

United States Patent [19]

Rebeiz

US005242892A

[11] Patent Number: 5,242,892

[45] Date of Patent: * Sep. 7, 1993

[54] CHLOROPHYLL BIOSYNTHESIS MODULATORS

[75] Inventor: Constantin A. Rebeiz, Urbana, Ill.

[73] Assignee: The Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill.

[*] Notice: The portion of the term of this patent subsequent to Jul. 7, 2009 has been disclaimed.

[21] Appl. No.: 615,413

[22] Filed: Nov. 19, 1990

Related U.S. Application Data

[63] Continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 521,119, May 3, 1990, Pat. No. 5,163,990, and Ser. No. 895,529, Aug. 11, 1986, Pat. No. 5,127,938, which is a continuation of Ser. No. 754,092, Jul. 15, 1985, abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 634,932, Jul. 27, 1984, abandoned, said Ser. No. 521,119, is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 895,529, Aug. 11, 1986.

[51] Int. Cl.⁵ A01N 37/44; A01N 43/40; A01N 43/42

[52] U.S. Cl. 504/129; 504/130; 504/138; 504/139; 504/140; 504/147

[58] Field of Search 71/90, 113, 65

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,043,675	7/1962	Steinhards et al.	71/94
3,274,206	9/1966	Wilbert et al.	71/94
3,804,845	4/1974	Moore	546/259
3,934,369	1/1976	Rebeiz	47/58
4,319,916	3/1982	Abdulla	71/94
4,322,241	3/1982	Pissiotas et al.	71/94
4,330,321	5/1982	Johnston	71/94
4,360,677	11/1982	Doweyko et al.	546/294
4,383,850	5/1983	Handte et al.	71/88
4,383,851	5/1983	Rogers et al.	71/94

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

56845/60	1/1963	Australia .
56849/60	1/1963	Australia .

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Castelfranco et al, Chem. Abstract 81:22178p "Abolition of lag phase . . ." etc. 1974.

Miller et al. CA97: 141784y "The formation of δ -aminolevulinate" etc. 1982.

Klein et al. "Induction of δ -aminolevulinic . . ." etc. CA 87: 164462z, 1977.

Kitaguchi et al. CA 105 (9): 74404t, "Phenylbenzothiazolium salts as nonselective herbicides", 1986 (JP 61072703 Apr. 14, 1986).

Arrese et al., Current Genetics, 7:175-183 (1983).

Ashton et al., *Mode of Action of Herbicides*, 2nd Ed. (John Wiley & Sons, N.Y.).

Bazzaz et al., Photochemistry and Photobiology, 30:709-721 (1979).

Belanger et al., Spectrochimica Acta, 40A(9):807-827 (1984).

Belanger et al., Biochem., 19:4875-4883 (1980).

Belanger et al., Biochem., Biophys. Res. Comm., 88(2):365-372 (1979).

Belanger et al., Plant Science Letters, 18:343-350 (1980).

Belanger et al., J. Biol. Chem., 257(3):1360-1371 (1982).

Belanger et al., J. Biol. Chem., 257(9):4849-4858 (1982).

Belanger et al., J. Biol. Chem., 255(4):1266-1272 (1980).

Berestetskii et al., CA 93:198884c (1980).

Bickers et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 108(3):1032-1039 (1982).

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Allen J. Robinson

Assistant Examiner—B. Bembenick

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Curtis, Morris & Safford

[57] ABSTRACT

Plant and insect controlling compositions comprising δ -aminolevulinic acid and/or one or more chlorophyll and porphyrin-heme biosynthesis modulators; and methods of making and using the compositions.

26 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Bioprocessing Technology, 8(2):3 (Feb. 1986).
- Carey et al., Plant Physiol., 79:1-6, 1059-1063 (1985).
- Castelfranco et al., Plant Physiol., 53:615-618 (1974).
- Chemical and Engineering News, Sep. 22, 1986, pp. 21-24.
- Christensen et al., Br. J. Cancer, 48:35-43 (1983).
- Cohen et al., Plant Physiol., 61:824-829 (1978).
- Cohen et al., Plant Physiol., 67:98-103 (1981).
- Cohen et al., Plant Physiol., 60:743-746 (1977).
- Daniell et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 106(2):466-470 (1982).
- Daniell et al., Biochem. Bioeng., 26:481-487 (1984).
- Daniell et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 104(2):837-843 (1982).
- Daniell et al., *Regulation of Chloroplast Differentiation*, 63-70 (Allan R. Liss, Inc. 1986).
- Daniell et al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm., 111(2):740-749 (1983).
- Dickeson et al., J. Sci. Food Ag., 20:74-77 (1969).
- Duggan et al., Biochem. Biophys. Acta, 714:248-260 (1982).
- Duggan et al., Plant Science Letters, 24:27-37 (1982).
- Duggan et al., Plant Science Letters, 27:137-145 (1982).
- Duggan et al., Plant Physiol., 53(2):206-215 (1974).
- Duggan et al., CA 80:129154j (1974).
- Edwards et al., Neuroscience Letters, 50:169-173 (1984).
- Ellefson, Mayo Clin. Proc., 57:454-458 (1982).
- Farming With Pride*, (Pride Company, Inc., P.O. Box 959 Minneapolis, MN 55440, 1986), pp. 11-13.
- Fletcher et al., Weed Science, 32:722-726 (1984).
- Freyssinet et al., Photobiochem. Photobiophys., 1:203-212 (1980).
- Gassman et al., CA 83:203948b (1975).
- Gough, *Light Stimulated δ -Aminolevulinic Acid Accumulation in Luvulinate Treated Barley Seedlings*, Carlsberg Res. Comm., 43:497-508 (1978).
- Gough et al., CA 97:88590d (1982).
- Hardt, CA 87:23068p (1977).
- Hendry et al., CA 89:143401e (1978).
- Herbicide Handbook*, Beste, C. E., ed. (Weed Science Soc. of America, Champaign, Ill., 1983), pp. 1-469.
- Hoover et al., CA 98:104519r (1982).
- Hopen et al., Weeds Today, 16(2):4-5 (1985).
- Hopf et al., in *The Porphyrins*, vol. 2 (Academic Press, N.Y. 1978), pp. 161-195.
- Jurgenson, CA 85:2585y (1976).
- Klein et al., J. Cell Biol., 22:443-51 (1964).
- Latham et al., Photochem. Photobiol., 37:553-557 (1983).
- McCarthy et al., Plant Physiol., 66:142-146 (1980).
- McCarthy et al., Biochem., 21:242-247 (1982).
- McCarthy et al., Biochem., 20:5080-5087 (1981).
- Oettmeier et al., CA 82:27115w (1975).
- Oota, CA 71:109874e (1969).
- Orr et al., Plant Physiol., 69:502-507 (1982).
- Peacock, in *Fifty Years of Agricultural Research, 1928-1978* (J. Hill, ed.) (Birmingham, Great Britain), pp. 67-86.
- Ray, CA 79:1230t (1973).
- Rebeiz et al., Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 171:549-567 (1975).
- Rebeiz et al., Biotech. Bioeng. Symp. No. 12:413-439 (1982).
- Rebeiz et al., Botany, 33:225-235 (1984).
- Rebeiz, Energy Research Symposium (Illinois Agricultural Exp. Station, University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana, Feb. 5, 1981) pp. 9-12.
- Rebeiz et al., in *Regulation of Chloroplast Differentiation*, pp. 13-24, 389-396 (Allan R. Liss, Inc. 1986).
- Rebeiz et al., in *Thirty English Illinois Custom Spray Operators Training Manual* (Symposium, Cooperative Extension Service, University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana, College of Agriculture, Jan. 8-9, 1986), pp. 91-93.
- Rebeiz et al., in *Photosynthesis: Energy Conversion by Plants and Bacteria*, vol. I (Academic Press, Inc., 1982), pp. 699-780.
- Rebeiz et al., Plant Physiol., 46:543-549 (1970).
- Rebeiz et al., Enzyme Microb. Technol., 6:390 (Sep. 1984).
- Rebeiz et al., Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 166:446-465 (1975).
- Rebeiz, Illinois Research, 16(3):3-4 (1974).
- Rebeiz et al., Plant Physiol., 47:24-32 (1971).
- Rebeiz et al., Biotech and Bioeng. Symp. 8:453-471 (1978).
- Rebeiz et al., Illinois Research, 21(1):3-4 (1979).
- Rebeiz et al., Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 590:234-247 (1980).
- Rebeiz et al., Plant Physiol., 40:281-286 (1965).
- Rebeiz et al., Energy Research Symposium Proc. (University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana, Apr. 27, 1982), pp. 19-23.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Rebeiz et al., in *Photosynthesis V: Chloroplast Development* (G. Akoyunoglou, ed.) (Balaban International Science Services, Philadelphia, Pa., 1981) pp. 197-212.
- Rebeiz, *Chemtech.*, 12:52-63 (1982).
- Rebeiz et al., *Spectrochim. Acta*, 40A(9):793-806 (1984).
- Rebeiz et al., *Fluorescence Update* (Perkin-Elmer Corp., Sep.-Dec. 1983) pp. 3-4.
- Rebeiz, *Bio Expo '85* (Boston, Mass., May 14-16, 1985), pp. 13-23.
- Rebeiz et al., *Mol. Cell Biochem.*, 57:97-125 (1983).
- Ruediger, *Regulation of Chloroplast Differentiation*, 3-12 (Allan R. Liss, Inc., 1986).
- Sandberg et al., *Photobiochem. and Photobiophys.* 4:95-106 (1982).
- Sandberg et al., *Acta Dermatovener Suppl.*, 100:75-80 (1982).
- Sisler et al., *Physiol. Plant*, 16:315-322 (1963).
- Smith et al., *Plant Physiol.*, 63:227-231 (1979).
- Tetley et al., *CA* 83:75540y (1975).
- Tripathy et al., *Progress in Photosynthesis Research* IV(8), 439-443, (Biggens, J., ed.) (1987).
- Tripathy, *J. of Biol. Chem.*, 261(29):13556-13564 (1986).
- Tripathy et al., *Anal. Biochem.*, 149:43-61 (1985).
- Vermaas et al., *CA* 101(7):51954a (1984).
- Vicek et al., *CA* 91:171842j (1979).
- Wegler, *Chemie Der Pflanzenschutz-Und Schaedling-bekaempfungsmittel*, vol. 5 (Berlin, Germany, 1977), pp. 271-283.
- Wu et al., *Tetrahedron*, 40(4):659-664 (1984).
- Wu et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 260(6):3632-3634 (1985).




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

1. Mg PROTO DIESTER, Mg PROTO MONOESTER AND Mg PROTO POOLS

- A. $R_2 = \text{--CH=CH}_2$; $R_3 = \text{--CH}_3$; $R_4 = \text{F.AI}$; DV, 7_FAI.E, 6Me.P, Mg PROTO (DV Mg PROTO DIESTER)
- B. $R_2 = \text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_3$; $R_3 = \text{CH}_3$; $R_4 = \text{F.AI}$; 2_MV, 7_FAI.E, 6Me.P, Mg PROTO (MV Mg PROTO DIESTER)
- C. $R_2 = \text{--CH=CH}_2$; $R_3 = \text{--CH}_3$; $R_4 = \text{H}$; DV, 7_COOH, 6Me.P, Mg. PROTO (DV Mg PROTO 6ME)
- D. $R_2 = \text{--CH=CH}_2$; $R_3 = \text{H}$; $R_4 = \text{AIK}$; DV, 7_AI.K.E, 6_COOH, Mg PROTO (DV Mg PROTO 7 ESTER)
- E. $R_2 = \text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_3$; $R_3 = \text{--CH}_3$; $R_4 = \text{H}$; 2_MV, 7_COOH, 6Me.P, Mg PROTO (MV Mg PROTO 6ME)
- F. $R_2 = \text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_3$; $R_3 = \text{H}$; $R_4 = \text{AIK}$; 2_MV, 7_AI.K.E, 6_COOH, Mg PROTO (MV Mg PROTO 7 ESTER)
- G. $R_2 = \text{--CH=CH}_2$; $R_3 = \text{H}$; $R_4 = \text{H}$; DV Mg PROTO
- H. $R_2 = \text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_2\text{--CH}_3$; $R_3 = \text{H}$; $R_4 = \text{H}$; 2_MV Mg PROTO




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

CHLOROPHYLL BIOSYNTHESIS MODULATORS

The invention described herein was made in the course of work supported by grants from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the National Science Foundation, the University of Illinois, the University of Illinois Agriculture Experiment Station and the John P. Trebellas Photobiotechnology Research Endowment.

This application is a continuation-in-part of copending application Ser. No. 521,119, filed May 3, 1990 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,163,990), which in turn is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 895,529, filed Aug. 11, 1986 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,127,938), which in turn is a continuation of abandoned application Ser. No. 754,092, filed Jul. 15, 1985 (abandoned), which in turn is a continuation-in-part of abandoned application Ser. No. 634,932, filed Jul. 27, 1984 (abandoned), and this application is a continuation-in-part of copending application Ser. No. 895,529 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,127,938), filed Aug. 11, 1986, which in turn is a continuation of abandoned application Ser. No. 754,092, filed Jul. 15, 1985 (abandoned), which in turn is a continuation-in-part of abandoned application Ser. No. 634,932, filed Jul. 27, 1984 (abandoned).

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention pertains to plant desiccating compositions and methods, and more particularly to plant desiccating compositions and methods for the induction of the accumulation of photodynamic tetrapyrroles in the foliage of plants.

This invention also pertains to herbicidal and insecticidal compositions and methods, and more particularly to herbicidal and insecticidal compositions and methods for the induction of the accumulation of photodynamic tetrapyrroles in plants and for elevating endogenous tetrapyrrole levels in insects.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

The following terms, as used hereinbelow, have the following meaning unless expressly stated to the contrary: Alk=(C₁-C₁₀)alkyl group; ALA= δ -aminolevulinic acid; Chl=chlorophyll; Chlide a=chlorophyllide a; coprogen=coproporphyrinogen; cv=cultivar; dicot=dicotyledenous plant; DP=dipyridyl; DV=divinyl; E=ester; FAl=fatty alcohol; LWMP=longer wavelength metalloporphyrins (the putative intermediates of ring E formation); M=methylation; ME=methyl ester; Me=methyl; Me.P=methylpropionate; monocot=monocotyledenous plant; MPE=Mg-protoporphyrin monoester; MP(E)=mixture of MPE and Mg-protoporphyrin IX; MV=monovinyl; P=esterification with geranyl geraniol, followed by stepwise conversion of the latter to phytol; PBG=porphobilinogen; Pchl=protochlorophyll; Pchlde=protochlorophyllide; Phy=phytol; Proto=protoporphyrin IX; Progen=protoporphyrinogen IX; Urogen=uroporphyrinogen, var=variety.

The invention will be understood more clearly and fully with reference to the accompanying figures, in which:

FIG. depicts the six-branched Chl a biosynthetic pathway;

FIG. 2 depicts representative structures of some of the metallotetrapyrroles ("tetrapyrroles") depicted in FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 depicts the percent defoliation of apple seedlings as related to the accumulation of protoporphyrin

IX (*coefficient of determination (r^2) is significant at the 5% level);

FIG. 4 depicts the percent defoliation of apple seedlings as related to the accumulation of divinyl Mg protoporphyrin monoester (*coefficient of determination (r^2) is significant at the 5% level); and

FIG. 5 depicts the percent defoliation of apple seedlings as related to the accumulation of monovinyl protochlorophyllide (*coefficient of determination (r^2) is significant at the 5% level).

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The elimination of undesirable plants by herbicides is critical to modern agricultural practice, and a great deal of time and money is currently dedicated to the discovery of efficient, environmentally safe herbicides. Usually this discovery begins with the screening of a spectrum of biochemicals for herbicidal activity. Those chemicals which exhibit promising herbicidal activity are then subjected to further testing, aimed at defining their efficacy, selectivity, environmental impact, and toxic effects on fish, insects and animals. In this scheme, the understanding of the mode of action is irrelevant and is assigned a low priority. As a consequence the detailed mode of action for some of the widely used herbicides is still not completely understood. See, e.g., *Herbicide Handbook*, Beste, C. E., ed. (Weed Science Soc. of America, Champaign, Ill. 1983), pp. 1-469. There is neither a consistent scientific basis for the selection and/or design of safe, effective herbicides, nor a scientific rationale for the systematic elimination of compounds likely to have a deleterious effect on the environment or on non-target plants and animals.

The timing and manipulation of plant development have some important implications in deciduous tree and crop production. Two important aspects in the manipulation of plant development are defoliation and fruit drop. First, controlled defoliation of nursery stock is essential for the effective management of rootstocks and grafted trees of both fruit and woody ornamental crops. For example, in areas with long growing seasons, nurserymen need to hasten defoliation in order to facilitate autumn digging. Autumn digging is a process whereby trees are dug out of the ground or "undercut" and then placed in cold storage. In order for a tree to be undercut and placed in cold storage, it must be dormant, i.e., it is not producing new shoots. Defoliation triggers dormancy in the tree which is followed by hardening of the tree. Hardening protects the tree from cold injury. Young apple trees are usually undercut and removed from the nursery in the fall and placed in cold storage. However, very often, trees in the nursery become juvenile by continuing to produce new shoots and retaining foliage longer through the season which retards hardening of the trees, making them more susceptible to cold injury. Therefore, it is desirable to hasten defoliation of the young trees.

Prior to the advent of chemical sprays or dust treatments, defoliation was done by hand, sometimes causing damage to shoots, bark, and buds. However, hand defoliation is time consuming and adds to the cost of a nursery operation. Furthermore, nutrients that would normally be translocated into the shoots during leaf senescence are lost.

Second, control of fruit drop is important in harvesting of fruit from trees. For example, aerial and subterranean fruits and vegetables are presently harvested either manually or mechanically. Manual harvesting is labor-

intensive and expensive. Aerial mechanical harvesting of fruits uses heavy equipment that shakes the fruit off the tree. This in turn causes both soil compaction and frequent limb and trunk injury resulting in shorter tree life. Such mechanical harvesting also results in fruit damage in the form of bruises, cuts and punctures.

Various naturally occurring and non-naturally occurring chemical substances have been used for the purposes of defoliation and enhancement of fruit drop. In the case of fruit drop, chemicals are used to reduce fruit-pedicle attachment strength, thus allowing tree shakers to drop fruit more easily. However, chemical fruit harvesting has not been completely successful and has been used only in order to facilitate mechanical harvesting.

It would be a significant and useful advance in the art to have a chemical composition capable of causing defoliation and/or defoliation and fruit drop in plants, particularly deciduous fruit trees, via a mechanism involving one or more naturally occurring intermediates of the chlorophyll biosynthetic pathway and which alleviates in turn the disadvantages associated with present methods for defoliation and fruit drop.

Chlorophyll biosynthesis is a major biological phenomenon in the biosphere and is mandatory for the biosynthesis of photosynthetic membranes during greening and for the repair and maintenance of the Chl in mature green plants. The chlorophylls are a group of Mg-tetrapyrroles which in green plants catalyze the conversion of solar energy into chemical energy via the process of photosynthesis. There are two basic classes of chlorophyll, designated chlorophyll (Chl a) and chlorophyll b (Chl b); Chl is involved in the collection of solar energy and its conversion to chemical energy whereas Chl b is believed to be involved only in the collection of solar energy.

As shown in FIG. 1, ten species of Chl a are all synthesized via a multiple-branched pathway from one common precursor, δ -aminolevulinic acid (ALA), via a series of porphyrin, Mg-porphyrin, and protochlorophyll intermediates, collectively referred to as tetrapyrroles or tetrapyrrole intermediates (see FIG. 2).

As can be seen in FIG. 1, three of the branches of the synthetic pathway have been designated as divinyl (DV) pathways; the two monocarboxylic acid pathways predominate in dicots and in monocots in the presence of light. The remaining three branches have been designated the monovinyl (MV) pathways; the two monocarboxylic acid pathways predominate in monocots in the dark. Plants may be classified as "monovinyl" or "divinyl" plants, depending on which pathways predominate. A monovinyl plant is a plant species which in darkness accumulates MV Pchl_{ide} via the MV monocarboxylic acid biosynthetic routes and upon exposure to light initially forms Chl mainly via the MV monocarboxylic acid routes. Divinyl plants are plant species which accumulate mainly DV Pchl_{ide} in darkness and upon exposure to light initially form Chl preferably via the DV monocarboxylic biosynthetic routes. After several hours in daylight both MV and DV plants appear to form Chl via the DV monocarboxylic routes. This in turn has led to the classification of plants into four different greening groups (Rebeiz, C. A., Montazer-Zouhoor, A., Mayasich, J. M., Tripathy, B. C., Wu, S., and Rebeiz, C. C. *CRC Crit. Rev. in Plant Sci.*, 6:385-435 (1988)):

(a) Dark divinyl/light divinyl (DDV/LDV). In this greening group, chlorophyll formation proceeds via the

DV-enriched protochlorophyllide pools at daybreak and in daylight.

(b) Dark monovinyl/light divinyl (DMV/LDV). In this greening group, chlorophyll formation proceeds via the MV-enriched protochlorophyllide pools at daybreak and via the DV-enriched protochlorophyllide pools in daylight.

(c) Dark monovinyl/light monovinyl (DMV/LMV). In this greening group, chlorophyll formation proceeds via the MV-enriched protochlorophyllide pools in darkness and via the MV-enriched protochlorophyllide pools at daybreak and in daylight.

(d) Dark divinyl/light monovinyl (DDV/LMV). In this pathological greening group, chlorophyll formation proceeds via the DV-enriched protochlorophyllide pools at daybreak and via the MV-enriched protochlorophyllide pools in daylight.

As can be seen from FIG. 2, δ -aminolevulinic acid (ALA) is a 5-carbon amino acid. ALA is found in most living animal and plant cells and is the primary tetrapyrrole precursor. It is available from a variety of specialty chemical sources, e.g., Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo. and Biosynth International, Skokie, Ill. It is known that excised plant tissues treated in the laboratory with small amounts of ALA will synthesize and accumulate Pchl_{ide}, which is the immediate precursor of Chl_{ide} a and of Chl a, and that ALA will induce the accumulation of earlier tetrapyrrole intermediates of the Chl biosynthetic pathway, such as coproporphyrin, Proto, and MP(E). Once the ALA has stimulated the synthesis of the tetrapyrrole intermediates, they are normally converted in the presence of sunlight into the various forms of Chl a, as described in FIG. 1. However, this rate-limiting conversion does not occur to any great extent in darkness; without sunlight, the tetrapyrrole intermediates accumulate in small amounts in their respective metabolic pools. Upon exposure to light, the conversion to Chl a resumes and the pools are depleted. In 1974, Castelfranco, P. A., Rich, P. M., and Beal, S. I., *Plant Physiol.* 53:615-618 noticed while studying the lag phase during greening of etiolated (dark grown) tissue that excised cucumber cotyledons soaked in ALA for 16 hours in the dark underwent visible tissue damage upon subsequent exposure to light, which was attributed to tetrapyrroles formed from exogenous ALA. This phenomenon was regarded as a nuisance to be avoided by illumination with red light of very low intensity or by illumination with intermittent light. It was believed that the accumulation of tetrapyrroles due to exogenous ALA was a phenomenon attributable to the peculiar circumstances of etiolation. Indeed, once the greening of etiolated tissue is initiated, the biosynthesis of chlorophyll proceeds at an abnormally high rate not found in normal green tissue.

Copending application Ser. No. 07/294,132 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,200,427), the disclosure of which application is expressly incorporated herein by reference, describes insecticidal compositions comprising one or more compounds selected from the group consisting of δ -aminolevulinic acid, inducers of δ -aminolevulinic acid synthesis in insects and enhancers of δ -aminolevulinic acid conversion to tetrapyrroles in insects.

Copending application Ser. No. 06/895,529 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,127,938), the disclosure of which application is expressly incorporated herein by reference, describes herbicidal compositions comprising one or more compounds selected from the group consisting of δ -aminolevulinic acid, inducers of δ -aminolevulinic acid

synthesis in plants, enhancers of δ -aminolevulinic acid conversion to photodynamic tetrapyrroles in plants, and inhibitors of conversion of divinyl tetrapyrroles to monovinyl tetrapyrroles in plants; methods for inducing the accumulation of photodynamic tetrapyrroles in living plants using said compositions, and methods of controlling plants using said compositions. These compositions were discovered to have a herbicidal effect on plants as the result of the accumulation of tetrapyrroles in amounts greater than those normally found in the plants. This was surprising because mature green plants synthesize chlorophyll only at a rate sufficient to keep up with leaf expansion and repair, and it had not been previously believed that this rate would be sufficient to allow accumulation of amounts of tetrapyrroles large enough to result in photodynamic injury.

The accumulated tetrapyrroles photosensitize the formation of singlet oxygen, which is a very strong oxidant. The singlet oxygen rapidly oxidizes the lipoprotein components of the plant cellular membranes, thus setting in motion a highly destructive free-radical chain reaction, which can be summarized as follows ($h\nu$ =photon of light; ^1Tet =tetrapyrrole in the singlet ground state; $^3\text{Tet}^*$ =tetrapyrrole in the triplet excited state; $^3\text{O}_2$ =oxygen in the triplet ground state; $^1\text{O}_2^*$ =oxygen in the singlet excited state; UMLP=unsaturated membrane lipoproteins):

- (1) $^1\text{Tet} + h\nu \rightarrow ^3\text{Tet}^*$
- (2) $^3\text{Tet}^* + ^3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow ^1\text{Tet} + ^1\text{O}_2^*$
- (3) $^1\text{O}_2^* + (\text{UMLP}) \rightarrow \text{hydroperoxides}$
- (4) $\text{hydroperoxides} \rightarrow \text{free radicals}$
- (5) $\text{free radicals} + \text{UMLP} \rightarrow \text{more hydroperoxides}$
- (6) repetition of steps (4) and (5) until most of the UMLP are oxidized.

While photosensitization by injected tetrapyrroles had been described in animals and human tissues (see, e.g., Ellefson, R. D., *Mayo Clinic Proc.* 57:454-458 (1982); Christensen, T., Sandquist, T., Feren, K., Waks-vik, H., and Moan, J., *Br. J. Cancer* 48:35-43 (1983); Hopf, F. R., and Whitten, D. G., in *The Porphyrins*, Vol. 2, Dolphin, D., ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1978), pp. 161-195; Sandberg, S., Romslo, I., Hovding, G., and Bjorndal, T., *Acta Dermatovener* (Stockholm) Suppl. 100:75-80 (1982); Latham, P. S., and Bloomer, J. R., *Photochem. Photobiol.* 37:553-557 (1983); Bickers, D. R., Dixit, R., and Mukhtar, H., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 108:1032-1039 (1982)), this phenomenon had not been demonstrated in whole green plants nor adapted to control undesirable susceptible plant species prior to the invention of Ser. No. 895,529 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,127,938).

OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore an object of this invention to provide a model for the systematic design and formulation of herbicides and insecticides.

It is a second object of this invention to provide a class of herbicides and insecticides which will kill undesirable plants and insects via a predetermined and novel mode of action, based on sound biochemical principles.

It is an additional object of this invention to provide herbicides and insecticides which are environmentally safe, selective, and efficient at low concentrations.

It is also an object of the present invention to provide a composition which is capable of defoliating a plant without killing the plant by causing the foliage to accumulate levels of tetrapyrroles which are higher than those normally found in the foliage.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a composition for causing fruit drop in a plant, particularly in a deciduous fruit tree, without the need for mechanical harvesting.

It is yet another purpose of the invention to provide a composition capable of causing defoliation and fruit drop in a plant.

It is another object of the invention to provide compositions which are capable of defoliating and/or defoliating and causing fruit drop in a plant which are environmentally safe and efficient at low concentrations.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It has now been discovered that compositions comprising δ -aminolevulinic acid and/or inducers of δ -aminolevulinic acid and/or enhancers of δ -aminolevulinic acid conversion to photodynamic tetrapyrroles and/or inhibitors of conversion of divinyl tetrapyrroles to monovinyl tetrapyrroles can be safe, efficient, selective herbicides, when applied to plants which are subsequently exposed to light. The herbicidal compositions of the present invention result in death and destruction of the plant tissue by a process believed to involve the induced accumulation of photodynamic tetrapyrroles.

It has also been discovered that insects can be killed by administration of a composition comprising one or more compounds selected from the group consisting of ALA, inducers of ALA in insects, and enhancers of ALA conversion to tetrapyrroles in insects.

The invention is broadly directed to compositions for causing defoliation and/or fruit drop in whole, living plants and methods for defoliating and/or causing fruit drop in whole, living plants. Thus, in one embodiment, the invention is a plant defoliating composition comprising a plant defoliating effective amount of δ -aminolevulinic acid or δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators and a suitable carrier.

In another embodiment, the invention is a plant defoliating composition comprising a plant defoliating effective amount of δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators which are selected from the group consisting of inducers of δ -aminolevulinic acid synthesis, enhancers of δ -aminolevulinic acid conversion to tetrapyrroles and inhibitors of conversion of divinyl tetrapyrroles to monovinyl tetrapyrroles.

Another embodiment of the invention is a method for defoliating a plant comprising the steps of contacting the plant with a defoliating effective amount of δ -aminolevulinic acid, one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, or δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators and allowing the contacted plant to be exposed to light.

In another embodiment, the invention is a method for defoliating a plant comprising the steps of contacting the plant with a defoliating effective amount of δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators and allowing the contacted plant to be exposed to light.

In still another embodiment, the invention is a method for defoliating a plant comprising the steps of contacting the plant with a defoliating effective amount of δ -aminolevulinic acid, one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, or δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, exposing the contacted plant to a substan-

tial absence of light at wavelengths of 300 to 700 mμ and then exposing the contacted plant to light.

A further embodiment of the invention is a composition for causing defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree comprising an amount effective to cause defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree of δ-aminolevulinic acid or δ-aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators and a suitable carrier.

Another embodiment of the invention is a composition for causing defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree comprising an amount effective to cause defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree of δ-aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators capable of causing the foliage of the tree to accumulate levels of tetrapyrroles which are selected from the group consisting of inducers of δ-aminolevulinic acid synthesis, enhancers of δ-aminolevulinic acid conversion to tetrapyrroles and inhibitors of the conversion of divinyl tetrapyrroles to monovinyl tetrapyrroles.

In a specific embodiment, the invention is a composition for causing defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree comprising an amount effective to cause defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree of δ-aminolevulinic acid in combination with ethyl nicotinate.

Still another embodiment of the invention is a method for causing defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree comprising the steps of contacting the tree with an amount effective to cause defoliation and fruit drop in the tree of δ-aminolevulinic acid, one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, or δ-aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators and allowing the contacted tree to be exposed to light.

A further embodiment of the invention is directed to a method for causing defoliation and fruit drop in a deciduous fruit tree comprising the steps of contacting a tree with an amount effective to cause defoliation and fruit drop in the tree of δ-aminolevulinic acid, one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, or δ-aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, exposing the contacted tree to a substantial absence of light at wavelengths of 300 to 700 mμ and then exposing the contacted tree to light.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention will be understood more clearly and fully from the following detailed description.

It has now been discovered that foliage of whole, living plants can be induced by exposure to exogenous ALA to accumulate artificially high amounts of photodynamic tetrapyrrole intermediates in excess of levels normally found in foliage of living plants, and that such induced artificially high levels are sufficiently photodynamic so that subsequent exposure of the foliage to light causes desiccation and death of the foliage without killing the rest of the plant.

As a consequence of desiccation of the foliage of the plant, there is formation of an abscission layer between the branch and the leaf petioles (stems) which ultimately causes the leaves to fall from the branch. Similarly, desiccation of the foliage of fruit bearing plants, e.g., deciduous fruit trees, causes formation of an abscission layer between the branch and the fruit pedicel

(stem) or the pedicel and the fruit which ultimately causes the fruit to drop from the branch.

The foliage of a plant can be induced to accumulate tetrapyrroles because foliage is capable of synthesizing tetrapyrroles via the chlorophyll biosynthetic pathway. In contrast, "woody" parts of a plant, e.g., bark or stalk, do not actively synthesize tetrapyrroles and have sufficient carbohydrate reserves to recover from desiccation so that, notwithstanding desiccation of the foliage, the plant does not die.

As used herein, the term "plant" means a tree, shrub, seedling, or herb, which is a living organism and which typically does not exhibit voluntary motion or possess sensory or nervous organs.

The term "woody" as used herein refers to plant tissue which does not actively synthesize tetrapyrroles and includes ligneous tissues, i.e., tissue containing wood, wood fibers or wood-like fibers.

The term "herbaceous plant" refers to a plant having little or no woody tissue.

The term "deciduous tree" broadly refers to the opposite of evergreen tree and includes trees whose leaves fall off seasonally or at a certain stage of development in the life cycle.

As used herein, the term "young leaf" refers to a leaf which is still expanding in size.

As used herein, the term "mature leaf" refers to a leaf which has stopped expanding in size.

As used herein, the term "old leaf" refers to a mature leaf which is approaching senescence.

As used herein, the term "desiccate" means broadly to dry and includes loss of cellular fluids, followed by degradation of chlorophyll (Chl) and other biomolecules such as proteins, lipoproteins, and nucleic acids, and disintegration of subcellular organelles such as vacuoles, nuclei, mitochondria, plastids, microsomes and microbodies.

As used herein, the term "defoliate" means broadly to remove green plant tissue, in particular, foliage (leaves) and includes separation of leaves at their junction to petioles, or separation of leaves and petioles at their junction to branches, before or after complete leaf desiccation.

As used herein, the term "fruit drop" means broadly to remove fruit from branches of plants, in particular deciduous fruit trees, and includes separation of fruit at the junction to pedicels or separation of fruit and pedicels at their junction to branches before or after leaf desiccation.

As used herein, the term "fruit" means the mature ovary of a flower which includes either parts of the flower or inflorescence which are intimately associated with the mature ovary. Fruit includes but is not limited to apples, oranges, pears, peaches, cherries, tomatoes and the like.

As used herein, the term "chlorophyll biosynthesis modulator" refers to a compound other than exogenous ALA (ALA from sources outside the plant) which causes the green tissue of a plant, e.g., foliage, to accumulate levels of tetrapyrroles which are higher than levels of tetrapyrroles normally found in untreated green tissue. Such modulators are selected from the group consisting of inducers of ALA synthesis, enhancers of ALA conversion to tetrapyrroles and inhibitors of conversion of divinyl tetrapyrroles to monovinyl tetrapyrroles. In accordance with the invention, one or more modulators, or one or more modulators in combi-

nation with ALA can be used to effect defoliation or defoliation and fruit drop in plants.

Modulators of the invention include, e.g., o-phenanthroline, 1,7-phenanthroline, 4,7-phenanthroline, and phenanthridine, available from, e.g., Alpha Products, Danvers, MA; 2,2'-dipyridyl (2,2'-DP), 2,3'-dipyridyl (2,3'-DP), 2,4'-dipyridyl (2,4'-DP), 1,7'-dipyridyl (1,7'-DP), 4,4'-dipyridyl (4,4'-DP), pyridine 2-aldehyde, pyridine 2-aldoxime, 2,2'-dipyridylamine, 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide, 8-hydroxyquinoline, picolinic acid, nicotinic acid, 6-amino nicotinamide, ethyl nicotinate, 2-hydroxynicotinic acid, ethyl 2-methyl nicotinate, N-methyl nicotinamide, N-benzyl-N-nicotinoyl nicotinamide, 2-hydroxy-6-methylpyridine-3-carboxylic acid, 4-hydroxy-7-trifluoromethyl-3-quinoline carboxylic acid, 4-hydroxy-7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic acid, diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate, and niflumic acid, available from, e.g., Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, Wis.; and analogs thereof. Other modulators are listed in Table XVI below. It should be noted that nicotinic acid, an enzyme cofactor, occurs in all living cells and appreciable amounts are found in liver, yeast, milk, adrenal glands, white meat, alfalfa, legumes, whole cereals and corn. In addition, ethyl nicotinate is a vitamin derivative.

By "inducer of ALA synthesis" is meant a compound which, when applied to plants, stimulates the green tissue of the plant to produce a higher than normal amount of endogenous ALA ("native ALA," i.e., ALA normally found in a plant) which in turn causes accumulation of tetrapyrroles at levels sufficiently photodynamic so that upon subsequent exposure of the tissue to light, the tissue desiccates. Thus, an inducer results in a significant accumulation of a particular MV or DV tetrapyrrole when applied to a plant in the absence of exogenous ALA. Significant accumulation of a particular tetrapyrrole is defined as an amount of that accumulated tetrapyrrole which approaches or exceeds the net dark-conversion rate into that tetrapyrrole, brought about by a 5 mM exogenous ALA treatment. Furthermore, the inducer, in combination with ALA, results in the accumulation of higher levels of the particular MV or DV tetrapyrrole than when ALA or the inducer are applied to the plant separately. Thus, the compositions of the invention can comprise one or more inducers of ALA, or one or more inducers of ALA in combination with ALA.

By "enhancer of ALA conversion to tetrapyrroles" or "enhancer" is meant a compound which when applied to plants enhances the capability of the green tissues of the treated plants to convert exogenous or endogenous ALA to photodynamic tetrapyrroles. An enhancer does not result in a significant accumulation of a particular MV or DV tetrapyrrole when applied to a plant in the absence of exogenous ALA but, when used jointly with exogenous ALA, significantly enhances the dark conversion of exogenous ALA into the particular MV or DV tetrapyrroles over and beyond that caused by exogenous ALA alone, i.e., by ALA used as a control. A significant accumulation of a particular tetrapyrrole in this context is defined as the amount of that accumulated tetrapyrrole which approaches or exceeds the net dark-conversion rate into that tetrapyrrole brought about by a 5 mM exogenous ALA treatment. Enhancers of ALA conversion to tetrapyrroles fall into two groups: (1) enhancers of ALA conversion to MV Pchl_a and (2) enhancers of ALA conversion to DV Pchl_a and enhancers of ALA conversion to proto and

MV- and DV-MPE. Thus, the compositions of the present invention can also comprise one or more enhancers of ALA, or one or more enhancers of ALA in combination with ALA or inducers of ALA.

By "inhibitor of the conversion of divinyl tetrapyrroles to monovinyl tetrapyrroles" is meant a compound which, when applied alone to plants, results in the inhibition of a particular MV tetrapyrrole in comparison to untreated controls and/or when applied to a plant in combination with ALA results in the inhibition of a particular MV tetrapyrrole in comparison to ALA-treated controls.

A modulator which functions as one of type of modulator (i.e., inducer, enhancer or inhibitor) in a specific plant or at a given concentration may function as a different type of modulator at a different concentration or in another plant, although a compound which is a modulator in one type of plant will be a modulator in most other types of plants.

For example, 2,2'-dipyridyl can be an enhancer in cucumber at concentrations less than 20 mM but can also be an inducer in cucumber at concentrations of 20 mM or greater. Further, in cucumber, a DDV/LDV plant species, 2,2'-dipyridyl and o-phenanthroline are inducers; pyridine 2-aldoxime, pyridine 2-aldehyde, picolinic acid, 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide, 2,2'-dipyridylamine, 4,4'-dipyridyl, phenanthridine, nicotinic acid, 2-hydroxynicotinic acid, 2-hydroxy-6-methylpyridine-3-carboxylic acid, ethyl nicotinate, ethyl-2-methyl nicotinate and 4-hydroxy-7-trifluoro-8-quinoline carboxylic acid are enhancers; and 2,3'-dipyridyl, 2,4'-dipyridyl, 1,7-phenanthroline, 4,7-phenanthroline, diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate and niflumic acid are inhibitors; in soybean, a DMV/LDV plant species, 2,4-dipyridyl, 2,2'-dipyridylamine, phenanthridine, picolinic acid, pyridine 2-aldoxime, 2,3-dipyridyl, 4,4'-dipyridyl, 1,7-dipyridyl, pyridine 2-aldehyde, 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide and 8-hydroxyquinoline are enhancers; and 4,7-phenanthroline and 1,7-phenanthroline are inhibitors; and in Johnsongrass, a DMV/LMV plant species, 2,2'-dipyridylamine, pyridine 2-aldoxime, pyridine 2-aldehyde, picolinic acid, 2,2'-dipyridyl, 2,4-dipyridyl, 1,7-phenanthroline, 2,2'-dipyridylamine, 2,2'-dipyridyl disulfide, 2,3-dipyridyl and 4,7-phenanthroline are enhancers; and 2,4-dipyridyl and 2,3-dipyridyl are inhibitors. One skilled in the art will be able to determine, without undue experimentation, whether a compound is a modulator and, if desired, will be able to determine the type of modulator based on the methods disclosed herein.

Advantageously, thioflavin T can be used as a modulator in a photodynamic composition for defoliating a plant.

Various ALA and modulator combinations exhibit a significant degree of photodynamic herbicidal selectivity. This selectivity appears to be rooted (a) in the different tetrapyrrole accumulating capabilities of various plant tissues, (b) in the differential susceptibility of various greening groups of plants to the accumulation of various tetrapyrroles, and (c) in the differential response of various greening groups to photodynamic herbicide modulators.

Tetrapyrrole metabolism is not equally affected in various plant tissues by tetrapyrrole-dependent photodynamic herbicides (TDPH) treatment. For example, in green soybean seedlings, the stems, leaves and cotyledons exhibited different susceptibilities toward ALA+2,2'-dipyridyl treatments. The leaves which

accumulated tetrapyrroles were quite susceptible to photodynamic damage, while the stems and cotyledons which were very poor tetrapyrrole accumulators exhibited resistance to treatment.

The dependence of TDPH susceptibility upon the greening group affiliation of treated plants as well as upon the nature of accumulated tetrapyrroles provides a basis to chemically modulate the activity of TDPH. This may be achieved with the use of chemicals that modulate the Chl biosynthetic pathway by forcing ALA-treated plants belonging to certain greening groups to accumulate the "wrong" type of MV or DV tetrapyrrole, while inducing other plant species belonging to other greening groups to accumulate the "right" type of MV or DV tetrapyrrole. A number of chemicals which acted in concert with ALA and which exhibited a definite modulating propensity toward the Chl biosynthetic pathway have been identified. These chemicals were therefore designated as TDPH modulators. They were classified into four groups depending on their effects on the Chl biosynthetic pathway.

In order to determine whether a compound acts as a tetrapyrrole-dependent photodynamic herbicide modulator, the chemical is usually sprayed on a plant with and without ALA, and the treated plant is kept in darkness for several hours during which tetrapyrrole accumulation takes place. After dark incubation and prior to light exposure, the plant tissues are analyzed for tetrapyrrole content. Upon exposure to light, tissues that had accumulated tetrapyrroles in darkness, exhibit rapid photodynamic damage within the first hour of illumination. The classification of a modulator as an enhancer, inducer or inhibitor of tetrapyrrole accumulation is then determined from the pattern of tetrapyrrole accumulation in the presence and absence of ALA and modulators.

Based on their mechanism of action TDPH modulators have been classified into four distinct groups: (a) enhancers of ALA conversion to DV Pchlide, which enhance the conversion of exogenous ALA to DV Pchlide, (b) enhancers of ALA conversion to MV Pchlide, which enhance the conversion of exogenous ALA to MV Pchlide, (c) inducers of tetrapyrrole accumulation, which induce the plant tissues to form large amounts of tetrapyrroles in the absence of exogenously added ALA, and (d) inhibitors of MV Pchlide accumulation, which appear to block the detoxification of DV tetrapyrroles by inhibiting their conversion to MV tetrapyrroles. Of all the aforementioned modulators, only inducers of tetrapyrrole accumulation are capable of causing tetrapyrrole accumulation in the absence of added ALA. The three other classes of modulators do not lead to significant levels of tetrapyrrole accumulation in the absence of added ALA. In all cases, the use of ALA together with a modulator results in enhanced tetrapyrrole accumulation and photodynamic damage over and beyond the levels caused by ALA alone.

It appears that (a) a modulator that acts in a certain way on the Chl biosynthetic pathway of one greening group of plants does not necessarily act the same way on plant species belonging to a different group, (b) different plant species belonging to the same greening group tend to exhibit similar Chl biosynthetic reactivities toward a given modulator and (c) modulators that belong to the same chemical category tend to exhibit the same Chl biosynthetic modulating activity toward a particular plant species. Thus, it may be possible to make certain predictions about the mode of action of a

modulator toward a particular plant species belonging to a particular greening group, once the mode of action of the chemical category to which the modulator belongs has been determined for that particular group.

Thus, the compositions of the present invention can also comprise combinations of ALA and one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators selected from the group consisting of inducers, enhancers, and inhibitors, e.g., ALA+one or more inducers, ALA+one or more enhancers, ALA+one or more inhibitors, ALA+one or more inducers+one or more enhancers, ALA+one or more inducers+one or more inhibitors, ALA+one or more enhancers+one or more inhibitors, ALA+one or more inducers+one or more enhancers+one or more inhibitors, etc.

A consideration of one or more of the following factors will enable one skilled in the art to effect the desired defoliation and/or fruit drop for a given plant species: the species of the plant (monocot, dicot, annual, perennial, woody, non-woody); the age of the plant; the various tissues types present on the plant (cotyledons; stems, leaves, leaf petioles, growing points, fruit pedicels, bark, etc.); and the point of time in the growing season. For example, (a) spraying a plant with woody branches will result in the desiccation of the green leaves but not the woody branches because the woody branches are protected by suberized bark which does not respond to treatment by accumulating tetrapyrroles; (b) spraying a young plant with tender, succulent stems containing chlorophyll will desiccate both the leaves and the stems, while treatment of plants with branches protected by suberized bark will result in desiccation of the leaves only; (c) spraying stems containing green leaves and unprotected growing points (e.g., leaf and flower buds) will desiccate both the leaves and the growing points, while spraying stems with leaves and growing points protected by suberized scales will only desiccate the leaves leaving the protected growing points unaffected; (d) spraying plants with young and old leaves may result in the desiccation of a larger proportion of the old or young leaves, depending on the nature of the modulator (i.e., inducer, enhancer or inhibitor) used with ALA; (e) spraying an annual plant with few carbohydrate reserves will result in desiccation followed by a slower rebound than a perennial plant with more carbohydrate reserves; and (f) spraying a woody plant, with carbohydrate reserves stored in the woody stems and roots, at the end of the growing season will result in desiccation of the leaves without resprouting of new leaves, while spraying the same plant early in the growing season will result in desiccation of the treated leaves, but with regeneration of new leaves from the carbohydrate reserves stored in the stems and roots, given proper temperature and daylength conditions.

The compositions of the present invention can contain one or more of the following: suitable carrier(s) (e.g., colloidal magnesium aluminum silicate, pumice, talc, or combinations thereof); solvent(s) (e.g., water, 0.45 acetone:0.45 ethanol:0.1 Tween 80:9 water (v/v/v/v), 0.45 acetone:0.45 methanol:0.1 Tween 80:9 water (v/v/v/v), 0.1-1% Tween 80 in water (v/v), 0.9 polyethylene glycol (PEG):0.1 Tween 80:9 water (v/v/v), 0.1-0.7 PEG:0.2-0.8 methanol:0.1 Tween 80:9 water (v/v/v/v), 0.9 methanol:0.1 Tween 80:9 water (v/v/v), 0.45 acetone:0.45 ethanol:0.2 Tween 80:0.9 ethylene glycol:18 water (v/v/v/v/v), or one or more of the following: benzene, toluene, xylene, kerosene,

2-methoxyethanol, propylene glycol, diethylene glycol, diethylene glycol diethyl ether, formamide, methylformamide, cyclohexanone, isophorone); buffer(s) (e.g., citric acid); wetting agent(s) (e.g., sodium N-methyl-N-oleoyltaurate, an alkylphenoxy polyoxyethylene ethanol, sodium olefin sulfonate, sodium isopropyl naphthalene sulfonate, polyoxyethylated vegetable oil); dispersing agent(s) (e.g., sodium lignin sulfonate, the sodium salt of a naphthalene sulfonic acid-formaldehyde condensate, hydroxyethyl cellulose); defoaming agent(s) (e.g., silicone); emetic(s) (e.g., sodium tripolyphosphate, tetra potassium pyrophosphate, arecotine, apomorphine, copper sulfate); stench(es) (e.g., pyridine); penetrant(s); surfactant(s); emulsifier(s); and adjuvant(s) (e.g., phytoblend oils). Of course, any such additional component must be compatible with the active ingredients of the present invention and with the other ingredients in the mixture.

The compositions can be formulated in any manner conventionally used for plant preparations, e.g., as a solution, suspension, emulsion, flowable concentrate, emulsifiable concentrate, gel, paste, foam, cream, aerosol, wettable powder, dust, dispersible granules, and the like, according to procedures known to those skilled in the art. Advantageously, the composition is a solution, suspension, emulsion, aerosol, flowable or emulsifiable concentrate, or wettable powder. Of course, the formulation must be such that the active ingredient(s) penetrate(s) the plant tissue and translocates to the sites of tetrapyrrole synthesis. When the compositions are made in solution they can conveniently comprise concentrations of from about 1 to about 40 mM ALA, advantageously, 15 mM to 40 mM, and from about 5 to about 30 mM inducer, enhancer, or inhibitor, advantageously 15 to 30 mM.

The compositions of the present invention can be applied topically, e.g., as a dust, soak, dip, spray, mist, or fog, in an amount sufficient to induce the accumulation of photodynamic tetrapyrroles. Alternatively, the compositions can be applied to the soil for uptake by plant roots and translocation to the vegetative part of the plant. The amount of composition to be applied will vary, depending on the particular active ingredient(s) selected, but in general will be an amount sufficient to supply from about 10 g to about 15 kg ALA per acre and/or from about 10 g to about 10 kg of an inducer, enhancer, or inhibitor per acre. Means of determining optimum application rates are within the purview of those skilled in the art.

Once the tissues of the plant have been induced to begin accumulating artificially high amounts of tetrapyrroles by exposure to the compositions of the present invention, the plant may be shielded from exposure to light to allow maximum tetrapyrrole accumulation. Such dark incubation is not required for activity but tends to optimize efficiency of the compositions. The plants can be shielded in any convenient manner, as by wrapping them in dark paper, cloth, or foil, or by placing them in a dark room or container. Under field conditions, the ideal method to provide a period of dark incubation is to apply the composition at dusk or during the night, at a time chosen to allow the plants to rest in the dark for at least one hour. It is to be understood that in order to facilitate tetrapyrrole accumulation, the dark need not be total absence of light, but rather substantial absence of light at wavelengths of from 300 to 700 nm. Advantageously, the plants are allowed to rest in the

dark for from about 1 to about 20 hours. One to 8 hours is particularly advantageous.

Thereafter the plants are exposed to about 200 ft. candles or more of light at wavelengths of about 300 to about 700 nm. The light can be supplied by any convenient source, e.g., an incandescent lamp, metal halide lamp, sunlamp, or a cool white or skylight fluorescent bulb. In the field, of course, the preferred source of light is sunlight. The plants are exposed to light for a period of time sufficient to oxidize most of the unsaturated membrane lipoproteins; a period of from about 1 to about 14 days is preferred.

Herbicidal activity is indicated by bleaching of the leaves, stems, and/or nodes, followed by wilting and death. If all the leaf buds are not treated, the plant may recover and require repeated treatment.

Insecticidal activity is indicated by alteration of the color of the skin, followed by desiccation and death.

A further understanding of this invention can be had from the following illustrative examples. Desiccating activity is indicated by tissue necrosis and leaf abscission and/or fruit drop. As used hereinabove and below unless expressly stated to the contrary, all temperatures and temperature ranges refer to the centigrade system and the terms ambient and room temperature refer to about 20°–25° C. The term percent or (%) refers to weight percent and the terms mole and moles refer to gram moles. "Level of significance" refers to the probability that for a population for which the correlation coefficient (*r*) is equal to zero, a sample of size *n* can be taken, for which the correlation coefficient equals or exceeds the calculated value of *r* reported for the given sample. The abbreviation "n.s." stands for "not significant".

SECTION I

Protocol for Determining Photodynamic Herbicidal, Insecticidal and Desiccating Compositions

The following examples describe model systems whereby persons skilled in the art can readily determine photodynamic compounds and compositions useful in the present invention.

EXAMPLE 1

Photodynamic Effects of ALA

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L. cv Beit Alpha MR) seedlings were germinated in the greenhouse in vermiculite in glass containers, 9 cm deep and 9 cm in diameter. The seedlings were watered periodically with Hoagland solution. The photoperiod was maintained at 14 hours of light per day with 50 ft. candles of incandescent light.

Six-day old green seedlings were thinned to 10 plants per container and ALA (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) was applied as a fine spray. The ALA was dissolved at concentrations ranging from 0 to 20 mM in a solvent mixture made up of 0.45 acetone:0.45 ethanol:0.1 Tween 80:9 water (v/v/v/v), adjusted to pH 3.5 with dilute HCl. Each 9 cm-diameter glass container (approximately 63.6 cm² leaf surface area) was sprayed with 0.25 ml of ALA (treated) or 0.25 ml of solvent (control), which is equivalent to a spray rate of about 40 gallons/acre and a field application rate of ALA of about 0 to 524 g/acre. The solutions were delivered as a very fine and uniform spray with a modified Pierce "Quixspray" aerosol spray kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, Ill.), as follows: 0.25 ml of solution

was placed in a sawed-off 10 ml conical centrifuge tube, which was placed inside the Quixspray spray jar. The delivery of a very fine mist was achieved by pumping the solution through a fine bore polypropylene tubing (0.3 mm inside diameter, or 0.5 mm inside diameter for more viscous solutions). One end of the fine-bore tubing was inserted into the Quixspray intake hose, while the other end was dipped into the solution in the conical centrifuge tube. In this manner it took 10–20 sec to deliver 0.25 ml spray, and this in turn provided ample time for thoroughly spraying the seedlings to leaf saturation. Each treatment was performed in duplicate. Average droplet size diameter was approximately 25 μ m for the 0.3 mm tubing and about 50 μ m for the 0.5 mm tubing.

After spraying, the plants were wrapped in aluminum foil and were placed inside a cardboard box which was wrapped in two layers of black plastic. The dark-boxes were then incubated overnight (17 hours) at 28° C., in order to allow the biosynthesis and accumulation of tetrapyrroles to take place.

The next morning, the treated plants were sampled for their tetrapyrrole content. The plants were taken in the black boxes to a dark room equipped with a green safelight which permits the manipulation of the treated tissues without affecting in any way their tetrapyrrole content. One of each two cotyledons of every two replicates was excised. Two- to three-gram batches were then homogenized in a Sorval Omnimixer (DuPont Instruments, Newtown, Conn.) in acetone:0.1 N NH_4OH (9:1 v/v) at a rate of 18 ml of solvent per 3 g of tissue. The resulting 80% acetone extract containing various tetrapyrroles was cleared from lipoproteins and cell debris by centrifugation at $39,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 0° C. Chlorophyll, a fully esterified tetrapyrrole, was removed from the aqueous acetone solution by extraction with hexane according to the method of Rebeiz, C. A., Mattheis, J. R., Smith, B. B., Rebeiz, C. C., and Dayton, D. F. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 166:446–465 (1975). The more polar mono- and dicarboxylic tetrapyrroles such as Proto, MP(E), and Pchl_{ide} remained in the hexane-extracted aqueous acetone fraction. The chemical structure of these tetrapyrroles has been discussed at length in Rebeiz, C. A. and Lascelles, J., in *Photosynthesis: Energy Conversion by Plants and Bacteria*, Vol. 1, Govindjee, ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1982), pp. 699–780; and Rebeiz, C. A., Wu, S. M., Kuhadja, M., Daniell, H., and Perkins, E. J. *Mol. Cellular Biochem.* 57:97–125 (1983). The amount of Proto, MP(E), and Pchl_{ide} was determined spectrofluorometrically on aliquots of the hexane-extracted acetone fraction according to the method of Rebeiz, C. A., Mattheis, J. R., Smith, B. B., Rebeiz, C. C., and Dayton, D. F., *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 171:549–567 (1975). A small aliquot of the hexane extract containing the Chl a and b was dried under N_2 gas and the residue was redissolved in 80% acetone. The amount of Chl and b in this acetone solution was then determined spectrofluorometrically according to the method of Bazzaz, M. B., and Rebeiz, C. A., *Photochem. Photobiol.* 30:709–721 (1979).

Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a fully corrected photon counting spectrofluorometer Model SLM 8000 DS (SLM-Aminco, Urbana, IL) equipped with two red-sensitive, extended S_{20} photomultipliers (EMI 9658), and interfaced with a microcomputer sys-

tem Model 9825 S (Hewlett-Packard, Sunnyvale, Calif.). Tetrapyrrole solutions were monitored at room temperature on 0.3 ml samples, in cylindrical microcells, 3 mm in diameter. Conversion of the digital spectral data into concentrations was performed automatically by the microcomputer, following the recording of the pertinent spectra, according to the method of Rebeiz, C. A., Daniell, H., and Mattheis, J. R., *Biotech. Bioeng. Symp. No. 12*:413–439 (1982). The emission and excitation spectra were recorded at excitation and emission bandwidths of 2 nm.

Monovinyl tetrapyrroles were distinguished from divinyl tetrapyrroles by their well-established spectrofluorometric properties in ether at 77° K. (see Rebeiz and Lascelles, supra; Rebeiz, Wu, Kuhadja, Daniell and Perkins, supra; Belanger, F. C., and Rebeiz, C. A., *J. Biol. Chem.* 257:1360–1371 (1982); and Belanger, F. C., Duggan, J. X., and Rebeiz, C. A., *J. Biol. Chem.* 257:4849–4858 (1982)). The low temperature fluorescence emission and excitation spectra were recorded in cylindrical sample tubes as described in Cohen, C. E., and Rebeiz, C. A., *Plant Physiol.* 61:824–829 (1978).

Absorption spectra were recorded with an Aminco dual wavelength spectrophotometer model DW-2 (SLM-Aminco, Urbana, IL) operated in the split-beam mode, at a slit width of 2 nm.

The acetone-insoluble residue which was left behind after centrifugation of the tissue homogenate was suspended in distilled water with an all glass tissue grinder. Total proteins were determined on a small aliquot of the suspension, after delipidation, according to the method of Rebeiz, C. A., Castelfranco, P. A., and Engelbrecht, A. H., *Plant Physiol.* 40:281–286 (1965).

After 17 hours of dark-incubation the treated plants accumulated 382.82 and 2.36 nmoles of Pchl_{ide} and MP(E), respectively, per 100 mg protein, above and beyond the controls. The seedlings with half of their cotyledons still intact were then used for assessing photodynamic damage by light. The seedlings were exposed to daylight in the greenhouse (400 to 5000 ft. candles at noon, depending on cloud cover) and their growth was evaluated over a period of 10 days. In order to secure a permanent record of the growth behavior of the treated plants, the latter were photographed daily (Kodacolor, 400 ASA, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N.Y.) with a Pentax Super Program camera (Helix, Champaign, Ill.) equipped with an SMC Pentax-A 1:1.4 50 mm lens and a digital back that imprinted on each photograph the date or time of day at which the photograph was taken. Per cent photodynamic damage was assessed as the percent death of the sprayed tissue, in response to exposure to sunlight. For example, if 10 out of 10 sprayed leaves or cotyledons died as a consequence of exposure to daylight, the photodynamic damage was considered to be 100%. If only five out of the ten sprayed leaves or cotyledons had died, the photodynamic damage was considered to be only 50%, etc.

The extent of photodynamic damage was related to the amount of accumulated tetrapyrroles by conventional correlation analysis. The amounts of tetrapyrrole that accumulated were expressed in nmoles per 100 mg of tissue protein.

The results of these experiments are shown in Table I.

TABLE I

Experiment ¹	Treatment		Various Concentrations of ALA change ² after 17 h of dark-incubation nmol/100 mg protein				Photodynamic damage (%)
	mM ALA	g/acre	Pchlide	MP(E)	Proto	Tetrapyrroles ³	
A	0 (Control)	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	5	131	31.77	-4.44	-17.33	10.00	22
	10	262	132.76	-0.50	-13.07	119.19	45
	15	393	271.29	1.23	-17.33	255.19	95
	20	524	210.60	-0.75	-17.33	192.52	85
	Correlation coefficient					0.978	
B	0 (Control)	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	1	26	24.95	-3.08	-2.81	61.12	2
	5	131	140.82	-1.69	-12.41	123.72	63
	10	262	147.82	3.83	19.58	168.23	92
	15	393	191.22	0.78	-12.41	175.03	95
	Correlation coefficient		0.988			0.975	
	Level of significance		0.1%			0.1%	

¹In experiment A, the light intensity at noon during the first day of exposure to daylight was about 400 ft. candles. In experiment B, it was about 5000 ft. candles.

²The change in tetrapyrrole concentration is the difference between the tetrapyrrole content of the ALA-treated plants and that of the control plants which were sprayed with the solvent only, i.e. without added ALA, after 17 h of dark incubation and just prior to exposing the plants to daylight. The control plants contained the following amounts of tetrapyrrole after 17 h of dark incubation, and prior to exposure to daylight: A:99.6, 7.66, 17.33 and B:22.69, 6.96, and 12.41 nmole Pchlide, MP(E) and Proto respectively per 100 mg protein.

³= Pchlide + MP(E) + Proto

The symptoms of photodynamic damage assumed two forms: bleaching of the green leafy tissue, which spread gradually; and severe bleaching of the hypocotyl. In both cases, this was accompanied by a severe loss of turgidity of the affected tissues. The photodynamic damage was effected on the cell membranes which became leaky and this in turn resulted in a rapid and severe dehydration of the tissues. For example, at ALA concentrations of 10–20 mM (262–524 g/acre) a large number of seedlings had undergone irreversible damage after four to five hours of exposure to daylight. The cause of death was usually due to severe dehydration, bleaching, and collapse of the leafy and/or hypocotyl tissues. On the other hand, treated samples kept for the same period of time in darkness were unaffected.

EXAMPLE 2

Photodynamic Response of Various Plant Species to ALA + 2,2'-DP Treatment

The procedure of Example 1 was performed on the following representative monocots and dicots:

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L. cv Beit Alpha MR)

Lambsquarter (*Chenopodium album*)

Mustard (*Brassica kaber/juncea*)

Red root pigweed (*Amaranthus retroflexus*)

Common purslane (*Portulaca oleracea*)

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* cv Jet Star)

Cotton (*Gossypium herbaceum* cv Coker-315)

Red kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. California Dark Red)

Soybean (*Glycine max* cv Williams)

Perennial bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* cv Aspen)

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, var. Beacon Spring)

Sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. cv Gold Cup)

Crabgrass (*Digitaria sanguinalis* L. and *Digitaria ischaemum*)

Giant foxtail (*Setaria faberii*)

Oat (*Avena sativa* cv Centennial)

Wheat (*Triticum sativum* cv Auburn)

The greenhouse-grown seedlings were treated with 0.25 ml of 5 mM (131 g/acre) ALA + 15 mM (402 g/acre) 2,2'-DP, pH 3.5. Controls were treated with solvent only. All plants were then incubated in the dark for 17 hours. The next morning the seedlings were sampled in the dark for tetrapyrrole content using the procedure of Example I for dicots and the following procedure for monocots: the seedlings of one of the two replicates were excised into an upper half and a lower half. The two batches of excised tissue were then homogenized separately in a Sorval Omnimixer in acetone:0.1N NH₄OH (9:1 v/v) at a rate of 18 ml of solvent per 3 g of tissue. The other replicate was used to assess the photodynamic effect of light on the seedlings. For some dicots, the stems as well as the leaves were analyzed for tetrapyrroles. The results are given in Table II:

TABLE II

Plant	Age at spraying (days)	Type of herbicidal response ¹	Photodynamic Response of Various Plant Species to ALA + 2,2'-DP Spray							
					nmol/100 mg protein				Photodynamic damage (%)	
			PChlide		MP(E)		Proto		Control	Treated
			Control	Treated	Control	Treated	Control	Treated	Control	Treated
Cucumber cotyledons	6	I	84.79	434.12	8.51	68.85	3.64	19.06	0	85
Cucumber stems	6	I	10.87	71.77	5.32	14.47	12.67	39.37	0	85
Lambsquarter	7	I	23.52	72.58	3.83	33.94	17.59	13.87	0	100
Mustard leaves	12	I	29.84	200.82	12.01	36.11	29.08	23.52	0	90
Mustard stems	12	I	15.26	49.60	2.95	13.13	0.00	38.35		
Red root pigweed	11	I	29.47	59.08	1.64	20.59	0.00	2.90	0	95
Common purslane	21	I	8.37	33.30	1.54	11.79	1.88	5.71	0	80
Tomato cotyledons	13	I	27.19	114.86	0.69	34.40	0.31	0.31	0	90
Tomato stems	13	I	3.69	14.26	0.82	2.53	0.00	0.00	0	90
Cotton cotyledons	14	II	18.06	36.53	3.95	9.22	0.00	0.00	0	63
Cotton stems	14	II	3.70	4.18	1.19	1.13	0.00	0.00	0	0

TABLE II-continued

Plant	Age at spraying (days)	Type of herbicidal response ¹	Photodynamic Response of Various Plant Species to ALA + 2,2'-DP Spray							
			PChlide		nmol/100 mg protein				Photodynamic damage (%)	
			Control	Treated	MP(E)		Proto		Control	Treated
					Control	Treated	Control	Treated		
Kidney bean leaves	9	II	117.03	438.79	3.11	430.12	4.88	21.42	0	100
Kidney bean stems	9	II	36.78	82.26	3.89	75.90	3.49	14.2	0	0
Soybean leaves	9	II	25.31	98.88	3.61	105.84	4.24	10.87	0	78
Soybean stems	9	II	6.06	6.17	0.37	0.45	0.00	0.45	0	0
Perennial bluegrass	18	II	9.87	39.46	0.39	43.52	0.54	51.46	0	30-40
Barley	6	III	12.69	58.64	0.8	3.39	0.39	1.11	0	S.N. ²
Corn	9	III	79.09	85.44	4.90	15.47	12.39	0.00	0	S.N.
Crabgrass	25	III	44.43	114.32	3.13	27.63	0.00	0.00	0	S.N.
Giant foxtail	6	III	7.87	78.75	0.44	11.91	0.00	13.92	0	S.N.
Oat upper half	7	III	29.19	171.96	13.02	23.04	0.00	0.00	0	S.N.
Oat lower half	7	III	92.53	121.86	9.37	3.84	0.00	0.00	0	0.0
Wheat upper half	7	III	29.58	101.25	8.34	5.22	9.96	0.60	0	S.N.
Wheat lower half	7	III	31.87	47.23	2.10	0.99	0.00	0.00	0	0.0

¹These types of photodynamic response are discussed below.

²S.N. = small necrotic areas.

An examination of results of this survey revealed that 20 plants reacted in three different ways to the ALA + 2,2'-DP spray. One group of dicots, which is exemplified by cucumber, exhibited what is referred to as Type I herbicidal response in Table II. This group of plants reacted to the ALA + 2,2'-DP spray exactly as did cucumber. 25 Leafy tissues, stems and growing points accumulated significant amounts of tetrapyrroles and were subject to severe photodynamic damage (Table II). Usually, the seedlings died very rapidly, and the rapidity of the response depended on the light intensity in the greenhouse. For example, at the low spray concentrations used in this work (131 g/acre ALA + 402 g/acre 2, 2'-DP), only 4 to 5 hours of exposure to daylight was sufficient to cause the death of the plants on clear, bright days (4000 to 6000 ft. candles at noon). On the 35 other hand, 2 to 3 days of insolation were required on very cloudy days (400 ft. candles at noon) in order to achieve the same results. Some of the plant species that exhibited this type of photodynamic s herbicidal response such as lambsquarter, mustard, red-root pigweed and common purslane are considered to be serious weeds. While 13-day old tomato plants, with fully expanded cotyledons and with small developing primary leaves exhibited a Type I response (Table II), younger 40 8- to 10-day old tomato seedlings were much less affected by the spray (approximately 40% photodynamic damage).

Other dicots such as cotton, kidney bean and soybean exhibited a different response to the ALA + 2,2'-DP treatment. This response is referred to as Type II in 50 Table II. Plants belonging to this group accumulate significant amounts of tetrapyrroles in the leafy tissues, but not in the stems as in cotton and soybean. Other species such as kidney bean also accumulated some tetrapyrroles in the stems. Leaves that accumulate tetrapyrroles exhibit very severe photodynamic damage and die within a few hours. However, the cotyledons, stems, and growing points remain unaffected. Such plants usually recovered from the original photodynamic damage by producing new leaves and may require a second application. In this group the Type II 60 response also depended on the age of the seedlings. For example, 6-day old soybean in which the primary leaves were still enclosed within the cotyledons were completely unaffected by the ALA + 2,2'-DP treatment. On the other hand, 9-day old soybean plants, with expanded primary leaves, exhibited a typical Type II 65 photodynamic herbicidal response. The only monitored

monocot that exhibited this type of response was perennial blue grass in which about 30-40% of the sprayed leaves died; the plants subsequently recovered and developed new leaves.

The third type of photodynamic herbicidal response elicited by the ALA + 2,2'-DP treatment is referred to as a type III response. Based on available data, monocots exhibited this type of response. Although the ALA + 2,2'-DP treatment induced the accumulation of significant amounts of tetrapyrrole by the plants, the photodynamic damage was either imperceptible as in wheat, oat, and corn, or when noticeable as in barley, was confined to the upper half of a small proportion of the sprayed plants. In that case the photodynamic damage consisted of small necrotic regions. The seedlings continued to grow vigorously and developed into healthy plants.

The photodynamic formulations described in this example exhibited an excellent measure of species, age and organ-dependent selectivity. While dicotyledenous weeds such as lambsquarter, mustard, red root pigweed and common purslane were highly susceptible to tetrapyrrole-induced photodynamic damage, monocots such as corn, wheat, oats, and barley were not adversely affected by the spray. Other dicots were either unaffected by the spray at an early stage of development as in soybean, or recovered fully from a rapid destruction of the primary leaves by producing new and healthy leaves, as was observed for kidney bean, soybean and cotton. Furthermore some tissues which accumulated tetrapyrroles such as bean stems did not exhibit any photodynamic damage. The biochemical basis of this organ, age and species-dependent photodynamic herbicidal selectivity appears to be dependent among other things on the rates of tetrapyrrole turnover and on a differential enhancement of the MV and DV tetrapyrrole biosynthetic pathways in any given plant species.

SECTION II

Examples of Defoliation and Fruit Drop

EXAMPLE 3

Defoliation Of "Red Delicious", "Golden Delicious", "Winesap" and "Prima" Apple Cultivars Under Greenhouse Conditions

Scion wood of "Red Delicious", "Golden Delicious", "Winesap", and "Prima" apple cultivars was collected from the University of Illinois Pomology

Research Farm. These were "whip and tongue" grafted onto seedling rootstock (purchased from Pacific Coast Nursery, Portland, Oreg.). Following grafting, seedlings were placed in plastic bags containing moist vermiculite, and kept in a cold room for two weeks at 1° C. to induce healing. The seedlings were then held in a cold chamber at 10° C. until needed.

Seedlings were later planted in 15 cm plastic pots containing a 1:1:1:1 (v/v/v/v) mixture of soil, vermiculite, peat, and sand media. These were grown in a greenhouse at 28° C. under a 14h light-10h dark photoperiod. Light intensity was supplied by three, 1000W metal halide lamps. Light intensity was 24 W/m².

Following five days of growth at 23° C., the apple seedlings were treated as follows: (a) solvent only (control); (b) 20 mM ALA (Biosynth Int'l, Skokie, IL); (c) 30 mM ethyl nicotinate (EN) (Aldrich Chem. Co., Milwaukee, WI); and (d) 20 mM of ALA plus 30 mM of EN. The solvent consisted of polyethylene glycol (Sigma Chem. Co., St. Louis, Mo.):methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v) (Rebeiz, C. A., Montazer-Zouhoor, A., Mayasich, J. M., Tripathy, B. C., Wu, S., and Rebeiz, C. C., *CRC Crit. Rev. in Plant Sci.*, 6:385-435 (1988)). pH was adjusted to 3.5 to facilitate the penetration of ALA into the leaves. Solutions were delivered as a fine and uniform spray with a spray gun nozzle. The solutions were placed in a Binks Wren air brush, and the delivery of a fine mist (average diameter droplet size of 125 microns) was achieved by pumping the solution through metal tubing using compressed CO₂. One end of the tube was inserted into the gun intake hose, while the other end was dipped into the solution. Leaves were sprayed to a drip.

Treatment of the four apple cultivars was replicated three times in a randomized split plot design (four seedlings per replicate of each cultivar). After spraying, seedlings were transferred to a dark-growth chamber at 28° C. and kept from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 a.m. the following morning in order to allow for the dark conversion of ALA to tetrapyrroles.

After 15 hours in darkness, plants were moved to the greenhouse for evaluation of growth and photodynamic damage over a period of 30 days. Plants were periodically photographed to record response to treatment. A Pentax Super program camera, equipped with an SMC Pentax-A 1:1.4, 50 mm lens, and a digital back recording the date and time of each shot on the prints obtained, was used. A 400 ASA Kodacolor film was used. First photographic records were taken before treatment and then at 1, 3, 8, 10, 17 and 30 days after exposure to light. Photodynamic damage consisted of the sum of tissue necrosis and leaf abscission.

A gram leaf sample (collected from both the top and the bottom of each of the seedlings) was homogenized in a Polytron homogenizer for one minute in 7 ml of acetone and 0.1N ammonium hydroxide (9 : 1, v/v). Acetone serves to extract the tetrapyrroles while ammonium hydroxide maintains the medium basic, thereby preventing loss of the Mg-atom from metalated tetrapyrroles. During homogenization, samples were handled under a green safelight which does not affect the tetrapyrrole content of the tissues.

After homogenization, extracts were centrifuged at 18,000 rpm for 12 min. at 1° C. to separate lipoproteins and cell debris from the supernatant containing tetrapyrroles. Chlorophyll and other fully esterified tetrapyrroles were removed by first extracting the supernatant with hexane followed by an equal volume of

hexane and then with a 1/3 volume of hexane (Rebeiz, C. A., Mattheis, J. R., Smith, B. B., Rebeiz, C. C., and Dayton, D. F. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 171:549-567 (1975)). Protoporphyrin IX (proto), Mg-protoporphyrin monoester (MPE), and protochlorophyllide (Pchl_{id}) remained in the hexane-extracted acetone fraction (HEAF). The amount of tetrapyrroles in the HEAF was determined by spectrofluorometry.

Fluorescence emission and excitation spectra of the HEAF were recorded at room temperature (23.5° C.) and at 77° K. (frozen in liquid nitrogen) using a fully corrected photon counting spectrofluorometer (model SLM 8000 DS) equipped with two red-sensitive, extended S 20 photomultipliers (EMI 9658), and interfaced with an IBM Model 30 PC. Room temperature determinations were performed on 0.3 ml aliquots in a cylindrical micro-cell of 3 mm in diameter. Analytical techniques used for precise quantitative determination of the various tetrapyrroles are described by Rebeiz, C. A., Mattheis, J. R., Smith, B. B., Rebeiz, C. C., and Dayton, D. F., *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 171:549-567 (1975); Smith, B. B., and Rebeiz, C. A., *Photochem. Photobiol.* 26:527-532 (1977); Bazzaz, M. B., and Rebeiz, C. A., *Photochem. Photobiol.* 30:709 (1979); and Rebeiz, C. A., Daniell, H., and Mattheis, J. R., in 4th *Symp. Biotechnol. Energy Prod. Conserv.* (Scot, C. D., Ed.) John Wiley & Sons, New York, 413-439 (1982). Recording of spectra and conversion of digital spectral data into concentrations were automatically performed by the microcomputer (Rebeiz, C. A., Daniell, H., and Mattheis, J. R., *supra*). For room temperature spectra, both the emission and the excitation band width were set at 4 nm. The photon count was integrated for 0.5 seconds at each 1 nm increment. Ratios of MV MPE to DV MPE and of MV pchl_{id} to DV pchl_{id} were calculated from spectra recorded in ether at 77° K. (Tripathy, B. C., and Rebeiz, C. A., *Anal. Biochem.* 149:43-61 (1985)). The MV and DV tetrapyrrole content in a sample was determined from MV and DV tetrapyrrole ratios obtained at 77° K. and from total pigment content determined at room temperature.

Total protein was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method Smith, P. K., Krohn, R. I., Hermanson, G. T., Mallia, A. K., Gardner, F. H., Provenzano, M. D., Fujimoto, E. K., Goeke, N. M., Olson, P. J., and Klenk, D. C., *Anal. Biochem.* 150:76-85 (1985), after resuspending the pellet obtained after centrifugation in distilled water. Absorbance was monitored on a Sequoia-Turner Model 340 spectrophotometer. Statistical analysis was conducted on an IBM P. C. Model 80, using a software package available from SAS Industries, Inc. Data were analyzed as a randomized split block design using the linear model. Testing for significant differences among cultivars and/or treatments was evaluated using the protected LSD test.

In general, leaves exhibited photodynamic injury in both ALA + EN and ALA treatments just a few hours after exposure to light. This was expressed in the form of wilting and necrosis of leaves. Near complete defoliation of seedlings was achieved 8 days following treatment (Table III).

TABLE III

Percent Defoliation Of "Golden Delicious" Seedlings In The Greenhouse Over Time							
Treatment	Day:	1	3	8	10	17	30
		% Defoliation					
Control ¹		0.77	2.15	5.00	5.00	6.19	6.19

TABLE III-continued

Percent Defoliation Of "Golden Delicious" Seedlings In The Greenhouse Over Time							
Treatment	Day:	1	3	8	10	17	30
EN ²		1.13	1.55	3.39	3.39	4.08	4.08
ALA ³		27.78	48.61	70.83	73.61	80.55	81.25
ALA + EN ⁴		47.27	75.40	94.08	94.08	96.64	98.72

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

The experiments indicate that the detachment of the leaves from the stem is caused by the formation of an abscission layer at the leaf petiole level. The photodynamic phenomenology expressed by the various apple cultivars under greenhouse conditions is briefly described below.

For "Golden Delicious" seedlings, more than 75% of the leaves abscised by the third day following treatment with ALA + EN and defoliation was complete after 30 days (Table III). Except for some negligible browning, EN-treated and control plants exhibited no photodynamic damage (Table III).

"Red Delicious" seedlings lost 77% of their leaves 17 days after treatment with ALA+EN (Table IV). Therefore, the response of "Red Delicious" seedlings to ALA + EN treatment was slower than that observed for "Golden Delicious". Control and EN-treated "Red Delicious" seedlings did not exhibit any noticeable photodynamic damage (Table IV).

TABLE IV

Percent Defoliation Of "Red Delicious" Seedlings In The Greenhouse Over Time							
Treatment	Day:	1	3	8	10	17	30
% Defoliation							
Control ¹		0.00	1.65	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90
EN ²		0.30	0.79	1.37	1.37	1.37	1.37
ALA ³		27.15	41.03	55.30	56.69	59.47	60.86
ALA + EN ⁴		21.47	29.70	50.11	54.06	77.14	88.83

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

The photodynamic phenomenology of "Winesap" seedlings differed from the previous two cultivars. Overall, ALA treatment resulted in continuously higher percentage leaf drop over ALA + EN treatment (Table V). Almost 50% defoliation was achieved with ALA alone after the first day of treatment (Table V).

TABLE V

Percent Defoliation Of "Winesap" Seedlings In The Greenhouse Over Time							
Treatment	Day:	1	3	8	10	17	30
% Defoliation							
Control ¹		0.30	0.58	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17
EN ²		0.89	1.62	2.13	2.13	2.62	2.62
ALA ³		49.84	62.74	73.44	76.71	76.71	80.97
ALA + EN ⁴		35.03	45.86	65.27	65.27	70.15	73.71

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

Again, control and EN treated seedlings exhibited very small amounts of leaf browning (less than 2.6%) (Table V). "Prima" seedlings lost nearly 75% of their leaves 17

days after treatments with ALA and ALA + EN (Table VI).

TABLE VI

Percent Defoliation Of "Prima" Seedlings In The Greenhouse Over Time							
Treatment	Day:	1	3	8	10	17	30
% Defoliation							
Control ¹		0.79	1.98	2.53	2.53	2.53	2.53
EN ²		0.79	1.19	1.58	1.58	1.58	1.58
ALA ³		37.50	52.78	66.67	66.67	73.61	74.31
ALA + EN ⁴		34.65	57.12	61.95	67.22	75.92	78.48

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

Again, control and EN treatments exhibited negligible damage (Table VI).

In general, the four apple cultivars exhibited a similar response to treatment with ALA and EN. Indeed, no significant differences among cultivars were observed in protoporphyrin IX (Proto), MV Mg-protoporphyrin monoester (MV MPE), DV Mg-protoporphyrin monoester (DV MPE), MV Protochlorophyllide (MV Pchlde), and DV Protochlorophyllide (DV Pchlde) accumulation in leaves in all treatments (Tables VII-XI).

TABLE VII

Leaf Accumulation Of Protoporphyrin IX In Each Of The Four Cultivars Following Treatment

Protoporphyrin IX accumulation in nmoles/100 mg protein				
Cultivar	Control ¹	EN ²	ALA ³	ALA + EN ⁴
Golden Delicious	3.16 A ^Y	7.63 A	15.83 A	36.47 B
Prima	6.38 A	9.62 A	20.07 A	9.66 A
Red Delicious	5.96 A	5.43 A	15.22 AB	22.89 B
Winesap	4.24 A	7.41 A	24.1 B	25.83 B

^YMean separation for various treatments within a cultivar by LSD at the 5% level of significance. Means followed by the same letter within a cultivar are not significantly different.

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

TABLE VIII

Leaf Accumulation Of Monovinyl Mg-protoporphyrin In Monoester Each Of The Four Cultivars Following Treatment

MV MPE accumulation in nmoles/100 mg protein				
Cultivar	Control ¹	EN ²	ALA ³	ALA + EN ⁴
Golden Delicious	2.32 A ^Y	3.82 A	1.04 A	5.81 A
Prima	2.81 A	4.53 AB	12.11 B	1.44 A
Red Delicious	2.71 A	2.48 A	1.70 A	2.27 A
Winesap	2.86 A	3.18 A	0.75 A	0.98 A

^YMean separation for various treatments within a cultivar by LSD at the 5% level of significance. Means followed by the same letter within a cultivar are not significantly different.

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

TABLE IX

Leaf Accumulation of Divinyl Mg-protoporphyrin Monoester In Each of The Four Cultivars Following Treatment				
Cultivar	DV MPE accumulation in nmoles/100 mg protein			
	Control ¹	EN ²	ALA ³	ALA + EN ⁴
Golden Delicious	2.57 A ^Y	3.55 A	40.17 B	56.07 B
Prima	4.78 A	4.53 A	53.64 B	46.33 B
Red Delicious	2.74 A	2.57 A	45.20 B	102.58 C
Winesap	3.03 A	4.44 A	53.76 B	73.34 B

^YMean separation for various treatments within a cultivar by LSD at the 5% level of significance. Means followed by the same letter within a cultivar are not significantly different.

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90(v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

TABLE X

Leaf Accumulation Of Monovinyl Protochlorophyllide In Each of The Four Cultivars Following Treatment				
Cultivar	MV Protochlorophyllide accumulation in nmoles/100 mg protein			
	Control ¹	EN ²	ALA ³	ALA + EN ⁴
Golden Delicious	17.71 A ^Y	7.37 A	144.56 B	220.79 B
Prima	20.03 A	8.32 A	257.74 B	206.82 B
Red Delicious	9.40 A	6.24 A	176.53 B	403.45 C
Winesap	23.64 A	14.12 A	180.20 B	302.56 C

^YMean separation for various treatments within a cultivar by LSD at the 5% level of significance. Means followed by the same letter within a cultivar are not significantly different.

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90(v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

TABLE XI

Leaf Accumulation of Divinyl Protochlorophyllide In Each of The Four Cultivars Following Treatment				
Cultivar	DV Protochlorophyllide accumulation in nmoles/100 mg protein			
	Control ¹	EN ²	ALA ³	ALA + EN ⁴
Golden Delicious	0.59 A ^Y	0.75 A	2.84 A	6.13 A
Prima	1.24 A	0.63 A	2.07 A	4.95 A

TABLE XI-continued

Leaf Accumulation of Divinyl Protochlorophyllide In Each of The Four Cultivars Following Treatment				
Cultivar	DV Protochlorophyllide accumulation in nmoles/100 mg protein			
	Control ¹	EN ²	ALA ³	ALA + EN ⁴
Winesap	0.84 A	0.58 A	51.97 B	8.91 A

^YMean separation for various treatments within a cultivar by LSD at the 5% level of significance. Means followed by the same letter within a cultivar are not significantly different.

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90(v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

15 However, significant differences among treatments were observed for proton, IX, DV MPE, and MV Pchlde accumulations (Tables VII-XI). A significant cultivar x treatment interaction was obtained only in MV Pchlde accumulation. As for percent leaf defoliation, no significant differences were observed among cultivars. Significant differences were, however, observed among treatments along with a significant cultivar x treatment interaction (Table XII).

TABLE XII

Percent Leaf Defoliation Of Four Apple Cultivars				
Cultivar	% Leaf Defoliation			
	Control ¹	EN ²	ALA ³	ALA + EN ⁴
Golden Delicious	6.19 A ^Y	4.08 A	81.50 B	98.72 B
Prima	2.53 A	1.58 A	74.31 B	78.48 B
Red Delicious	1.90 A	1.36 A	60.86 B	88.83 B
Winesap	1.17 A	2.62 A	80.97 B	73.71 B

^YMean separation for various treatments within a cultivar by LSD at the 5% level of significance. Means followed by the same letter within a cultivar are not significantly different.

¹Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90(v/v/v/v)).

²30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

³20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁴20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

40 Overall, accumulation of proto and extent of leaf defoliation for the cultivars were not significantly different between ALA+EN and ALA; however, they were both significantly higher than EN and control treatments (Table XIII).

TABLE XIII

Mean Accumulation Of Tetrapyrroles in nmoles/100 mg Protein In "Red Delicious", "Winesap", "Golden Delicious" And "Prima" Cultivars And The Percent Defoliation For The Four Treatments						
Treatment	Proto ¹	MV MPE ²	DV MPE ³	MV Pchlde ⁴	DV Pchlde ⁵	% Defol ⁶
nmoles/100 mg protein						
Control ⁷	4.94 B ^Y	2.68 A	3.28 C	17.70 C	0.82 A	2.95 B
EN ⁸	7.52 B	3.50 A	3.77 C	9.01 C	0.55 A	2.41 B
ALA ⁹	18.82 A	3.90 A	48.19 B	189.76 B	14.67 A	74.41 A
ALA + EN	23.71 A	2.62 A	69.58 A	283.40 A	5.28 A	84.93 A
Signif. ^Z	*	NS	*	*	NS	*

^ZNon-significant (NS), significant at the 5% level (*).

^YMean separation within columns by LSD at the 5% level of significance. Means followed by the same letter for various treatments within a tetrapyrrole accumulation are not significantly different.

¹Protoporphyrin IX.

²Monovinyl Mg-protoporphyrin monoester.

³Divinyl Mg-protoporphyrin monoester.

⁴Monovinyl protochlorophyllide.

⁵Divinyl protochlorophyllide.

⁶Percent defoliation.

⁷Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

⁸Ethyl nicotinate.

⁹5-aminolevulinic acid.

Red Delicious 0.62 A 0.24 A 1.74 A 1.13 A

The accumulation of DV MPE and MV Pchlde was significantly higher in ALA + EN treated seedlings than in the other three treatments (Table XIII). However,

ALA treated seedlings also exhibited significantly higher DV MPE and MV Pchlide accumulation in leaves than EN-treated and control plants. The EN treated seedlings and the controls were not significantly different (Table XIII).

Since the control and the EN treated seedlings did not accumulate significant amounts of proto, MV MPE, DV MPE, MV Pchlide, and DV Pchlide, and since almost no defoliation was observed in all four cultivars, it can be stated that the exogenous ALA and ALA + EN applications were definitely responsible for the accumulation of tetrapyrroles and for the successful defoliation of apple seedlings. When applied with ALA, EN significantly increased the dark conversion of exogenous ALA to both DV MPE and MV Pchlide, beyond the level obtained by ALA alone (Table XIII). Therefore, EN can be classified as an enhancer of ALA conversion to MV Protochlorophyllide and to DV MPE.

ALA + EN treatment induced a significantly higher proto accumulation in "Golden Delicious" than in the other treatments. However, ALA + EN treated "Golden Delicious" seedlings did not accumulate higher levels of proto than ALA treated seedlings in other cultivars. Furthermore, in "Winesap", ALA treatment resulted in a significantly higher level of Proto accumulation than that of the Control or EN treatments (Table VII).

ALA-treated seedlings of "Prima" exhibited a higher MV MPE accumulation than ALA + EN treated plants. However, no significant differences in MV MPE accumulation were exhibited among any of the treatments of "Golden Delicious", "Red Delicious", and "Winesap" cultivars (Table VIII).

"Golden Delicious", "Prima", and "Winesap" cultivars did not exhibit any significant differences in DV MPE accumulation between the ALA and ALA + EN treated plants. However, ALA + EN treated "Red Delicious" seedlings exhibited a significantly higher DV MPE accumulation than ALA treated plants (Table IX).

"Red Delicious" and "Winesap" ALA + EN-treated seedlings exhibited a significantly higher MV Pchlide accumulation than ALA-treated seedlings. The other two cultivars did not exhibit a significant difference between ALA and ALA + EN treatments (Table X).

Only ALA-treated "Winesap" seedlings exhibited a significant difference in their DV Pchlide accumulation when compared to the other three treatments (Table XI).

For all four cultivars, there was no significant difference in defoliation between ALA and ALA + EN treated plants (Table XIII).

Proto, DV MPE, and MV Pchlide accumulation in leaves of the various cultivars were positively correlated to leaf defoliation (FIGS. 3, 4 and 5). In other words, the higher accumulation of proto, DV MPE, and MV Pchlide, resulted in an increased rate of defoliation. It was observed, however, that beyond a certain tetrapyrrole concentration level, accumulation of proto, DV MPE and MV Pchlide inhibited defoliation (FIGS. 3, 4 and 5).

Because treated seedlings were not held in cold storage, and because of the favorable environmental conditions in the greenhouse, defoliated seedlings exhibited healthy, green regrowth. This in turn indicates that shoots and buds were not damaged as a result of these treatments. Interestingly, more regrowth was observed with plants sprayed with ALA + EN than with those

sprayed with ALA alone. In other words, in addition to enhanced tetrapyrrole accumulation, the addition of EN to ALA appeared to exert growth promoting effects on the defoliated shoots.

Comparison of ALA + EN With Known Chemical Defoliant

Knight's (Knight, J. N., *J. Hort. Sci.* 58(4):471-476 (1983)) results from use of FeEDTA and CuEDTA on "Cos's Orange Pippin" apple trees were comparable to results obtained with the composition according to the invention. Three percent FeEDTA and 2.1% CuEDTA resulted in 81 and 89% defoliation, respectively, of "Cox's Orange Pippin" apple trees 40 days following treatment (Knight, J. N., supra), whereas the composition of ALA + EN according to the invention resulted in nearly 99% and 89% defoliation of "Golden Delicious" and "Red Delicious", respectively, 30 days following treatment (Table XII). ALA + EN-treated "Prima" seedlings were 78.5% defoliated compared to 74% defoliation of "Winesap" seedlings (Table XII).

Larsen's (Larsen, F. E., *Proc. Internat. Plant Prop. Soc.* 17:157-172 (1967)) use of 1%, S,S,S-tributylphosphoromethionate (DEF) resulted in a complete defoliation of "Winesap" four weeks following treatment and in 80% defoliation of "Rome Beauty" three weeks following treatment. However, DEF did not bring about defoliation of "Red King" apple seedlings (Larsen, F. E., supra). Some excessive damage was reported on the seedlings as compared to non-noticeable damage on seedlings sprayed in accordance with the invention. Healthy regrowth followed spraying when seedlings were kept under favorable environmental conditions.

Defoliation of "Golden Delicious" with Ethrel® at varying concentrations was significantly inferior to defoliation with the composition according to the invention because only 26% leaf drop was obtained (Jones, D. L., Nichols, D. G., Thompson, W. K., and Jager, L. A., *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry* 13:460-464 (1973)). In another study by Larsen, 8,000 ppm Ethrel resulted in 95% defoliation of "Red Delicious" nursery seedlings 3-4 weeks following application (Larsen, F. E., *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 95:662-663 (1970)).

EXAMPLE 4

Defoliation And Fruit Drop of "Red Delicious" and "Golden Delicious" Apple Cultivars Under Field Conditions

A total of sixteen apple trees growing at the Pomology Research Farm in Urbana and representing two cultivars, namely "Red Delicious" and "Golden Delicious", were subjected to four different treatments. Each treatment was applied to two individual trees. Three branches, 36 inches in length, were selected from each tree for treatment. Therefore, each of the four treatments was sprayed on a total of six branches. Fruits were counted on each branch before spraying.

The four treatments consisted of the following:

- (a) control which consisted of solvent only (polyethylene glycol:Methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v));
- (b) 40 mM ALA; and
- (c) 30 mM EN; (d) 40 mM ALA + 30 mM EN.

Handling of solutions and sprays was similar to that described in Example 3. Trees of "Red Delicious" were sprayed on 9/15/88 starting at 2 p.m. and terminating at

6 p.m. It is important to note that a heavy rainfall occurred in the following morning. Trees of "Golden Delicious" were sprayed on September 16, 1988 starting at 2 p.m. and ending at 6 p.m. No rain showers followed this set of treatments.

To evaluate the degree of photodynamic damage and extent of fruit drop, treated branches were photographed before spraying and on the 10th day after spraying for "Golden Delicious" and on the 11th day after spraying for the "Red Delicious". Photographic recording was conducted as described earlier, except that a 28-135 mm zoom lens was used. Number of fruit drop was recorded every day or every other day and percent fruit drop was calculated. Data were analyzed in a randomized complete block design.

Treatment of "Red Delicious" branches resulted in neither significant defoliation nor in fruit abscission (Table XIV), and treated fruits did not exhibit skin injury. Because "Golden Delicious" and "Red Delicious" responded more or less in the same manner to the application of ALA and ALA+EN under greenhouse conditions as shown in Example 3, and because both belong to the same DMV/LDV greening group, the observed difference in the field between the two cultivars is probably not due to differences in their susceptibility to ALA and ALA+EN treatments, but rather due to the heavy rain that fell the morning after having sprayed the "Red Delicious" trees.

"Golden Delicious" trees treated with ALA or with ALA+EN underwent 100% defoliation by the 13th day after spraying. By that time, fruit drop was also 100% (Table XIV). Visual examination of abscised fruits revealed the formation of a pronounced abscission layer at the base of the pedicel and some fruits exhibited pronounced skin browning. Branches sprayed with EN and solvent only (i.e., control) remained healthy and did not exhibit a significant level of defoliation or fruit abscission beyond normal levels. No injury was observed on sprayed fruit.

Significantly higher leaf defoliation and fruit abscission (Table XIV) were observed in ALA and ALA+EN treatments when compared to the control and EN treated branches. This was not so in the case of the "Red Delicious" cultivar where no significant difference between treatments was observed (Table XIV).

TABLE XIV

Percent Fruit Drop Of "Red Delicious" and "Golden Delicious" Cultivars Following Spray Treatments

Treatment	% Fruit Drop	
	Golden Delicious	Red Delicious
Control ¹	41.67 b ² A ³	27.94 aA
EthylNicotinate ²	26.27 bA	44.69 aA
ALA ³	100.00 aA	41.19 aB
ALA + EN	100.00 aA	30.15 B

¹Mean separation within cultivars by protected LSD at the 1% level of significance.

²Mean separation within treatments by protected LSD at the 1% level of significance.

³Solvent only (polyethylene glycol:methyl alcohol:Tween 80:water at 7:2:1:90 (v/v/v/v)).

⁴30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

⁵20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid.

⁶20 mM 5-aminolevulinic acid + 30 mM ethyl nicotinate.

Comparison With Other Known Chemical Fruit Harvesters

In other studies (Hartmann, H. T., Fadl, F., and Whisher, *J. Calif. Agric.* 21(7):5-7 (1967); Unrath, C. R. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 94:387-391 (1967); Wilson, W. C., and Hendershott, C. H. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*

90:123-129 (1967)), chemicals were used to reduce the force separating the pedicel to facilitate mechanical harvesting. Therefore, the results from those studies are not comparable to those obtained in accordance with the invention where 100% defoliation and fruit drop were achieved following treatment of "Golden Delicious" trees (Table XXIX) without mechanical harvesting.

EXAMPLE 5

Defoliation of Tomato Under Greenhouse Conditions

A. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seedlings of tomato, a DMV/LDV plant species, were grown in a greenhouse under a fourteen hour light-ten hour dark photoperiod in vermiculite for twenty five days before spraying. (Tomato is accepted in the art as a model for potato). Two days before spraying, the aging cotyledons were removed and the seedlings with old and young developing leaves were sprayed in the afternoon in the greenhouse with 20 mM ALA (about 500 g/acre) + 15 mM modulator (about 375 g/acre) at a rate of 40 gpa and an average droplet size of 75 μ m. Eleven different modulators, the identities of which are shown in Table XXX, were tested. Studies on the mode of action of these modulators on cucumber revealed that the modulators acted as enhancers of the conversion of ALA to protochlorophyllide. Depending on the solubility of the particular modulator, ALA and the modulator were dissolved either in a solvent made up of polyethylene glycol:methanol:Tween 80:water (7:2:1:90 v/v/v/v) at a pH of 3.5 or in a solvent made up of polyethylene glycol: Tween 80:ethanol:methanol:water (7:1:45:45:2 v/v/v/v/v) at a pH of 3.5.

The plants were evaluated visually for growth and photodynamic damage over a period of ten days. The plants were periodically photographed to record response to treatment as described in the previous examples. The results of the treatment are shown in Table XV.

TABLE XV

Defoliation/Desiccation Of Tomato Seedlings By ALA and Nicotinic Acid Or Nicotinamide Modulators

ALA (20 mM)	Modulator (15 mM)	% Defoliation/desiccation			
		Older Leaves		Younger Leaves	
		Days After Treatment			
		2	7	2	7
+	6-aminonicotinamide	100	100	85	85
+	ethyl nicotinate	95	95	91	91
+	2-hydroxynicotinic acid	95	95	90	90
+	2-hydroxy-6-methyl pyridine-3-carboxylic acid	95	95	74	74
+	ethyl 2-methyl nicotinate	95	95	71	71
+	N-methylnicotinamide	90	90	93	93
+	N-benzyl-N-nicotinoyl nicotinamide	90	90	67	65
+	4-hydroxy-7-trifluoro methyl-3-quinoline carboxylic acid	90	90	85	85
+	4-hydroxy-7-methyl- 1,8-naphthyridine- 3-carboxylic acid	89	89	95	95
+	diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate	85	85	72	72
+	niflumic acid	82	82	73	73

An examination of Table XV reveals that tomato leaves were susceptible to combinations of ALA and nicotinic

acid or nicotinamide modulators. Young developing leaves were less susceptible to treatment than the older, more mature leaves. Overall, ten modulators exhibited 88-90% or better defoliation of old leaves. Four of these modulators also exhibited 90% or better defoliation of young developing leaves.

EXAMPLE 6

Defoliation Of Cotton Under Greenhouse Conditions

Twenty four day-old vermiculite-grown cotton seedlings were used. Two days before spraying, aging coty-

ledons were excised and well-developed primary leaves (old leaves) as well as developing secondary leaves (young leaves) were treated by spraying with a combination of ALA and a given modulator. The identities of the modulators tested are set forth in Table XVI. ALA and modulator concentrations were 20 and 15 mM, respectively. Spraying and evaluation of photodynamic defoliation was exactly as described in Example 5.

The results of the tests are reported in Table XVI.

TABLE XVI

Defoliation/Desiccation of Cotton By ALA and Various Modulators				
MODULATOR ¹	% DEATH			
	YL ² T ³ D ² ⁴	T OL ⁵ D ²	YL T D ⁷ ⁴	T OL D ⁷
benzyl viologen dichloride monohydrate	87	75	111 ⁶	111
di 2-pyridyl ketoneoxime	69	81	111	111
2,2'-dithiobis(pyridine n-oxide)	100	100	111	111
6,6'-dithiodinitrocinic acid	25	69	111	111
methyl 5-(benzloxycarbonyl)-2,4-dimethyl-3-	50	57	111	111
1-methyl-2-pyrrole carboxaldehyde	25	37	111	111
1-methyl-2-pyrrole carboxylic acid	31	62	111	111
pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde	25	87	111	111
pyrrole [1,2-a]quinoxaline	56	100	111	111
phenyl 2-pyridyl ketoxime	79	81	111	111
5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline	100	100	100	100
dimidium bromide	75	94	100	100
2,2'-dipyridyl	100	100	100	100
ethidium bromide	87	75	100	100
methyl viologen dichloride iodide	100	100	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	100	100	100	100
4'-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline	100	100	100	100
1-(carboxymethyl)pyridinium chloride	94	100	94	100
1,1'-diethyl-4,4'-cyanine iodide	87	100	94	100
4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-dipyridyl	94	100	94	100
1-dodecylpyridinium chloride monohydrate	81	100	94	100
1,1'-diethyl-2,2'-cyanine iodide	87	100	87	100
1,1'-diethyl-2,4'-cyanine iodide	87	100	87	100

MODULATOR ¹	% DEATH			
	YL ² T ³ D ²	T OL ⁴ D ² ⁵	YL T D ⁷ ^{5,6}	T OL D ⁷
4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline	87	100	87	100
5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline	87	100	87	100
5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline	87	100	87	100
2,2':6',6''-terpyridine	87	100	87	100
3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline	87	100	87	100
6-amino nicotinamide	85	100	85	100
berberine hydrochloride hydrate	62	100	75	100
5-phenyl-2-(4-pyridyl)oxazole	75	100	75	100
tert-butyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylate	71	100	71	100
2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-methyl pyridinium iodide	71	100	71	100
sanguinarine chloride	71	100	71	100
1-furfurylpyrrole	69	100	69	100
2,4,6-collidine p-toluene sulfonate	62	100	62	100
3-ethyl-2-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindol-4-one	62	100	62	100
3-amino-2,6-di-methoxypyridine monohydrochloride	50	100	50	100
5-amino-2-methoxy pyridine	50	100	50	100
1-ethyl-3-OH-pyridinium bromide	44	100	44	100
6-amino nicotinamide	37	100	37	100
ethyl 2-methyl nicotinate	37	100	37	100
ethyl nicotinate	37	100	37	100
2-hydroxy-6-methyl pyridine-3-carboxylic acid	37	100	37	100
2-hydroxynicotinic acid	25	100	25	100
niflumic acid	25	100	25	100
dibucaine hydrochloride	14	100	14	100
diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate	12	100	12	100
ethyl nicotinate	91	95	91	95
2-hydroxy nicotinic acid	90	95	90	95
2-hydroxy-6-methyl pyridine-3-carboxylic acid	74	95	74	95
ethyl 2-methyl nicotinate	71	95	71	95
5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline	100	94	100	94
phenanthridine	81	94	81	94
ethyl 3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylate	75	94	75	94
2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-ethyl pyridinium iodide	62	87	75	94
isocarboxtyril	75	94	75	94
2,3-dihydroxypyridine	62	94	62	94
2-chloro-6-methoxypyridine	50	94	50	94
4-hydroxy-7-trifluoro methyl-3-quinoline carboxylic	50	94	50	94

TABLE XVI-continued

Defoliation/Desiccation of Cotton By ALA and Various Modulators				
1-(dimethylamino)pyrrole	44	94	44	94
4-hydroxy-7-methyl-1,8-naphthridine-3-carboxylic acid	43	93	43	93
N-methylnicotinamide	93	90	93	90
4-hydroxy-7-trifluoro methyl-3-quinoline carboxylic	85	90	85	90
N-benzyl-N-nicotinoylnicotinateamide	65	90	65	90
4-hydroxy-7-methyl-1,8-naphthridine-3-carboxylic acid	95	89	95	89
citrazinic acid	81	87	81	87
propidium iodide hydrate	75	87	75	87
2-hydroxy-4-methyl quinoline	71	87	71	87
3-cyano-4,6-dimethyl-2-hydrochloride	62	87	69	87
N-benzyl-N-nicotinoyl nicotinateamide	56	87	56	87
diethyl-2,4-dimethyl pyrrole-3,5-dicarboxylate	56	87	56	87
4-(dimethylamino)pyridinium bromide perbromide	56	87	56	87
1-(2-cyanoethyl)pyrrole	37	81	50	87
N-methylnicotinamide	25	87	25	87
diethyl-3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate	72	85	72	85
niflumic acid	73	82	73	82
4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline	75	81	75	81
8-hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline	75	81	75	81
5,7-dichloro-8-hydroxyquinoline	81	75	81	75
2,6-dimethoxypyridine	50	75	50	75
5-chloro-8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline	44	69	44	75
5,7-dibromo-8-hydroxyquinoline	44	75	44	75
bis-N-methyl acridinium nitrate	31	75	31	75
2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline	25	25	25	25
3-hydroxypicolinic acid	12	19	19	19
1-isoquinolinecarboxylic acid	12	12	12	12
picolinic acid	12	12	12	12

¹ALA and modulator concentrations were 20 and 15 mM respectively.

²YL = young leaves.

³T = treated.

⁴D2, D7 = damage 2 and 7 days after spraying.

⁵OL = old leaves.

⁶The number 111 in each column refers to the development of nutrition deficiency symptoms due to an error in the Hoagland solution. The plants died from nutritional toxicity before the ten day photodynamic injury was evaluated.

Overall, the older mature leaves were more susceptible than the younger developing leaves, as was observed with tomato. Fifty seven of the tested modulators exhibited 90–100% defoliation of older leaves while twelve modulators exhibited similar performance on younger leaves. Seven of the modulators exhibited 100% defoliation of both young and old leaves.

SECTION III

Chlorophyll Biosynthesis Modulators

EXAMPLE 7

TDPH Susceptibility of Corn, Soybean, and Ten Weed Species toward ALA+Modulator Treatments

The molecular basis of the TDPH performance of ALA and four Chl biosynthesis modulators (picolinic acid, phenanthridine, 1,10-phenanthroline, and 4,7-phenanthroline) on corn (*Zea mays*), soybean (*Glycine max*) and 10 common weed species was investigated under greenhouse conditions. The plants were sprayed in the late afternoon and were placed in darkness for 12 h to allow tetrapyrrole accumulation to take place, before exposure to light. In the growth room, the photoperiod was set to 10 h dark and 14 h light. At the end of the dark incubation period, tetrapyrrole accumulation and the ensuing phytotoxicity which was observed upon exposure to light were subjected to statistical analysis.

Two solutions were freshly prepared on the day of spraying (a) a solvent (—), which consisted of polyethylene glycol 600, methanol, Tween 80 and water (7:2:1:90 v/v/v/v/), and (b) a herbicidal solution (+) which consisted of the aforementioned solvent which in addition contained 40 mM ALA and 30 mM of one of the following modulators: 2-pyridine carboxylic acid (i.e. picolinic acid), 1,10-phenanthroline, phenanthri-

dine, or 4,7-phenanthroline. The pH of the solvent and the herbicidal solutions were adjusted to 3.5 at room temperature with 1.2 N HCl and/or 1.0 N KOH. The solutions were sprayed at a rate of 0.38 ml per container (9 cm in height and 9 cm in diameter) with a modified aerosol kit which produced an average droplet diameter of 75 μ m. Tetrapyrrole accumulation in darkness and photodynamic injury in the light were evaluated following a post-spray incubation period of 12h at 21° C.

The accumulation of tetrapyrroles is shown in Table XVII. The accumulation was significantly dependent on the treatment, on the plant species, and on the interaction of treatment and plant species. The relatively low photodynamic injury sustained by johnsongrass and barnyardgrass (79–86%), two DMV/LMV weeds, was attributed to their accumulating predominantly MV Pchl_a. This form of Pchl_a, which belongs to the prevailing MV monocarboxylic Chl a biosynthetic routes in these two weeds, may be readily metabolized, and consequently does not remain long enough to generate damaging singlet oxygen upon exposure to light. Likewise the relatively low photodynamic injury sustained by velvetleaf (76%) and prickly sida (89%), two DDV/LDV weeds, was attributed to their predominant accumulation of DV Pchl_a, which may be rapidly metabolized by these two weeds in the presence of light. The relatively high levels of photodynamic injury (100–99%) sustained by all other weed species except tall morningglory, soybean, and corn was explained by their predominant accumulation of MV Pchl_a. This form of Pchl_a may not be readily metabolized by these DMV/LDV species at daybreak, because they primarily utilize the DV biosynthetic greening pattern in the light.

The overall low photodynamic susceptibility of soybean was attributed to rebounding caused by nearly intact cotyledons. Although the treated leaves of soybean, a DMV/LDV tissue, experienced 100% photodynamic damage in the light, due to the predominant accumulation of MV Pchl_a in darkness, the cotyledons, being very poor accumulators of tetrapyrroles, were relatively unaffected by the spray. As a consequence the soybean cotyledons supported the development of new leaves which in turn lowered the overall photodynamic damage rating of the seedlings.

Corn, a DMV/LDV plant species which accumulated large quantities of tetrapyrroles, was the least affected among the 12 plant species. The reason for the relative resistance of corn to photodynamic injury is unknown. Morningglory, a DDV/LDV plant species, accumulated predominantly DV Pchl_a and much less MV Pchl_a, yet it sustained a mean photodynamic injury of 98%. Its extreme photodynamic susceptibility may be ascribed to very low tolerance to tetrapyrrole accumulation, irrespective of its greening group affiliation.

acre and an average droplet size of 75 μ . Sprayed plants were wrapped in aluminum foil to maximize penetration of the active ingredients and were placed in darkness for 17 h at 28° C. to induce tetrapyrrole accumulation. The next day the plants were unwrapped and were exposed to light in the greenhouse. Photodynamic damage was evaluated visually and photographically over a period of 10 days. Modulators that exhibited photodynamic damage of 88–90% or better were retained for further experimentation.

Sixty-six commercially available compounds exhibited 88–100% photodynamic kill on cucumber seedlings. These TDPH modulators belonged to 12 different templates. The 12 TDPH templates and the 66 corresponding analogs that exhibited TDPH activity are described in Table XVIII. In particular, nine modulators that belonged to the nicotinic acid and nicotinamide templates exhibited 88–100% photodynamic kill on cucumber when used in concert with ALA. These modulators, which are simple vitamin derivatives, allow the design of totally biodegradable and safe TDPH formulations made up of ALA and a vitamin

TABLE XVII

Photodynamic Injury Means (n = 8) by Treatment and Plant Species, and Geometric Means ^a of Tetrapyrroles Present In Control (Solvent-Treated) and Treated (ALA + Modulator) Plants										
Plant Species	Photodynamic Injury		Proto		DVMPE)		MVPchl _a		DVP chl _a	
	Control	Treat %	Control	Treat	Control	Treat (nmol/100 mg protein)	Control	Treat	Control	Treat
DDV/LDV^b										
Morningglory	0 k	98 bc	0.44 c	13.68 e	0.83 c	20.51 cd	1.73 b	20.25 c	2.01 b	56.27 b
Prickly sida	0 k	89 d	1.42 bc	24.88 e	1.06 c	9.77 e	1.76 b	9.57 d	3.84 a	51.32 b
Velvetleaf	0 k	76 f	1.02 bc	32.91 e	1.52 bc	13.80 de	1.73 b	18.69 d	5.66 a	99.64 a
DMV/LDV^b										
Lambsquarters	9 i	100 a	1.57 b	116.39 b	1.19 bc	8.39 e	7.36 a	49.18 b	0.99 bc	18.17 de
Jimsonweed	0 k	100 a	1.51 b	203.15 bc	1.00 bc	22.73 cd	11.25 a	159.31 a	0.77 bc	15.79 de
Pigweed	6 i	99 abc	2.03 b	214.59 a	1.18 bc	29.71 bc	9.59 a	88.94 ab	1.70 b	32.13 bc
Cocklebur	2 j	99 abc	9.38 a	64.76 cd	5.80 a	35.44 abc	7.93 a	145.93 a	1.88 b	9.81 ef
Foxtail	0 k	96 c	1.45 b	117.96 ab	1.34 bc	40.15 ab	11.37 a	155.93 a	0.87 bc	15.86 de
Soybean	33 h	55 g	1.51 b	38.91 de	0.98 c	8.22 e	7.03 a	19.47 c	1.16 bc	5.87 f
Corn	0 k	52 g	1.38 b	81.62 bc	1.42 bc	47.64 ab	10.16 a	143.82 a	0.40 bc	17.05 de
DMV/LMV^b										
Johnsongrass	0 k	86 de	1.05 bc	203.15 a	2.65 b	57.22 a	13.92 a	237.17 a	0.82 bc	22.13 cd
Barnyardgrass	0 k	79 ef	1.35 b	210.58 a	1.82 bc	54.62 a	13.27 a	131.18 a	1.31 b	11.35 e

^aMeans followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at the 5% level of significance based on overlap of upper and lower limits of the means.

^bGreening group affiliation: DDV = dark divinyl, LDV = light divinyl, DMV = dark monovinyl, LMV = light monovinyl.

EXAMPLE 8

Chlorophyll Biosynthesis Modulators For TDPH Activity

Preliminary screening of putative modulators was performed under controlled experimental conditions using greenhouse-grown cucumber seedlings (cotyledon stage), a DDV/LDV plant tissue. The seedlings were sprayed in the late afternoon with 5 mM ALA + 20 mM modulator at a rate of 40 gallons per

45 derivative.

An additional eighty-nine commercially available 5-membered N-heterocyclic modulators exhibited minimal phytotoxicity on corn and 88% or better kill on cucumber, pigweed or johnsongrass. These TDPH modulators belonged to 11 different templates. The TDPH templates and the 87 corresponding 5-membered N-heterocyclic modulators are described in Table XIX.

TABLE XVIII

Primary Screening of Modulators Belonging to 13 Different Templates on Cucumber
Percent death was monitored 10 days after spraying;
ALA = ALA alone; Mod = modulator alone; A + M = ALA + modulator;
D10 = 10 days after spraying

TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	% DEATH ALA D10	% DEATH MOD D10	% DEATH A + M D10
1,10-phenanthroline	2,9-Dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phen	75	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	3,4,7,8-Tetramethyl-1,10-phen	75	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	5-Chloro-1,10-phen	50	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	5,6-Dimethyl-1,10-phen	50	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	5-Methyl-1,10-phen	50	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	5-Nitro-1,10-phen	50	81	100

TABLE XVIII-continued

Primary Screening of Modulators Belonging to 13 Different Templates on Cucumber Percent death was monitored 10 days after spraying; ALA = ALA alone; Mod = modulator alone; A + M = ALA + modulator; D10 = 10 days after spraying				
TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	% DEATH ALA D10	% DEATH MOD D10	% DEATH A + M D10
1,10-phenanthroline	4,7-Dimethyl-1,10-phen	20	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	4,7-Diphenyl-1,10-phen	20	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	1,10-Phenanthroline	20	100	100
1,10-phenanthroline	4-Methyl-1,10-phen		100	100
2,2'-Bipyridine	4,4'-Dimethyl-2,2'-Dipyridyl	19	78	100
2,2'-Bipyridine	2,2':6',2''-Terpyridine	19	100	100
2,2'-Dipyridyl disulfide	2,2'-Dithiobis(pyridine N-oxide)	38	88	100
2,2'-Dipyridyl disulfide	6,6-Dithiodinicotinic acid	50	0	94
2-Oxypyridine	5-amino-2-methoxypyridine	69	0	100
2-Oxypyridine	2,3-Dihydroxypyridine	50	0	100
2-Oxypyridine	2-Hydroxy-4-methylpyridine	50	0	100
2-Oxypyridine	Isocarbostyryl	50	13	100
2-Oxypyridine	3-Amino-2,6-dimethoxy pyridine, HCl	69	0	97
2-Oxypyridine	2-Chloro-6-methoxypyridine	69	0	97
2-Oxypyridine	3-Cyano-4,6-dimethyl-2-hydroxypyridine	50	0	97
2-Oxypyridine	Dibucaine hydrochloride	50	38	97
2-Oxypyridine	2-Hydroxy-3-nitropyridine	50	0	94
2-Oxypyridine	2,6-Dimethoxypyridine	50	0	93
2-Oxypyridine	Citrazinic acid	69	0	88
2-Pyridine aldoxime	Di-2-pyridyl ketone oxime	50	25	100
2-Pyridine aldoxime	Phenyl-2-Pyridyl Ketoxime	38	25	100
8-Hydroxyquinoline	8-Hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline	56	100	100
8-Hydroxyquinoline	5-Chloro-8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline	25	75	100
8-Hydroxyquinoline	5,7-Dichloro-8-hydroxyquinoline	25	83	100
8-Hydroxyquinoline	5,7-Dibromo-8-hydroxyquinoline	25	44	91
Nicotinamide	N-Benzyl-N-nicotoyl nicotinamide	44	0	94
Nicotinamide	N-Methylnicotinamide	44	0	93
Nicotinic acid	Ethyl 2-methylnicotinate	50	0	100
Nicotinic acid	Niflumic acid	50	93	96
Nicotinic acid	2-Hydroxynicotinic acid	50	0	95
Nicotinic acid	Diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate	38	0	93
Nicotinic acid	Ethyl nicotinate	30	0	93
Nicotinic acid	2-Hydroxy-6-methylpyridine-3-carboxylic acid	38	0	91
Nicotinic acid	4-Hydroxy-7-trifluoromethyl-3-quinolinecarboxy	44	65	88
Phenanthridine	Dimidium bromide monohydrate	44	97	100
Phenanthridine	Ethidium bromide	44	94	100
Phenanthridine	6 mM Propidium iodide hydrate	44	94	100
Phenanthridine	Phenanthridine	44	0	88
Phenanthridine	2 mM Sanguinarine chloride	44	0	88
Picolinic acid	3-Hydropicolinic acid	40	88	100
Picolinic acid	Picolinic acid	40	0	100
Picolinic acid	1-Isoquinoline carboxylic acid	50	0	95
Pyridinium	2-[4-(Dimethylamino)styryl]-1-ethylpyridinium	56	75	100
Pyridinium	2-[4-(Dimethylamino)styryl]-1-methylpyridinium	56	56	100
Pyridinium	Berberine hydrochloride hydrate	38	81	100
Pyridinium	Bis-N-methyl acridinium nitrate	38	100	100
Pyridinium	1-(carboxymethyl)pyridinium chloride	38	0	100
Pyridinium	5-Phenyl-2-(4-pyridyl)oxazole	38	31	100
Pyridinium	1,1-Diethyl-2,2-cyanine iodide	25	94	100
Pyridinium	1,1-Diethyl-2,4-cyanine iodide	25	63	100
Pyridinium	1,1-Diethyl-4,4-cyanine iodide	25	97	100
Pyridinium	1-Dodecylpyridinium chloride monohydrate	25	100	100
Pyridinium	2,4,6-Collidine p-toluene sulfonate	25	0	97
Pyridinium	1-Ethyl-3-OH-pyridinium bromide	56	0	94
Pyridinium	4-(Dimethylamino)bromide perbromide	56	0	88
Quinoline	6-Nitroquinoline	30	30	100
Quinoline	8-Nitroquinoline	30	100	100
Quinoline	5-Nitroquinoline	25	95	100
Quinoline	4,7 Phenanthroline	35	40	95
Quinoline	1,7 Phenanthroline	25	35	95

TABLE XIX

Primary Screening of Modulators Belonging to Various
5-membered N-heterocyclic Templates

Only modulators that exhibited a negligible effect on corn or a rate of kill of 88% or better on the other test plants are reported. Percent death was monitored 10 days after spraying. DTH ALA = death due to 5 mM ALA treatment; DTH MOD = death due to 20 mM modulator treatment; DTH A + M = death due to 3 mM ALA + 20 mM modulator treatment. Modulators that are effective by themselves without ALA are probable inducers.

TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	PLANT	% DEATH ALA D10	% DEATH MOD D10	% DEATH A + M D10
Thiazoline	Methyl 3-Chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate	Pigweed	30	100	100
Thiazoline	Methyl 3-Chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate	Cucumber	35	20	60
Thiazoline	Methyl 3-Chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate	Johnsongrass	30	30	10
Thiazoline	Methyl 3-Chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazoline	(-)-2-Oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid	Pigweed	30	50	100
Thiazoline	(-)-2-Oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid	Cucumber	35	10	100
Thiazoline	(-)-2-Oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid	Corn	0	10	5
Thiazoline	(-)-2-Oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid	Johnsongrass	40	0	20
Thiazoline	5-(4-Diethylaminobenzylidene)-rhodamine	Pigweed	50	95	90
Thiazoline	5-(4-Diethylaminobenzylidene)-rhodamine	Cucumber	30	0	25
Thiazole	5-Chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	30	80	70
Thiazole	5-Chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole	Cucumber	60	95	100
Thiazole	5-Chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole	Pigweed	70	100	100
Thiazole	5-Chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole	Corn	0	15	5
Thiazole	5-(4-Dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodamine	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	5-(4-Dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodamine	Cucumber	60	0	100
Thiazole	5-(4-Dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodamine	Pigweed	60	60	100
Thiazole	5-(4-Dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodamine	Johnsongrass	40	60	100
Thiazole	4-(4-Biphenyllyl)2-methyl thiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	4-(4-Biphenyllyl)2-methyl thiazole	Cucumber	50	0	100
Thiazole	4-(4-Biphenyllyl)2-methyl thiazole	Pigweed	70	90	95
Thiazole	4-(4-Biphenyllyl)2-methyl thiazole	Johnsongrass	25	0	100
Thiazole	3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ola	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ola	Cucumber	60	0	100
Thiazole	3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ola	Pigweed	60	60	80
Thiazole	3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-imidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ola	Johnsongrass	40	0	100
Thiazole	3,3-Diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide	Johnsongrass	40	20	100
Thiazole	3,3-Diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide	Cucumber	60	100	100
Thiazole	3,3-Diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide	Pigweed	60	80	100
Thiazole	3,3-Diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide	Corn	0	10	10
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole	Cucumber	50	0	95
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole	Pigweed	70	0	100
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	40	60	100
Thiazole	2-Amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	40	40	100
Thiazole	2-Amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole	Cucumber	50	0	100
Thiazole	2-Amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole	Pigweed	70	100	100
Thiazole	2-Amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Cucumber	55	0	95
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Pigweed	65	40	90
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	30	10	100
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Bromothiazole	Johnsongrass	25	0	100
Thiazole	2-Bromothiazole	Cucumber	50	0	100
Thiazole	2-Bromothiazole	Pigweed	70	20	100
Thiazole	2-Bromothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	(+)-6-Aminopenicillanic Acid	Johnsongrass	40	0	100
Thiazole	(+)-6-Aminopenicillanic Acid	Cucumber	50	0	100
Thiazole	(+)-6-Aminopenicillanic Acid	Pigweed	70	40	100
Thiazole	(+)-6-Aminopenicillanic Acid	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	30	30	40
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole	Cucumber	60	30	30
Thiazole	2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole	Pigweed	20	40	90
Thiazole	2-Acetylthiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Acetylthiazole	Johnsongrass	40	0	80
Thiazole	2-Acetylthiazole	Cucumber	50	0	60
Thiazole	2-Acetylthiazole	Pigweed	70	0	90
Thiazole	Basic blue 66	Pigweed	70	50	90
Thiazole	Basic blue 66	Cucumber	50	0	50
Thiazole	Basic blue 66	Johnsongrass	25	0	50
Thiazole	Basic blue 66	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	3,6-Dimethylbenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	3,6-Dimethylbenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	25	60	100
Thiazole	3,6-Dimethylbenzothiazole	Cucumber	50	50	80
Thiazole	3,6-Dimethylbenzothiazole	Pigweed	60	100	100
Thiazole	4,5-Dimethylthiazole	Pigweed	60	70	100
Thiazole	4,5-Dimethylthiazole	Cucumber	50	0	80

TABLE XIX-continued

Primary Screening of Modulators Belonging to Various
5-membered N-heterocyclic Templates

Only modulators that exhibited a negligible effect on corn or a rate of kill of 88% or better on the other test plants are reported. Percent death was monitored 10 days after spraying. DTH ALA = death due to 5 mM ALA treatment; DTH MOD = death due to 20 mM modulator treatment; DTH A + M = death due to 3 mM ALA + 20 mM modulator treatment. Modulators that are effective by themselves without ALA are probable inducers.

TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	PLANT	% DEATH ALA D10	% DEATH MOD D10	% DEATH A + M D10
Thiazole	4,5-Dimethylthiazole	Johnsongrass	25	0	80
Thiazole	4,5-Dimethylthiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-[4-(Dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide	Corn	0	10	20
Thiazole	2-[4-(Dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide	Johnsongrass	25	60	20
Thiazole	2-[4-(Dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide	Cucumber	50	50	95
Thiazole	2-[4-(Dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide	Pigweed	60	100	100
Thiazole	2-Bromo-5-nitrothiazole	Pigweed	65	65	90
Thiazole	2-Bromo-5-nitrothiazole	Cucumber	45	50	75
Thiazole	2-Bromo-5-nitrothiazole	Johnsongrass	65	65	35
Thiazole	2-Bromo-5-nitrothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole	Pigweed	65	100	100
Thiazole	2-Cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole	Cucumber	45	0	40
Thiazole	2-Cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	65	30	100
Thiazole	2-Cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate	Pigweed	35	30	100
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate	Cucumber	30	5	100
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate	Johnsongrass	40	10	100
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	3-Methylbenzothiazole-2-thione	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	3-Methylbenzothiazole-2-thione	Johnsongrass	40	50	100
Thiazole	3-Methylbenzothiazole-2-thione	Cucumber	30	0	100
Thiazole	3-Methylbenzothiazole-2-thione	Pigweed	35	60	100
Thiazole	2,4-Thiazolidinedione	Pigweed	35	50	90
Thiazole	2,4-Thiazolidinedione	Cucumber	30	0	60
Thiazole	2,4-Thiazolidinedione	Johnsongrass	40	0	30
Thiazole	2,4-Thiazolidinedione	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Cucumber	40	0	100
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Pigweed	25	0	85
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	20	0	85
Thiazole	2-(4-Aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride	Cucumber	55	0	70
Thiazole	2-Amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride	Pigweed	65	60	90
Thiazole	2-Amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride	Johnsongrass	30	0	80
Thiazole	2-Amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Aminobenzothiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Aminobenzothiazole	Cucumber	40	0	90
Thiazole	2-Aminobenzothiazole	Pigweed	50	0	80
Thiazole	2-Aminobenzothiazole	Johnsongrass	5	0	80
Thiazole	2-Amino-2-thiazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	2-Amino-2-thiazole	Cucumber	40	0	90
Thiazole	2-Amino-2-thiazole	Pigweed	50	10	20
Thiazole	2-Amino-2-thiazole	Johnsongrass	5	0	0
Thiazole	2-(4-Thiazolyl)benzimidazole	Cucumber	60	80	100
Thiazole	2-(4-Thiazolyl)benzimidazole	Pigweed	70	0	80
Thiazole	2-(4-Thiazolyl)benzimidazole	Johnsongrass	50	0	100
Thiazole	2-(4-Thiazolyl)benzimidazole	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate	Cucumber	60	0	100
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate	Pigweed	70	80	80
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate	Johnsongrass	50	0	100
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	Thioflavin T	Cucumber	100	100	100
Thiazole	Thioflavin T	Pigweed	70	100	100
Thiazole	Thioflavin T	Johnsongrass	20	90	90
Thiazole	Thioflavin T	Corn	0	50	90
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate	Pigweed	70	25	25
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate	Johnsongrass	20	20	50
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	Ethyl 2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate	Cucumber	100	0	100
Thiazole	2-(Tritylamino)-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate acid hydrochloride	Cucumber	70	0	30
Thiazole	2-(Tritylamino)-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate acid hydrochloride	Pigweed	70	80	100
Thiazole	2-(Tritylamino)-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate acid hydrochloride	Johnsongrass	70	0	80
Thiazole	2-(Tritylamino)-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate acid hydrochloride	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	1-Phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl-2-thiourea)	Cucumber	70	0	40
Thiazole	1-Phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl-2-thiourea)	Pigweed	70	80	100

TABLE XIX-continued

Primary Screening of Modulators Belonging to Various 5-membered N-heterocyclic Templates					
Only modulators that exhibited a negligible effect on corn or a rate of kill of 88% or better on the other test plants are reported. Percent death was monitored 10 days after spraying. DTH ALA = death due to 5 mM ALA treatment; DTH MOD = death due to 20 mM modulator treatment; DTH A + M = death due to 3 mM ALA + 20 mM modulator treatment. Modulators that are effective by themselves without ALA are probable inducers.					
TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	PLANT	% DEATH ALA D10	% DEATH MOD D10	% DEATH A + M D10
Thiazole	1-Phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl-2-thiourea)	Johnsongrass	80	10	80
Thiazole	1-Phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl-2-thiourea)	Corn	0	0	0
Thiazole	Pseudothiohydintoin	Cucumber	40	0	70
Thiazole	Pseudothiohydintoin	Pigweed	10	0	100
Thiazole	Pseudothiohydintoin	Johnsongrass	80	0	90
Thiazole	Pseudothiohydintoin	Corn	0	0	0
Tetrazole	3,3'-(4,4'-Biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride)	Cucumber	50	100	100
Tetrazole	3,3'-(4,4'-Biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride)	Pigweed	50	100	100
Tetrazole	3,3'-(4,4'-Biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride)	Johnsongrass	15	50	10
Tetrazole	3,3'-(4,4'-Biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride)	Corn	0	10 10	
Tetrazole	Blue tetrazolium	Cucumber	20	20	20
Tetrazole	Blue tetrazolium	Pigweed	0	0	100
Tetrazole	Blue tetrazolium	Johnsongrass	20	0	20
Tetrazole	Blue tetrazolium	Corn	0	10	10
Tetrazole	2,3,5-Triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride	Cucumber	50	100	100
Tetrazole	2,3,5-Triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride	Pigweed	50	100	100
Tetrazole	2,3,5-Triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride	Johnsongrass	30	100	50
Tetrazole	2,3,5-Triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrroline	N-(4-Dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide	Cucumber	65	0	90
Pyrroline	N-(4-Dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide	Johnsongrass	20	0	5
Pyrroline	N-(4-Dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide	Corn	5	0	0
Pyrroline	N-(4-Dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide	Pigweed	0	0	0
Pyrroline	Bilirubin	Cucumber	55	0	95
Pyrroline	Bilirubin	Pigweed	0	0	0
Pyrroline	Bilirubin	Johnsongrass	50	0	2
Pyrroline	Bilirubin	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	Trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline	Cucumber	20	0	60
Pyrrolidine	Trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline	Pigweed	15	0	10
Pyrrolidine	Trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline	Johnsongrass	40	0	100
Pyrrolidine	Trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	Alpha-methyl-alpha-propyl-succinimide	Cucumber	20	0	100
Pyrrolidine	Alpha-methyl-alpha-propyl-succinimide	Pigweed	15	10	10
Pyrrolidine	Alpha-methyl-alpha-propyl-succinimide	Johnsongrass	40	0	15
Pyrrolidine	Alpha-methyl-alpha-propyl-succinimide	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	N-Hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate	Cucumber	60	0	10
Pyrrolidine	N-Hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate	Pigweed	15	10	10
Pyrrolidine	N-Hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate	Johnsongrass	15	0	90
Pyrrolidine	N-Hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	N-(9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide	Cucumber	60	10	10
Pyrrolidine	N-(9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide	Pigweed	15	90	90
Pyrrolidine	N-(9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide	Johnsongrass	15	0	35
Pyrrolidine	N-(9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	4-Pyrrolidinopyrrolidine	Cucumber	60	0	95
Pyrrolidine	4-Pyrrolidinopyrrolidine	Pigweed	0	0	60
Pyrrolidine	4-Pyrrolidinopyrrolidine	Johnsongrass	10	0	10
Pyrrolidine	4-Pyrrolidinopyrrolidine	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	1-[2-(4-Bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine	Cucumber	50	20	50
Pyrrolidine	1-[2-(4-Bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine	Pigweed	60	20	95
Pyrrolidine	1-[2-(4-Bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine	Johnsongrass	90	0	10
Pyrrolidine	1-[2-(4-Bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	(S)-(+)-Ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate	Cucumber	60	0	50
Pyrrolidine	(S)-(+)-Ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate	Pigweed	15	10	90
Pyrrolidine	(S)-(+)-Ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate	Johnsongrass	15	0	90
Pyrrolidine	(S)-(+)-Ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrolidine	(-)-Cotinine	Cucumber	5	0	80
Pyrrolidine	(-)-Cotinine	Pigweed	15	0	85
Pyrrolidine	(-)-Cotinine	Johnsongrass	50	0	90
Pyrrolidine	(-)-Cotinine	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrrole	Tert-butyl-4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylate	Cucumber	31	100	88
Pyrrole	Pyrrole (1,2-a)quinoxaline	Cucumber	63	12	100
Pyrrole	Pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde	Cucumber	38	100	100
Pyrrole	Ethyl 3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylate	Cucumber	63	0	100
Pyrrole	3-Ethyl-2-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindol	Cucumber	81	0	100
Pyrrole	1-Methyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylic acid	Cucumber	63	0	88
Pyrrole	1-Methyl-2-pyrrolecarboxaldehyde	Cucumber	50	0	94
Pyrrole	1-Furfurylpyrrole	Cucumber	63	0	94
Pyrrole	1-(Dimethylamine) pyrrole	Cucumber	38	0	94
Pyrrole	1-(2-Cyanomethyl)pyrrole	Cucumber	63	0	88

TABLE XIX-continued

Primary Screening of Modulators Belonging to Various
5-membered N-heterocyclic Templates

Only modulators that exhibited a negligible effect on corn or a rate of kill of 88% or better on the other test plants are reported. Percent death was monitored 10 days after spraying. DTH ALA = death due to 5 mM ALA treatment; DTH MOD = death due to 20 mM modulator treatment; DTH A + M = death due to 3 mM ALA + 20 mM modulator treatment. Modulators that are effective by themselves without ALA are probable inducers.

TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	PLANT	% DEATH ALA D10	% DEATH MOD D10	% DEATH A + M D10
Pyrrole	Diethyl 2,4-dimethylpyrrole-3,5-dicarboxylate	Cucumber	38	50	100
Pyrrole	Methyl 5-(benzoxycarbonyl)-2,4-dimethyl-3-pyrr	Cucumber	63	0	94
Pyrazolene	4-Methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one	Cucumber	50	0	90
Pyrazolene	4-Methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one	Pigweed	50	0	80
Pyrazolene	4-Methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one	Johnsongrass	50	0	10
Pyrazolene	4-Methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrazolene	3,4-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one	Cucumber	50	10	90
Pyrazolene	3,4-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one	Pigweed	50	60	50
Pyrazolene	3,4-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one	Johnsongrass	50	0	100
Pyrazolene	3,4-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one	Corn	0	0	0
Pyrazole	Pseudothiohydrantoin	Cucumber	0	0	70
Pyrazole	Pseudothiohydrantoin	Pigweed	0	0	100
Pyrazole	Pseudothiohydrantoin	Johnsongrass	0	0	90
Pyrazole	Pseudothiohydrantoin	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	3,3'-Dipropylloxycarbocyanine iodide	Cucumber	50	50	95
Oxazole	3,3'-Dipropylloxycarbocyanine iodide	Pigweed	20	80	80
Oxazole	3,3'-Dipropylloxycarbocyanine iodide	Johnsongrass	50	20	10
Oxazole	3,3'-Dipropylloxycarbocyanine iodide	Corn	0	5	5
Oxazole	3,3'-Dimethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Cucumber	50	100	100
Oxazole	3,3'-Dimethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Pigweed	20	100	100
Oxazole	3,3'-Dimethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Johnsongrass	50	20	80
Oxazole	3,3'-Dimethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Corn	0	10	5
Oxazole	2,5-Diphenyloxazole	Cucumber	50	10	95
Oxazole	2,5-Diphenyloxazole	Pigweed	20	20	80
Oxazole	2,5-Diphenyloxazole	Johnsongrass	50	60	50
Oxazole	2,5-Diphenyloxazole	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	2-Mercaptobenzoxazole	Cucumber	50	50	90
Oxazole	2-Mercaptobenzoxazole	Pigweed	20	30	30
Oxazole	2-Mercaptobenzoxazole	Johnsongrass	50	90	100
Oxazole	2-Mercaptobenzoxazole	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	3-Methyl-2-oxazolidinone	Cucumber	50	0	20
Oxazole	3-Methyl-2-oxazolidinone	Pigweed	15	0	100
Oxazole	3-Methyl-2-oxazolidinone	Johnsongrass	60	0	30
Oxazole	3-Methyl-2-oxazolidinone	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	2-Chlorobenzoxazole	Cucumber	90	0	100
Oxazole	2-Chlorobenzoxazole	Pigweed	100	20	100
Oxazole	2-Chlorobenzoxazole	Johnsongrass	50	0	90
Oxazole	2-Chlorobenzoxazole	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	2-(4-Biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole	Cucumber	90	0	100
Oxazole	2-(4-Biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole	Pigweed	100	20	100
Oxazole	2-(4-Biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole	Johnsongrass	50	0	90
Oxazole	2-(4-Biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	2-Benzoxazolinone	Cucumber	90	0	80
Oxazole	2-Benzoxazolinone	Pigweed	100	20	50
Oxazole	2-Benzoxazolinone	Johnsongrass	50	0	90
Oxazole	2-Benzoxazolinone	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	2,5-Bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole	Cucumber	90	20	100
Oxazole	2,5-Bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole	Pigweed	10	0	10
Oxazole	2,5-Bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole	Johnsongrass	50	50	80
Oxazole	2,5-Bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole	Corn	0	0	0
Oxazole	3,3'-Dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Cucumber	30	5	10
Oxazole	3,3'-Dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Pigweed	50	0	80
Oxazole	3,3'-Dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Johnsongrass	50	20	100
Oxazole	3,3'-Dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Corn	0	0	5
Oxazole	3,3'-Diethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Cucumber	30	100	95
Oxazole	3,3'-Diethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Pigweed	50	20	0
Oxazole	3,3'-Diethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Johnsongrass	50	10	50
Oxazole	3,3'-Diethyloxycarbocyanine iodide	Corn	0	5	5
Oxazole	2,5-Dimethyl-benzoxazole	Cucumber	30	0	50
Oxazole	2,5-Dimethyl-benzoxazole	Pigweed	50	0	20
Oxazole	2,5-Dimethyl-benzoxazole	Johnsongrass	50	0	95
Oxazole	2,5-Dimethyl-benzoxazole	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	2-Mercaptoimidazole	Cucumber	50	95	95
Imidazole	2-Mercaptoimidazole	Pigweed	40	50	80
Imidazole	2-Mercaptoimidazole	Johnsongrass	10	10	50
Imidazole	2-Mercaptoimidazole	Corn	0	0	5
Imidazole	2-Mercapto-1-methylimidazole	Cucumber	50	0	50
Imidazole	2-Mercapto-1-methylimidazole	Pigweed	40	0	90
Imidazole	2-Mercapto-1-methylimidazole	Johnsongrass	10	0	15
Imidazole	2-Mercapto-1-methylimidazole	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	6-Thioxanthine	Cucumber	60	0	80
Imidazole	6-Thioxanthine	Pigweed	100	70	90

TABLE XIX-continued

Primary Screening of Modulators Belonging to Various 5-membered N-heterocyclic Templates					
Only modulators that exhibited a negligible effect on corn or a rate of kill of 88% or better on the other test plants are reported. Percent death was monitored 10 days after spraying. DTH ALA = death due to 5 mM ALA treatment; DTH MOD = death due to 20 mM modulator treatment; DTH A + M = death due to 3 mM ALA + 20 mM modulator treatment. Modulators that are effective by themselves without ALA are probable inducers.					
TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	PLANT	% DEATH ALA D10	% DEATH MOD D10	% DEATH A + M D10
Imidazole	6-Thioxanthine	Johnsongrass	95	0	0
Imidazole	6-Thioxanthine	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	2,4,5-Triphenylimidazole	Cucumber	60	0	95
Imidazole	2,4,5-Triphenylimidazole	Pigweed	100	50	100
Imidazole	2,4,5-Triphenylimidazole	Johnsongrass	95	0	100
Imidazole	2,4,5-Triphenylimidazole	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	4,5-Diphenylimidazole	Cucumber	70	0	100
Imidazole	4,5-Diphenylimidazole	Pigweed	50	10	15
Imidazole	4,5-Diphenylimidazole	Johnsongrass	50	0	20
Imidazole	4,5-Diphenylimidazole	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	Guanosine hydrate	Cucumber	50	5	100
Imidazole	Guanosine hydrate	Pigweed	50	0	90
Imidazole	Guanosine hydrate	Johnsongrass	50	0	80
Imidazole	Guanosine hydrate	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	2-Ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole	Cucumber	50	0	10
Imidazole	2-Ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole	Pigweed	50	0	100
Imidazole	2-Ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole	Johnsongrass	30	5	100
Imidazole	2-Ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	4,5-Dicyanoimidazole	Cucumber	50	50	90
Imidazole	4,5-Dicyanoimidazole	Pigweed	50	100	100
Imidazole	4,5-Dicyanoimidazole	Johnsongrass	30	80	100
Imidazole	4,5-Dicyanoimidazole	Corn	0	5	5
Imidazole	1-(Mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole	Cucumber	50	0	95
Imidazole	1-(Mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole	Pigweed	50	90	100
Imidazole	1-(Mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole	Johnsongrass	30	0	20
Imidazole	1-(Mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	2,2'-Dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole)	Cucumber	50	50	90
Imidazole	2,2'-Dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole)	Pigweed	50	40	20
Imidazole	2,2'-Dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole)	Johnsongrass	30	0	10
Imidazole	2,2'-Dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole)	Corn	0	0	0
Imidazole	Inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate	Cucumber	50	0	95
Imidazole	Inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate	Pigweed	50	0	20
Imidazole	Inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate	Johnsongrass	50	30	10
Imidazole	Inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate	Corn	0	0	10
Imidazole	1-(2,4,6-Trisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole	Cucumber	50	0	50
Imidazole	1-(2,4,6-Trisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole	Pigweed	30	15	100
Imidazole	1-(2,4,6-Trisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole	Johnsongrass	30	0	20
Imidazole	1-(2,4,6-Trisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole	Corn	0	0	0
Furfural	Nitrofurantoin	Pigweed	50	0	90
Furfural	Nitrofurantoin	Cucumber	50	0	50
Furfural	Nitrofurantoin	Corn	0	0	0
Furfural	Kinetin	Pigweed	50	80	90
Furfural	Kinetin	Cucumber	50	0	50
Furfural	Kinetin	Corn	0	0	0

EXAMPLE 9

Substituted 1,10-Phenanthrolines as Potent
Photodynamic Herbicide Modulators

1,10-Phenanthroline, also known as orthophenanthroline (OPH), is a potent photodynamic herbicide modulator. It induces the accumulation of large amounts of tetrapyrroles in dark treated cucumber, in the absence and presence of exogenous ALA. In the presence of ALA it exhibits very potent photodynamic herbicide modulating activities against a large number of weed species. Whether the mode of action of OPH as a Chl biosynthesis modulator is affected by the introduction of peripheral substituents was investigated.

Compounds 1-7 and 11 (Table XX) were dissolved in acetone:methanol:Tween 80:water (4.5:4.5:1:90, v/v/v/v). Compounds 8-10 and 12 (Table XX) were dissolved in ethanol:methanol:Tween 80:Polyethylene glycol 600 (45:45:1:9, v/v/v/v). All solutions were freshly prepared on the day of spraying. Treatments consisted of solvent treated controls, 5 mM ALA, and

2, 4, and 6 mM modulator with or without 5 mM ALA. The pH of all solutions was adjusted to 3.5 at room temperature with 12 N HCl or 10N KOH.

Cucumber seeds were planted in moist vermiculite. Germination was carried out in a growth room under a 14/10 h light/dark photoperiod. The temperature ranged from 27° C. in the light to 21° C. in darkness. Seedlings were watered with Hoagland's nutrient solution. Prior to spraying, seedlings were thinned to 6 per container.

The plant foliage was sprayed at a rate of 0.35 ml per container with a modified aerosol kit. The sprayed plants were wrapped in aluminum foil and were incubated in darkness for 14 to 16 h at 21° C. prior to unwrapping the plants, determining the tetrapyrrole content and exposing to light.

Following postspray dark incubation, one cotyledon from each plant was excised under a dim green safelight and used for the determination of tetrapyrrole content. The plants with one remaining cotyledon per seedling

were then exposed to light in the growth chamber for the induction of photodynamic injury. The latter was monitored visually and photographically over a period of 10 days. At day 10, the top growth was excised at soil level, dried, and the dry weight was recorded.

Substitutions at the periphery of the OPH macrocycle resulted in a pronounced modulation of the photodynamic herbicidal activity as ALA and various OPH analogs contributed singly and in combinations to photodynamic injury. The results are described in Table XX.

describes certain modulators of the porphyrin-heme biosynthetic pathway, which when used singly or in combination with ALA, induced the massive accumulation of Proto in treated insects. The uncontrolled Proto biosynthesis and accumulation caused death of the treated insects in darkness (dark death) via an unknown mechanism, and in the light (light death) probably via singlet oxygen formation. Proto is a transient metabolite which does not accumulate to any large extent in normal tissues. It is an immediate precursor of protoheme which in turn is the prosthetic group of cytochromes in

TABLE XX

Photodynamic Damage in Cucumber Seedlings Caused by Various PHTN and OPH analogs Applied Alone or in Combination with 5 mM ALA.					
COMPOUND NO.	MODULATOR	TIME (DAYS)	5 mM ALA	6 mM Mod (% Photodynamic damage)	20 mM Mod 5 mM ALA + 20 mM Mod
1	Phenanthrene	1	13	0	19
		10	20	0	20
2	7,8-Benzoquinoline	1	15	0	15
		10	15	0	20
3	1,10-Phenanthroline	1	13	88	100
		10	20	100	100
4	4-Methyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	15	92	100
		10	33	100	100
5	5-Methyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	31	92	100
		10	50	100	100
6	4,7-Dimethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	13	46	100
		10	20	100	100
7	5,6-Dimethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	31	67	100
		10	50	100	100
8	3,4,7,8-Tetramethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	56	77	100
		10	75	100	100
9	4,7-Diphenyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	13	21	100
		10	20	100	100
10	2,9-Dimethyl-4,7-Diphenyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	56	23	45
		10	75	100	100
11	5-Chloro-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	31	67	100
		10	50	100	100
12	5-Nitro-1,10-Phenanthroline	1	31	77	50
		10	50	81	100

Mod = Modulator

SECTION IV

Effect of TDPH on Tissues Lacking Chlorophyll

EXAMPLE 10

Insecticidal Compositions

The insecticidal system described in co-pending application Ser. No. 07/294,132 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,200,427)

mitochondria and chloroplasts. It is also the prosthetic group of catalases and peroxidases. Twenty-three modulators that belonged to nine TDPH templates exhibited 88-100% photodynamic death on four insect species when used in concert with ALA. The TDPH templates and the corresponding modulators are described in Table XXI.

TABLE XXI

Modulator Kill (88-100%) on Four Insect Species			
Modulators were incorporated in the diet at the following rates: 4 mM ALA + 3 mM modulator for <i>T. ni</i> and <i>H. zea</i> , 6 mM ALA + 8 mM modulator for <i>A. grandis</i> , and 24 mM ALA + 8 mM modulator for <i>B. germanica</i> . The insects were placed on the diet in darkness for 17 h. Photodynamic death was evaluated after 6 days of exposure to 14 h light-10h dark in the growth chamber.			
TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	INSECT	6DL
Orthophenanthroline	Bathophenanthroline disulfonic acid	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	89
4,4'-Dipyridyl	Benzyl viologen dichloride monohydrate	<i>Anthonomus grandis</i>	100
4,4'-Dipyridyl	Benzyl viologen dichloride monohydrate	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	93
Orthophenanthroline	5-Chloro-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	96
Pyridinium	1,1-Diethyl-4,4-carbocyanine iodide	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	95
Pyridinium	1,1-Diethyl-4,4-carbocyanine iodide	<i>Anthonomus grandis</i>	100
Pyridinium	1,1-Diethyl-2,4-cyanine iodide	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	93
Pyrrole	3-Ethyl-2-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindol-4-on	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	93
4,4'-Dipyridyl	1,1'-Diheptyl-4,4'-Bipyridinium dibromide	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	100
Pyridinium	2-(4-Dimethylamino)styryl)-1-ethylpyridinium	<i>Anthonomus grandis</i>	89
Orthophenanthroline	4,7-Dimethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	90
Orthophenanthroline	4,7-Dimethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	96
Orthophenanthroline	4,7-Dimethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	100
Orthophenanthroline	5,6-Dimethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	93
Orthophenanthroline	5,6-Dimethyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	97
Orthophenanthroline	4,7-Diphenyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	96

TABLE XXI-continued

Modulator Kill (88-100%) on Four Insect Species			
Modulators were incorporated in the diet at the following rates: 4 mM ALA + 3 mM modulator for <i>T. ni</i> and <i>H. zea</i> , 6 mM ALA + 8 mM modulator for <i>A. grandis</i> , and 24 mM ALA + 8 mM modulator for <i>B. germanica</i> . The insects were placed on the diet in darkness for 17 h. Photodynamic death was evaluated after 6 days of exposure to 14 h light-10th dark in the growth chamber.			
TEMPLATE	MODULATOR	INSECT	6DL
2,2'-Dipyridyl	2,2'-Dipyridyl	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	100
2,2'-Dipyridyl	2,2'-Dipyridyl	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	100
2,2'-Dipyridyl	2,2'-Dipyridyl	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	100
2,2'-Dipyridyl	2,2'-Dipyridyl	<i>Anthonomus grandis</i>	100
Pyridinyl-oxy	2-Methoxy-5-nitropyridine	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	100
Orthophenanthroline	5,6-Methyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	97
Pyridinium	Methyl viologen dichloride hydrate	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	100
Orthophenanthroline	5-Nitro-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	99
Orthophenanthroline	1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	100
Orthophenanthroline	1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Anthonomus grandis</i>	90
Orthophenanthroline	1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	100
Orthophenanthroline	1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	100
Pyridinium	Poly(4-Vinylpyridinium)dichromate	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	88
2,2'-Dipyridyl	2,2':6',2'-Terpyridine	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	100
Pyrrolidine	4-Pyrrolidinopyridine	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	88
Imidazole	4,5-Dicyanaimidazole	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	100
Thiazole	Thioflavin T	<i>Blattella germanica</i>	100
Orthophenanthroline	4-Methyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Heliothis zea</i>	95
Orthophenanthroline	4-Methyl-1,10-Phenanthroline	<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	99

EXAMPLE 11

Effect of TDPH on Roots

Since non-chlorophyllous plant tissues such as roots consist of cells containing an abundance of mitochondria which in turn contain cytochromes and presumably an active porphyrin-heme biosynthetic pathway, it was investigated whether some TDPH formulations would be effective against plant roots, in the same manner they were effective against insects. In particular it was interesting to determine whether plant roots would be susceptible to TDPH-dependent dark death since in their natural environment in the soil, roots are usually shielded from light. The effects of ALA and four modulators belonging to four different templates on excised and intact cucumber roots were investigated.

Excised cucumber roots were incubated overnight in darkness with ALA and one of several modulators, namely; DPY, 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-phenanthroline, phenanthridine, picolinic acid, and ethyl nicotinate. At the end of dark incubation the tissue was analyzed for tetrapyrrole accumulation and was exposed to light for evaluation of photodynamic damage. The latter was evaluated visually, and polarographically via the decrease in oxygen consumption of treated roots as compared to controls. Excised roots incubated with ALA and TDPH modulators accumulated massive amounts of tetrapyrroles in darkness. Although Proto was the main tetrapyrrole that accumulated, significant amounts of MPE and Pchlide were also formed. This in turn suggested that some extramitochondrial, plastidic tetrapyrrole biosynthesis may also be taking place. In the light, the excised roots that accumulated tetrapyrroles exhibited significant phytotoxicity.

To determine the effects of TDPH treatments on the roots of intact seedlings, cucumber seedlings were watered once with a solution consisting of 4 mM ALA + 3 mM modulator. The treated seedlings were kept in darkness for various periods of time prior to tetrapyrrole analysis and exposure to light. As was observed with excised roots, the roots of intact seedlings watered

with a solution of ALA + 1,10-phenanthroline accumulated massive amounts of tetrapyrroles. In this case too the major tetrapyrrole pool that accumulated in darkness consisted of Proto. However, this Proto accumulation was not toxic to the root cells in darkness. Even after two days in darkness no apparent damage to the root system was observed. Altogether these results indicated that although plant roots do react to treatment with ALA and TDPH modulators by accumulating tetrapyrroles, they do not exhibit the phenomenon of dark tetrapyrrole-dependent death which was observed in insects.

These examples serve to demonstrate the novel concept of the present invention. The photodynamic mode of action is different from other known modes of action in two main respects: (a) it is dependent on the biosynthesis and accumulation of tetrapyrroles in the foliage of living plants; and (b) the accumulated tetrapyrroles render the foliage of the plants light-sensitive so that upon subsequent exposure to light, a very damaging photodynamic effect is produced which results in death of susceptible undesirable plants or desiccation of the foliage without death of desirable plants.

In the insecticidal system, accumulation of Proto is induced in treated insects. The uncontrolled Proto biosynthesis and accumulation caused death of the treated insects in darkness and in light.

δ -Aminolevulinic acid is a natural metabolite present in all living cells; it is a natural component of the biosphere and is readily biodegradable. The same is true for the products of ALA dark-metabolism, i.e., the tetrapyrrole intermediates of the Chl biosynthetic pathway, which have been demonstrated to disappear very rapidly upon exposure of the plant to light. Similarly, modulators which are naturally occurring vitamins or derivatives thereof, e.g., ethyl nicotinate, are expected to be readily biodegradable and to have no adverse impact on the environment. It therefore appears that the photodynamic desiccating compositions and methods of the present invention employing ALA and/or vitamins or derivatives thereof are likely to have no adverse impact on the environment.

Further examples of compositions and applications within the spirit and scope of this invention are described in copending applications Ser. Nos. 06/895,529 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,127,938) and 07/294,132 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,200,427) and in Rebeiz, C. A. et al., *CRC Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 6(4):385-436 (1988).

I claim:

1. A composition comprising δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more modulators and a suitable carrier, at a concentration of from about 1 to about 40 mM of δ -aminolevulinic acid and from about 5 to about 30 mM of modulators, wherein said modulator is selected from the group consisting of:

2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline,
5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline,
4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
1,10-phenanthroline,
4-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
4,4'-dimethyl-2',2''-dipyridyl,
2,2':6',2''-terpyridine,
2,2'-dithiobis(pyridine N-oxide),
6,6-dithiodinicotinic acid,
5-amino-2-methoxypyridine,
2,3-dihydroxypyridine,
2-hydroxy-4-methylpyridine,
isocarbostyryl,
3-amino-2,6-dimethoxy pyridine, HCl,
2-chloro-6-methoxypyridine,
3-cyano-4,6-dimethyl-2-hydroxypyridine,
dibucaine hydrochloride,
2-hydroxy-3-nitropyridine,
2,6-dimethoxypyridine,
citrazinic acid,
di-2-pyridyl ketone oxime,
phenyl 2-pyridyl ketoxime,
8-hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline,
5-chloro-8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline,
5,7-dichloro-8-hydroxyquinoline,
5,7-dibromo-8-hydroxyquinoline,
N-benzyl-N-nicotoyl nicotinamide,
N-methylnicotinamide,
ethyl 2-methylnicotinate,
niflumic acid,
2-hydroxynicotinic acid,
diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate,
ethyl nicotinate,
2-hydroxy-6-methylpyridine-3-carboxylic acid,
4-hydroxy-7-trifluoromethyl-3-quinolinecarboxy,
dimidium bromide monohydrate,
ethidium bromide,
propidium iodide hydrate,
phenanthridine,
sanguinarine chloride,
3-hydroxypicolinic acid,
picolinic acid,
1-isoquinoline carboxylic acid,
2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-ethylpyridinium,
2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-methylpyridinium,
berberine hydrochloride hydrate,
bis-N-methyl acridinium nitrate,
1-(carboxymethyl)pyridinium chloride,
5-phenyl-2-(4-pyridyl)oxazole,
1,1-diethyl-2,2-cyanine iodide,

1,1-diethyl-2,4-cyanine iodide,
1,1-diethyl-4,4-cyanine iodide,
1-dodecylpyridinium chloride monohydrate,
2,4,6-collidine p-toluene sulfonate,
1-ethyl-3-OH-pyridinium bromide,
4-(dimethylamino)bromide perbromide,
6-nitroquinoline,
8-nitroquinoline,
5-nitroquinoline,
4,7 phenanthroline,
1,7 phenanthroline,
methyl 3-chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate,
(-)-2-oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid,
5-(4-diethylaminobenzylidene)-rhodamine,
15 5-chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole,
5-(4-dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodamine,
4-(4-biphenyllyl)-2-methyl thiazole,
3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydroimidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ol,
20 3,3-diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide,
2-amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole,
2-amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole,
2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole,
2-bromothiazole,
25 (+)6-aminopenicillanic acid,
2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole,
2-Acetylthiazole,
Basic blue 66,
3,6-dimethylbenzothiazole,
30 4,5-dimethylthiazole,
2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide,
2-bromo-5-nitrothiazole,
2-cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole,
35 ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate,
3-methylbenzothiazole-2-thione,
2,4-thiazolidinedione,
2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole,
2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid
40 hydrochloride,
2-aminobenzothiazole,
2-amino-2-thiazoline,
2-(4-thiazolyl)benzimidazole,
ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate,
45 thioflavin T,
ethyl 2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate,
2-(tritylamino)-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride,
1-phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl)-2-thiourea),
50 pseudothiohydintoin,
3,3'-(4,4'-biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride),
blue tetrazolium,
2,3,5-triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride,
55 N-(4-dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide,
bilirubin,
trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline,
alpha-methyl-alpha-propyl-succinimide,
N-hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate,
60 N-(9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide,
4-pyrrolidinopyrrolidine,
1-[2-(4-bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine,
(S)-(+)-ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate,
(-)-cotinine,
65 tert-butyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylate,
pyrrolo (1,2-a) quinoxaline,
pyrrolo-2-carboxaldehyde,
ethyl 3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylate,

3-ethyl-2-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindol,
 1-methyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylic acid,
 1-methyl-2-pyrrolicarboxaldehyde,
 1-furfurylpyrrole,
 1-(dimethylamino) pyrrole,
 1-(2-cyanomethyl)pyrrole,
 diethyl 2,4-dimethylpyrrole-3,5-dicarboxylate,
 methyl 5-(benzoxycarbonyl)-2,4-dimethyl-3-pyrrole,
 4-methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one,
 3,4-dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one,
 pseudothiohydrantoin,
 3,3'-dipropylloxycarbocyanine iodide,
 3,3'-dimethyloxycarbocyanine iodide,
 2,5-diphenyloxazole,
 2-mercaptobenzoxazole,
 3-methyl-2-oxazolidinone,
 2-chlorobenzoxazole,
 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole,
 2-benzoxazolinone,
 2,5-bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole,
 3,3'-dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide,
 3,3'-diethyloxycarbocyanine iodide,
 2,5-dimethyl-benzoxazole,
 2-mercaptoimidazole,
 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole,
 6-thioxanthine,
 2,4,5-triphenylimidazole,
 4,5-diphenylimidazole,
 guanosine hydrate,
 2-ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole,
 4,5-dicyanoimidazole,
 1-(mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole,
 2,2'-dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole),
 inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate,
 1-(2,4,6-trisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole,
 nitrofurantoin,
 kinetin,
 1,10-phenanthroline,
 4-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline, and
 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline.

2. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:

2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 1,10-phenanthroline, and
 4-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline.

3. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 4,4'-dimethyl-2',2'-dipyridyl, and
 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine.

4. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 2,2'-dithiobis(pyridine N-oxide), and
 6,6-dithiodinicotinic acid.

5. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:

5-amino-2-methoxypyridine,
 2,3-dihydroxypyridine,
 2-hydroxy-4-methylpyridine,
 isocarbostyryl,
 5 3-amino-2,6-dimethoxy pyridine, HCl,
 2-chloro-6-methoxypyridine,
 3-cyano-4,6-dimethyl-2-hydroxypyridine,
 dibucaine hydrochloride,
 2-hydroxy-3-nitropyridine,
 10 2,6-dimethoxypyridine, and
 citrazinic acid.

6. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 di-2-pyridyl ketone oxime, and
 15 phenyl 2-pyridyl ketoxime.

7. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 8-hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline,
 5-chloro-8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline,
 20 5,7-dichloro-8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline, and
 5,7-dichloro-8-hydroxyquinoline.

8. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 N-benzyl-N-nicotoyl nicotinamide, and
 25 N-methylnicotinamide.

9. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 ethyl 2-methylnicotinate,
 niflumic acid,

30 2-hydroxynicotinic acid,
 diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate,
 ethyl nicotinate,
 2-hydroxy-6-methylpyridine-3-carboxylic acid, and
 4-hydroxy-7-fluoromethyl-3-quinolinecarboxy.

10. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 dimidium bromide monohydrate,
 ethidium bromide,
 propidium iodide hydrate,
 40 phenanthridine, and
 sanguinarine chloride.

11. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 3-hydroxypicolinic acid,
 45 picolinic acid, and
 1-isoquinoline carboxylic acid.

12. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:
 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-ethylpyridinium,
 50 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-methylpyridinium,
 berberine hydrochloride hydrate,
 bis-N-methyl acridinium nitrate,
 1-(carboxymethyl)pyridinium chloride,
 5-phenyl-2-(4-pyridyl)oxazole,

1,1-diethyl-2,2-cyanine iodide,
 1,1-diethyl-2,4-cyanine iodide,
 1,1-diethyl-4,4-cyanine iodide,
 1-dodecylpyridinium chloride monohydrate,
 2,4,6-collidine p-toluene sulfonate,
 1-ethyl-3-OH-pyridinium bromide, and
 4-(dimethylamino)bromide perbromide.

13. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:

6-nitroquinoline,
 65 8-nitroquinoline,
 5-nitroquinoline,
 4,7 phenanthroline, and
 7 phenanthroline.

14. A composition as claimed in claim 1, whereby the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: methyl 3-chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate, (—)-2-oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid, and 5-(4-diethylaminobenzylidene)-rhodamine.

15. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: 5-chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole, 5-(4-dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodanine, 4-(4-biphenyllyl)-2-methyl thiazole, 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydroimidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ol, 3,3-diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide, 2-amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole, 2-amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole, 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole, 2-bromothiazole, (+)-6-aminopenicillanic acid, 2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole, 2-Acetylthiazole, Basic blue 66, 3,6-dimethylbenzothiazole, 4,5-dimethylthiazole, 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide, 2-bromo-5-nitrothiazole, 2-cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole, ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate, 3-methylbenzothiazole-2-thione, 2,4-thiazolidinedione, 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole, 2-amino- α -(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride, 2-aminobenzothiazole, 2-amino-2-thiazoline, 2-(4-thiazolyl)benzimidazole, ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate, thioflavin T, ethyl 2-amino- α -(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate, 2-(tritylamino)- α -(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride, 1-phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl-2-thiourea), and pseudothiohydintoin.

16. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: 3,3'-(4,4'-biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride), blue tetrazolium, and 2,3,5-triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride.

17. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: N-(4-dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide, and bilirubin.

18. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline, α -methyl- α -propyl-succinimide, N-hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate, N-(9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide, 4-pyrrolidinopyrrolidine, 1-[2-(4-bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine, (S)-(+)-ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate, and (—)-cotinine.

19. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: tert-butyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylate, pyrrole (1,2-a) quinoxaline, pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde,

ethyl 3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylate, 3-ethyl-2-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindol, 1-methyl-2-pyrrolecarboxylic acid, 1-methyl-2-pyrrolecarboxaldehyde,

5 1-furfurylpyrrole, 1-(dimethylamino) pyrrole, 1-(2-cyanomethyl)pyrrole, diethyl 2,4-dimethylpyrrole-3,5-dicarboxylate, and methyl 5-(benzoxycarbonyl)-2,4-dimethyl-3-pyrrole.

10 20. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: 4-methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one, and 3,4-dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one.

21. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: pseudothiohydrantoin.

22. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: 3,3'-dipropylloxacarbocyanine iodide,

20 3,3'-dimethyloxacarbocyanine iodide, 2,5-diphenyloxazole, 2-mercaptobenzoxazole, 3-methyl-2-oxazolidinone, 2-chlorobenzoxazole, 25 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole, 2-benzoxazolinone, 2,5-bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole, 3,3'-dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodide, 3,3'-diethyloxacarbocyanine iodide, and 30 2,5-dimethyl-benzoxazole.

23. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of:

2-mercaptoimidazole, 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole, 35 6-thioxanthine, 2,4,5-triphenylimidazole, 4,5-diphenylimidazole, guanosine hydrate, 2-ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole, 4,5-dicyanoimidazole, 1-(mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole, 2,2'-dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole), inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate, and 1-(2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole.

24. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the modulator is selected from the group consisting of: nitrofurantoin, and kinetin.

25. A method for inducing the accumulation of photodynamic tetrapyrroles in a whole, green plant, said method comprising contacting said whole, green plant with an effective amount of δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators and a suitable carrier, at a concentration of 55 from about 1 to about 40 mM of δ -aminolevulinic acid and from about 5 to about 30 mM of chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, wherein said chlorophyll biosynthesis modulator is selected from the group consisting of: 2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 60 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline, 5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline, 65 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 1,10-phenanthroline, 4-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,

4,4'-dimethyl-2',2'-dipyridyl,
 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine,
 2,2'-dithiobis(pyridine N-oxide),
 6,6-dithiodinicotinic acid,
 5-amino-2-methoxypyridine,
 2,3-dihydroxypyridine,
 2-hydroxy-4-methylpyridine,
 isocarbostyryl,
 3-amino-2,6-dimethoxy pyridine, HCl,
 2-chloro-6-methoxypyridine,
 3-cyano-2,6-dimethyl-2-hydroxypyridine,
 dibucaine hydrochloride,
 2-hydroxy-3-nitropyridine,
 2,6-dimethoxypyridine,
 citrazinic acid,
 di-2-pyridyl ketone oxime,
 phenyl 2-pyridyl ketoxime,
 8-hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline,
 5-chloro-8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline,
 5,7-dichloro-8-hydroxyquinoline,
 5,7-dibromo-8-hydroxyquinoline,
 N-benzyl-N-nicotoyl nicotinamide,
 N-methylnicotinamide,
 ethyl 2-methylnicotinate,
 niflumic acid,
 2-hydroxynicotinic acid,
 diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate,
 ethyl nicotinate,
 2-hydroxy-6-methylpyridine-3-carboxylic acid,
 4-hydroxy-7-trifluoromethyl-3-quinolinecarboxy,
 dimidium bromide monohydrate,
 ethidium bromide,
 propidium iodide hydrate,
 phenanthridine,
 sanguinarine chloride,
 3-hydopicolinic acid,
 picolinic acid,
 1-isoquinoline carboxylic acid,
 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-ethylpyridinium,
 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-methylpyridinium,
 berberine hydrochloride hydrate,
 bis-N-methyl acridinium nitrate,
 1-(carboxymethyl)pyridinium chloride,
 5-phenyl-2-(4-pyridyl)oxazole,
 1,1-diethyl-2,2-cyanine iodide,
 1,1-diethyl-2,4-cyanine iodide,
 1,1-diethyl-4,4-cyanine iodide,
 1-dodecylpyridinium chloride monohydrate,
 2,4,6-collidine p-toluene sulfonate,
 1-ethyl-3-OH-pyridinium bromide,
 4-(dimethylamino)bromide perbromide,
 6-nitroquinoline,
 8-nitroquinoline,
 5-nitroquinoline,
 4,7 phenanthroline,
 1,7 phenanthroline,
 methyl 3-chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate,
 (-)-2-oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid,
 5-(4-diethylaminobenzylidene)-rhodamine,
 5-chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole,
 5-(4-dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodamine,
 4-(4-biphenyl)2-methyl thiazole,
 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydroimidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ola,
 3,3-diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide,
 2-amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole,
 2-amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole,
 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole,

2-bromothiazole,
 (+)6-aminopenicillanic acid,
 2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole,
 2-Acetylthiazole,
 5 Basic blue 66,
 3,6-dimethylbenzothiazole,
 4,5-dimethylthiazole,
 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide,
 10 2-bromo-5-nitrothiazole,
 2-cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole,
 ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate,
 3-methylbenzothiazole-2-thione,
 2-4-thiazolidinedione,
 15 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole,
 2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride,
 2-aminobenzothiazole,
 2-amino-2-thiazoline,
 20 2-(4-thiazolyl)benzimidazole,
 ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate,
 thioflavin T,
 ethyl 2-amino-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate,
 2-(tritylamino)-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride,
 25 1-phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl)-2-thiourea,
 pseudothiohydintoin,
 3,3'-(4,4'-biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride),
 30 blue tetrazolium,
 2,3,5-triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride,
 N-(4-dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide,
 bilirubin,
 trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline,
 35 alpha-methyl-alpha-propyl-succinimide,
 N-hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate,
 N-(9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide,
 4-pyrrolidinopyrrolidine,
 1-[2-(4-bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine,
 40 (S)-(+)-ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate,
 (-)-cotinine,
 tert-butyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylate,
 pyrrolo (1,2-a) quinoxaline,
 pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde,
 45 ethyl 3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylate,
 3-ethyl-2-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindol,
 1-methyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylic acid,
 1-methyl-2-pyrrolicarboxaldehyde,
 1-furfurylpyrrole,
 50 1-(dimethylamino) pyrrole,
 1-(2-cyanomethyl)pyrrole,
 diethyl 2,4-dimethylpyrrole-3,5-dicarboxylate,
 methyl 5-(benzoxycarbonyl)-2,4-dimethyl-3-pyrrole,
 4-methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one,
 55 3,4-dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one,
 pseudothiohydrantoin,
 3,3'-dipropylloxacarbocyanine iodide,
 3,3'-dimethyloxacarbocyanine iodide,
 2,5-diphenyloxazole,
 60 2-mercaptobenzoxazole,
 3-methyl-2-oxazolidinone,
 2-chlorobenzoxazole,
 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole,
 2-benzoxazolinone,
 65 2,5-bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole,
 3,3'-dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodide,
 3,3'-diethyloxacarbocyanine iodide,
 2,5-dimethyl-benzoxazole,

2-mercaptoimidazole,
 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole,
 6-thioxanthine,
 2,4,5-triphenylimidazole,
 4,5-diphenylimidazole,
 guanosine hydrate,
 2-ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole,
 4,5-dicyanoimidazole,
 1-(mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole,
 2,2'-dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole),
 inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate,
 1-(2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole,
 nitrofurantoin,
 kinetin,
 1,10-phenanthroline,
 4-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,7-diphenyl-4,10-phenanthroline,
 2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline, and
 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline.

26. A method of controlling undesirable, whole, green plants, said method comprising contacting said undesirable, whole, green plants with an effective amount of δ -aminolevulinic acid in combination with one or more chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators and a suitable carrier, at a concentration of from about 1 to about 40 mM of δ -aminolevulinic acid and from about 5 to about 30 mM of chlorophyll biosynthesis modulators, wherein said chlorophyll biosynthesis modulator is selected from the group consisting of:

2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 1,10-phenanthroline,
 4-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
 4,4'-dimethyl-2',2'-dipyridyl,
 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine,
 2,2'-dithiobis(pyridine N-oxide),
 6,6-dithiodinicotinic acid,
 5-amino-2-methoxypyridine,
 2,3-dihydroxypyridine,
 2-hydroxy-4-methylpyridine,
 isocarbostyryl,
 3-amino-2,6-dimethoxy pyridine, HCl,
 2-chloro-6-methoxypyridine,
 3-cyano-4,6-dimethyl-2-hydroxypyridine,
 dibucaine hydrochloride,
 2-hydroxy-3-nitropyridine,
 2,6-dimethoxypyridine,
 citrazinic acid,
 di-2-pyridyl ketone oxime,
 phenyl 2-pyridyl ketoxime,
 8-hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline,
 5-chloro-8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline,
 5,7-dichloro-8-hydroxyquinoline,
 5,7-dibromo-8-hydroxyquinoline,
 N-benzyl-N-nicotoyl nicotinamide,
 N-methylnicotinamide,
 ethyl 2-methylnicotinate,

niflumic acid,
 2-hydroxynicotinic acid,
 diethyl 3,4-pyridine dicarboxylate,
 ethyl nicotinate,
 5 2-hydroxy-6-methylpyridine-3-carboxylic acid,
 4-hydroxy-7-trifluoromethyl-3-quinolinecarboxy,
 dimidium bromide monohydrate,
 ethidium bromide,
 propidium iodide hydrate,
 10 phenanthridine,
 sanguinarine chloride,
 3-hydronicolinic acid,
 picolinic acid,
 1-isoquinoline carboxylic acid,
 15 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-ethylpyridinium,
 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-1-methylpyridinium,
 berberine hydrochloride hydrate,
 bis-N-methyl acridinium nitrate,
 1-(carboxymethyl)pyridinium chloride,
 20 5-phenyl-2-(4-pyridyl)oxazole,
 1,1-diethyl-2,2-cyanine iodide,
 1,1-diethyl-2,4-cyanine iodide,
 1,1-diethyl-4,4-cyanine iodide,
 1-dodecylpyridinium chloride monohydrate,
 2,4,6-collidine p-toluene sulfonate,
 1-ethyl-3-OH-pyridinium bromide,
 4-(dimethylamino)bromide perbromide,
 6-nitroquinoline,
 8-nitroquinoline,
 5-nitroquinoline,
 4,7 phenanthroline,
 1,7 phenanthroline,
 methyl 3-chlorocarbonyl-L-thiazolidine-4-carboxylate,
 35 (—)-2-oxo-4-thiazolidine carboxylic acid,
 5-(4-diethylaminobenzylidene)-rhodamine,
 5-chloro-2-mercaptobenzothiazole,
 5-(4-dimethylamino benzylidene)rhodamine,
 4-(4-biphenyllyl)-2-methyl thiazole,
 40 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-ethyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydroimidazo[2,1-b]thiazol-3-ol,
 3,3-diethylthiocarbocyanine iodide,
 2-amino-6-fluorobenzothiazole,
 2-amino-5,6-dimethylbenzothiazole,
 45 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole,
 2-bromothiazole,
 (+)6-aminopenicillanic acid,
 2-Amino-6-nitrobenzothiazole,
 2-Acetylthiazole,
 50 Basic blue 66,
 3,6-dimethylbenzothiazole,
 4,5-dimethylthiazole,
 2-[4-(dimethylamino)styryl]-3-ethylbenzothiazolium iodide,
 55 2-bromo-5-nitrothiazole,
 2-cyano-6-methoxybenzothiazole,
 ethyl 2-amino-4-thiazole acetate,
 3-methylbenzothiazole-2-thione,
 2,4-thiazolidinedione,
 60 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-methylbenzothiazole,
 2-amino- α -(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride,
 2-aminobenzothiazole,
 2-amino-2-thiazoline,
 65 2-(4-thiazolyl)benzimidazole,
 ethyl 2-(formylamino)-4-thiazolegloxylate,
 thioflavin T,
 ethyl 2-amino- α -(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetate,

- 2(tritylamino)-alpha-(methoxyimino)-4-thiazole acetic acid hydrochloride,
1-phenyl-3-(2-thiazolyl-2-thiourea),
pseudothiohydintoin,
3,3'-(4,4'-biphenylene)bis(2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride),
blue tetrazolium,
2,3,5-triphenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride,
N-(4-dimethylamino-3,5-dinitrophenyl)-maleimide,
bilirubin,
trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline,
alpha-methyl-alpha-propyl-succinimide,
N-hydroxysuccinimidyl acetoacetate,
N-(9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyloxy)succinimide,
4-pyrrolidinopyrrolidine,
1-[2-(4-bromophenoxy)ethyl]pyrrolidine,
(S)-(+)-ethyl-2-pyrrolidine-5-carboxylate, (—)-cotinine,
tert-butyl 4-acetyl-3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylate,
pyrrolo (1,2-a) quinoxaline,
pyrrole-2-carboxaldehyde,
ethyl 3,5-dimethyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylate,
3-ethyl-2-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindol,
1-methyl-2-pyrrolicarboxylic acid,
1-methyl-2-pyrrolicarboxaldehyde,
1-furfurylpyrrole,
1-(dimethylamino) pyrrole,
1-(2-cyanomethyl)pyrrole,
diethyl 2,4-dimethylpyrrole-3,5-dicarboxylate,
methyl 5-(benzoxycarbonyl)-2,4-dimethyl-3-pyrrole,
4-methyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one,
3,4-dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-pyrazolin-5-one,
pseudothiohydrantoin,
3,3'-dipropylloxacarbocyanine iodide,
3,3'-dimethyloxacarbocyanine iodide,
- 2,5-diphenyloxazole,
2-mercaptobenzoxazole,
3-methyl-2-oxazolidinone,
2-chlorobenzoxazole,
2-(4-biphenyl)-5-phenyl-oxazole,
2-benzoxazolinone,
2,5-bis(4-biphenyl)oxazole,
3,3'-dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodide,
3,3'-diethyloxacarbocyanine iodide,
2,5-dimethyl-benzoxazole,
2-mercaptoimidazole,
2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole,
6-thioxanthine,
2,4,5-triphenylimidazole,
4,5-diphenylimidazole,
guanosine hydrate,
2-ethyl-4-methyl-imidazole,
4,5-dicyanoimidazole,
1-(mesitylenesulfonyl)-imidazole,
2,2'-dithiobis(4-tert-butyl-1-isopropylimidazole),
inosine-5'-triphosphate, disodium salt dihydrate,
1-(2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)imidazole,
nitrofurantoin,
kinetin,
1,10-phenanthroline,
4-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
5-methyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
4,7-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
5,6-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
4,7-diphenyl-4,10-phenanthroline,
2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline,
5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline, and
5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline.
- * * * * *

40

45

50

55

60

65