable risks misrepresented or concealed by Defendants were revealed through the disclosure of new information concerning Fastly on the dates listed below, each of which directly and proximately caused declines in the price of Fastly securities by removing the artificial inflation in the price of Fastly's securities that resulted from Defendants' fraud.

223. The previously downplayed risks of macro effects on the Company's business began to materialize when the Company announced its Q4 and FY 2023 financial results on February 14, 2024, reporting revenues on the lower side of their previous guidance and that missed analysts' consensus estimates by 1.1%. In response to this news, Fastly's stock price fell significantly, from \$23.54 to close at \$16.34 on February 15, 2024, a decline of 30.59 %.

224. The truth was further revealed on May 1, 2024, when Fastly issued a press release announcing the Company's Q1 2024 financial results and hosted the Q1 2024 Earnings Call.

1 225. Following the corrective statements made during the Q1 2024 Earnings Call,
2 discussed above and incorporated by reference herein, Fastly's stock price fell \$4.14 per share, or
3 32.02%, to close at \$8.79 per share on May 2, 2024.

4 226. The full truth emerged on August 7, 2024, when Fastly issued a press release
5 announcing the Company's Q2 2024 results and hosted the Q2 2024 Earnings Call.

6 227. Following the corrective statements made during the Q2 2024 Earnings Call,
7 discussed above and incorporated by reference herein, Fastly's stock price fell \$0.98 per share, or
8 14.33%, to close at \$5.86 per share on August 8, 2024.

NO SAFE HARBOR

10 228. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain
11 circumstances does not apply to any of the alleged misstatements pled in this Complaint. The
12 statements alleged to be false and/or misleading herein all relate to then-existing facts and
13 conditions. In addition, to the extent certain of the statements alleged to be false may be
14 characterized as forward looking, they were not identified as “forward-looking statements” when
15 made and there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could
16 cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements.
17 In the alternative, to the extent that the statutory safe harbor is determined to apply to any forward-
18 looking statements pleaded herein, Defendants are liable for those false forward-looking
19 statements because at the time each of those forward-looking statements was made, the speaker
20 had actual knowledge that the forward-looking statement was materially false or misleading,
21 and/or the forward-looking statement was authorized or approved by an executive officer of Fastly
22 who knew that the statement was false when made.

APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE

(FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE)

25 229. At all relevant times, the market for Fastly's securities was open, well-developed,
26 and efficient for the following reasons:

- (a) Fastly's stock met the requirements for listing, and was listed and actively traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), a highly efficient and automated market;
 - (b) As a regulated issuer, Fastly filed periodic public reports with the SEC;
 - (c) Fastly regularly communicated with the public using established market communication mechanisms, including through regular dissemination of press releases on the national circuits of major newswire services, publication on its website, and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as conference calls, communications with the financial press, and other similar reporting services; and
 - (d) Fastly was followed by numerous securities analysts employed by major brokerage firms who wrote reports which were distributed to those brokerage firms' sales force and certain customers. Each of those reports was publicly available and entered the public marketplace.

230. As a result of the foregoing, the market for Fastly securities reasonably and promptly digested current information regarding Fastly from all publicly available sources and reflected such information in the price of Fastly securities. All purchasers and acquirers of Fastly securities during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchases and acquisitions of Fastly securities at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

231. During the Class Period, the artificial inflation of Fastly’s securities was caused by the material misrepresentations and/or omissions particularized herein, causing the damages sustained by Plaintiffs and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made, a series of materially false and/or misleading statements about, *inter alia*, macro effects on the Company’s business, the Company’s existing customers expanding their use of Fastly’s services, and the Company’s continued emphasis on driving revenue growth through its existing customers. These material misstatements and/or omissions created an unrealistically positive assessment of the Company and its business,

1 operations, and prospects, thus causing the price of the Company’s securities to be artificially
 2 inflated at all relevant times, and when disclosed, negatively affected the value of the Company’s
 3 shares.

4 232. The material misrepresentations and omissions alleged herein would tend to induce,
 5 and did induce, reasonable investors to misjudge the value of the Company’s securities and
 6 purchase the Company’s securities at artificially inflated prices.

7 233. Plaintiffs and other Class members relied on Defendants to timely disclose material
 8 information as required by law, and would not have purchased or otherwise acquired Fastly
 9 securities at artificially inflated prices if Defendants had timely disclosed all material information
 10 as required by law.

11 234. To the extent Defendants concealed or improperly failed to disclose material facts
 12 concerning Fastly and its business, Plaintiffs and other Class members are entitled to a presumption
 13 of reliance in accordance with *Affiliated Ute Citizens v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 153 (1972).

PLAINTIFFS’ CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

15 235. Plaintiffs bring this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
 16 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise
 17 acquired Fastly securities during the Class Period (the “Class”), and were damaged upon the
 18 revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the Class are Defendants herein,
 19 the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate
 20 families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which
 21 Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

22 236. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
 23 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Fastly securities were actively traded on the NYSE.
 24 While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiffs at this time and can be
 25 ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiffs believe that there are hundreds or
 26 thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may
 27 be identified from records maintained by Fastly or its transfer agent and may be notified of the
 28 {00637015;12 }

1 pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in
 2 securities class actions.

3 237. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all
 4 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of
 5 federal law that is complained of herein.

6 238. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class
 7 and have retained counsel competent and experienced in class action and securities litigation.
 8 Plaintiffs have no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.

9 239. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and
 10 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the
 11 questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- 12 • whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged
 herein;
- 13 • whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class
 Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations and
 management of Fastly;
- 14 • whether the Individual Defendants caused Fastly to issue false and misleading
 financial statements during the Class Period;
- 15 • whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and misleading
 financial statements;
- 16 • whether the prices of Fastly securities during the Class Period were artificially
 inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
- 17 • whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the
 proper measure of damages.

22 240. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient
 23 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the
 24 damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden
 25 of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the
 26 wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

COUNT I

**(Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder
Against All Defendants)**

241. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

242. This Count is asserted against Defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

243. During the Class Period, Defendants made various untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. This was intended to, and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiffs and other Class members, as alleged herein; (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Fastly securities; and (iii) cause Plaintiffs and other members of the Class to purchase or otherwise acquire Fastly securities and options at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful conduct, Defendants took the actions set forth herein.

244. Each of the Defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the quarterly and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and documents described above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media that were designed to influence the market for Fastly securities. Such reports, filings, releases and statements were materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about Fastly's finances and business prospects.

245. By virtue of their positions at Fastly, Defendants had actual knowledge of the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein and intended thereby to deceive Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, Defendants acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the statements made, although such facts were readily available to Defendants. Said acts and omissions of Defendants

1 were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each Defendant knew
 2 or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted as described
 3 above.

4 246. Information showing that Defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard
 5 for the truth is peculiarly within Defendants' knowledge and control. As the senior managers
 6 and/or directors of Fastly, the Individual Defendants had knowledge of the details of Fastly's
 7 internal affairs.

8 247. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the wrongs
 9 complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the Individual
 10 Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements of
 11 Fastly. As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the Individual Defendants had a
 12 duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information with respect to Fastly's businesses,
 13 operations, future financial condition and future prospects. As a result of the dissemination of the
 14 aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases and public statements, the market price of
 15 Fastly securities was artificially inflated throughout the Class Period. In ignorance of the adverse
 16 facts concerning Fastly's business and financial condition which were concealed by Defendants,
 17 Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Fastly securities at
 18 artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the securities, the integrity of the market for
 19 the securities and/or upon statements disseminated by Defendants, and were damaged thereby.

20 248. During the Class Period, Fastly securities were traded on an active and efficient
 21 market. Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially false and
 22 misleading statements described herein, which the Defendants made, issued or caused to be
 23 disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or otherwise acquired shares
 24 of Fastly securities at prices artificially inflated by Defendants' wrongful conduct. Had Plaintiffs
 25 and the other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased or otherwise
 26 acquired said securities, or would not have purchased or otherwise acquired them at the inflated
 27 prices that were paid. At the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by Plaintiffs and the Class,

1 the true value of Fastly securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiffs and the
 2 other members of the Class. The market price of Fastly securities declined sharply upon public
 3 disclosure of the facts alleged herein to the injury of Plaintiffs and Class members.

4 249. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, Defendants knowingly or recklessly,
 5 directly or indirectly, have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5
 6 promulgated thereunder.

7 250. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiffs and
 8 the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases,
 9 acquisitions and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period, upon the disclosure
 10 that the Company had been disseminating material misstatements and omissions to the investing
 11 public.

12 **COUNT II**

13 **(Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants)**

14 251. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation contained in the foregoing
 15 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

16 252. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation
 17 and management of Fastly, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the conduct
 18 of Fastly's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-public
 19 information about Fastly's false statements.

20 253. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual
 21 Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to Fastly, and
 22 to correct promptly any public statements issued by Fastly which had become materially false or
 23 misleading.

24 254. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual
 25 Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases, public
 26 statements, and public filings which Fastly disseminated in the marketplace during the Class
 27 Period concerning Fastly. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their
 28 {00637015;12 }

1 power and authority to cause Fastly to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The
 2 Individual Defendants, therefore, were “controlling persons” of Fastly within the meaning of
 3 Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct
 4 alleged which artificially inflated the market price of Fastly securities.

5 255. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to
 6 Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by Fastly.

7 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

8 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants as follows:

9 A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule
 10 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiffs as the Class representatives;

11 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiffs and the Class by
 12 reason of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

13 C. Awarding Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post-
 14 judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys’ fees, expert fees and other costs; and

15 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

16 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

17 Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

1 Dated: November 1, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

2 POMERANTZ LLP

3 /s/ Murielle J. Steven Walsh

4 Murielle J. Steven Walsh (*pro hac vice*)
5 Emily C. Finestone (*pro hac vice*)
6 600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor
7 New York, New York 10016
Telephone: (212) 661-1100
Facsimile: (917) 463-1044
mjsteven@pomlaw.com
efinestone@pomlaw.com

8 POMERANTZ LLP

9 Jennifer Pafiti (SBN 282790)
10 1100 Glendon Avenue, 15th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90024
Telephone: (310) 405-7190
jpafiti@pomlaw.com

11 THE SCHALL FIRM

12 Brian Schall
13 2049 Century Park East, Ste. 2460
14 Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310-301-3335
brian@schallfirm.com

15 *Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*