

# Dynamic Optimization: Problem Set #1

Andreas Schaab

Fall, 2022

## Problem 1

**Credit:** QuantEcon [https://python.quantecon.org/finite\\_markov.html#exercises](https://python.quantecon.org/finite_markov.html#exercises)

Let  $y_t$  denote the employment / earnings state of an individual. Consider the state space  $y_t \in Y = \{y^U, y^E\}$ , where  $y^U$  corresponds to unemployment and  $y^E$  corresponds to employment. Let  $y$  denote the column vector  $(y^U, y^E)'$  representing this state space (this is the grid you would construct on a computer). Suppose the employment dynamics of the individual are characterized by the invariant transition matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 - \alpha \\ 1 - \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We interpret a time period as a quarter.

- (a) Give economic interpretations of  $\alpha = P_{11}$  and  $\beta = P_{22}$

$\alpha = P_{11}$ : Probability that an unemployed doesn't find a job and remains unemployed at t.

$\beta = P_{22}$ : Probability that an employed doesn't lose the job and remains employed at t.

- (b) Why do the rows of  $P$  sum to 1?

Because each row is a probability distribution. Intuitively: in the model either you are employed or unemployed

- (c) Is there an absorbing state in this model?

We say  $x$  is an absorbing state if

$$P(X_{t+1} = x | X_t = x) = 1$$

There are no absorbing states in this model if  $\beta, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

- (d) Compute the probability of being unemployed two quarters after being employed.

$$P(y_{t+2} = y^U, y_{t+1} = y^U | y_t = y^E) + P(y_{t+2} = y^U, y_{t+1} = y^E | y_t = y^E) = \\ \alpha(1 - \beta) + (1 - \beta)\beta = (\alpha + \beta)(1 - \beta)$$

- (e) Denote the *marginal (probability) distribution* of  $y_t$  at time  $t$  by  $\psi_t$ .  $\psi_t(y^L)$  is the probability that process  $y_t$  is in state  $y^L$  at time  $t$ . It is easiest to think of  $\psi_t$  as a time-varying row vector. Use the law of total probability to decompose  $y_{t+1} = y^L$ , accounting for all the possible ways in which state  $y^L$  can be reached at time  $t + 1$ .

$$\psi_{t+1}(y^L) = P(y_{t+1} = y^L) = \psi_t(y^L)P(y_{t+1} = y^L | y_t = y^L) + (1 - \psi_t(y^L))P(y_{t+1} = y^L | y_t \neq y^L)$$

- (f) Show that the resulting equation can be written as the vector-matrix product

$$\psi_{t+1} = \psi_t P.$$

Therefore: The evolution of the marginal distribution of a Markov chain is obtained by post-multiplying by the transition matrix.

It is clear that the conditional probabilities from question e) are obtained from one column of the transition matrix  $P$ , so we can write it as the vector-matrix product.

- (g) Show that

$$X_0 \sim \psi_0 \implies X_t \sim \psi_0 P^t,$$

where  $\sim$  reads “is distributed according to”.

First  $\psi_1 = \psi_0 P$ , then also  $\psi_2 = \psi_1 P = \psi_0 P^2$ . Iterating we get the result

- (h) We call  $\psi^*$  a *stationary distribution* of the Markov chain if it satisfies

$$\psi^* = \psi^* P.$$

Compute the probability of being unemployed  $n$  quarters after being employed. Take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and find the stationary distribution of this Markov chain. Find the stationary distribution by alternatively plugging into the above equation for  $\psi^*$ .

As a summary (Check the link from quantecon for more detail): A Markov Chain is irreducible if all states communicate, that is there is a positive probability of going from any  $y$  to any  $x$  (possibly in many steps). Then an irreducible MC converges to a stationary (or ergodic) distribution. So if we take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the marginal distribution converges to the stationary:  $\psi_{t+n} \rightarrow \psi^*$ .

To find the stationary distribution we need to solve the equation above. Note  $\psi = 0$  is a solution but it's not a probability distribution. Let  $\psi^* = (\psi^*(y^U), 1 - \psi^*(y^U))$ , then solving the equation we get

$$\psi^*(y^U) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}$$

Economic intuition: Spend more time unemployed (or unemployment rate higher) if the probability of finding a job is lower (the job finding rate) or the probability of losing job is higher (the separation rate).

- (i) Suppose  $y_0 = y^H$ . Solve for  $\mathbb{E}_0(y_t)$ . Use the law of total / iterated expectation to relate expectation to probabilities. Then use the formulas for marginal (probability) distributions derived above.

$$\mathbb{E}_0(y_t) = P(y_t = y^U | y_0 = y^E) y^U + P(y_t = y^E | y_0 = y^E) y^E$$

And we get the transition probabilities from  $P^t$  (REVISAR)

## Problem 2

Consider the first-order linear *homogeneous* difference equation

$$x_{t+1} = \rho x_t.$$

We parameterize the initial condition by  $x_0 = c$ .

- (a) Prove by induction that the *general solution* (for arbitrary  $c$ ) is given by

$$x_t = \rho^t c.$$

Guess  $x_t = \rho^t c$ . Check true at  $t = 0$ :  $x_0 = \rho^0 c = c$ . If true at  $t$  also true at  $t + 1$ :

$$x_{t+1} = \rho x_t = \rho \rho^t c = \rho^{t+1} c$$

- (b) Show that the *particular solution* for initial value  $x_0 = x$  is given by  $x_t = \rho^t x$ . If  $x_0 = x$  then set  $c = x$ .
- (c) Show that this also implies

$$x_t = \rho^{t-s} x_s$$

for  $t > s$ .

We have  $c = \frac{x_s}{\rho^s}$  for any  $s$ , substitute in the general solution from (a) and we get the result.

- (d) Prove that this difference equation satisfies the Markov property.

We defined Markov property for stochastic processes. But here we have something similar in that  $\{x_t\}_{t>s} = f(x_s, x_{s-1}, \dots) = f(x_s)$ ???

### Problem 3

**Credit:** Klaus Neusser <http://www2.econ.iastate.edu/classes/econ600/rksingh/fall16/TA/DifferenceEquations.pdf> on p. 19 (of the PDF)

We study the dynamics of loan amortization. Denote by  $D_t$  the amount of debt owed at time  $t$ . The debt contract is serviced by paying an amount  $Z_t$  each period.  $Z_t$  is given exogenously for this problem (you could imagine some agent optimizing in the background).

- (a) Explain why debt dynamics are characterized by the equation

$$D_{t+1} = RD_t - Z_t$$

where  $R$  is the constant gross interest rate associated with the loan. What kind of difference equation is this? Is this a forward or a backward equation?

The gross interest rate is  $R = 1 + r$ , so debt next period is debt at the current period plus the interest rate on debt minus repayment. It is a linear (time-homogeneous ??) first order difference equation. It is a forward equation, start with  $D_0$ .

- (b) Suppose we start with an initial loan  $D_0$ . Solve iteratively (by induction) for  $D_t$ . You should get two terms — explain the economics for both terms.

$$D_{t+1} = R^{t+1}D_0 - \sum_{i=0}^t R^i Z_{t-i}$$

. First term: Growth in debt if no repayment. Second term: NPV repayments (going back on time), as payment  $Z$  at  $t = 0$  reduces debt at  $t$  by  $R^t$  compared to payment at  $t$

- (c) Suppose the loan needs to be repaid at time  $T$ . Solve for the constant repayment schedule  $Z_t = Z$  such that the loan is repaid in period  $T$ .

$$D_{t+1} = R^{t+1}D_0 - \left(R^{t+1} - 1\right) \frac{Z}{R - 1}$$

. If debt repaid at  $T$ , then must have  $D_{T+1} = 0$ . Therefore

$$Z = \frac{R - 1}{1 - R^{-T-1}} D_0$$

- (d) What is the condition on constant repayment rate  $Z$  relative to  $D_0$  such that the loan is repaid in finite time?

Taking  $T \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $Z = (R - 1)D_0$ . So the payment is just the interest accruing in each period.

## Problem 4

**Credit:** Klaus Neusser <http://www2.econ.iastate.edu/classes/econ600/rksingh/fall16/TA/DifferenceEquations.pdf> on p. 38 (of the PDF)

We study Cagan (1956)'s model for hyperinflation. The model is summarized by the three equations

$$m_t^d - p_t = \alpha(p_{t+1}^e - p_t)$$

$$m_t^s = m_t^d$$

$$p_{t+1}^e - p_t = \gamma(p_t - p_{t-1})$$

where (all in logs)  $m_t^d$  is money demand,  $m_t^s$  is money supply,  $p_t$  is the price level and  $p_{t+1}^e$  is private agents' expectations for the price level in period  $t + 1$ . The first equation of the above system characterizes money demand and the third equation characterizes *adaptive* inflation expectations. Assume that  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

- (a) Characterize a first-order difference equation that solves for  $p_t$  as a function of  $p_{t-1}$  and  $m_{t-1}$ . What kind of difference equation is this?

Substitute the 3rd constraint into the 1st,  $m_t^d - p_t = \alpha\gamma(p_t - p_{t-1})$ . Let  $m_t = m_t^d = m_t^s$ . Then rearranging

$$p_t = \frac{\alpha\gamma}{1 + \alpha\gamma} p_{t-1} + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha\gamma} m_t \equiv \phi p_{t-1} + Z_t$$

This is a linear (time-homogeneous ??) first order difference equation

- (b) Using the tools already developed, solve for  $p_t$  in terms of some initial conditions on the system.

Let  $p_0$  be the initial price level, then iterating forward (as in the previous exercise)

$$p_t = \phi^t p_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \phi^i Z_{t-i}$$

- (c) Characterize the stability condition such that if  $m_t \rightarrow m$  in the long run,  $p_t$  converges to a steady state  $p$ . Interpret the economics of this stability condition.

To have both terms finite as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  we need

$$|\phi| = \left| \frac{\alpha\gamma}{1 + \alpha\gamma} \right| < 1$$

To have stability we need that money demand  $m_t^d$  does not respond too much to current current inflation. This is the case if inflation expectations do not respond a lot to current inflation (small  $\gamma$ ) and (or) the money demand is inelastic to inflation expectations (small  $|\alpha|$ ). (REVISE)

- (d) Explain why this equation should be thought of as a *forward* equation.

Because in this model inflation expectations are adaptive, i.e. they are a function of past inflation. In the NK Phillips curve seen in class is the opposite because there we have rational expectations.

- (e) Now assume that agents form expectations rationally instead of adaptively. That is, replace the third equation above by

$$p_{t+1}^e = p_{t+1}.$$

Simplify the model equations again to obtain a difference equation for  $p_t$  in terms of  $m_t$ . What kind of difference equation is this?

Substitute into the first equation and rearrange

$$p_{t+1} = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} p_t + \frac{m_t}{\alpha} = \phi p_t + Z_t$$

This is again a linear (time-homogeneous) first order difference equation. However now it is unstable because  $\phi > 1$

- (f) Argue that we should think of this equation now as a *backward* equation. Solve again for the *general* solution of this difference equation (backwards), i.e., express  $p_t$  in terms of  $p_{t+s}$  and  $m_{t+s}$ .

With rational expectations, expected prices are a function of future prices. Therefore we need a terminal condition for the prices and this is a backward equation. Solving backwards from period  $t + h$  up to  $t$

$$p_t = \phi^{-h} p_{t+h} - \phi^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \phi^{-i} Z_{t+i}$$

- (g) Solve for a *particular* solution by imposing some transversality (terminal) condition on  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} p_T$ .

Taking the limit in the equation above

$$p_t = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \phi^{-h} p_{t+h} - \phi^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi^{-i} Z_{t+i}$$

We impose the transversality condition  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} p_T = p$  and as  $0 < \phi^{-1} < 1$  the limit remains finite.

## Problem 5

Take the continuous-time limit of the following equations:

(a)  $a_{t+1} = R_t a_t + y_t - c_t$

Add and subtract  $a_t$  and let  $R_t = (1 + r_t)$ . We have

$$a_{t+\Delta} - a_t = \Delta(r_t a_t + y_t - c_t)$$

Divide by  $\Delta$  and take the limit

$$\dot{a}_t = r_t a_t + y_t - c_t$$

(Note its exactly the same steps as for the capital accumulation equation in the lectures).

(b)  $u'(c_t) = \beta R_t u'(c_{t+1})$

Let  $R_t = 1 + r_t \Delta$  and  $\beta = 1 - \rho \Delta$ , the Euler equation for time step  $\Delta$  is

$$u'(c_t) = (1 + r_t \Delta)(1 - \rho \Delta) u'(c_{t+\Delta})$$

The first order approximation of the last term around  $c_t$  is

$$u'(c_{t+\Delta}) = u'(c_t + (c_{t+\Delta} - c_t)) \approx u'(c_t) + u''(c_t)(c_{t+\Delta} - c_t)$$

Substitute back

$$1 = (1 + r_t \Delta)(1 - \rho \Delta) \left(1 + \frac{u''(c_t)}{u'(c_t)} (c_{t+\Delta} - c_t)\right)$$

Assume also CRRA utility so that  $\gamma \equiv -\frac{u''(c_t)c_t}{u'(c_t)}$  is constant, then

$$1 = (1 + r_t \Delta)(1 - \rho \Delta) \left(1 - \gamma \frac{c_{t+\Delta} - c_t}{c_t}\right)$$

$$(1 + r_t \Delta - \rho \Delta - r_t \rho \Delta^2) \gamma \frac{c_{t+\Delta} - c_t}{c_t} = r_t \Delta - \rho \Delta - r_t \rho \Delta^2$$

Divide by  $\Delta$  each side

$$(1 + r_t\Delta - \rho\Delta - r_t\rho\Delta^2)\gamma\frac{(c_{t+\Delta} - c_t)/\Delta}{c_t} = (r_t\Delta - \rho\Delta - r_t\rho\Delta^2)/\Delta$$

Taking  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$\frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} = \frac{r_t - \rho}{\gamma}$$

(c)  $\pi_t = \beta\pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$

As before, let  $\beta = 1 - \rho\Delta$  then the NKPC with time step  $\Delta$  is

$$\pi_{t+\Delta} - \pi_t = \rho\Delta\pi_{t+\Delta} - \Delta\kappa x_t$$

Divide by  $\Delta$  and take  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$

$$\dot{\pi}_t = \rho\pi_t - \kappa x_t$$

## Problem 6

Revert back from continuous to discrete time by plugging in for the definition of first-order derivative

$$\dot{X}_t = \frac{dX_t}{dt} \approx \frac{X_{t+\Delta} - X_t}{\Delta}$$

for small  $\Delta$ .

(a)  $\dot{K}_t = I_t - \delta K_t$

$$\frac{K_{t+\Delta} - K_t}{\Delta} = I_t - \delta K_t. \text{ Take } \Delta \rightarrow 0 \text{ and rearrange.}$$

(b)  $\dot{a}_t = r_t a_t + y_t - c_t$

Same as a)

(c)  $\frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} = \frac{r_t - \rho}{\gamma}$

Basically we reverse the order of the steps in 5.b). We have

$$\frac{\dot{c}_t}{c_t} = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} (1 + r_t\Delta - \rho\Delta - r_t\rho\Delta^2) \frac{(c_{t+\Delta} - c_t)/\Delta}{c_t}$$



$$\frac{r_t - \rho}{\gamma} = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{(r_t \Delta - \rho \Delta - r_t \rho \Delta^2) / \Delta}{\gamma}$$

Combining the two and substituting for gamma

$$(1 + r_t \Delta - \rho \Delta - r_t \rho \Delta^2)(c_{t+\Delta} - c_t)u''(c_t) = -(r_t \Delta - \rho \Delta - r_t \rho \Delta^2)u'(c_t)$$

$$(1 + r_t \Delta)(1 - \rho \Delta)(c_{t+\Delta} - c_t)u''(c_t) = (1 - (1 + r_t \Delta)(1 - \rho \Delta))u'(c_t)$$

Using the first order approximation

$$(1 + r_t \Delta)(1 - \rho \Delta)u'(c_{t+\Delta}) = u'(c_t)$$

Taking  $\Delta \rightarrow 1$  we get back the discrete time Euler equation

$$(d) \quad \dot{\pi}_t = \rho \pi_t - \kappa x_t$$

We have

$$\frac{\pi_{t+\Delta} - \pi_t}{\Delta} = \rho \pi_{t+1} - \kappa x_t$$

setting  $\Delta = 1$  and rearranging

$$\pi_t = (1 - \rho)\pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t = \beta \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$$

## Problem 7

Consider the equation for wealth dynamics

$$\dot{a}_t = r_t a_t + y_t - c_t.$$

We take  $\{r_t\}$  and  $\{y_t - c_t\}$  as exogenously given.

- Solve for the lifetime budget constraint.
- Solve the ODE for its general solution using the integrating factor method introduced in class, i.e., find an expression for  $a_t$  in terms of the exogenous processes  $\{r_t, y_t, c_t\}$  and some arbitrary initial condition  $a_0 = c$ .

We do the same steps as in class only with the difference that  $r$  (or  $\delta$ ) is not constant:

$$e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} \dot{a}_t - e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} a_t = e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} y_t - e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} c_t$$

Notice the LHS is equal to  $\frac{d}{dt}(a_t e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds})$ . Integrate

$$a_t e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} = C + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} (y_s - c_s) ds$$

Setting  $t = 0$  we find  $a_0 = C$ . For the lifetime budget, take  $t \rightarrow \infty$  to get

$$a_0 = \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} (c_s - y_s) ds$$

## Problem 8

**Credit:** Miranda Holmes-Cerfon [https://cims.nyu.edu/~holmes/teaching/asa19/handout\\_Lecture4\\_2019.pdf](https://cims.nyu.edu/~holmes/teaching/asa19/handout_Lecture4_2019.pdf)

In this problem, we will prove the Chapman-Kolmogorov Equation for a *time-homogeneous* continuous-time Markov chains. Denote the *transition probability* as

$$P_{ij}(t+s) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = i)$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  should be thought of as indices on the state space, i.e., the  $i$ th value of the finite state space  $\mathcal{X}$  of the Markov chain.

Denote  $I$  the indices associated with the state space  $\mathcal{X}$ . The Chapman-Kolmogorov equation is:

$$P_{ij}(t+s) = \sum_{k \in I} P_{ik}(t) P_{kj}(s).$$

(a) Use the law of total probability to show that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_0 = i) = \sum_k \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = k, X_0 = i) \mathbb{P}(X_t = k \mid X_0 = i)$$

This is a direct application of the law of total probability, i.e.  $P(A) = \sum_n P(A \mid B_n) P(B_n)$ , applied with the probability mass function  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid X_0 = i)$ .

(b) Use the Markov property

$$\text{By the Markov property } \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = k, X_0 = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = k)$$

(c) Invoke time homogeneity to arrive at the result

$$\text{The time-homogeneity implies that } \mathbb{P}(X_{t+s} = j \mid X_t = k) = P(X_s = j \mid X_0 = k) = P_{kj}(s)$$

## Problem 9

This problem collects several exercises on Brownian motion and stochastic calculus.

- (a) Show that  $\text{Cov}(B_s, B_t) = \min\{s, t\}$  for two times  $0 \leq s < t$ . Use the following tricks: Use the covariance formula  $\text{Cov}(A, B) = \mathbb{E}(AB) - \mathbb{E}(A)\mathbb{E}(B)$ . Use  $B_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$  as well as  $B_t - B_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$ . And use  $B_t = B_s + (B_t - B_s)$ .

First we have  $\text{Cov}(B_s, B_t) = \mathbb{E}(B_s B_t) - \mathbb{E}(B_s)\mathbb{E}(B_t) = \mathbb{E}(B_s B_t)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(B_s B_t) = \mathbb{E}(B_s(B_s + (B_t - B_s))) = \mathbb{E}(B_s^2) + \mathbb{E}(B_s(B_t - B_s))$$

Notice that  $B_s$  and  $(B_t - B_s)$  are two independent random variables, hence

$$\text{Cov}(B_s, B_t) = s + \mathbb{E}(B_s)\mathbb{E}(B_t - B_s) = s$$

- (b) Geometric Brownian motion evolves as:  $dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dB_t$ . Show that

$$X_t = X_0 e^{\mu t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} t + \sigma B_t}$$

for a given initial value  $X_0$ .

To derive the solution it will be useful to apply Ito's lemma to the function  $f = \log(X_t)$ . Recall with a function of stochastic process we cannot use standard calculus, instead we can use Ito's lemma as a stochastic version of the chain rule. Applying Ito's lemma to  $f$

$$df = d\log(X_t) = \partial_t f dt + \partial_X f \mu X_t dt + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{XX} f \sigma^2 X_t^2 dt + \sigma X_t \partial_X f dB_t$$

The partial derivatives are:  $\partial_t f = \frac{\partial \log(X_t)}{\partial t} = 0$ ,  $\partial_X f = \frac{1}{X_t}$  and  $\partial_{XX} f = -\frac{1}{X_t^2}$ . Substituting

$$d\log(X_t) = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) dt + \sigma dB_t$$

Integrate (and use  $B_0 = 0$ )

$$\log(X_t) - \log(X_0) = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma B_t$$

$$e^{\log(\frac{X_t}{X_0})} = e^{\mu t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} t + \sigma B_t}$$

Rearranging we get the result.

- (c) For Geometric Brownian motion as defined above, show that  $\mathbb{E} = X_0 e^{\mu t}$ .

Taking expectations

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t) = X_0 e^{\mu t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} t} \mathbb{E}(e^{\sigma B_t})$$

Recall  $B_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$ , it is useful to substitute  $\sigma B_t = \sigma\sqrt{t}Z$  where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\sigma B_t}) = \int e^{\sigma\sqrt{t}Z} \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz$$

For the term in the exponential we have

$$\sigma\sqrt{t}Z - \frac{z^2}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}(z^2 - 2\sigma\sqrt{t}z) = -\frac{1}{2}(z - \sigma\sqrt{t})^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 t}{2}$$

Substitute back

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\sigma B_t}) = e^{\frac{\sigma^2 t}{2}} \int \frac{e^{-\frac{(z - \sigma\sqrt{t})^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz = e^{\frac{\sigma^2 t}{2}}$$

Because the second term is the density of a  $\mathcal{N}(\sigma\sqrt{t}, 1)$  which integrates to one. Substituting into the first equation we get the result.

- (d) The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process is like a continuous-time variant of the AR(1) process. It evolves as  $dX_t = -\mu X_t dt + \sigma dB_t$  for drift parameter  $\mu$ , diffusion parameter  $\sigma$ , and some  $X$ . Show that it solves

$$X_t = X_0 e^{-\mu t} + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-\mu(t-s)} dB_s.$$

As before we derive the solution by applying the Ito's lemma to a properly chosen function.

Let  $f = e^{\mu t} X_t$ , applying Ito's lemma

$$df = de^{\mu t} X_t = \partial_t f dt + \partial_X f (-\mu X_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{XX} f \sigma^2 dt + \sigma \partial_X f dB_t$$

Substitute the partial derivatives

$$de^{\mu t} X_t = \mu e^{\mu t} X_t dt + -\mu X_t e^{\mu t} dt + 0 + \sigma e^{\mu t} dB_t$$

Integrate:

$$e^{\mu t} X_t - X_0 = \sigma \int_0^t e^{\mu s} dB_s$$

Multiplying by  $e^{-\mu t}$  we get the result.