Dynamic Optimization: Problem Set #3

Andreas Schaab

Fall, 2022

Problem 1

Consider an economy populated by a continuum of infinitely lived households. There is no uncertainty in this economy for now. Households' preferences are given by

$$\max \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt.$$

That is, households discount future consumption c_t at a rate ρ . Oftentimes, we will use constant relative risk aversion (CRRA) preferences, given by

$$u(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}.$$

A special form of these preferences are log preferences,

$$u(c_t) = \log(c_t).$$

The household's flow budget constraint in this economy is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}(P_t a_t) = i_t(P_t a_t) - P_t c_t + P_t y_t,$$

where P_t is the nominal price level, c_t is real consumption expenditures, a_t is the real wealth of the household and $\{y_t\}$ is an **exogenous** stream of income whose future path the household knows at any time point with certainty (because there is no uncertainty or risk for now).

(a) Derive the budget constraint for real wealth, i.e., $\frac{d}{dt}a_t = \dot{a}_t$. Define the real interest rate as $r_t = i_t - \pi_t$, where $\pi_t \equiv \frac{\dot{p}_t}{P_t}$ is price inflation.

- (b) Derive the lifetime budget constraint
- (c) In class, we have so far always worked with the flow budget constraint as our constraint. And then we used either calculus of variations or optimal control theory. Alterantively, we can use the lifetime budget constraint as our constraint in this setting. (Why? When would you not be able to work with a lifetime budget constraint?) Set up the optimization problem with the lifetime budget constraint (i.e., write down the Lagrangian and introduce a multiplier) and take the first-order conditions. Solve for a consumption Euler equation.
- (d) Consider the two functional forms given earlier for utility, $u(c_t)$. Plug them into the Euler equation and solve for the term $\frac{u'(c_t)}{u''(c_t)c_t}$.

We will now derive the simple Euler equation using two different approaches. The first approach will be using optimal control theory, and the second approach will use dynamic programming.

- (e) Write down the optimal control problem. Identify the state, control variables and multipliers.
- (f) Write down the (current-value) Hamiltonian.
- (g) Find the FOCs. Rearrange and again find the consumption Euler equation. Confirm that it's the same equation we derived above.

In the last part of this problem, we will now use dynamic programming. The tools of dynamic programming allow us to recast this optimization problem **recursively**. Consider again the simple objective function of the household, given by

$$V_0 = \max_{\{c_t\}_{t>0}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt,$$

where we will call V_t the **value function** of the household at time t. In general, the value function is a function of both the **state variable**, a_t , and time (because of the $e^{-\rho t}$ term). That is, $V = V(a_t, t)$.

(h) Why does the value function at time t depend on wealth at time t, a_t ?

(i) The Bellman equation of this problem is given by

$$\rho V_t(a) = \partial_t V_t(a) + \max_c \left\{ u(c) + \left[ra + y_t - c \right] \partial_a V_t(a) \right\}$$

where ∂_x denotes the partial derivative with respect to x. (i.1) Write down the FOC for consumption and interpret every term. (i.2) Why is there a $\partial_t V_t(a)$ term? Discuss whether this Bellman equation is stationary or not. (i.3) Plug the consumption policy function $c_t(a)$, which is now characterized by the FOC, back into the HJB. (i.4) Take the envelope condition by differentiating the Bellman with respect to a. (i.5) Use this to plug back into the FOC for $\partial_a V_t(a)$ and arrive at the consumption Euler equation.

Problem 2: a finite resource

Credit: Pablo Kurlat (https://sites.google.com/view/pkurlat/teaching)

Time is continuous and indexed by $t \in [0, \infty)$. At time t = 0, there is a finite amount of oil x_0 . Denote by c_t the rate of oil consumption at date t. Oil is non-renewable, so the remaining amount of oil at date t is

$$x_t = x_0 - \int_0^t c_s ds.$$

A social planner (the government) wants to set the rate of oil consumption to maximize utility of the representative household given by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} u(c_t) dt,$$

with $u(c) = \log(c)$.

- 1. Explain the expression for the remaining amount of oil: $x_t = x_0 \int_0^t c_s ds$
- 2. Set up the (present-value) Hamiltonian for this problem. List all state variables, control variables, and multipliers
- 3. Write down the first-order necessary conditions. Solve for the optimal policy c_t
- 4. Write down the HJB equation for this problem
- 5. Guess and verify that the value function is $V(x) = a + b \log(x)$. Solve for a and b

Problem 3: heuristic proof of Ito's lemma

Credit: derivation follows David Laibson's class notes. For a more formal treatment, see especially Oksendal.

Consider a pretty general Ito process

$$dx = a(x,t)dt + b(x,t)dB$$

where dB is standard Brownian motion. We call $a(\cdot)$ the drift and $b(\cdot)$ the diffusion coefficients. Suppose x(t) describes the price of oil (or the stock market) over time. Let's denote by V(x,t) the *value* of an oil well (or a particular portfolio) at time t, given the value of oil.

We would like to characterize how V(x,t) evolves over time. If there was no uncertainty, we would just take a time derivative and have $\frac{d}{dt}V(x,t) = \partial_t V(x,t) + \partial_x V(x,t) \frac{dx}{dt}$ by the chain rule. With uncertainty, we will have one additional term.

Proposition 1. *Ito's lemma: We have*

$$dV = \partial_t V dt + \partial_x V dx + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} V b(x, t)^2 dt$$

= $\left[\partial_t V + \partial_x V a(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} V b(x, t)^2 \right] dt + \partial_x V b(x, t) dB.$

We will now work through a heuristic proof.

- 1. Consider the function V(x,t) and take a Taylor expansion.
- 2. Use the fact that terms of order $(dt)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ become really small in continuous time and "drop out". Show that dxdt drops out. What are we left with
- 3. Plug in for dx and $(dx)^2$ and arrive at Ito's lemma. Use $(dB)^2 \sim dt$.

Problem 4: more practice with Ito's lemma

Take the setting from above where we try to model the value of an oil well. Suppose that

$$V(x,t) = \log(x)$$
.

- 1. Derive V'(x) and V''(x). Why do we need them? Why are we suddenly working with ordinary derivative terms rather than partial derivatives?
- 2. Suppose $dx = \alpha x dt + \sigma x dB$. Plug into Ito's Lemma.

- 3. Plug in for V'(x) and V''(x) and arrive at the solution for dV.
- 4. Notice that $\mathbb{E}[dV] = \alpha \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ because $\mathbb{E}(dB) = 0$. Interpret this. Why is the drift of V less than α even thow the drift of the oil price is α ? (Hint: What is the important economic property of log preferences that we care about here?)

Problem 5: let's derive the HJB

Let u(x, c, t) denote the instantaneous utility flow of an agent. x is a state variable and c is the control variable. For the state variable x, assume the same Ito process as above. In the continuous time limit with Δt time steps, let $x' = x + \Delta x$ and $t' = t + \Delta t$.

- 1. Write down the discrete-time Bellman equation with Δt time steps. Explain each term where a Δt shows up.
- 2. Multiply by $(1 + \rho \Delta t)$ and simplify terms to arrive at an expression $\rho V \Delta t = \dots$
- 3. Divide by Δt . Then take the continuous time limit $\Delta t \to dt$ and notice that $(dt)^2 \approx 0$.
- 4. Use Ito's lemma to rewrite $\mathbb{E}[dV]$ as

$$\mathbb{E}[dV] = \left[\partial_t V + \partial_x V a + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} V b^2\right] dt.$$

We have now derived the HJB equation with risk:

$$\rho V(x,t) = \max_{c} \left\{ u(x,c,t) + \partial_{t} V + a \partial_{x} V + \frac{b^{2}}{2} \partial_{xx} V \right\}$$